SULLIVAN MEHEULA LEE LLLP

TERRENCE M. LEE (2739)
BRETT R. TOBIN (9490)
Pacific Guardian Center, Makai Tower
733 Bishop Street, Suite 2900
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
Tel. No. (808) 599-9555

Fax No. (808) 533-2467

Email: <u>lee@smlhawaii.com</u>; <u>tobin@smlhawaii.com</u>

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff HANAPOHAKU LLC

Electronically Filed FIRST CIRCUIT 1CC191000057 15-OCT-2020 01:51 PM Dkt. 158 MEO

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

STATE OF HAWAI'I

SAVE SHARKS COVE ALLIANCE, MĀLAMA PŪPŪKEA-WAIMEA, HAWAI'I'S THOUSAND FRIENDS, LARRY McELHENY, JOHN THIELST, CORA SANCHEZ, and SURFRIDER FOUNDATION,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND PERMITTING OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; HANAPOHAKU LLC; DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Civil No. 19-1-0057-01 (JHA) (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF HANAPOHAKU LLC'S OPPOSITION TO AMICI CURIAE CONSERVATION COUNCIL FOR HAWAI'I; FRIENDS OF LANA'I; KAHEA: THE HAWAIIAN-ENVIRONMENTAL ALLIANCE; KEEP THE NORTH SHORE COUNTRY; LIFE OF THE LAND; MĀLAMA KAKANILUA; MAUI TOMORROW FOUNDATION; SIERRA CLUB OF HAWAI'I; WEST MAUI PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION; HERMINA MORITA; and CAROL WILCOX'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS' JOINT RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, FILED OCTOBER 13, 2020; CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Non-Hearing Motion

Hearing on Counterclaim Defendants'

Motion:

Date: October 28, 2020

Time: 10:15 a.m.

Judge: Honorable James H. Ashford

Trial Date: February 22, 2021

DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF HANAPOHAKU LLC'S OPPOSITION TO AMICI CURIAE CONSERVATION COUNCIL FOR HAWAI'I; FRIENDS OF LANA'I; KAHEA: THE HAWAIIAN-ENVIRONMENTAL ALLIANCE; KEEP THE NORTH SHORE COUNTRY; LIFE OF THE LAND; MĀLAMA KAKANILUA; MAUI TOMORROW FOUNDATION; SIERRA CLUB OF HAWAI'I; WEST MAUI PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION; HERMINA MORITA; and CAROL WILCOX'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS' JOINT RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, FILED OCTOBER 13, 2020

The proposed amicus brief is both improper and irrelevant and, as such, the Motion for Leave to submit it should be denied in its entirety.

I. DISCUSSION

The sole authority Amici Curiae Conservation Council for Hawai'i; Friends of Lana'i; Kahea: The Hawaiian-Environmental Alliance; Keep the North Shore Country; Life of the Land; Mālama Kakanilua; Maui Tomorrow Foundation; Sierra Club of Hawai'i; West Maui Preservation Association; Hermina Morita; and Carol Wilcox (collectively "Amici") cite in support of their Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief is Rule 7 of the Hawai'i Rules of Civil Procedure. But Rule 7, unlike Rule 28(g) of the Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure ("HRAP"), does not contemplate or even mention the filing of amicus briefs in Circuit Court proceedings. And even if one were to attempt to follow the requirements of the HRAP provision, this Motion would be improper as there is insufficient time to set forth a briefing schedule given that Counterclaimant Hanapohaku's opposition brief to the underlying motion is due in less than a week and the hearing is just a week after that. The reason for the distinction

between appellate and trial court proceedings is because appellate rulings set legal precedents that could conceivably affect non-parties. There is no such danger in this proceeding that would justify the intervention of a non-party. In other words, there is a reason amicus briefs are contemplated in the appellate rules, but not in the rules before the trial court. If this case ends up on appeal, then the Amici can seek leave to participate at that stage. Both as a matter of law and as a matter of practicality, there is no justification for allowing their intervention here.

To make matters worse, the proposed amicus brief does not even address the issues raised by Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. In direct response to comments from this Court, Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion is focused on three questions relating to the *Noerr-Pennington* Doctrine: (1) whether it applies to statutory claims or also to common law claims; (2) whether Plaintiffs' lawsuit constitutes sham litigation to trigger an exception to the doctrine; and (3) whether the doctrine is a defense to liability or an immunity from suit. Curiously, the proposed amicus brief does not even mention the *Noerr-Pennington* Doctrine a single time. Instead, the amicus brief is focused on whether or not Hanapohaku's counterclaims constitute a "SLAPP" lawsuit. But this Court already ruled that the counterclaims were not a SLAPP lawsuit under Hawaii's Anti-SLAPP statute and Plaintiffs do not renew their argument on that issue here. If the purpose of an amicus brief is to help give guidance to the Court on an issue that has been raised, the proposed brief here does not remotely meet that standard and, instead, serves only to confuse matters.

Additionally, it should be noted that there are already six Counterclaim Defendants in this action, being represented by no fewer than eight highly skilled attorneys. Those parties and their counsel are more than capable of raising all the pertinent arguments on the issues at hand without needing to be aided by amicus briefs. Indeed, as the briefing has demonstrated, the Counterclaim

Defendants have a far better handle on the facts and law of the present case than the Amici. As

such, there is even less justification for taking the unusual, if not unprecedented, action of

allowing amicus briefs in this Circuit Court proceeding.

Given that there is no support in the Hawai'i Rules of Civil Procedure for allowing an

amicus brief in a Circuit Court case, and in light of the fact that the proposed brief does not even

address the issues raised by Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion, the Amici's request should be denied

and the Court should not consider the proposed amicus brief in any respect.

II. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Counterclaimant Hanapohaku respectfully requests that the

Motion be denied in its entirety.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, October 15, 2020.

/s/ Brett R. Tobin

TERRENCE M. LEE

BRETT R. TOBIN

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff

HANAPOHAKU LLC

4

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

STATE OF HAWAI'I

SAVE SHARKS COVE ALLIANCE,
MĀLAMA PŪPŪKEA-WAIMEA,
HAWAI'I'S THOUSAND FRIENDS,
LARRY McELHENY, JOHN THIELST,
CORA SANCHEZ, and SURFRIDER
FOUNDATION.

Civil No. 19-1-0057-01 (JHA) (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Trial Date: Not set

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND PERMITTING OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU; HANAPOHAKU LLC; DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was duly served upon the following on the date indicated below and by the method indicated:

PAMELA W. BUNN, ESQ.	VIA HAND DELIVERY
ERIKA L. AMATORE, ESQ.	
Dentons US LLP	X JEFS/NOTICE OF
1001 Bishop Street, Suite 1800	ELECTRONIC FILING
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813-3689	
	VIA U.S. MAIL,
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant	POSTAGE PREPAID
MĀLAMA PŪPŪKEA-WAIMEA	1 OSTITOL TREITIE

MARGARET DUNHAM WILLE, ESQ. TIMOTHY VANDEVEER, ESQ. P.O. Box 6398 Kamuela, Hawai'i 96743 Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants SAVE SHARKS COVE ALLIANCE, JOHN THIELST, CORA SANCHEZ, and Plaintiff SURFRIDER FOUNDATION	X JEFS/NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING VIA U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID
PAUL S. AOKI, ESQ. Acting Corporation Counsel BRAD T. SAITO, ESQ. MELE N. COLMAN, ESQ. Deputies Corporation Counsel City and County of Honolulu 530 South King Street, Room 110 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813	VIA HAND DELIVERY X JEFS/NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING VIA U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID
Attorneys for Defendants City and County of Honolulu; City Council of the City and County of Honolulu; Department of Planning and Permitting of the City and County of Honolulu	
GENE K. LAU, ESQ. 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2828 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Attorney for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant HAWAII'S THOUSAND FRIENDS	VIA HAND DELIVERY X JEFS/NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING VIA U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID
MICHELE-LYNN E. LUKE, ESQ. BRADFORD K. CHUN, ESQ. Kessner Umebayashi Bain & Matsunaga 220 South King Street, Suite 1900 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813 Attorney for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant LARRY McELHENY	VIA HAND DELIVERY X JEFS/NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING VIA U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID

WADE J. KATANO, ESQ. Law Offices of Leslie R. Kop 1100 Ward Avenue, Suite 500 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96814		VIA HAND DELIVERY X JEFS/NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING	
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Def JOHN THIELST	endant	VIA U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID	
DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, October 15, 2020.			
/s/ Brett R. Tobin TERRENCE M. LEE BRETT R. TOBIN			
	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plainti HANAPOHAKU LLC		

Save Sharks Cove Alliance, et al. v. City and County of Honolulu, et al.; Civil No. 19-1-0057-01 (JHA); CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

Electronically Filed FIRST CIRCUIT 1CC191000057 15-OCT-2020 01:51 PM Dkt. 159 NEF

An electronic filing was submitted in Case Number 1CC191000057. You may review the filing through the Judiciary Electronic Filing System. Please monitor your email for future notifications.

Case ID: 1CC191000057

Title: SAVE SHARKS COVE ALLIANCE VS C & C OF HONOLULU

Filing Date / Time: THURSDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2020 01:51:11 PM

Filing Parties: Brett Tobin

Case Type: Circuit Court Civil

Lead Document(s):

Supporting Document(s): 158-Memorandum in Opposition

Document Name: 158-DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF HANAPOHAKU LLC'S OPPOSITION TO AMICI CURIAE

CONSERVATION COUNCIL FOR HAWAII; ET AL.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS' JOINT RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE

PLEADINGS, FILED OCTOBER 13, 2020; CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

If the filing noted above includes a document, this Notice of Electronic Filing is service of the document under the Hawai'i Electronic Filing and Service Rules.

This notification is being electronically mailed to:

Ryan D Hurley (Ryan@RDHlawHI.com)

Jacquelynn Kendra Mahina Levien (jackie@bnsklaw.com)

Thomas Mineo Otake (thomas@otakelaw.com)

Mark S. Davis (mdavis@davislevin.com)

Wade Jiro Katano (wkatano@staffcounsel808.com)

Bradford K. Chun (bchun@kdubm.com)

Michele-Lynn E. Luke (mluke@kdubm.com)

Recorded Proceeding 1st Circuit (CTAVAppeals.1cc@courts.hawaii.gov)

Timothy Alden Vandeveer (tim@mwlawhawaii.com)

Melenaniikeawak Coleman (mele.coleman@honolulu.gov)

Brett Richard Tobin (tobin@smlhawaii.com)

Gene K. Lau (glau@hamlaw.net)

Pamela W. Bunn (Pam.Bunn@dentons.com)

Erika L. Amatore (erika.amatore@dentons.com)

Brad Tamio Saito (bsaito@honolulu.gov)

First Circuit Court 10th Division (10thdivision.1cc@courts.hawaii.gov)

Terrence M. Lee (lee@smlhawaii.com)

Margaret Dunham Wille (mw@mwlawhawaii.com)

The following parties need to be conventionally served:

ALL PARTIES-RE DOCKET ONLY-NOT PARTY RE SERVICE REQUIREMENT