Rethinking Reshoring

A Contrarian Approach to Reshoring Amid Tariff Uncertainty

Feroze Motafram
Principal Consultant
Avestan LLC

AVESTAN

White Paper
Why Rushing to Reshore May Backfire: A Flexible Alternative to Tariff-Driven Moves

Executive Summary

As U.S. manufacturers brace for potential tariff expansions and trade tensions, a wave of companies is racing to "reshore" domestic operations. While this may seem like prudent foresight, it risks being a reactive overcorrection. Tariff regimes remain volatile, inflationary dynamics are shifting, and key enabling factors for successful reshoring, notably labor and automation infrastructure are not yet in place. This paper proposes a more strategic, globally-aware path that leverages offshore automation development and emphasizes optionality over immediacy.

---

1. The Volatile Nature of Tariff Policy: Recent history shows that U.S. tariff regimes are neither stable nor predictable. Under the current administration, tariff announcements and reversals have continued to follow a highly transactional and politically driven pattern. Proposals for sweeping China tariffs have been floated alongside exemptions, delays, and rapid policy pivots that make long-range planning difficult. These cycles of tariff escalation and retreat are likely to be compounded by election-year dynamics and shifting global alliances.

Implication: Major investment decisions should not be based on what remains a volatile and capricious system. Instead, firms should prioritize agility.

---

2. Inflation - The Hidden Rebound Risk: While inflation has been relatively contained, this has been due in part to delayed tariff enforcement and existing inventory buffers. Once pipeline material is depleted, price pressures may rise sharply, particularly for inputs from Asia. Ironically, this may force policymakers to soften or pause tariff enforcement to prevent inflationary shocks.

Implication: The same administration pushing tariffs may reverse course under pressure, stranding reshoring initiatives designed around an outdated premise.

---

3. Labor Shortages Undermine Domestic Scalability: According to Deloitte and the Manufacturing Institute, the U.S. faces a shortage of over 600,000 skilled manufacturing workers today, with over 2.1 million projected unfilled roles by 2030. Even with increased wages and incentives, the demographic and skills gap poses a structural barrier to scaling traditional manufacturing domestically.

Implication: Without labor, reshoring is merely aspirational. In the short-to-medium term, reshoring strategies must contend with real constraints on capacity.

4. Automation - Long Lead Times and Expertise Bottlenecks: Automation is often positioned as a cure-all for labor shortages and uncompetitive costs, but its rarely a turnkey solution.

- Lead times for industrial automation components (PLCs, sensors, controllers) are often 20-52 weeks (ControlGlobal, Automation.com).
- System integrators and automation engineers are overbooked, with limited capacity to take on new builds.
- Expertise in advanced automation is not easily scaled, and reskilling efforts are lagging.

Implication: Betting on near-term domestic automation as a reshoring enabler is risky and may lead to prolonged bottlenecks and capital inefficiency.

---

5. Capital Efficiency and ROI Risk: Reshoring typically requires significant capital outlay in terms of facility upgrades, equipment purchases, workforce training, and compliance adaptation. In a volatile policy and demand environment, these sunk costs carry heightened risk of underutilization or obsolescence. In a landscape where capital is no longer cheap, the opportunity costs of misallocated resources are too high to ignore.

Implication: Firms risk tying up capital in fixed assets with poor ROI if market, tariff, or input conditions shift. Maintaining asset-light models or deferring major CAPEX until conditions stabilize is a more prudent financial posture.

---

6. Regulatory Overhead and Permitting Delays: Manufacturing expansion in the U.S. often entails navigating complex and time-consuming local, state, and federal regulatory frameworks such as zoning approvals, environmental impact reviews, labor law compliance, and OSHA requirements. While the current administration has taken steps to ease certain federal regulations, many of these challenges persist at the state and municipal levels, creating inconsistent and frequently delayed timelines.

Implication: Even if funding and intent are in place, regulatory timelines can delay U.S.-based buildouts by 12-24 months or more, further weakening the case for urgent reshoring.

---

7. Supply Chain Fragmentation and Integration Risk: Domestic operations still depend on globally sourced components, especially in electronics and high-precision parts. Reshoring assembly or final manufacturing does not eliminate exposure to upstream supply volatility.

Implication: Anchoring domestically without redesigning global supply chains introduces new integration and logistics challenges, undermining the benefits of co-located sourcing and production in Asia.

---

8. Customer Proximity and Speed-to-Market: For many export-driven or regionally diverse firms, manufacturing near Asian customers or development hubs enables faster prototyping, iteration, and fulfillment especially for hardware or consumer electronics.

Implication: Over-indexing on U.S.-based operations may slow product development cycles and weaken competitive responsiveness in high-growth markets abroad.

---

9. Talent Ecosystem Density: East Asia offers more robust ecosystems for industrial innovation, including R&D partnerships with universities, mature tooling vendors, and dense clusters of niche suppliers.

Implication: These soft advantages translate into faster problem-solving and deeper innovation potential than many emerging U.S. industrial zones can currently support.

---

10. A Smarter Alternative - Offshore Automation with Portability: East Asia, particularly Taiwan, South Korea, and select regions in China continues to offer greater depth in automation engineering, supply chain responsiveness, and integrator capacity. Developing and piloting automation solutions in these regions offers:

- Shorter lead times and faster iteration
- Lower costs and higher build quality
- Immediate availability of experienced integrators

Crucially, systems can be specified for portability... designed to meet North American compliance and easily relocated if trade dynamics shift meaningfully.

Impli**cation:** This hybrid strategy offers tactical flexibility and access to world-class engineering without prematurely committing to a fixed U.S. footprint.

Conclusion - Prioritize Flexibility, Not Hastiness: Reshoring decisions should not be driven by short-term political trends or “flavor-of-the-day” policies. Instead, companies should focus on building flexibility into their manufacturing strategy:

- Monitor tariff regimes, but don’t make hasty reshoring decisions until clarity emerges
- Leverage offshore expertise to accelerate automation readiness

- Design systems for portability and compliance

In volatile markets, agility is the ultimate advantage. Rather than locking into expensive onshore solutions today, build global capabilities that can move as the environment dictates tomorrow. The winners in this reshoring debate won't be those who move fastest, rather it will be those who move most wisely, adapting as facts, not fear, shape the path forward.

Author:
Feroze Motafram
Principal Consultant, Avestan LLC