

Islamic Analytic Theology

Book Review Guidelines

In agreeing to write a book review specifically for Islamic Analytic Theology (IAT), a reviewer retains the copyright to the review and gives IAT the permission to reproduce, reformat and re-edit **but not alter** the review contents.

IAT has no specific set of rules for reviewers/contributors and there is no peer review process so they should feel free to develop their reviews as they think best. However, there are some considerations or broad constraints that are worth bearing in mind:

- Reviews should not exceed 2000 words but should not fall below 500 words either. Of course, if the book merits a longer treatment, it is understandable if the word count exceeds 2000.
- Reviews should be typed in Times New Roman font, size 12 on a word document or any other suitable document format (e.g. google doc, open text). There is no requirement for any diacritics that are standard in for example Middle Eastern Studies (e.g. ḍ for the Arabic letter ض).
- Reviews should helpfully begin with a brief paragraph or two giving an overall characterization or impression of the book for the reader.
- The review should offer an overview of the chapters of the book as a way for the reader to gain an overall impression and summary of the contents.
- The review ought to evaluate at least one key aspect of the book significant for the field or of wider academic interest.
- Any negative evaluations must be justified through an explanation.
- Before the review commences, a bibliographical entry for the book must be stated sequenced as follows: author (and/or editor), title, publisher, date, number of pages, price (paperback if available, otherwise hardback), ISBN, e.g.

Jc Beall, *The Contradictory Christ*, Oxford University Press, 2021, 208 pp., £45.00 (hbk), ISBN 9780198852360.

- Below the bibliographical entry, reviewers must provide their name and institutional affiliation as they would like them to appear.

References:

References should be inside the body of the text via brackets and not footnotes/endnotes with a full bibliography provided in a list at the end of the review. The in-text bracket citation will be sequenced as: surname, year, volume number and page number or relevant section or chapter, e.g. (Beall, 2021: 1-35) or (Rea, 2020: 1:1-16). Website citations will be Surname followed by date only.

The bibliography list will then be sequenced as follows:

If it is a book: Surname, first name, date of publication, title, place of publication, publisher, e.g.

Beall, Jc. 2021. *The Contradictory Christ*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rea, Michael. 2020. *Essays in Analytic Theology: Volume 1*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

If it is a journal article: Surname, first name, date of publication, title, journal + volume number, page numbers, e.g.

Macdonald, Paul. 2015. 'Analytic Theology: A Summary, Evaluation and Defense'. *Modern Theology* 30: 32-65.

If it is an essay/chapter in an edited volume: Surname, first name, date of publication, title, editors, title of book, page numbers, place of publication, publishers:

Rea, Michael. 2007. 'Realism in Theology and Metaphysics'. In C. Cunningham, and P. Candler (eds.), *Belief and Metaphysics*, 323-44. London: SCM Press.

If it is an online post: Surname, first name, date of publication, title, and date accessed, e.g.

Nordby, Stephanie Nicole. 2018. 'Analytic Theology and Worship by Joshua Cockayne'. In <https://blogos.wp.st-andrews.ac.uk/2018/03/05/analytic-theology-and-worship-by-joshua-cockayne/>. Accessed January 2021.

The above considerations are more for standardisation, quality expectation and objectives of IAT.

All reviews are submitted to the email address info@islamicanalytictheology.org.

IAT Administration
info@islamicanalytictheology.org