


Inferential Statistics

Booklet



Calculating and Interpreting the Tests

Edexcel Psychology



Mann Whitney U Test

The Mann-Whitney test is a non-parametric statistical test of **difference** that allows psychologists to determine if their results are significant. It is used in studies that have an **independent groups design**, where the data collected is at least **ordinal**.

Example:

A study to investigate gender differences in the ability to memorise pictures of animals in short-term memory. Based on previous research it was predicted that females will recall significantly more pictures than males. This is a one-tailed prediction.

A field study was conducted in which 20 participants (10M, 10F) were selected by opportunity on a Wednesday afternoon in the college canteen. Once consent was gained they were issued with a copy of the memory test containing pictures of 15 animals. Each participant was tested individually and given one minute to memorise the pictures and a further one minute to immediately recall.

Maximum score on the memory test was 15.

Here are their results,

Males	Rank (Ra)	Females	Rank (Rb)
10	4.5	12	9
8	1.5	13	14
10	4.5	14	18
12	9	12	9
8	1.5	13	14
9	3	12	9
11	6	13	14
13	14	14	18
12	9	14	18
13	14	15	20
Mean = 10.6		Mean = 13.2	
	Total = 67		Total = 143

The mean scores show that females (13.2) performed better on the memory recall test compared to males (10.6).

a) Rank the data for each group and add up the total of each set of ranks (**remember to rank the groups as one whole data set with the lowest number receiving a rank of 1**). You have a total of 20 positions to give away. If participants share positions, allocate an average to each one.

b) Use the formula for Mann Whitney to calculate the value of U. Do each sum separately. Remember n = number of participants in that group (10M, 10F).

Mann-Whitney U test formulae

$$U_a = n_a n_b + \frac{n_a(n_a+1)}{2} - \sum R_a$$

$$U_b = n_a n_b + \frac{n_b(n_b+1)}{2} - \sum R_b$$

(U is the smaller of U_a and U_b)

$$U_a = 10 \times 10 + 10(10+1)/2 - 67$$

$$U_a = 100 + 55 - 67$$

$$U_a = 88$$

$$U_b = 10 \times 10 + 10(10+1)/2 - 143$$

$$U_b = 100 + 55 - 143$$

$$U_b = 12$$

Therefore U = 12

c) Use the critical value table to assess the significance in the findings based on a one-tailed test when $p < 0.05$, and state whether the results are significant or not.

Mann Whitney U Test - Critical Values Table (One-tailed test)

n ₂	Probability Range	n ₁																		
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
3	0.05	0	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	10	11	
	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	5	
4	0.05	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	
	0.01	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	10	
5	0.05	1	2	4	5	6	8	9	11	12	13	15	16	18	19	20	22	23	25	
	0.01	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
6	0.05	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	14	16	17	19	21	23	25	26	28	30	32	
	0.01	0	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	11	12	13	15	16	18	19	20	22	
7	0.05	2	4	6	8	11	13	15	17	19	21	24	26	28	30	33	35	37	39	
	0.01	0	1	3	4	6	7	9	11	12	14	16	17	19	21	23	24	26	28	
8	0.05	3	5	8	10	13	15	18	20	23	26	28	31	33	36	39	41	44	47	
	0.01	0	2	4	6	7	9	11	13	15	17	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	
9	0.05	4	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48	51	54	
	0.01	1	3	5	7	9	11	14	16	18	21	23	26	28	31	33	36	38	40	
10	0.05	4	7	11	14	17	20	24	27	31	34	37	41	44	48	51	55	58	62	
	0.01	1	3	6	8	11	13	16	19	22	24	27	30	33	36	38	41	44	47	
11	0.05	5	8	12	16	19	23	27	31	34	38	42	46	50	54	57	61	65	69	
	0.01	1	4	7	9	12	15	18	22	25	28	31	34	37	41	44	47	50	53	
12	0.05	5	9	13	17	21	26	30	34	38	42	47	51	55	60	64	68	72	77	
	0.01	2	5	8	11	14	17	21	24	28	31	35	38	42	46	49	53	56	60	
13	0.05	6	10	15	19	24	28	33	37	42	47	51	56	61	65	70	75	80	84	
	0.01	2	5	9	12	16	20	23	27	31	35	39	43	47	51	55	59	63	67	
14	0.05	7	11	16	21	26	31	36	41	46	51	56	61	66	71	77	82	87	92	
	0.01	2	6	10	13	17	22	26	30	34	38	43	47	51	56	60	65	69	73	
15	0.05	7	12	18	23	28	33	39	44	50	55	61	66	72	77	83	88	94	100	
	0.01	3	7	11	15	19	24	28	33	37	42	47	51	56	61	66	70	75	80	
16	0.05	8	14	19	25	30	36	42	48	54	60	65	71	77	83	89	95	101	107	
	0.01	3	7	12	16	21	26	31	36	41	46	51	56	61	66	71	76	82	87	
17	0.05	9	15	20	26	33	39	45	51	57	64	70	77	83	89	96	102	109	115	
	0.01	4	8	13	18	23	28	33	38	44	49	55	60	66	71	77	82	88	93	
18	0.05	9	16	22	28	35	41	48	55	61	68	75	82	88	95	102	109	116	123	
	0.01	4	9	14	19	24	30	36	41	47	53	59	65	70	76	82	88	94	100	
19	0.05	10	17	23	30	37	44	51	58	65	72	80	87	94	101	109	116	123	130	
	0.01	4	9	15	20	26	32	38	44	50	56	63	69	75	82	88	94	101	107	
20	0.05	11	18	25	32	39	47	54	62	69	77	84	92	100	107	115	123	130	138	
	0.01	5	10	16	22	28	34	40	47	53	60	67	73	80	87	93	100	107	114	

Rule: If the *calculated value* is *equal to* or *lower than* the *critical value* then the results are *significant at the 5% level of probability*.

Critical value = 27 (when n_a = 10, n_b = 10, p < 0.05)

Complete the **significance statement** (delete some parts);

“The calculated value of U is **12**. This is **less than** the critical value of **27** (when p < 0.05).

Therefore this result **is significant**. There is a **less than** 5% probability that the results are due to chance.”

d) State which hypothesis would be accepted and why. Interpret the result in terms of the context in the question.

The research hypothesis would be accepted and the null rejected. This tells us that there is a significant difference between males and females and their ability to recall pictures of animals on a memory test.

Wilcoxon Test

The Wilcoxon test is a non-parametric statistical test of **difference** that allows a researcher to determine the significance of their findings. It is used in studies that have a **repeated measures** or **matched pairs design**, where the data collected is at least **ordinal**.

Example:

A study to investigate if revision aids help with memory recall. Based on previous research it was predicted that revision aids (cue cards) will significantly improve recall compared to not using any revision aids. This is a one-tailed prediction.

A lab experiment was conducted in which 10 participants (5M, 5F) were selected by volunteer sampling after an advert was placed in the sixth form library. Once consent was gained they were first allocated into condition 1 (no revision aid). Here they were given a short memory test containing 20 random words. Each participant was tested individually and given one minute to memorise the word list and a further one minute to immediately recall.

On completion they were given some revision aids (cue cards) and asked to take a short break to practice the techniques ready for the second test. One hour later they returned to the lab and completed condition 2. Here they were given 5 minutes to read the cue cards before being given a second memory test. This contained a list of 20 new words, for which they had one minute to memorise and a further minute to recall.

Maximum score on each memory test was 20.

Here are their results,

Participant	1 (no revision aid)	2 (cue cards)	Difference	Rank of Difference
1	12	20	-8	9
2	11	14	-3	3
3	10	15	-5	5
4	18	17	1	1
5	10	16	-6	6
6	14	12	2	2
7	13	13	0	0
8	16	20	-4	4
9	12	19	-7	7.5
10	18	11	7	7.5
Mean =	13.4	15.7		
				Rank Positive = 10.5 Rank Negative = 34.5

a) Calculate the mean for each condition (no revision aids versus cue cards) and state what the results tell us.

The results show that on average recall of words is greater when revision aids like cue cards are used (15.7) compared to when they are not (13.4).

b) Calculate the Wilcoxon test by completing the table and find the value of T (lowest of the ranks, either positive or negative). **Ignore any scores with values of 0 or differences with a 0 score/ do not rank these.** Also ignore the signs when calculating the difference.

Next add up the total for the rank scores that had a positive in the difference column, then add up the total for the rank scores that had a negative in the difference column.

Rank Positive = 10.5

Rank Negative = 34.5

Take the lowest number. Therefore the value of T = 10.5

c) Use the critical value table to assess the significance in the findings, when $n=10$, using a one-tailed test when $p<0.05$, and state whether the results are significant or not.

Critical Values of the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

n	Two-Tailed Test		One-Tailed Test	
	$\alpha = .05$	$\alpha = .01$	$\alpha = .05$	$\alpha = .01$
5	--	--	0	--
6	0	--	2	--
7	2	--	3	0
8	3	0	5	1
9	5	1	8	3
10	8	3	10	5
11	10	5	13	7
12	13	7	17	9
13	17	9	21	12
14	21	12	25	15
15	25	15	30	19
16	29	19	35	23
17	34	23	41	27
18	40	27	47	32
19	46	32	53	37
20	52	37	60	43
21	58	42	67	49
22	65	48	75	55
23	73	54	83	62
24	81	61	91	69
25	89	68	100	76
26	98	75	110	84
27	107	83	119	92
28	116	91	130	101
29	126	100	140	110
30	137	109	151	120

Rule: If the *calculated value* is *equal to* or *lower than* the *critical value* then the results are *significant at the 5% level of probability*.

The critical value (when $n = 10$, $p < 0.05$) is 10.

Complete the **significance statement** (delete some parts);

“The calculated value of the Wilcoxon is **10.5**. This is **more than** the critical value of **10** (when $p < 0.05$). Therefore this result is **not significant**. There is a **more than** 5% probability that the results are due to chance.”

d) State which hypothesis would be accepted and why. What does this tell us about the results, use the context in the question?

The null hypothesis would be accepted and the research hypothesis rejected. This tells us that there is not a significant difference between the use of revision aids (cue cards) and not when completing a memory test of words.

Chi Squared Test

The chi-squared test is a non-parametric statistical test of **difference** or **association** that allows researchers to see if their results are significant. It is used for studies that have an **independent groups design**, where the data collected is **nominal** (in categories).

Example:

A study to investigate whether students or teachers make healthier choices for lunchtime meals. A non-participant, covert, naturalistic observation was conducted, where event sampling was used to gather data from the sixth form canteen on a Monday lunchtime from 12-1pm. Students and teachers were both observed as to what options of food or drink they purchased during this time. Two observers were seated close by to the cashier where everyone had to pass. Both had a copy of the same behavioural categories, covering options for healthy food an unhealthy food sold at the canteen. Only food purchased was counted.

Below is the frequency table of students and teachers and whether they purchased healthy or unhealthy food options (observed values).

a) Label each cell with – A, B, C and D (cell A is done for you).

	Students	Teachers	Total
Healthy Choices	12 (A)	22 (B)	34
Unhealthy Choices	26 (C)	5 (D)	31
Column total	38	27	65

The table is showing that students are more likely to make unhealthy choices and teachers are more likely to make healthy choice

b) Add up the totals for each row and each column in the **contingency table** above. The grand total (shaded in grey) will total the same whether it's added from rows or columns.

c) Use this table to fill in the gaps by completing the steps below:

Cell	Observed value (O)	Expected value (E)	O-E	(O-E) ²	$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$
A	12	19.88	-7.88	62.09	3.12
B	22	14.12	7.88	62.09	4.40
C	26	18.12	7.88	62.09	3.43
D	5	12.88	-7.88	62.09	4.82

1. For each cell in the original contingency table, calculate the expected value (E) as follows;

$$\frac{\text{rowtotal} \times \text{columntotal}}{\text{grandtotal}}$$

Cell A) $34 \times 38 / 65 = 19.88$

Cell B) $34 \times 27 / 65 = 14.12$

Cell C) $31 \times 38 / 65 = 18.12$

Cell D) $31 \times 27 / 65 = 12.88$

2. For each cell subtract the expected value from the observed value (O-E).
3. Square the values for the previous step (O-E)².
4. Divide the result of the previous step by E.
5. Add up all the values in the last column (shaded grey) to give a final value for Chi-squared χ^2 .

This is represented by the formula;

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \mathbf{15.77}$$

d) To decide if our calculated value for χ^2 is significant, you need to work out the degrees of freedom for the contingency table using the following formula;

$$\text{df} = (\text{no. rows} - 1) \times (\text{no. columns} - 1)$$

$$\text{df} = (2-1) \times (2-1)$$

$$\text{df} = 1$$

Calculate these for this study (look at the first raw data table).

Once you have these two values, you can use the χ^2 table to check if the value for χ^2 is higher than the critical value given in the table. If it is, then the result is significant at the level given. Make sure you look at the probability level of 5% ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE IV								
Chi-Square (χ^2) Distribution								
Area to the Right of Critical Value								
Degrees of Freedom	0.99	0.975	0.95	0.90	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01
1	—	0.001	0.004	0.016	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635
2	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210
3	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345
4	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277
5	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	9.236	11.071	12.833	15.086
6	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812
7	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475
8	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490	13.362	15.507	17.535	20.090
9	2.088	2.700	3.325	4.168	14.684	16.919	19.023	21.666
10	2.558	3.247	3.940	4.865	15.987	18.307	20.483	23.209
11	3.053	3.816	4.575	5.578	17.275	19.675	21.920	24.725
12	3.571	4.404	5.226	6.304	18.549	21.026	23.337	26.217
13	4.107	5.009	5.892	7.042	19.812	22.362	24.736	27.688
14	4.660	5.629	6.571	7.790	21.064	23.685	26.119	29.141
15	5.229	6.262	7.261	8.547	22.307	24.996	27.488	30.578
16	5.812	6.908	7.962	9.312	23.542	26.296	28.845	32.000
17	6.408	7.564	8.672	10.085	24.769	27.587	30.191	33.409
18	7.015	8.231	9.390	10.865	25.989	28.869	31.526	34.805
19	7.633	8.907	10.117	11.651	27.204	30.144	32.852	36.191
20	8.260	9.591	10.851	12.443	28.412	31.410	34.170	37.566
21	8.897	10.283	11.591	13.240	29.615	32.671	35.479	38.932
22	9.542	10.982	12.338	14.042	30.813	33.924	36.781	40.289
23	10.196	11.689	13.091	14.848	32.007	35.172	38.076	41.638
24	10.856	12.401	13.848	15.659	33.196	36.415	39.364	42.980
25	11.524	13.120	14.611	16.473	34.382	37.652	40.646	44.314
26	12.198	13.844	15.379	17.292	35.563	38.885	41.923	45.642
27	12.879	14.573	16.151	18.114	36.741	40.113	43.194	46.963
28	13.565	15.308	16.928	18.939	37.916	41.337	44.461	48.278
29	14.257	16.047	17.708	19.768	39.087	42.557	45.722	49.588
30	14.954	16.791	18.493	20.599	40.256	43.773	46.979	50.892

Rule: If the *calculated value* is *equal to* or *greater than* the *critical value* then the results are *significant at the 5% level of probability*.

Complete the **significance statement** (delete some parts);

“The calculated value of χ^2 is **15.77**. This is **less more** than the critical value of **3.841** (when $p < 0.05$). Therefore this result **is significant**. There is a **less than** 5% probability that the results are due to chance.”

f) State which hypothesis would be accepted and why. What does this tell us about the results, use the context in the question?

The research hypothesis would be accepted and the null rejected. This tells us that there is a significant difference between students and teachers and the healthy or unhealthy food choices they make.

Spearman's Rho Test

Spearman's rho is a non-parametric statistical test of **correlation** that allows a researcher to determine the significance of their investigation. It is used in studies that are looking for a relationship, where the data is at least **ordinal**.

Example:

An investigation was carried out to see if there was a relationship between self-ratings of aggression and the number of siblings a person has. It was predicted that having more siblings can make people more aggressive, as they are more likely to have to compete within the family.

Participants were asked to volunteer for a study during a two-day period in the sixth form. A poster was placed in the library and the canteen asking for males and females to complete a short questionnaire. 15 students volunteered and were each asked to rate themselves on a scale 0-5 for overall aggression (0 = never aggressive, 5 = always aggressive). They were also asked to give the number of siblings they had. Ordinal data from the two questions was collected to analyse the relationship between the two variables.

The results are in the table below:

Student	A (self-rating of aggression)	Rank A	B (number of siblings)	Rank B	Rank of Difference (d)	Rank of Difference Squared (d ²)
1	3	11	3	13	-2	4
2	2	7	2	8	-1	1
3	5	15	4	15	0	0
4	1	3.5	2	8	-4.5	20.25
5	2	7	2	8	-1	1
6	3	11	3	13	-2	4
7	2	7	1	4	3	9
8	0	1.5	0	2	-0.5	0.25
9	2	7	2	8	-1	1
10	2	7	2	8	-1	1
11	1	3.5	0	2	1.5	2.25
12	0	1.5	0	2	-0.5	0.25
13	4	13.5	3	13	0.5	0.25
14	3	11	2	8	3	9
15	4	13.5	2	8	5.5	30.25
						Sum = 83.5

- a) Calculate the ranks for each group (**remember to rank the data as two separate groups- do aggression, then do siblings**). Remember you have 15 positions to give away, and some scores will share positions. Rank all scores, even if they are 0.

Next calculate the difference between the ranks (d) and finally calculate the last column (d²). You will need the sum of this column (d²) to substitute into the formula below (shaded in grey).

- b) Calculate the Spearman's Rho test by using the formula to find the value of rho (Rs). It is easier to leave the 1 until you have calculated the other part of the equation.

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$$

$$r_s = 1 - 6 \times 83.5 / 15(225 - 1)$$

$$r_s = 1 - 501 / 3360$$

$$r_s = 1 - 0.149$$

$$r_s = 0.851$$

You should have a number between -1 and +1. This is the correlation coefficient = **0.851**

- c) Use the critical value table to assess the significance in the findings when n = 15 using a one-tailed test when **p < 0.05**, and state whether the results are significant or not.

Critical values for Spearman's rank

	Level of significance for a one-tailed test				
	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0025
	Level of significance for a two-tailed test				
N	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005
5	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
6	0.829	0.886	0.943	1.000	1.000
7	0.714	0.786	0.893	0.929	0.964
8	0.643	0.738	0.833	0.881	0.905
9	0.600	0.700	0.783	0.833	0.867
10	0.564	0.648	0.745	0.794	0.830
11	0.536	0.618	0.709	0.755	0.800
12	0.503	0.587	0.678	0.727	0.769
13	0.484	0.560	0.648	0.703	0.747
14	0.464	0.538	0.626	0.679	0.723
15	0.446	0.521	0.604	0.654	0.700
16	0.429	0.503	0.582	0.635	0.679
17	0.414	0.485	0.566	0.615	0.662
18	0.401	0.472	0.550	0.600	0.643
19	0.391	0.460	0.535	0.584	0.628
20	0.380	0.447	0.520	0.570	0.612
21	0.370	0.435	0.508	0.556	0.599
22	0.361	0.425	0.496	0.544	0.586
23	0.353	0.415	0.486	0.532	0.573
24	0.344	0.406	0.476	0.521	0.562
25	0.337	0.398	0.466	0.511	0.551
26	0.331	0.390	0.457	0.501	0.541
27	0.324	0.382	0.448	0.491	0.531
28	0.317	0.375	0.440	0.483	0.522
29	0.312	0.368	0.433	0.475	0.513
30	0.306	0.362	0.425	0.467	0.504

Rule: If the *calculated value* is *equal to* or *greater than* the *critical value* then the results are *significant at the 5% level of probability*.

d) Write a **statement of significance** (delete some parts);

"The calculated value of Rho is **0.851**. This is **more than** the critical value of **0.446** (when $p < 0.05$). Therefore this result **is significant**. There is a **less than** 5% probability that the results are due to chance."

e) Does this mean the study was significant? Explain in terms of the context in the question.

The results tell us that there is a strong, positive correlation between self-ratings of aggression and the number of siblings a person has. As one variable increases, so does the other.
