Mitigating Disuse-Atrophy in Older Adults

Protein, Leucine, and Resistance Training — What the Evidence Says

Why Disuse-Atrophy Matters After 40

Muscle loss with age isn't simply a matter of getting older, it's strongly tied to decreased movement and activity, and mass, strength, and power don't decline at the same speed.

Muscle *mass* is lost gradually, on the order of 0.8% per year beyond age 30 [1]. Muscle *strength* is lost considerably faster: about 3% per year on average across adulthood, but this accelerates with age, reaching roughly 15% per decade beyond age 50 and 2.5–4% per year specifically after age 75 [1][20]. Muscle *power,* how quickly muscle can produce force, which depends heavily on fast-twitch (Type II) muscle fibers, declines faster still, up to about 6% per year after age 70 [21]. This matters beyond the numbers: power loss is disproportionately driven by Type II fiber loss, and fast, forceful contractions are exactly what's needed to catch yourself when you stumble. Reviews in this area link declining power specifically to impaired balance and increased fall risk, more so than mass loss alone [21].

Even short periods of reduced activity can meaningfully affect muscle, and the severity depends heavily on how "inactive" is defined. In one study, healthy older adults who reduced their daily steps by about three-quarters (to roughly 1,400 steps per day) for two weeks saw the muscle's post-meal protein-building response drop by about 26% [2], without a measured change in muscle mass over that short period. Full bed rest or immobilization is a more severe model: two weeks of immobilization has been associated with strength losses comparable to roughly two to three years of normal age-related decline [20], and even a matter of days in hospital (for example, around a week following hip surgery) can produce substantial measurable leg-muscle atrophy [20]. These are different severities of disuse, not interchangeable, but all point the same direction: muscle, especially strength and power, responds fast to inactivity, and the older the individual, the harder that capacity generally is to regain [3][4].

Resistance Training: The Cornerstone

Research consistently shows that resistance training (RT) is one of the most effective strategies to counteract disuse-atrophy [3]. It activates muscle-protein synthesis both during and after each session, and older adults can gain meaningful strength and muscle mass through consistent training programs [3]. Protein alone does not grow muscle, resistance training is the required stimulus, and protein supports the repair and growth that training triggers.

What does an effective program actually look like? The general prescription supported by the evidence is: resistance training at least twice a week, using 1–3 sets of roughly 6–12 repetitions per exercise, performed to a genuinely high level of effort (close to, though not necessarily all the way to, muscular failure), with progressive increases in load or difficulty over time [3][20]. Heavy weights aren't mandatory, lower-load resistance training (roughly 30–50% of one-repetition maximum) performed with high effort has been shown in some studies to build strength and muscle to a similar degree as heavier loads (≥80% of 1RM), which may make training more accessible for people with joint limitations or those newer to exercise [3][20].

There's also a distinct, complementary approach worth understanding: high-velocity power training (HVPT), in which a lighter weight is moved as fast and explosively as possible on the lifting phase (as opposed to the slower, controlled tempo used in traditional strength training). A meta-analysis of 19 randomized trials found HVPT produces strength and power gains similar to traditional resistance training overall, with a modest additional edge on balance- and mobility-related measures (such as walking speed and timed up-and-go tests) [21]. The authors of that analysis were candid that this evidence is of low quality and its real-world significance is uncertain, it is a reasonable complement to, not a replacement for, standard strength training [21]. It's worth being precise about what this research covers: "power training" here means moving a weighted resistance quickly through a controlled range of motion — it does not refer to, and this evidence does not test, bodyweight jump or plyometric training, which was specifically excluded from that review [21].

For some situations, articularly during bed rest or extended immobilization, blood-flow-restriction training combined with other approaches has shown early promise as an additional tool, though this evidence is still developing and should only be used under professional supervision [3].

Exercise alone, however, isn't the whole picture. Without adequate protein intake, the muscle-building signal from training cannot be fully converted into new muscle tissue [5][6].

Protein: The Missing Half of the Equation

How Much Protein Is Enough?

Most adults over 40 benefit from more protein than the general Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) suggests. A reasonable starting range is 1.2–1.4 grams per kilogram of body weight per day for adults in midlife (roughly 40–60), and 1.4–1.6 grams per kilogram per day for adults over 60 [7][8]. In frail individuals or those recovering from illness or injury, short-term intakes of up to 2.0 grams per kilogram per day may be appropriate under medical guidance [7][8].

A large systematic review and meta-analysis of 66 clinical trials found that protein intakes above 1.2 g/kg/day were associated with measurable gains in lean body mass during resistance training, with the most consistent benefit observed at or above 1.6 g/kg/day [9].

The Leucine "Trigger"

Leucine, a branched-chain amino acid, plays a central role in switching on the body's muscle-building machinery (a signaling pathway called mTORC1) [5][10]. As muscle ages, it appears to require a higher leucine dose to produce the same effect — evidence suggests the threshold rises from roughly 2 grams per meal in younger adults to 2.5–3 grams in older adults [10][11]. This is best understood as a threshold-like signal rather than a strict, all-or-nothing switch, protein quality, meal size, and overall training status all influence how strongly it fires [12].

In practical terms, adults aged 40–59 may benefit from around 25–30 grams of high-quality protein per meal (roughly 2–2.5 grams leucine). Adults over 60 may need 30–40 grams per meal (roughly 2.8–3 grams leucine) [10][11]. Spreading this across three to four meals a day, rather than one very large meal, helps ensure more of the day's meals reach the leucine threshold [6][13].

Timing: A Modest Factor, Not a Deadline

Getting protein around a workout is a reasonable, evidence-informed habit, but the research does not support the idea that a shake must be consumed within a narrow window to see benefits. Studies that have directly compared protein eaten immediately after exercise versus protein eaten a few hours later have found no significant difference in muscle or strength gains over 8–12 weeks, as long as total daily protein is adequate [14][15]. What appears to matter more is consistently meeting daily protein and leucine targets across several meals [9][14].

A pre-sleep protein dose (around 27 grams) has been shown in one 12-week trial to modestly improve overnight muscle-building response and strength gains when added to an existing training and diet plan [16] — a useful addition for some, though not a required part of every plan.

The Synergy: Why Both Are Required

Resistance exercise appears to make muscle more efficient at using dietary amino acids for repair: one study found that exercised muscle incorporated roughly 16% (younger adults) to 30% (older adults) more amino acids into new muscle protein compared with resting muscle [5]. Combining regular resistance training with adequate, leucine-rich protein intake has been shown to meaningfully improve the muscle's blunted response to protein that occurs with aging and inactivity, in some cases bringing the response close to that of younger muscle, though full reversal is not consistently observed and anabolic resistance is better understood as substantially improvable rather than fully eliminated [4][17].

By contrast, protein alone, without exercise, produces limited increases in muscle mass in most studies of older adults, and exercise without sufficient protein intake does not maximize the muscle-building response. Evidence consistently points to combining both for the best results [7][8].

Age-Specific Strategy

Adults in their 40s and 50s may benefit from consuming 25–30 grams of protein (roughly 2–2.5 grams leucine) per meal and performing two to three resistance-training sessions per week using moderate-to-high effort.

Adults over 60 often show a more blunted response to both protein and exercise, generally requiring a larger stimulus to achieve a comparable effect. A reasonable target is 30–40 grams of protein per meal (roughly 2.8–3 grams leucine) and at least two resistance-training sessions per week, training with a high degree of effort even at lighter loads where needed.

Adults 65 and older who are frail often show further reductions in the muscle's signaling response and face a higher risk of sarcopenia. For this group, total protein intake in the range of 1.5–2.0 grams per kilogram per day, with 30–40 grams per meal where tolerated, combined with light resistance training, balance work, and — where appropriate and supervised, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, can help maintain function [7][8][18].

The Take-Home Message

Muscle disuse accelerates age-related decline, but the combination of consistent resistance training and adequate, leucine-rich protein intake can help slow this process and, in many cases, meaningfully improve strength and function. The core strategy:

- Eat enough total protein daily (roughly 1.2–1.6 g/kg, adjusted for individual needs and health status).
- Distribute protein across three to four meals rather than concentrating it in one.
- Include protein reasonably close to resistance training sessions, exact timing is far less important than consistency.
- Aim to meet the leucine threshold at each main meal.

Train consistently, eat enough protein throughout the day, and don't stress over minute-by-minute timing, that combination is what the evidence actually supports for preserving strength and independence with age.

Frequently Asked Questions

1. What causes disuse-atrophy? Muscle loss occurs when muscle protein breakdown exceeds muscle protein synthesis during periods of inactivity or reduced movement, often seen after illness, injury, or extended sedentary behavior [2][3].

2. Why does protein work better with exercise? Exercise activates muscle signaling pathways that make dietary amino acids more effective for muscle repair and growth, and research shows exercised muscle can incorporate substantially more dietary amino acids into new tissue than resting muscle [5].

3. How much protein do I need after 60? A reasonable general target is 1.4–1.6 g/kg/day, spread over 3–4 meals of roughly 30–40 g each, though individual needs vary, and those with kidney disease or other chronic conditions should consult a healthcare provider before changing protein intake significantly [7][8].

4. Why leucine? Leucine is an amino acid that plays a key role in triggering muscle protein synthesis. Some evidence suggests aging muscle needs a somewhat higher leucine dose to produce a similar effect compared with younger muscle [10][11].

5. Why does TLC use non-instantized WPC 80? TLC PureOrigin™ WPC 80 is processed using a gentler method intended to preserve naturally occurring milk proteins and peptides. Some of these components — particularly glycomacropeptide, a peptide naturally present in whey — have been shown in independent research to support the growth of beneficial gut bacteria [19]. Research connecting gut microbiome health to muscle health (the "gut–muscle axis") is an active and promising area of study, but it is still emerging, and we have not directly tested this specific product for gut-integrity or nutrient-absorption outcomes. We're not making a claim that this product treats or prevents any gut or muscle condition, only that gentler processing may help preserve naturally occurring milk components that early research suggests could offer gut-supportive benefits.

Medical and Nutritional Disclaimer

This information is provided for educational purposes only and is not intended to diagnose, treat, cure, or prevent any disease. Individuals must consult a qualified healthcare provider or registered dietitian before making significant changes to their diet or exercise regimen.

Important Safety Notice: Individuals with pre-existing renal impairment, diabetes, or other chronic metabolic conditions should consult a healthcare provider before significantly increasing protein intake. Protein needs vary by individual health status, kidney function, and medication use, and the ranges above are general educational guidance, not a personalized prescription.

Author Information

Eugene Capitano, BA, BSc, DC, DAc, MSc, ACSM-CPT, ACSM-EIM Chiropractor | Functional Wellness Specialist | Clinical Researcher

MSc in Psychology & Neuroscience of Mental Health – King's College London. Eugene Capitano is a clinician-scientist integrating musculoskeletal rehabilitation, exercise physiology, and nutritional neuroscience. He holds a Master of Science in Psychology & Neuroscience of Mental Health from King's College London, is an American College of Sports Medicine-certified Exercise is Medicine® practitioner and Personal Trainer, and has over 25 years of clinical experience in chiropractic and functional wellness care. His research and professional focus bridge the gut–brain–muscle axis, exploring how targeted nutrition, resistance training, and mitochondrial health strategies optimize metabolic function and healthy aging.

Reference List

1. Mitchell WK, Williams J, Atherton P, Larvin M, Lund J, Narici M. Sarcopenia, dynapenia, and the impact of advancing age on human skeletal muscle size and strength: a quantitative review. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2012;3:260. *(Verified via PubMed; not currently in your project folder — recommend adding.)*
2. Paulussen KJM, McKenna CF, Beals JW, Wilund KR, Salvador AF, Burd NA. Anabolic resistance of muscle protein turnover comes in various shapes and sizes. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2021;8:615849.

3. McKendry J, et al. Mitigating disuse-induced skeletal muscle atrophy in ageing: Resistance exercise as a critical countermeasure. *(full citation as in your folder file)*.
4. Kilroe SP, Von Ruff Z, Kalenta H, et al. Integrated muscle protein synthesis during disuse and rehabilitation in late-midlife adults. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 2025;329(4):R537–R549.
5. Pennings B, Koopman R, Beelen M, Senden JM, Saris WH, van Loon LJ. Exercising before protein intake allows for greater use of dietary protein-derived amino acids for de novo muscle protein synthesis in both young and elderly men. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2011;93(2):322–331.
6. Layman DK. Impacts of protein quantity and distribution on body composition. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2024;11:1388986.
7. Bauer JM, Biolo G, Cederholm T, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for optimal dietary protein intake in older people: A position paper from the PROT-AGE Study Group. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2013;14(8):542–559.
8. Deutz NEP, Bauer JM, Barazzoni R, et al. Protein intake and exercise for optimal muscle function with aging: Recommendations from the ESPEN Expert Group. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2014;33(6):929–936.
9. Nunes EA, Colenso-Semple L, McKellar SR, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of protein intake to support muscle mass and function in healthy adults. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*. 2022;13(2):795–810.
10. Katsanos CS, Kobayashi H, Sheffield-Moore M, Aarsland A, Wolfe RR. A high proportion of leucine is required for optimal stimulation of the rate of muscle protein synthesis by essential amino acids in the elderly. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2006;291(2):E381–E387.
11. (Same as 6 — Layman, 2024.)
12. Zaromskyte G, Prokopidis K, Ioannidis T, Tipton KD, Witard OC. Evaluating the leucine trigger hypothesis to explain the post-prandial regulation of muscle protein synthesis in young and older adults: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2021;8:685165.
13. Areta JL, Burke LM, Ross ML, et al. Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. *Journal of Physiology*. 2013;591(9):2319–2331.
14. Casuso RA, Goossens L. Does protein ingestion timing affect exercise-induced adaptations? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. 2025;17(13):2070.

15. Lak M, Bagheri R, Ghobadi H, et al. Timing matters? The effects of two different timing of high protein diets on body composition, muscular performance, and biochemical markers in resistance-trained males. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2024;11:1397090.

16. Snijders T, Res PT, Smeets JSJ, et al. Protein ingestion before sleep increases muscle mass and strength gains during prolonged resistance-type exercise training in healthy young men. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2015;145(6):1178–1184.

17. Your existing synthesis paper on anabolic resistance draws primarily on Wall et al., 2015 (*PLoS ONE*) and Hodson et al., 2019 (*AJP-Cell Physiology*), both in your folder — recommend citing these directly rather than the internal synthesis document for this specific claim.

18. (Same as 3 — McKendry et al., for the BFR/NMES mention.)

19. Rackerby B, Le HNM, Haymowicz A, Dallas DC, Park SH. Potential prebiotic properties of whey protein and glycomacropeptide in gut microbiome. *Food Science of Animal Resources*. 2024;44(2):299–308.

20. Janssen SLJE, et al. From molecular to physical function: the aging trajectory. *Current Research in Physiology*. 2024. *(Cites Mitchell et al., 2012 for strength/mass decline rates; Rommersbach et al., 2020 for immobilization-related strength loss equivalent to ~2–3 years of aging; Kouw et al. for hospitalization-related leg-muscle atrophy; and Currier et al., 2023 for low-load vs. high-load RT equivalence. Verified via PubMed; recommend adding this PDF to your project folder alongside Mitchell 2012.)*

21. Morrison N, Taylor A, Buckley T, Twist C, Kite C. High-velocity power training has similar effects to traditional resistance training on strength and functional outcomes in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2023;69:148–159. *(Meta-analysis of 19 RCTs, n=1,055; the power-decline rate and power–balance–falls rationale are stated in this paper's introduction. Cites Sayers & Gibson, 2014 as one of the included high-velocity power training trials. Explicitly excluded plyometric/jump training from the review's scope.)*