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## Robert C. Heady, P.E., S.I., ENV SP

## Education

B.S. Civil Engineering, 2006-Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

## Registration

Professional Engineer in Ohio, Registration No. 74658
Surveyor Intem in Ohio

## Field of Expertise

Project Management, Bridge Design and Inspection, Construction Administration, Contract Documents, Municipal Engineering, Pressure and Gravity Piping Design, Surveying, GIS Data Collection

| Positions Held |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil Design Associates, Inc. | 2017 - Present | Project Manager |
| Hocking County Engineer's Office | 2016-2017 | Assistant Engineer |
| Muskingum County Engineer's Office | 2013-2016 | Design Engineer, Bridge Program TeamLeader |
| Strand Associates, Inc. ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 2006-2013 | Project Manager, Engineer, Office Specifier |
| Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment (ORITE) | 2005-2006 | Research Assistant |
| Basic Systems, Inc. | 2001-2004 | Engineering Intem |

## Tank Selection

- Location
- Type
- Costs

Construction<br>Maintenance

## Location of Tank

- Elevation
- Location in System


## Water Supply Infrastructure

1.Water Resources
2.Treatment Plant


- Elevation
- Tank Site Approx. 1,100 ft
- Service Area Elev. 900-1,000 ft
- Difference of 100 ft
- Pressure 2.31 ft per $\mathrm{psi}=43.29 \mathrm{psi}$
- 35 psi minimum $=80.85 \mathrm{ft}$
- Other Considerations
- 1. Place to drain overland
- 2. Three feet above 100 YR Flood
- 3. Access for Construction
- 4. Access for Maintenance



## Location in System

- Pressure Zones
- Customers Served
- Dead Ends




## Types of Tanks

- Stand Pipe
- Multi-leg
- Composite
- Pedisphere
- Fluted Column


Reconditioned water tower showing City's new logo.



New elevated water storage tank.


## Single Pedestal

|  | Gallons | Diameter | Head Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75,000 | 27'-0" | 25'-0" |
|  | 100,000 | $30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 26'-0" |
|  | 125,000 | $32^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 29'-6" |
|  | 150,000 | $34{ }^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $31^{\prime}-4 "$ |
|  | 200,000 | 37'-6\|" | $34^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 250,000 | 44'-0" | $31^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| P $\mathrm{H}^{\text {a }}$ | 500,000 | 55'-6" | 37'-6" |



Fluted Column

| Gallons | Diameter | Head Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250,000 | $42^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $30^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 300,000 | $44^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $30^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 400,000 | $44^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $39^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 500,000 | $50^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $37^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |

Fluted Column Tanks are available in sizes up to two million gallon capacity

## TORO

| Gallons | Diameter | Head Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 250,000 | $42^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $30^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 300,000 | $44^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $30^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 400,000 | $44^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $39^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 500,000 | $50^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $37^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |

Double Ellipsoidal

| Gallons | Diameter | Head Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75,000 | $30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 100,000 | $30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $20^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 125,000 | $30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $25^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 150,000 | $32^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $28^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 200,000 | $36^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $28^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ |

## Sizing Case Study

New Holland, Ohio Population 801
342 Customers

100,000 Gallon 122Ft Multi-leg (Circa 1939)

Elevations 840-870 Ft


## Sizing Case Study

|  | Present Conditions | Future Conditions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Supply (gallons) | 216,000 | 228,000 |
| Maximum Day Demand (gallons) | 196,000 | 228,000 |
| Fire Fighting Demand (gallons) | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| Peak Hour Demand (gallons) | 49,000 | 57,000 |
| Total Supply (gallons) | 216,000 | 228,000 |
| Total Demand (gallons) | 425,000 | 465,000 |
| Storage Required (gallons) | $\mathbf{2 0 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 , 0 0 0}$ |

Assumed - 2 hour fire flow 1,500 gpm
Peak Demand $25 \%$ of max. day demand

## Tank Costs

| Tank Type | Tank Size <br> (Gallons) | Average <br> Construction <br> Costs | 24-Year <br> Maintenance <br> Costs | Total Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedisphere | 150,000 | $\$ 580,000$ | $\$ 168,000$ | $\$ 748,000$ |
| Pedisphere | 200,000 | $\$ 662,500$ | $\$ 201,000$ | $\$ 863,500$ |
| Pedisphere | 250,000 | $\$ 745,000$ | $\$ 237,000$ | $\$ 982,000$ |
| Multilegged | 150,000 | $\$ 470,000$ | $\$ 186,000$ | $\$ 656,000$ |
| Multilegged | 200,000 | $\$ 530,000$ | $\$ 199,000$ | $\$ 729,000$ |
| Multilegged | 250,000 | $\$ 617,500$ | $\$ 241,000$ | $\$ 858,500$ |
| Composite | 150,000 | $\$ 850,000$ | $\$ 128,000$ | $\$ 978,000$ |
| Composite | 200,000 | $\$ 925,000$ | $\$ 149,000$ | $\$ 1,074,000$ |
| Composite | 250,000 | $\$ 995,000$ | $\$ 171,000$ | $\$ 1,166,000$ |
| Glass-Lined | 150,000 | $\$ 495,000$ | $\$ 5,100$ | $\$ 500,100$ |
| Glass-Lined | 200,000 | $\$ 615,000$ | $\$ 5,100$ | $\$ 620,100$ |
| Glass-Lined | 250,000 | $\$ 715,000$ | $\$ 5,100$ | $\$ 720,100$ |

Source: Caldwell Tanks, HydroDynamics Company, Phoenix Fabricators and Erectors
Table 5.04-1 Opinion of Probable Cost (WST)

## Alternative Ranking

| Alternative | Construction Cost |  | Present Worth of Maintenance |  | Present Worth |  | Average Annual Equivalent Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | Rank | Cost | Rank | Cost | Rank | Cost | Rank |
| 150,000 Gallon Pedisphere | \$580,000 | 4 | \$168,000 | 6 | \$748,000 | 6 | \$49,817 | 6 |
| 200,000 Gallon Pedisphere | \$662,500 | 7 | \$201,000 | 10 | \$863,500 | 8 | \$57,509 | 8 |
| 250,000 Gallon Pedisphere | \$745,000 | 9 | \$237,000 | 11 | \$982,000 | 10 | \$65,401 | 10 |
| 150,000 Gallon Multilegged | \$470,000 | 1 | \$186,000 | 8 | \$656,000 | 3 | \$43,690 | 3 |
| 200,000 Gallon Multilegged | \$530,000 | 3 | \$199,000 | 9 | \$729,000 | 5 | \$48,551 | 5 |
| 250,000 Gallon Multilegged | \$617,500 | 6 | \$241,000 | 12 | \$858,500 | 7 | \$57,176 | 7 |
| 150,000 Gallon Composite | \$850,000 | 10 | \$128,000 | 4 | \$978,000 | 9 | \$65,135 | 9 |
| 200,000 Gallon Composite | \$925,000 | 11 | \$149,000 | 5 | \$1,074,000 | 11 | \$71,528 | 11 |
| 250,000 Gallon Composite | \$995,000 | 12 | \$171,000 | 7 | \$1,166,000 | 12 | \$77,656 | 12 |
| 150,000 Gallon Glass-Lined | \$495,000 | 2 | \$5,100 | 1 | \$500,100 | 1 | \$33,307 | 1 |
| 200,000 Gallon Glass-Lined | \$615,000 | 5 | \$5,100 | 1 | \$620,100 | 2 | \$41,299 | 2 |
| 250,000 Gallon Glass-Lined | \$715,000 | 8 | \$5,100 | 1 | \$720,100 | 4 | \$47,959 | 4 |



## Composite Tank Consideration

-Welded or Glass Lined -Security
-Maintenance Cost
-Storage
-Valve Room
-Ladder Location


## Drain Options



## Maintenance

-Inspection - Generally every 5 years AWWA G200 (2010)
-Cleaning - Disinfection one of three methods found in AWWA C652-11 Disinfection of Water Storage Facilities (2011)
-Painting - every 10-15 years
Painting Largest Cost typically $\$ 8-\$ 18$ per square foot

## Applicable Standards

## AWWA MANUAL M42 - Steel Water-Storage Tanks

- ANSI/AWWA D100, Standard for Welded Carbon Steel Tanks for Water Storage.
- ANSI/AWWA D102, Standard for Coating Steel Water-Storage Tanks.
- ANSI/AWWA D103, Standard for Factory-Coated Bolted Carbon Steel Tanks for Water Storage.
- ANSI/AWWA D104, Standard for Automatically Controlled, Impressed Current Cathodic Protection for the Interior Submerged Surfaces of Steel Water Storage Tanks.
- ANSI/AWWA D106, Standard for Sacrificial Anode Cathodic Protection Systems for the Interior Submerged Surfaces of Steel Water Storage Tanks. Copyright © 2013 American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.xix - ANSI/AWWA D107, Standard for Composite Elevated Tanks for Water Storage.
- ANSI/AWWA D108, Standard for Aluminum Dome Roofs for Water Storage

Facilities.

- ANSI/AWWA C652, Standard for Disinfection of Water-Storage Facilities.


## Tank Reconditioning Reynoldsburg, Ohio



Reconditioned water tower showing City's new logo.

Additional cost for fluted Column ~\$229,500 (41.6 \%) = $\$ 12,079$ per year over 19 yrs.

1 Million Gallon Fluted Column 92 Ft. to Overflow
Built in 1989
Reconditioned in 2008 (19 years)
Existing Exterior Coating - 2 coat urethane
Existing Interior Coating - 2 Coat Epoxy
Cost to Refinish
Exterior:
Prep. $\quad \$ 99,000$
Shrouding $\$ 50,000$
Zinc, Urthane, Floro-
Polymer \$180,000
Ext. Total \$329,000 (60\%)
Interior:
Prep. Zinc with 2 coats Epoxy
Int. Wet \$158,000
Int. Dry $\quad \$ 65,000$ (12\%)
Total \$223,000 (40\%)
Grand Total \$552,000
$\$ 29,053$ per year over 19 yrs.


## Example Estimate Calculation

1,000,000 Gallon Fluted Column 65.3 Ft Dia., 40 Ft Tall Tank, 92 Ft overflow Interior Surface Area:

Walls $=$ Circumference $\times$ Height $=8,206$ SF
Floor and Ceiling $=2 x A=\pi r^{2}=6,698 \mathrm{SF}$
Int. Total $=14,904$ SF
Interior Estimate $\mathbf{\$ 1 0} \mathbf{~ p e r ~ S F ~}=\$ 149,040$
Bid Price ( 9 bidders) $\$ 158,000=\$ 10.60$ per $\mathbf{S F}$

Exterior Surface Area:
Bowl
Walls $=$ Circumference $\times$ Height $=8,206$ SF
Roof $=A=\pi r^{\wedge} 2=3,349$ SF

$$
\text { Total }=11,555 \mathrm{SF}
$$

Column
Circumference x Height П50FT $x(92 F T-40 F T)=8,168$ SF Exterior Total $=19,723$ SF

Exterior Estimate w/ Containment $\$ 16$ per $\mathrm{SF}=19,723 \mathrm{SF}$ x $\$ 16 / \mathrm{SF}=\$ 315,568$
Bid Price ( 9 bidders) $\$ 329,000=\$ \mathbf{1 6 . 6 8}$ per $\mathbf{S F}$

## Water Age and THM Reduction




## Trihalomethanes - THMs

-Identified in 1974
-Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts (DBPs) - Natural Organic Matter (NOM)
-THMs are formed by chemical reaction of chlorine and NOM
-Chlorine Sources:
Gaseous Chlorine, Sodium Hypochlorite, Calcium Hypochlorite, Chloramine, and Chlorine Dioxide
-Other factors:
Water Temperature, detention time, High pH, High bromide levels, High chlorine dosage
-Types of THMs (Henry's Constant "capacity for volatilization"

| Compound | Henry's Constant @ 20 deg. C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chloroform (most common) | 170 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 118 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 47 |
| Bromoform | 35 |

## THM Removal

-Before disinfection
Precursor Removal (reduces disinfection demand)
-Oxidation (ozone or chlorine dioxide)
-Clarification (Coagulation, Settling, and Filtration)
-Adsorption (Activated Carbon)
-After disinfection
-Tank Operation
-Aeration
-Mixing
-Adsorption

## THM Removal, continued

-Aeration (Chloroform is predominant THM)
-Mixing
-Adsorption
-Headspace
-Ventilation
-Information Needed for Design
-Historical Flow
-Residence Time
-THM data for the tank
-Considerations
-Water Quality ( pH , alkalinity, free chlorine residual)
-Haloacetic Acids (HAA)

## THM Removal, continued

-Aeration (20-70\% reduction possible)
-Mixing
Flow Rate -Water Age
Tank Turn Over - Water Age
Water Depth - Stagnate areas
-Adsorption
-Headspace (4-5 feet surface and spray aeration)
Unsaturated Air to absorb THMs
Distance between nozzle for transfer
-Ventilation (fresh air exchange rate)

## THM Removal, continued

-Aeration (20-70\% reduction possible)
-Time of Year (Spring, Summer, and Fall)
-Types of Systems

1. Spray Aeration Min. 5 Foot headspace

Draw water from bottom of tank spray from nozzle
Droplet size
Travel Distance
Water Temperature
Ice Formation, typically spray not operated in Winter


## THM Removal, continued

Diffused Aeration - Min 4-Ft headspace<br>Tiny Bubbles<br>Air Bubble Size<br>Air to Water Ratio<br>Depth of Water Above the Diffuser<br>Detention Time<br>Water Temperature<br>Geometry of Tank (Baffling)



## THM Removal, continued

## Effects on Residual

"Chlorine and chloramine are more stable in water than THMs, and we have seen little to no loss of residual in our in-tank aeration installations. In side-by-side measurements, we measured roughly $10 \%$ reduction in residual chlorine in a system that removed 50\% of TTHMs. At pH levels lower than 7, we expect a greater fraction of residual chlorine to exist in the form of hypochlorous acid, which is slightly volatile. Therefore, we generally expect more residual chlorine to be lost due to aeration in low-pH systems." - Pax Water Technologies

## THM Removal, continued

Summary and Recommendations from AWWA
-Aeration can be successful
-Haloacetic Acids may increase
-Disinfection byproducts precursors at plant
-Water System BMPs
-Exercise Tanks, Reduce Water Age, Control CL Residuals, Clean Tanks, Perform Routine Hydrant Flushing
-Monitor water quality for pH , stability, and CL
residuals

## Civil <br> Design Engineering Excellence Associates, Inc.

## THANK YOU

CONTACT INFORMATION<br>Robert C. Heady, P.E., S.I., ENV SP<br>Project Manager - Civil Design Associates, Inc.

(P)330-339-4242
rheady@civil-design.us
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