## Re: Fw: AB359 licensing

From: Robyn Young (rgyoungmd.cns@gmail.com)

- To: stella.legarda@att.net
- Cc: georgesarka@aol.com; rlweinmann@yahoo.com; sharonyegiaian@yahoo.com; paularothschild@gmail.com; neuromom@icloud.com; angus.lee.50@gmail.com; louw@att.net; docricketts10@gmail.com; tvwerner1@me.com

Date: Friday, February 5, 2021, 08:57 PM PST

I think that we should have national licensing of physicians rather than state licensing, as the same standards ought to apply throughout the US and we ought to be able to see our patients through TeleHealth regardless of location. Since each state makes money off their state physician licensing however we probably won't ever see that. So if we are to be licensed state by state UR should not be allowed to render an out of state opinion and they should be held medically responsible for the impact of their pronouncements. Their UR contracts only hold them accountable to the insurer and the insurance limitations that have been issued by the insurers.

Robyn

On Tue, Feb 2, 2021 at 9:33 PM Stella Legarda <<u>stella.legarda@att.net</u>> wrote:

Regards, Stella B. Legarda, MD

----- Forwarded Message -----From: Stella Legarda <<u>stellalegarda@gmail.com</u>> To: "<u>stella.legarda@att.net</u>" <<u>stella.legarda@att.net</u>> Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021, 09:30:35 PM PST Subject: AB359 licensing

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml

Regards, Stella