THE SHADE TREE

A BI-MONTHLY BULLETIN DEVOTED TO NEW JERSEY'S SHADE TREES

Volume 97 - September - October 2024 - Issue 9 & 10

This Issue Presents...

Save the Date: NJ Shade Tree Federation 99th Annual Conference Oct 17-18

Calendar of Events 2024

Anticipating the Next Forest: Ecology and Management for Sustaining Forests

What's to Like about Lichens?

Trees Reveal Climate Surprise – Bark Removes Methane from the Atmosphere

NJ SHADE TREE FEDERATION 99TH ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN ATLANTIC CITY OCTOBER 17-18, 2024

Location: Harrah's Resort Atlantic City, 777 Harrah's Blvd, Atlantic

City, NJ 08401. Waterfront Conference Center, Second

Floor, Avalon Ballrooms.

Date: Thursday, October 17 & Friday, October 18, 2024

TO REGISTER AS A WALK-IN: Upon arrival at 8:00 AM, report to the NJ Shade Tree Federation 99th Annual Conference Walk-In Registration Desk. Be prepared to pay upon arrival with: Credit Card, Cash, Check, or provide a copy of your Authorized Purchase Order/ Voucher.

WALK-IN REGISTRATION RATES:

Complete, (Both Thursday and Friday): \$450 OR Single-Day (Thursday or Friday): \$275

Registration is required. No admission without a registration badge. Preregistered persons: Your registration badge will be waiting for you starting at 8:00am at the conference registration desk.

NJUCF CORE Training has SOLD OUT: The Fall 2024 one day, in person course scheduled for Thursday Oct 17th, 8:30 AM-4:30 PM at the NJ Shade Tree Federation 99th Annual Conference has reached classroom capacity. Walk-Ins requesting to attend NJUCF CORE Training will be wait-listed. For information on the NJUCF's next CORE Training please visit: https://urbanforestry.rutgers.edu/njucfce/

The Conference Hotel, Harrah's Resort "NJ Shade Tree Federation Room Block" has SOLD OUT: Persons planning to book hotel rooms upon arrival, be prepared to pay the Harrah's Resort going room rates & full price resort fees for your overnight accommodations.

BULLETIN OF THE NEW JERSEY SHADE TREE FEDERATION

Executive Director: Richard S. Wolowicz
Editor & Outreach Coordinator: Emily Farschon
Blake Hall, 93 Lipman Drive, New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Telephone: (732) 246-3210 Fax: (732) 640-5289 www.njstf.org e-mail: trees@nistf.org ISSN # 0037-3133

CALENDAR OF EVENTS 2024

October 17-18 NJ Shade Tree Federation 99th Annual Conference,

Harrah's Atlantic City, NJ

December 11th NJSTF Tree Talk Zoom, 7:00-8:30pm

ANTICIPATING THE NEXT FOREST: ECOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT FOR SUSTAINING FORESTS

By Peter Smallidge and Gary Gof

Small Farms Quarterly, https://smallfarms.cornell.edu/, Oct 3, 2022

Consider a long-term time frame to think about private forests specifically and broadly; how they used to look, how they have changed, and visualize what future private forests will provide to future owners.

Periodically, maple producers and woodlot owners should pause and consider their woods, both their sugarbush and their other woodlands. Consider a long-term time frame to think about private forests specifically and broadly; how they used to look, how they have changed, and visualize what future private forests will provide to future owners.

For most of our private woodlands, the soil will continue to grow plants. The question though is whether those future plants will provide the variety of benefits that the owners desire, including products for sale and ecological services and values that forest owners provide to society. The process of forest regeneration, if and how it happens, will determine what we can anticipate in the future forest.

Several recent studies, and some not so recent, have called attention to the problem within some forests to regenerate desired species to provide the next forest. To highlight some recent information: (1) A study in which we participated surveyed foresters about their observations from the most recent stand (a working unit of the forest) they visited that should be capable of regenerating. These foresters reported moderate or successful regeneration in only 30% of those stands; a 70% failure rate. Successful regeneration was defined as desirable species at least 5 feet in height. (2) A study by The Nature Conservancy, based on a review of US Forest Service data, used a regeneration index and found that timber species were regenerating on 43% of permanent monitoring plots. (3) Dr. Ralph Nyland reported in a ForestConnect webinar in February 2009 that the overwhelming predominance of exploitive cutting has often led to failed

regeneration or significant complications with the regeneration process. These three independent assessments all concluded that northeastern hardwood forests are facing a concerning future. Other barriers, such as invasive earthworms and soil acidity further complicate forest regeneration in some locations.

A bit of context and background

In a simplistic way, we can think of the forest as two layers; the upper canopy and the understory. In forests less than about 40 to 50 years of age, we seldom worry about understory layer of desired species of seedlings, or advanced regeneration, because the forests have a long-time horizon. At about 75-100 years old however, the anticipation for regeneration should heighten because some tree species may be approaching the end of their life cycle and/or sawtimber species maybe financially mature. At this point in a stand's development any natural or human-induced disturbance of the upper canopy will provide sunlight to the plants in or on the forest floor and favor their expansion and abundance. An ice storm or prolonged insect defoliation, for example, might not fully destroy the overstory, but could provide sunlight to establish a dominating understory. A dominating understory is good if it has a mixture of desired quality seedlings. Unless the understory is changed, the species present at the time of a canopy disturbing event will most likely become the next forest. Generally, "what you see is what you get."

Trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants reproduce by distributing propagules such as seeds or spores, or by vegetative propagation (sprouts) from their roots or stems. Almost all plant species have the ability to distribute propagules, relatively fewer will reproduce through vegetative methods. Successful reproduction of a plant requires the propagule or vegetative structure plus an adequate environment for the new plant to become established and survive long enough to reproduce itself.

As woodlot owners, and maple producers, trees are a significant part of our interest in the woods. As the forest grows we can harvest the volume of some trees that would otherwise die, and we may eventually plan for the re-establishment of large sections of our forest. Timber harvests and thinning in the sugarbush and woods must be sustainable to ensure continued flow of products and forest services. Regeneration of new young-aged seedlings is the first step in sustainability. Failure to ensure appropriate numbers (often thousands of stems per acre), sizes and growth of desired species may disappoint some forest owners and may erode the confidence of society in our capacity for responsible and sustainable forestry. Regeneration thus requires that new trees become established, the number of stems per acre is appropriate, those new trees represent the desired mixture of species, and stems have a quality that will provide for future desired products.

Tree regeneration, our focus here, requires the coincidence of (i) the availability of propagules or seeds, (ii) the receptivity of the site (the seed bed) for



the seedling to become established, and (iii) adequate growing conditions at the site for the seedlings to grow toward maturity. If the timing or quality of one of these three factors doesn't align, regeneration typically fails. Trees may require years to become sexually mature and that owners may need to conduct specific management activities to create an appropriate seed bed and growing conditions. Thus, regeneration is a process through time.

As a forest approaches maturity, there are tipping points beyond which successful regeneration become less assured and likely more costly. If a seed source is absent due to exploitive or selective logging, such as diameter limit cutting or high-grading, regeneration of desired species is significantly more difficult. The presence of deer that exceed the carrying capacity of the woods requires some strategy to reduce or remove their impact or regeneration efforts will fail. Note that the actual number of deer per square mile is less or unimportant, rather it is the abundance of deer relative to the forage base and carrying capacity. Finally, the selective browsing by deer can eliminate the desired species (deer and humans both seem to prefer the same species) and promote an understory of undesired trees and shrubs that inhibit trees even if deer are removed and which can be difficult to remove.

Why do we care about tree regeneration?

Trees define the forest. Given pressures in the forest (see below), doing nothing may lead to disappointing outcomes. Some owners started a forest from scratch in a former pasture, and some owners assumed responsibility for the trees present when they became the owner. For the tenure of most owners, they will tend, or manage, and utilize one age-group of trees on any given acre. As trees are utilized, or the forest is otherwise disturbed, resources become available for new trees and plants to establish. Owners will choose whether they will invest or not in helping to ensure that the next forest provides future owners with a comparable suite of opportunities. Woodlot owners from the full spectrum of interests will benefit from attention to regeneration of diverse and healthy forests.

When forest trees do not regenerate, through short and long-time frames, the quality of the forest, and the opportunities it offers the owner, may decline. If we first consider the human benefits associated with trees, the lack of regeneration may change aesthetic qualities, habitat for hunting, and over a multi-decade time frame the lack of forest products that provide revenue and local jobs. The future success of timber production, maple syrup production, wildlife mast trees for hunters, and fence posts depends on the level of success of the regeneration process.

Many species of wildlife benefit from successful regeneration. In a mature forest, the presence of desirable forest tree seedlings is important to maintain micro-environmental conditions. These mature forest seedlings also provide

nutritious browse, nesting habitat, and escape cover. Other wildlife species depend on different environmental conditions provided by successful regeneration in early successional habitats. An important consideration for wildlife is that the unique growth form and fruit production of various plant species makes them more or less suitable for various wildlife species. Because of deer pressure (more on this topic later), some unpalatable plant species can dominate and the habitat can become unsuitable for some desired wildlife.

What limits forest tree regeneration? Why is there a problem?

Trees are long-lived and some forest owners may call a forest home for multiple decades and legitimately not become involved in the process of forest regeneration. In a section of woods, barring any manipulations to the canopy or seed bed, the number of trees will decline through time. The remaining trees are the same stems, though larger, as when these owners started. In many ownerships, anytime an opening is made in the forest canopy and the forest floor has a prolonged exposure to sunlight, a new plant may become established. But, is it the plant that will serve the needs and desires of the owners, or one that might interfere? In this case, numbers matter and a few desired or undesired stems don't matter much, though invasive plants can achieve seed output of hundreds of thousands per plant per year.

Recall the earlier discussion about the necessary timing and overlap for propagules, seed bed, and seedling establishment and growth. Any constriction on any of these three conditions can limit successful regeneration. Some constrictions or barriers are common but infrequent or of short duration. Also, if the site provides only limited soil (e.g., droughty, overly acidic, poorly drained) or light resources, typically only a few plant species have adaptations to survive in those conditions. The list of potential barriers to regeneration is immense. Barriers include chronic and episodic events such as late frosts that damage tree flowers, a peak in the woodland vole population that eats the seeds, an overly wet spring and widespread damping-off fungus, an outbreak of forest tent caterpillars that reduces tree energy reserves, and more. However, there are three primary factors that restrict desirable regeneration when they occur as sustained and widespread phenomena; other factors may develop as our environment changes.

First, most woodlands lack sufficient browse to support the resident deer herd. In these cases, deer disproportionately consume desired seedlings. Deer limit the adequacy of the seedling's environment to grow and develop. Deer consume palatable seedling species, usually the most desirable for human needs, and avoid the less palatable species. This selective pressure results in a reduction in species diversity and a shift in the mixture of species. Other than beech and in some circumstances black cherry, deer will preferentially browse the seedlings of all our upper canopy tree species (e.g., oaks, maples, cherry, ash, basswood, pine, black locust, walnut). Visualize this process through time; each year the



At Doggett, we understand the importance of creating a healthy forest floor in urban environments to maintain thriving landscapes. Our commitment lies in developing top-quality products specifically designed for tree care professionals, ensuring the best possible formulations for trees and soils.

To explore our comprehensive range of offerings, please visit our website.

(800) 448-1862 | doggettcorp.com

seedlings of desirable upper canopy trees are consumed and less desired species (e.g., multiflora rose, hophornbeam, striped maple, autumn olive, American beech) are retained. Consequently, any sunlight favors the growth of the less desired species. The impacts of deer on forest regeneration can be limited by controlling the number of deer or restricting the access of deer to desirable seedlings.

Recreational hunting is often suggested as a solution to the impact of deer. Most deer populations are sufficiently abundant that 40% to 60% of the herd must die (e.g., hunting, cars, disease, etc.) for the population to stabilize. Population reduction would require even greater mortality. A few isolated examples exist where hunting has sufficiently coordinated and regulated to allow for forest regeneration. However, most recreational hunting is insufficient to reduce deer impact. Current demographic trends of hunters and parcelization of rural lands suggest the inefficiency of hunting will accelerate not reverse. Most land managers and owners need a near-term solution, so discussions of releasing wolves and changing state and federal policy are entertaining but unrealistic for current management needs.

Second, most tree species require a specific quality and quantity of light for the seeds to germinate and the seedlings to develop. This is known as the shade tolerance of that species. Shade originating near the ground limits the suitability of the seed bed and the adequacy of the seedling's environment. Dense understories of shrubs, grasses and ferns (often what the deer leave behind) will absorb the energy-rich red wavelengths and allow predominately the lower quality far-red wave lengths to pass. This lower quality and quantity of light prevents some seeds from germinating and some species from surviving. Understories of shrubs, subcanopy trees, grasses, sedges and ferns that intercept light and inhibit tree regeneration are collectively called interfering vegetation. Less desired species that commonly interfere with desirable hardwoods include American beech, striped maple, hophornbeam, ferns, grasses, sedges, and in some cases raspberry. Note that these species are not inherently bad. Rather, they have an important ecological role in the forest, but their avoidance by deer results in an imbalance among species. In some areas, non-native shrubs can play a similar role, species such as multiflora rose, bush honeysuckle, European buckthorn, glossy buckthorn, autumn olive, and Japanese barberry. In efforts to establish desired species of seedlings or wildflowers, dense and abundant understories of interfering vegetation need to be reduced to prevent them from reducing light quality and quantity.

Finally, because tree regeneration depends primarily on seeds, parent trees of appropriate genetic quality need to be present or have been recently present. Often, however, as described by Dr. Nyland, exploitive cutting removes the best quality and largest trees and shifts the potential for seed production to fewer species of poorer quality and capacity to produce seed. Exploitive cutting, also known as high-grading, has the potential to eliminate some species from a stand and thus

prevents that species from providing propagules and effectively regenerating. In some circumstances, such as a properly executed clearcut, seed and propagules are known to be in the soil seed bank or available from adjacent trees and seedlings establish successfully after the overstory is removed. Exploitive cutting is distinct from clearcutting; the high-grading activity will typically limit owner options for regeneration. When properly applied, clearcutting will ensure that seeds or propagules are available to result in adequate numbers of seedlings per acre to regenerate the harvested area. Owners who have acquired a high-graded forest will need to find good technical assistance to provide for desirable tree regeneration.

Recommendations

Deer – recreational hunting is a time honored and important cultural practice. In previous decades and in some specific areas hunting pressure is sufficient to truly impact deer abundance. However, in most areas recreational hunting is insufficient. Owners and managers will need to find a way to exclude deer. Cornell's Arnot Forest has demonstrated the effectiveness of slash walls as a novel and cost-effective exclusion technique (www.slashwall.info) Other deer exclusion techniques are available, and all have cost and success attributes that dictate which is the best for a given situation. The presence of deer impact will often result in challenges from interfering vegetation.

Interfering vegetation – in most situations the presence of interfering vegetation is a result of prolonged and extensive deer impacts. It is futile to manage the vegetation without also managing the deer, although sequence might vary with the circumstances. Similarly reducing deer impact, through effective hunting or exclusion, typically requires disturbance of the interfering vegetation to reallocate sunlight to desired species. Numerous resources are available on methods to control interfering vegetation and forest vegetation management (www.youtube.com/ForestConnect).

Exploitive harvesting – exploitive harvesting, thoroughly applied, can take decades to correct. If possible, avoid this activity by being informed, asking questions and working with foresters and loggers who have a commitment to sustaining forest systems. That commitment may require that your revenue is less in the short run. If you inherit an exploited woodland, you will need to work through a process of allocating sufficient sunlight to desired species (even of poor growth form). At the same time, limit the impacts of deer and interfering vegetation.

Unfortunately, these barriers (and maybe others) occur on many privately owned woods in the Northeast and Midwest. Participate in your local forest owner or maple producer association woodland tours to learn how others are solving the process of regeneration. In some cases, you may need to encourage them to be deliberate in their solutions rather than just "hope" for success.

WHAT'S TO LIKE ABOUT LICHENS?

By Mary Jane Busch, PSU James C. Finley Center for Private Forests, May 4, 2024

If you have crusty, scaly, blue-green patches on your trees, should you be thankful or concerned about their presence? If you consider this fungal-algal organism unsightly, should you remove it?

Those colorful splotches are lichens (pronounced "lie-kens"). These organisms are thallophytes, plantlike forms that lack true roots, stems, or leaves. The body is called a thallus and is two species living together in a symbiotic relationship. The major partner (80%), a fungus, determines its classification as a fungus. The secondary partner is either an alga or cyanobacteria (formerly called blue-green alga).

Because each of the partners benefits in the arrangement, the specific symbiotic term is mutualism. In the case of lichens, the relationship is obligate—neither the fungus nor the alga could survive without the other. The fungus cannot make its own food, so the alga photosynthesizes food for its partner. In return, the fungus provides protective housing and support for the alga.

Rhizines of the fungus attach the lichen to the outer surface of the tree, but these "roots" do not take nutrients from the tree or harm their host. As an epiphyte, also known as an "air plant," the fungal outer coat absorbs moisture from rain, dew, or fog and collects minerals from the air. These photosynthetic ingredients are then transported to the alga to make food using sunlight.

There are at least 18,000 species of lichens, and they are found on every continent, including Antarctica. Some species have a narrow habitat range while others can colonize a variety of substrates. They can be found on tree bark, dead wood, rock, rusty metal, plastic, cloth, glass, bare soil—even sand dunes—just about any surface on which a fungus can survive. Colors can range from bright red to yellow, gray, green, blue, brown, or black.

In the northern tundra, lichens (a.k.a. reindeer moss or caribou moss) begin many food chains and are eaten by invertebrates and vertebrates, including lemmings, voles, mice, marmots, squirrels, polar bears, musk oxen, elk, and caribou. As much as 25% of the diet of caribou can be provided by these organisms. Indigenous peoples harvest and store the crop for winter feed for their reindeer (domesticated caribou) herds.

Hundreds of species of lichens colonize trees. The organisms live on healthy as well as unhealthy trees. Larger colonies are often found on stressed or older trees, and, because of this, lichens are often blamed for the tree's decline. However, a "sick" tree generally loses foliage, and this thinning of the tree's leaves allows more sunlight to reach the trunk. The algae is then able to make more food, and the lichen grows and becomes more noticeable. By this explanation, the cause-and-effect presence of lichens causing tree deterioration is debunked.

Each lichen species has its specific requirements. On tree trunks, pH is often a limiting factor; all species have an optimum range of bark acidity. As bark becomes less acidic with age, lichens move onto the older trunks with a "sweeter"



TIMMERMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY

3554 Route 22 West PO BOX 71

Whitehouse, NJ 08888

www.timmermanequipment.com

Proud Member and Sponsor of the New Jersey Shade Tree Federation







FORESTRY TRUCKS





Tub & Horizontal GRINDERS



SALES PARTS SERVICE (908) 534-4126 (908) 534-2320 FAX

WHAT'S TO LIKE ABOUT LICHENS?

Continued from page 74

habitat. Ash trees have a very high alkalinity and are hosts to over 500 different species of lichens. On the other end of the scale, acid rain lowers the pH and makes a tree's trunk less suitable for lichens.

Although found around the world, lichens are not found where the air contains a lot of ozone, sulfur dioxide, fluoride, ammonia, or acid rain. Most lichens are very sensitive to air pollutants and are one of the first organisms to die when pollution increases.

In the 1860s, scientists discovered lichens thriving in areas with clean air but declining in areas with dirty air. Like canaries in the coal mine, various species have been used to monitor air quality. Because lichens have no protective epidermis, they imbibe both beneficial and detrimental airborne elements. Their deterioration can indicate sulfur dioxide emissions from industrial operations and drifting ammonia and nitrates from agricultural activities. Lichens also accumulate mercury from power plant emissions, lead and zinc from mining ore smelters, and radioactive metals. Elemental analysis of lichens can then be used to measure these health-impacting discharges.

What has been described as a lichen zone pattern occurs in urban areas and near industrial complexes. Where there are no lichens, there is poor air quality. Since the enactment of the Clean Air Act, sulfur dioxide levels fell from the 1970s to the 1990s, and lichens have been re-established in areas where they had perished.

Lichen presence on a tree trunk can be a double-edged sword. The fungal body can act as a natural sunscreen, protect tree trunks from physical damage, and seal off the entrance for pathogens into the bark. On the other hand, the cloak retains moisture and creates a humid microenvironment on tree bark. This additional moisture can mitigate drought periods for the host and lichen, but it can also create habitat for negative bacterial and fungal growth which could be harmful to the tree. However, dendrologists agree that removing lichens from a tree is destructive as it damages the bark and creates entryways for diseases and pathogens.

Lichens get a thumbs up as natural sentinels for the air that we breathe. Their number and diversity are a bioindicator of good or poor air quality. If you have lichens on your trees, your air quality is probably very good. You must also remember that lichens can be a red flag indicating poor tree health, not caused by them, but caused by other factors. As Scott Weikert, Penn State Extension Educator, says, "If you see a tree that appears to be in poor health or dying, don't blame the lichens. The only thing they are killing is time."

TREES REVEAL CLIMATE SURPRISE – BARK REMOVES METHANE FROM THE ATMOSPHERE

University of Birmingham via Eurekalert.org, July 24, 2024

Tree bark surfaces play an important role in removing methane gas from the atmosphere, according to a study published today (24 July) in Nature.

While trees have long been known to benefit climate by removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, this new research reveals a surprising additional climate benefit. Microbes hidden within tree bark can absorb methane – a powerful greenhouse gas – from the atmosphere.

An international team of researchers led by the University of Birmingham has shown for the first time that microbes living in bark or in the wood itself are removing atmospheric methane on a scale equal to or above that of soil. They calculate that this newly discovered process makes trees 10 per cent more beneficial for climate overall than previously thought.

Methane is responsible for around 30 per cent of global warming since preindustrial times and emissions are currently rising faster than at any point since records began in the 1980s.

Although most methane is removed by processes in the atmosphere, soils are full of bacteria that absorb the gas and break it down for use as energy. Soil had been thought of as the only terrestrial sink for methane, but the researchers now show that trees may be as important, perhaps more so.

Lead researcher on the study, Professor Vincent Gauci of the University of Birmingham, said: "The main ways in which we consider the contribution of trees to the environment is through absorbing carbon dioxide through photosynthesis, and storing it as carbon. These results, however, show a remarkable new way in which trees provide a vital climate service.

"The Global Methane Pledge, launched in 2021 at the COP26 climate change summit aims to cut methane emissions by 30 per cent by the end of the decade. Our results suggest that planting more trees, and reducing deforestation surely must be important parts of any approach towards this goal."

In the study, the researchers investigated upland tropical, temperate and boreal forest trees. Specifically, they took measurements spanning tropical forests in the Amazon and Panama; temperate broadleaf trees in Wytham Woods, in Oxfordshire, UK; and boreal coniferous forest in Sweden. The methane absorption was strongest in the tropical forests, probably because microbes thrive in the warm wet conditions found there. On average the newly discovered methane absorption adds around 10% to the climate benefit that temperate and tropical trees provide.

By studying methane exchange between the atmosphere and the tree bark at multiple heights, they were able to show that while at soil level the trees were likely to emit a small amount of methane, from a couple of metres up the direction





CONSULTING

Arboricultural & Forestry Services

www.RichViewConsulting.com
Serving Municipal, Utility and Private Clients
Richard S. Wolowicz, LTE # 281, AF

ISA Certified Arborist and Utility Specialist 973-220-6797

Rich@RichViewConsulting.com



TREES REVEAL CLIMATE SURPRISE – BARK REMOVES METHANE FROM THE

ATMOSPHERE

Continued from page 77

of exchange switches and methane from the atmosphere is consumed.

In addition, the team used laser scanning methods to quantify the overall global forest tree bark surface area, with preliminary calculations indicating that the total global contribution of trees is between 24.6-49.9 Tg (millions of tonnes) of methane. This fills a big gap in understanding the global sources and sinks of methane.

The tree shape analysis also shows that if all the bark of all the trees of the world were laid at flat, the area would be equal to the Earth's land surface. "Tree woody surfaces add a third dimension to the way life on Earth interacts with the atmosphere, and this third dimension is teeming with life, and with surprises", said co-author Yadvinder Malhi of the University of Oxford.

Professor Gauci and colleagues at Birmingham are now planning a new research programme to find out if deforestation has led to increased atmospheric methane concentrations. They also aim to understand more about the microbes themselves, the mechanisms used to take up the methane and will investigate if this atmospheric methane removal by trees can be enhanced.

Read the Scientific Study: Gauci at al. (2024). 'Global atmospheric methane uptake by upland tree woody surfaces.' Nature, https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07592-w



New Brunswick, N.J. 08901 **Shade Tree Federation** The New Jersey 93 Lipman Drive **Blake Hall**

New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Permit 50520

Non Profit Organization U.S. POSTAGE



Mercer, and Burlington Counties Monmouth, Ocean, Middlesex, **Fully Insured and Serving**

3x World Champion Tree

Climber Mark Chisholm

Safety Professionals Association (TCIA) -Certified Tree Care

(732) 928-5747

Thoroughly Trained Crews

- Chainsaw Safety Chipper Safety
- Line Clearance Qualified
- Flagger Certification First Aid and CPR

Services Offered

- Line Clearance
 - **Tree Pruning**

Tree Removals

- Hazard Tree Assessments
 - Stump Grinding Consultations

481 Wright-Debow Rd. Aspen-Tree.com Jackson, NJ

- Insect & Disease Control
 - **Tree Fertilization**





