

Skeletal Muscle as a Metabolic, Endocrine, and Functional Organ

By Dr. Eugene Capitano, DC, MSc

Dr. Eugene Capitano earned an MSc in Psychology & Neuroscience of Mental Health from King's College London. He holds the ACSM Exercise is Medicine® (EIM) Credential and is an ACSM Certified Personal Trainer® (ACSM-CPT®). His research interests include the gut–brain axis, functional nutrition, metabolic health, and translational microbiome science.

Narrative review

This manuscript is an evidence-informed narrative review. It is not a systematic review, a clinical practice guideline, or a substitute for individualized medical, nutrition, or exercise care.

Abstract

Skeletal muscle is commonly viewed as a locomotor tissue, yet it is also the body's largest insulin-responsive organ, a major glycogen and amino-acid reservoir, a site of oxidative metabolism, and a source of contraction-responsive signaling molecules. Age-related muscle decline is therefore not a single problem of size. Muscle quantity, tissue quality, force production, movement velocity, and real-world physical performance represent related but distinct dimensions of muscle health. This distinction is clinically important because strength and power generally decline faster than muscle mass, and prospective studies more consistently associate low strength, lower-extremity function, and reduced muscle power with disability, hospitalization, and all-cause mortality than low muscle mass alone. At the same time, muscle mass remains metabolically important because it provides potential capacity for insulin-stimulated glucose disposal, glycogen storage, amino-acid reserve, and mitochondrial content. The effective metabolic role of muscle, however, depends not only on how much tissue is present but also on insulin sensitivity, perfusion, contractile activation, fat infiltration, neuromuscular integrity, and mitochondrial function. This narrative review integrates evidence on sarcopenia, dynapenia, muscle quality, glucose regulation, mitochondrial aging, myokine signaling, anabolic resistance, and exercise and protein interventions. Contemporary diagnostic frameworks prioritize low strength as the first clinical signal of sarcopenia and use low muscle quantity or quality to confirm the diagnosis. The evidence supports an integrated strategy: preserve muscle quantity, improve tissue quality, maintain maximal strength, train power and functional capacity when appropriate, and combine resistance exercise with aerobic activity and adequate protein. Muscle mass is metabolic infrastructure; strength and power reveal whether that infrastructure can generate useful force quickly enough to protect mobility, independence, and physiological reserve.

Keywords: skeletal muscle; sarcopenia; dynapenia; muscle quality; muscle power; insulin resistance; mitochondria; myokines; anabolic resistance; healthy aging

Key conclusions

- Muscle quantity and muscle function should not be treated as interchangeable. Strength and power are only partly explained by muscle size.
- Low strength and poor physical performance are stronger and more consistent predictors of disability and mortality than low mass alone, although observational associations do not prove causation.
- Muscle mass still matters because it provides metabolic and physiological reserve, but glucose disposal depends on active, insulin-sensitive muscle rather than tissue quantity alone.
- Muscle quality is multidimensional and includes force per unit tissue, fat infiltration, architecture, neuromuscular activation, excitation-contraction coupling, perfusion, and mitochondrial function.
- Aging increases vulnerability, but inactivity and disuse are powerful, modifiable accelerators of anabolic resistance, mitochondrial decline, weakness, and loss of function.
- Resistance exercise is the central intervention for strength and lean tissue; high-velocity training can target power; aerobic exercise supports mitochondrial and cardiometabolic function; adequate protein supports adaptation and recovery.

1. Scope and Evidence Approach

This paper is a narrative synthesis of peer-reviewed literature on skeletal-muscle metabolism, aging, physical function, exercise, and protein nutrition. Evidence was drawn from key consensus statements, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, prospective cohort studies, randomized trials, and mechanistic human studies. Human evidence was prioritized; animal and cell studies are used only where they clarify mechanisms that cannot be measured directly in humans. Because the search and selection process was not prospectively registered and does not meet formal systematic-review standards, this paper does not claim exhaustive coverage.

The paper also separates four levels of inference: (1) biological plausibility, (2) acute physiological response, (3) long-term change in muscle or function, and (4) association with disability or mortality.

These levels should not be collapsed. For example, an acute rise in muscle protein synthesis does not by itself prove long-term hypertrophy, and an observational association between strength and survival does not prove that increasing strength directly reduces mortality.

2. Skeletal Muscle Is More Than a Locomotor Tissue

Skeletal muscle is a large, adaptable organ that integrates mechanical loading, neural activation, nutrient availability, endocrine signals, and cellular energy metabolism. Under insulin-stimulated postprandial conditions, skeletal muscle accounts for the majority of glucose uptake from the circulation, commonly estimated at approximately 70-80% in metabolically healthy people (Merz & Thurmond, 2020; Sjøberg et al., 2021). Muscle also stores glycogen, contains a large share of the body's amino acids, houses an extensive mitochondrial network, and releases contraction-responsive signals that communicate with adipose tissue, liver, bone, immune cells, and other organs (Schnyder & Handschin, 2015; Hoffmann & Weigert, 2017).

These functions make muscle loss clinically relevant even before a person appears frail. Nevertheless, the phrase “more muscle means better glucose control” is incomplete. Muscle glucose uptake depends on the amount of available tissue, but also on insulin signaling, GLUT4 translocation, capillary perfusion, glycogen status, recent contraction, mitochondrial flexibility, and lipid infiltration. Resistance and aerobic exercise can improve glucose handling before a large increase in muscle mass occurs, demonstrating that tissue quality and activation matter alongside quantity (Merz & Thurmond, 2020; Park et al., 2006).

3. Five Dimensions of Muscle Health

Research and clinical practice often use “muscle health” as if it were a single variable. It is more accurately understood as a set of related constructs.

Construct	What it represents	Common assessments	Why it matters	Important limitation
Muscle quantity	Amount of lean or contractile tissue	DXA appendicular lean mass; CT/MRI cross-sectional area or volume; BIA estimates	Metabolic reserve, glycogen and amino-acid storage, potential force-producing and mitochondrial capacity	DXA lean mass is not identical to contractile muscle and does not measure function
Muscle quality	Function or tissue integrity relative to quantity	Strength per unit mass/area; CT attenuation; ultrasound echo intensity; phase angle; intramuscular fat	Explains why people with similar mass can differ greatly in force, insulin sensitivity, and mobility	No single accepted definition or gold-standard measure
Strength	Maximum force or torque	Grip strength; knee-extension torque; one-repetition maximum; chair-rise ability	Independence, task capacity, fall recovery, mortality and disability risk marker	Affected by pain, motivation, joint disease, neural activation, and technique
Power	Rate of performing work: force multiplied by velocity	Sit-to-stand power; stair-climb power; leg-press power; jump or dynamometer tests	Rapid balance correction, stair climbing, rising from a chair, crossing a street	Testing methods vary and some require specialized equipment
Physical performance	Integrated ability to complete real-world tasks	Gait speed; Short Physical Performance Battery; Timed Up and Go; chair stands	Closest to mobility, disability, and independent living	Reflects multiple systems beyond muscle, including balance, cognition, pain, and cardiopulmonary capacity

Muscle quality is especially important because it explains the gap between muscle size and performance. The term has been used for strength per unit lean mass, radiologic density, fat infiltration, tissue architecture, cellular composition, or combinations of these measures. A recent scoping review found substantial variation in definitions and methods, reinforcing that “quality” should always be operationally defined in research reports (de Lucena Alves et al., 2023).

4. Sarcopenia Versus Dynapenia: Why Function Matters as Much as Mass

The original use of sarcopenia referred primarily to age-related loss of muscle mass. Dynapenia was later proposed to describe age-related loss of strength and power that cannot be explained by atrophy alone (Manini & Clark, 2012). The distinction arose because longitudinal studies repeatedly showed that strength declines more rapidly than muscle size and that preserving or even gaining lean mass does not necessarily prevent loss of force. In the Health, Aging and Body Composition cohort, changes in muscle area explained only a minority of the variation in strength loss, while strength declined several times faster than mass in many participants (Goodpaster et al., 2006; Manini & Clark, 2012).

Modern clinical definitions have partly absorbed this insight. The revised European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People framework (EWGSOP2) identifies low muscle strength as the primary characteristic that makes sarcopenia probable. Low muscle quantity or quality confirms the diagnosis, and poor physical performance indicates severe sarcopenia (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2019). Thus, contemporary sarcopenia is not synonymous with low mass. It is a muscle-failure syndrome in which strength is the first clinical signal, quantity or quality confirms the structural deficit, and performance establishes severity.

Dynapenia remains a useful concept because it directs attention to neural drive, motor-unit loss, excitation-contraction coupling, tendon properties, fiber composition, myosteatosis, and movement velocity. These factors can reduce force and power even when DXA-measured lean mass appears relatively preserved. However, dynapenia and sarcopenia should not be treated as mutually exclusive diagnoses. They are overlapping ways of describing different components of age-related muscle failure.

The central distinction

Muscle mass describes how much tissue is present. Strength describes how much force the neuromuscular system can produce. Power describes how quickly that force can be expressed. Physical performance shows whether the entire system can complete meaningful tasks.

5. Strength and Power Predict Mortality and Disability; Mass, Less Consistently

5.1 Strength as a prognostic marker

The clinical importance of strength extends well beyond gym performance. Strength reflects the integrated status of skeletal muscle, motor neurons, central drive, joints, pain, cardiovascular reserve, inflammation, nutrition, and chronic disease. It therefore functions as a broad marker of physiological reserve.

Prospective cohort evidence consistently associates lower grip strength and knee-extensor strength with higher all-cause mortality. In the Health ABC cohort, strength was associated with mortality after adjustment for muscle size, whereas muscle size itself was not strongly associated with mortality in the same models (Newman et al., 2006). A systematic review and meta-analysis involving approximately two million adults likewise found that higher upper-and lower-body strength was associated with lower all-cause mortality risk (García-Hermoso et al., 2018). More recent evidence in 5,472 ambulatory women aged 63-99 years showed graded inverse associations between grip strength and mortality after adjustment for objectively measured physical activity, sedentary time, walk speed, clinical factors, and inflammation (LaMonte et al., 2026).

5.2 Lower-extremity strength and power

Lower-extremity function is particularly important because standing, stair climbing, gait acceleration, balance recovery, and fall avoidance depend on the ability to produce force rapidly. Muscle power - the product of force and contraction velocity - generally declines earlier and more steeply with age than maximal strength and often relates more closely to mobility tasks (Reid & Fielding, 2012; Byrne et al., 2016). In a prospective study of 1,928 older adults, very low relative sit-to-stand power was independently associated with hospitalization, and with all-cause mortality in men after multivariable adjustment; the mortality estimate in women was attenuated after adjustment (Losa-

Reyna et al., 2022). These findings support lower-extremity power as a clinically informative marker while also showing why sex-specific estimates and residual confounding must be considered.

5.3 Muscle mass predicts risk less consistently, but is not irrelevant

Meta-analytic evidence indicates that strength and physical performance predict future activities-of-daily-living limitations more consistently than muscle mass (Wang et al., 2020). Dose-response analyses in community-dwelling older adults likewise show that strength and gait speed carry important prognostic information for disability and mortality (Seino et al., 2022). This does not make mass irrelevant. Low appendicular muscle mass is associated with mortality in pooled analyses, and some cohorts report better survival with higher relative muscle mass (de Santana et al., 2021; Li et al., 2018; Srikanthan & Karlamangla, 2014). The balanced interpretation is that muscle mass provides structural and metabolic reserve, whereas strength, power, and performance more directly reveal whether that reserve is functional.

5.4 Prognostic association is not proof of causation

These mortality findings are observational. Low strength may partly reflect occult disease, undernutrition, inflammation, neurological decline, or frailty that also raises mortality risk. Therefore, strength should be described as a strong prognostic marker and modifiable physical capacity, not as a proven independent cause of longevity. Randomized exercise trials demonstrate improvements in strength and function, but most are not designed or powered to establish reductions in all-cause mortality.

6. Why Muscle Mass Still Matters: Glucose Disposal, Reserve, and Mitochondria

The stronger prognostic value of function should not lead to the opposite error of dismissing muscle mass. Muscle quantity remains metabolically and clinically important for at least four reasons.

6.1 Potential capacity for glucose disposal and glycogen storage

Skeletal muscle is the principal site of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake after a meal. A larger pool of metabolically active muscle provides more potential surface area for glucose transport and more total glycogen-storage capacity. Cohort studies associate lower muscle indices and muscle loss with insulin resistance, metabolically unhealthy phenotypes, and future type 2 diabetes, although body fat, activity, and reverse causality complicate interpretation (de Carvalho et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2023; Liu & Zhu, 2023).

The key word is potential. A larger muscle compartment that is inactive, infiltrated with fat, poorly perfused, or insulin resistant may dispose of glucose less effectively than a smaller but active and insulin-sensitive muscle compartment. Aging, inactivity, myosteatosis, mitochondrial alterations, and impaired insulin-signaling pathways can all reduce glucose uptake per unit of tissue (Merz & Thurmond, 2020; Shou et al., 2020; Hong & Choi, 2020). Contraction itself stimulates glucose uptake through pathways partly independent of insulin, which explains why exercise can improve glycemic control before measurable hypertrophy occurs (Merz & Thurmond, 2020; Sjøberg et al., 2021). A more accurate conceptual model is that whole-body muscle glucose disposal reflects the interaction of muscle quantity, tissue quality, perfusion, insulin sensitivity, glycogen status, and recent contractile activity.

6.2 Amino-acid reserve during illness and disuse

The body has no inert storage depot for protein comparable with adipose triglyceride or glycogen. Skeletal muscle therefore functions as a large, mobilizable amino-acid reserve during fasting, trauma, infection, surgery, immobilization, and inadequate intake. This reserve supports hepatic protein synthesis, immune activity, wound healing, and gluconeogenesis, but prolonged mobilization produces negative net muscle-protein balance and loss of function. Greater pre-illness muscle reserve may provide a buffer during catabolic events, although reserve does not prevent rapid disuse atrophy (McKendry et al., 2024).

6.3 Mitochondrial “real estate”

Muscle fibers contain mitochondria that oxidize carbohydrate and fat and generate ATP. More muscle tissue can provide more total mitochondrial volume - a useful concept that may be described as metabolic “real estate.” The metaphor has limits: mitochondrial density, respiratory capacity, substrate flexibility, and quality control vary substantially between individuals, and additional muscle mass does not automatically guarantee superior oxidative function.

Earlier literature often attributed lower mitochondrial content and respiratory capacity directly to chronological aging (Johnson et al., 2013). More recent studies show that habitual physical activity and cardiometabolic health are major confounders. In active adults, mitochondrial respiration can be preserved across much of the lifespan, whereas inactive adults generally show poorer energetics (Distefano et al., 2017; Grevendonk et al., 2021). In 139 men aged 20-93 years, physical activity was associated with better physical performance and mitochondrial energetics, while age itself was not associated with lower mitochondrial respiratory capacity or greater reactive oxygen species production. Mitochondrial calcium-retention capacity nevertheless declined with age regardless of activity and was related to muscle mass and performance, indicating that activity does not fully prevent every age-related mitochondrial change (Cefis et al., 2025).

6.4 Reserve against disuse and acute stress

Older adults lose muscle rapidly during step reduction, limb immobilization, bed rest, and hospitalization and often recover more slowly than younger adults. A larger initial reserve may delay the point at which muscle loss produces disability, even though it does not eliminate the underlying anabolic resistance of disuse. This is one reason muscle quantity remains relevant even when strength is the stronger predictor of immediate function (McKendry et al., 2024).

7. Muscle Quality: The Link Between Mass, Metabolism, and Function

Muscle quality describes the capacity and integrity of muscle relative to its quantity. In functional studies, it is often calculated as force or torque per unit of muscle mass or cross-sectional area. In imaging studies, it may refer to CT attenuation, ultrasound echo intensity, fascicle architecture, or intramuscular adipose infiltration. At the cellular level, it can include fiber-type composition, myofibrillar density, capillary supply, mitochondrial function, motor-unit recruitment, and excitation-contraction coupling (de Lucena Alves et al., 2023).

Aging can reduce muscle quality through multiple pathways. Motor-neuron loss and incomplete reinnervation reduce the number and precision of motor units. Type II fibers, which support rapid force and power, are preferentially lost or atrophied. Myosin concentration and specific tension can decline, while tendon and connective-tissue changes alter force transmission. Myosteatosis and lipid intermediates can impair insulin signaling, and neuromuscular activation and calcium handling may become less efficient (Manini & Clark, 2012; Mitchell et al., 2012; Hong & Choi, 2020; Cefis et al., 2025).

Type 2 diabetes illustrates why quality matters. Older adults with diabetes can have lower muscle strength and lower strength per unit regional lean mass than peers without diabetes, even after accounting for muscle quantity (Park et al., 2006). This may reflect neuropathy, microvascular impairment, myosteatosis, inflammation, mitochondrial alterations, and impaired insulin signaling. Resistance exercise can improve strength, insulin sensitivity, and muscle quality without requiring large changes in total mass (Brooks et al., 2007).

The clinical implication is that a body-composition scan should not be interpreted in isolation. Two people with the same appendicular lean mass can differ markedly in force, power, gait, insulin sensitivity, and resilience. Assessment should pair quantity with at least one functional measure, such as grip strength, chair-rise performance, gait speed, or knee-extensor testing.

8. Skeletal Muscle as an Endocrine and Signaling Organ

8.1 A coordinated network, not a single messenger

Contracting skeletal muscle releases peptides and metabolites that act locally and systemically. These signals are often grouped under the terms myokines and exerkins. The muscle-brain axis is not mediated by a single signalling molecule. Exercise activates a coordinated network of myokines, exerkins, metabolites, growth factors, and neurotrophic signals that may collectively influence brain metabolism, neuroplasticity, neurogenesis, vascular function, and cognitive performance. Although these molecules act through distinct pathways, several converge on regulatory systems involving brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), mitochondrial adaptation, synaptic plasticity, vascular remodelling, and hippocampal function. The relative contribution of individual signals, particularly in humans, remains incompletely resolved (Severinsen & Pedersen, 2020; Kostka et al., 2024).

8.2 Interleukin-6: why source and context matter

IL-6 is one of the best-established myokines and illustrates why source and context are essential to interpretation. During exercise, contracting skeletal muscle releases IL-6, which supports glucose mobilisation, lipolysis, and energy availability. Acute exercise-induced IL-6 can also stimulate the anti-inflammatory mediators IL-1ra and IL-10 and suppress TNF- α production, contributing to a transiently anti-inflammatory environment (Petersen & Pedersen, 2005; Severinsen & Pedersen, 2020). These effects should not be equated with the chronically elevated IL-6 of obesity, metabolic dysfunction, or persistent inflammatory disease. The same molecule, from a different source and on a different time course, carries a different meaning.

8.3 Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

BDNF is one of the most extensively studied neurotrophins in the exercise-cognition literature. It is produced primarily in the central nervous system, but BDNF expression has also been reported in skeletal muscle and other peripheral tissues. Muscle-derived BDNF appears to serve important local

autocrine and paracrine functions, including effects on AMPK signalling, fatty-acid oxidation, mitochondrial metabolism, and muscle adaptation (Matthews et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2019). Notably, in muscle-specific knockout models these metabolic effects were sexually dimorphic, observed predominantly in female mice (Yang et al., 2019), and in the original contraction studies muscle-derived BDNF was not detectably released into the circulation (Matthews et al., 2009). Exercise-induced BDNF expression in skeletal muscle should therefore not be interpreted as evidence that muscle-derived BDNF travels to the brain.

Exercise intensity can influence circulating BDNF responses, but these responses vary with exercise mode, duration, sampling time, training status, and assay method. Serum BDNF is strongly influenced by BDNF stored in and released from platelets, whereas plasma BDNF more closely reflects the freely circulating pool. Consequently, circulating BDNF should not be treated as a direct proxy for hippocampal BDNF activity (Herold et al., 2021).

8.4 Lactate: metabolite, exerkine, and signal

Lactate is a metabolic intermediate that also functions as a signalling molecule. During exercise it is reasonably described as an exerkine, and as a myokine when its muscle-derived signalling role is emphasized (Brooks et al., 2023). High-intensity exercise produces substantial lactate elevations. Lactate crosses the blood-brain barrier through monocarboxylate transporters and is taken up and oxidized by the human brain: cerebral lactate can supply an appreciable share of the brain's energy during intense exercise, and cerebral lactate uptake has been associated with exercise-related brain metabolism and, in some studies, executive function (van Hall et al., 2009; Hashimoto et al., 2018).

In mice, lactate administration and exercise increased hippocampal BDNF expression through a SIRT1-dependent PGC-1 α /FNDC5 pathway and improved learning and memory (El Hayek et al., 2019). This is an important mechanistic model, but the complete lactate-SIRT1-PGC-1 α -FNDC5 pathway has not been directly demonstrated in exercising humans. Its extension to resistance exercise is a plausible extrapolation rather than a confirmed human mechanism. Lactate also activates the

receptor HCAR1 (GPR81) in brain-associated vascular cells, and in mice this pathway contributes to exercise-related VEGF expression and cerebral angiogenesis (Morland et al., 2017). Evidence that HCAR1 directly increases hippocampal BDNF or independently drives synaptic remodelling remains limited. Lactate should not be described as the universally preferred brain fuel or as a proven independent explanation for the cognitive benefits of exercise.

8.5 Irisin/FNDC5

Irisin is a proposed cleavage product of FNDC5, whose expression is regulated by PGC-1 α . In animal and cellular models, FNDC5/irisin signalling has been associated with hippocampal BDNF expression, neurogenesis, synaptic function, and protection from pathological processes (Kostka et al., 2024). In humans, three uncertainties remain: the consistency of exercise-induced circulating irisin responses, which is complicated by well-documented problems with antibody-based irisin assays (Albrecht et al., 2015). The extent to which peripheral irisin reaches the human brain; and its causal contribution to cognitive protection (Severinsen & Pedersen, 2020). Irisin is best described as a candidate mediator with strong preclinical support and unresolved human relevance.

8.6 Cathepsin B

Cathepsin B is a lysosomal protease released in response to exercise. In mice, exercise-induced circulating cathepsin B was associated with blood-brain-barrier passage, hippocampal BDNF expression, neurogenesis, and memory, and knockout animals lost the running-induced neurogenesis and memory benefit. In monkeys and humans, running elevated plasma cathepsin B, and human levels correlated with fitness and memory performance (Moon et al., 2016). These associations do not establish cathepsin B as the direct mediator of exercise-induced cognitive improvement in humans; it is a promising but incompletely established mechanism.

8.7 VEGF and IGF-1

Exercise-related vascular and growth-factor pathways may also contribute to muscle-brain communication. Peripheral VEGF appears necessary for exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis in rodents. Blocking peripheral VEGF abolished the running-induced response (Fabel et al., 2003). Circulating IGF-1 crosses the blood-brain barrier through carrier-mediated transport, and in rodent models blood-borne IGF-1 uptake into the brain mediates exercise effects on neuronal activity, neuroprotection, and hippocampal neurogenesis (Carro et al., 2000; Trejo et al., 2001). Because much of this mechanistic evidence comes from animal studies, these pathways should be presented as contributors to a broader adaptive response rather than as independently established explanations of long-term human cognitive preservation.

8.8 Interpretation

Collectively, these findings support the view that skeletal muscle communicates with the brain through multiple overlapping endocrine, metabolic, vascular, and neural pathways. The available evidence is strongest for a coordinated network model. It is weaker for claims that any single myokine or exerkine independently prevents dementia, treats neuroinflammation, or explains the full cognitive and neurological benefits of regular physical activity. Two practical implications follow. First, contraction is the major stimulus for this signalling: preserving muscle without using it is not biologically equivalent to maintaining an active muscle organ, although it is difficult to separate the effect of less tissue from the effect of less exercise. Second, because the network is redundant and overlapping, exercise itself - not any candidate molecule delivered in isolation - remains the only intervention shown to engage the system as a whole.

9. Aging, Disuse, and Anabolic Resistance

Muscle mass is determined by the long-term balance between muscle protein synthesis (MPS) and muscle protein breakdown. In many healthy older adults, fasting MPS is not dramatically lower than in younger adults; the more consistent difference is a reduced response to protein feeding and sometimes

exercise. This blunted responsiveness is termed anabolic resistance (Breen & Phillips, 2011; Wall et al., 2015).

Anabolic resistance is heterogeneous and context dependent rather than an inevitable fixed state. Physical inactivity, step reduction, bed rest, inflammation, insulin resistance, reduced perfusion, low energy intake, inadequate protein, and chronic disease can all contribute. In older adults, only two weeks of step reduction has been shown to lower leg lean mass and blunt the postprandial MPS response (Breen et al., 2013). Disuse during illness or hospitalization can accelerate muscle loss and compound pre-existing age-related vulnerability (McKendry et al., 2024).

Resistance exercise is a potent countermeasure because it increases MPS and sensitizes muscle to dietary amino acids. Exercising before protein intake increases the use of dietary protein-derived amino acids for new muscle protein in both younger and older men (Pennings et al., 2011). The interaction is important: protein supplies substrate, while mechanical loading directs adaptation and maintains neuromuscular function.

10. Protein Requirements Across the Adult Lifespan

The adult protein Recommended Dietary Allowance of 0.8 g/kg/day is a population-level estimate intended to meet the needs of nearly all healthy adults, not a disease-treatment target or a guarantee of optimal muscle preservation in every older or physically active person. Expert groups commonly recommend approximately 1.0-1.2 g/kg/day for healthy older adults and 1.2-1.6 g/kg/day during acute or chronic illness, with higher intakes considered in selected severe illness or rehabilitation contexts under clinical supervision (Bauer et al., 2013; Deutz et al., 2014). Active adults performing resistance exercise may benefit from intakes toward the upper end of evidence-based ranges, but kidney disease, medically prescribed restrictions, total energy intake, and individual tolerance must be considered.

Per-meal dose may also matter because MPS occurs continuously but rises transiently following protein-containing meals. Controlled studies estimate that older adults require a larger relative protein

dose than younger adults to maximize acute myofibrillar MPS under the specific conditions tested - approximately 0.4 g/kg per meal in older men versus approximately 0.24 g/kg in younger men (Moore et al., 2015). These values are estimates, not universal thresholds. In the pooled breakpoint analysis, the estimate for older men carried a wide 95% confidence interval (0.21-0.59 g/kg), was derived under resting (non-exercise) conditions in men only, and relied largely on isolated high-quality proteins ingested under laboratory conditions (Moore et al., 2015). Body size, protein quality, exercise, health status, meal composition, and habitual intake modify the response.

10.1 How should total protein and meal distribution be prioritized?

Total daily protein and meal distribution address related but different aspects of muscle protein metabolism. Adequate total intake supplies the amino acids needed to support recovery and growth across the day, while meal distribution influences the timing and repetition of postprandial MPS stimulation. A well-distributed but inadequate intake cannot compensate for insufficient total protein. Conversely, it should not be assumed that consuming the entire daily target in one large meal is equivalent to dividing it among several adequately sized meals for maximizing hypertrophy.

Controlled acute studies support the physiological rationale for distribution. Mamerow et al. (2014) reported approximately 25% higher 24-hour mixed-muscle protein synthesis with approximately 30 g of protein at each of three meals than with a skewed 11/16/63-g pattern. Following resistance exercise, Areta et al. (2013) found that four 20-g whey servings consumed every three hours produced greater 12-hour myofibrillar protein synthesis than either eight 10-g servings or two 40-g servings. These findings suggest that both inadequately small servings and excessively long intervals between protein-containing meals may reduce cumulative MPS under the conditions tested.

Longer or more integrated study designs, however, have not consistently demonstrated advantages from an even distribution. Studies in older adults have reported whole-body effects without higher muscle protein synthesis, or no detectable differences in muscle protein synthesis, lean mass, strength, or function (Kim et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2018; Agergaard et al., 2023). In young adults, a nine-day

controlled-feeding trial at approximately 1.1-1.2 g/kg/day found similar integrated myofibrillar protein synthesis between balanced and skewed distributions (Askow et al., 2025). In contrast, a 12-week resistance-training trial in young men (n = 26 analyzed) found a favourable but statistically inconclusive lean-mass signal when breakfast protein was increased so that all three meals provided at least 0.24 g/kg, compared with a typical dinner-skewed pattern. Total lean soft tissue mass increased 2.5 versus 1.8 kg (P = 0.06; Cohen's d = 0.795), with no difference in appendicular lean mass (Yasuda et al., 2020). In resistance-trained young men, an 8-week randomized trial comparing three versus five high-protein meals (>0.24 g/kg per meal) at matched total intake found no between-group differences in lean mass, vastus lateralis cross-sectional area, or strength (Tavares et al., 2025), suggesting little additional benefit from increasing meal frequency beyond three adequate meals - although this comparison does not address one meal versus three or four, and only 18 of 32 randomized participants completed the study. Long-term protein-matched trials therefore remain few and underpowered, and direct, adequately powered long-term trials comparing one large daily protein meal with three or four adequately sized meals during hypertrophy-focused resistance training are lacking. The absence of definitive long-term evidence should therefore not be interpreted as proof that distribution is physiologically irrelevant or that these patterns are equivalent.

Leucine contributes to mTORC1 signaling, but the “leucine threshold” is better understood as a threshold-like dose-response relationship than as a binary switch. A systematic review found support for the leucine-trigger hypothesis in only 16 of 29 studies, predominantly those using isolated protein sources and more often in older adults (Zaromskyte et al., 2021). The leucine content, complete essential amino acid profile, protein quality, meal composition, exercise status, body size, and age all influence the MPS response. Protein consumed above the amount needed to maximize the early postprandial MPS rate is not automatically wasted. Stable-isotope work shows that a large (100-g) protein dose after resistance exercise produced a greater and more prolonged (>12-hour) anabolic response than 25 g, with negligible effects on amino acid oxidation (Trommelen et al., 2023). A large

dose is utilized, it simply may not reproduce the repeated pattern of MPS stimulation that distributed feedings provide, and consuming one large dose uses fewer distinct feeding opportunities across the day. It has not been demonstrated to be an optimal strategy for long-term hypertrophy.

For resistance-trained adults seeking to maximize muscle growth, a prudent practical strategy is to meet the daily protein target and distribute it across three or four substantial meals, generally separated by approximately three to five hours, with one meal reasonably close to training. Approximate meal-level targets of 0.3-0.4 g/kg of high-quality protein are useful planning ranges rather than mandatory thresholds. For someone consuming 120 g of protein per day, four meals of approximately 30 g or three meals of approximately 40 g would be more consistent with this objective than deliberately consuming the entire amount in one meal. For older or clinically vulnerable adults, the practical priority remains unchanged: adequate total intake, sufficient meal-level doses, resistance exercise, and avoidance of chronically protein-empty meals (Morgan et al., 2025).

11. Exercise Countermeasures: Quantity, Quality, Strength, Power, and Mitochondria

11.1 Progressive resistance training

Progressive resistance training is the most direct intervention for increasing or preserving strength and lean tissue. It improves neuromuscular recruitment, force production, muscle quality, insulin sensitivity, and functional capacity, including in very old and clinically vulnerable adults. Meta-analysis shows that protein supplementation can modestly augment resistance-training gains when baseline protein intake or training stimulus leaves room for improvement, but training remains the primary stimulus (Morton et al., 2018).

Expert consensus recommends that older adults perform individualized, progressive muscle-strengthening exercise at least twice weekly, alongside aerobic and balance training. Load, volume,

range of motion, and exercise selection should be adapted to health status, pain, fall risk, training history, and supervision needs (Izquierdo et al., 2021).

11.2 Power and movement velocity

Because power declines faster than strength and is closely linked to mobility, training should eventually include the intent to move appropriate loads quickly, provided the person has adequate foundational strength and can do so safely. High-speed power training can improve the velocity component of power and may be especially relevant for rapid balance correction and daily tasks (Sayers & Gibson, 2014).

Current evidence does not show that high-velocity training is universally superior to traditional resistance training. A 2023 systematic review of 19 randomized trials found generally similar functional outcomes, with small advantages for the Short Physical Performance Battery and Timed Up and Go that were based on low-quality evidence and of uncertain clinical importance (Morrison et al., 2023). The practical conclusion is to train strength first and add appropriately supervised power work when function and safety allow, rather than replacing all traditional resistance exercise.

11.3 Aerobic exercise and mitochondrial quality

Aerobic exercise is the dominant stimulus for mitochondrial biogenesis, capillary adaptation, and cardiorespiratory fitness. Moderate-intensity continuous exercise and interval training can increase mitochondrial density and oxidative enzyme activity. This ideally complements resistance training:, which preserves or increases the amount and force-generating capacity of tissue, while aerobic exercise improves the oxidative quality and vascular support of that tissue. The strongest healthy-aging strategy is therefore not “cardio versus weights,” but a combined program with balance and functional practice (Izquierdo et al., 2021; Cefis et al., 2025).

11.4 Disuse prevention and rapid response

Periods of illness, hospitalization, immobilization, or major step reduction should be treated as high-risk events for muscle loss. Where medically appropriate, early mobilization, resistance exercise before and after planned procedures, adequate energy and protein, and rehabilitation can reduce the depth and duration of disuse-related decline. Resistance bands, body-weight exercise, and lower-load training can provide scalable options when conventional equipment is unavailable (McKendry et al., 2024).

12. An Integrated Model: Mass Is Infrastructure; Function Is Output

The evidence supports an integrated rather than competitive view of muscle mass and muscle function. Muscle quantity provides the physical substrate such as fibers, glycogen capacity, amino-acid reserve, contractile proteins, and mitochondrial space. Muscle quality determines how effectively each unit of tissue responds to insulin, oxidizes fuel, receives neural input, and generates force. Strength and power express the output of the neuromuscular system. Physical performance translates that output into walking, standing, stair climbing, fall avoidance, and independent living.

Conceptual heuristic - not a validated equation

Whole-body functional-metabolic capacity can be thought of as the interaction of muscle quantity $\times$ tissue quality $\times$ neural activation $\times$ habitual use. A deficit in any one component can constrain the entire system.

This framework explains several observations that otherwise appear contradictory. A person can have normal lean mass but severe weakness because neural and tissue quality are poor. Another can have low mass yet retain good function because quality and activity are high. Resistance training can improve glucose control before hypertrophy because activation and insulin sensitivity improve. Aerobic training can preserve mitochondrial function without adding much mass. The best outcome is not maximum mass at any cost, but sufficient quantity combined with high quality, strength, power, and regular use.

13. Practical and Clinical Implications

A research-informed assessment should avoid relying on body weight, BMI, or lean mass alone. Depending on the setting, a practical muscle-health screen may include grip strength, a five-times chair stand, gait speed, and questions about falls, stairs, rising from the floor, and recent activity reduction. DXA, BIA, CT, MRI, or ultrasound can add information about quantity or tissue composition when clinically indicated, but each method has limitations and should be interpreted with function. For prevention and healthy aging, the priorities are:

- Progressive resistance training at least twice weekly, scaled to the individual and covering major muscle groups.
- Aerobic activity sufficient to support cardiorespiratory fitness, insulin sensitivity, perfusion, and mitochondrial adaptation.
- Balance, gait, and task-specific practice, especially for people with fall risk or functional limitation.
- Power-focused movements only after an appropriate strength and safety foundation, with supervision when needed.
- Adequate total energy and protein, with attention to meals that are chronically low in protein.
- Rapid action during and after illness, immobilization, or hospitalization to minimize disuse-related loss.

These are educational principles rather than individualized prescriptions. People with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, kidney disease, neurological disorders, severe osteoporosis, recent surgery, significant pain, or medically prescribed dietary restrictions should obtain individualized medical, exercise, and nutrition guidance.

14. Limitations and Research Gaps

Several limitations shape interpretation of this literature. First, sarcopenia and muscle quality are measured with heterogeneous definitions, devices, normalization methods, and cut points, which complicates comparison across studies. Second, DXA lean mass includes non-contractile tissue and cannot directly measure fiber quality or intramuscular fat. Third, strength and mortality studies are

observational and remain vulnerable to reverse causality and residual confounding. Fourth, acute MPS studies are useful mechanistically but do not guarantee long-term changes in muscle, strength, or disability. Fifth, many exercise and protein trials are short, include relatively healthy volunteers, and are not powered for hospitalization or mortality. Sixth, studies of mitochondrial aging often differ in participant activity, sex, muscle sampled, and laboratory technique. The 2025 lifespan study of mitochondrial energetics, for example, included men only and was cross-sectional (Cefis et al., 2025). Important research priorities include standardized measures of muscle quality and power, trials that combine strength, power, aerobic, and nutrition interventions, better inclusion of women and adults over 80 years, longitudinal measurement rather than single baseline testing, and studies that connect changes in muscle physiology to patient-important outcomes such as falls, hospitalization, cognition, independence, and survival. Finally, much of the mechanistic evidence for muscle-brain signalling discussed in Section 8 - including the lactate-BDNF, irisin, cathepsin B, VEGF, and IGF-1 pathways - derives from animal or cellular models, and its translation to exercising humans remains incompletely established.

15. Conclusion: Protect the Metabolic Engine and the Functional Output

Skeletal muscle is simultaneously a metabolic organ, an endocrine-signaling tissue, an amino-acid and glycogen reserve, a mitochondrial compartment, and the machinery of movement. Age-related muscle failure is therefore not captured by a single scan or a single strength test.

Strength and power deserve special emphasis because they decline faster than mass and more consistently predict disability, hospitalization, and all-cause mortality. Lower-extremity strength and power are especially relevant to standing, gait, stair climbing, balance recovery, and independent living. Yet the evidence does not support abandoning muscle mass. Muscle quantity provides metabolic infrastructure and reserve. Low mass is associated with adverse outcomes in several cohorts and pooled analyses, even if the association is less consistent than for strength.

The most defensible conclusion is that muscle mass and muscle function answer different questions. Mass asks how much tissue and reserve are available. Quality asks how well that tissue is built and metabolically regulated. Strength asks how much force the neuromuscular system can produce. Power asks how quickly force can be expressed. Performance asks whether the whole organism can translate these capacities into daily life. Healthy aging requires attention to all of them. Progressive resistance training preserves the structure and force-producing capacity of muscle; appropriately introduced power training preserves speed; aerobic exercise supports mitochondrial and vascular function; and sufficient protein provides the substrate for adaptation and recovery. The goal is not simply to carry more muscle, but to maintain muscle that is metabolically active, neurologically connected, strong, fast, and repeatedly used.

Author Information

Dr. Eugene Capitano, DC, MSc, is a chiropractor, rehabilitation clinician, and researcher with more than 25 years of clinical experience. He earned an MSc in Psychology & Neuroscience of Mental Health from King's College London and holds the ACSM Exercise is Medicine® (EIM) Credential and the ACSM Certified Personal Trainer® (ACSM-CPT®) certification. His research interests include the gut–brain–muscle axis, microbiome-targeted nutrition, resistance training, mitochondrial function, metabolic health, and healthy aging.

Affiliation: TLC NeuroMicrobiome Labs Inc., Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Interpretive note

Mortality, hospitalization, and disability findings discussed in this review are primarily observational. They support the prognostic importance of muscle strength, power, quantity, and performance, but do not establish that changing any single measure in isolation will necessarily change survival. Intervention evidence is strongest for improving strength, power, mobility, insulin sensitivity, and physical function.

References

Agergaard, J., Justesen, T. E. H., Jespersen, S. E., Tagmose Thomsen, T., Holm, L., & van Hall, G. (2023). Even or skewed dietary protein distribution is reflected in the whole-body protein net-balance in healthy older adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*, 42(6), 899-908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2023.04.004>

- Albrecht, E., Norheim, F., Thiede, B., Holen, T., Ohashi, T., Schering, L., Lee, S., Brenmoehl, J., Thomas, S., Drevon, C. A., Erickson, H. P., & Maak, S. (2015). Irisin - a myth rather than an exercise-inducible myokine. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8889. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08889>
- Areta, J. L., Burke, L. M., Ross, M. L., Camera, D. M., West, D. W. D., Broad, E. M., Jeacocke, N. A., Moore, D. R., Stellingwerff, T., Phillips, S. M., Hawley, J. A., & Coffey, V. G. (2013). Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. *The Journal of Physiology*, 591(9), 2319-2331. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2012.244897>
- Askow, A. T., Barnes, T. M., Zupancic, Z., Deutz, M. T., Salvador, A. F., McKenna, C. F., Fields, D. A., Ulanov, A. V., Paluska, S. A., Willis, K. S., De Lisio, M., Bauer, L. B., Burd, N. A., et al. (2025). Impact of vegan diets on resistance exercise-mediated myofibrillar protein synthesis in healthy young males and females: A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 57(9), 1923-1934. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000000003725>
- Bauer, J., Biolo, G., Cederholm, T., Cesari, M., Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Morley, J. E., Phillips, S., Sieber, C., Stehle, P., Teta, D., Visvanathan, R., Volpi, E., & Boirie, Y. (2013). Evidence-based recommendations for optimal dietary protein intake in older people: A position paper from the PROT-AGE Study Group. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 14(8), 542-559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2013.05.021>
- Breen, L., & Phillips, S. M. (2011). Skeletal muscle protein metabolism in the elderly: Interventions to counteract the anabolic resistance of ageing. *Nutrition & Metabolism*, 8, 68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-7075-8-68>
- Breen, L., Stokes, K. A., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Moore, D. R., Baker, S. K., Smith, K., Atherton, P. J., & Phillips, S. M. (2013). Two weeks of reduced activity decreases leg lean mass and induces anabolic resistance of myofibrillar protein synthesis in healthy elderly. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 98(6), 2604-2612. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-1502>
- Brooks, G. A., Osmond, A. D., Arevalo, J. A., Duong, J. J., Curl, C. C., Moreno-Santillan, D. D., & Leija, R. G. (2023). Lactate as a myokine and exerkine: Drivers and signals of physiology and metabolism. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 134(3), 529-548. <https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00497.2022>

- Brooks, N., Layne, J. E., Gordon, P. L., Roubenoff, R., Nelson, M. E., & Castaneda-Sceppa, C. (2007). Strength training improves muscle quality and insulin sensitivity in Hispanic older adults with type 2 diabetes. *International Journal of Medical Sciences*, 4(1), 19-27.
<https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.4.19>
- Byrne, C., Faure, C., Keene, D. J., & Lamb, S. E. (2016). Ageing, muscle power and physical function: A systematic review and implications for pragmatic training interventions. *Sports Medicine*, 46(9), 1311-1332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0489-x>
- Carro, E., Nuñez, A., Busiguina, S., & Torres-Aleman, I. (2000). Circulating insulin-like growth factor I mediates effects of exercise on the brain. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 20(8), 2926-2933.
<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-08-02926.2000>
- Cefis, M., Marcangeli, V., Hammad, R., Granet, J., Leduc-Gaudet, J.-P., Gaudreau, P., Trumpff, C., Huang, Q., Picard, M., Aubertin-Leheudre, M., Belanger, M., Robitaille, R., Morais, J. A., & Gouspillou, G. (2025). Impact of physical activity on physical function, mitochondrial energetics, ROS production, and Ca²⁺ handling across the adult lifespan in men. *Cell Reports Medicine*, 6, 101968. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2025.101968>
- Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Bahat, G., Bauer, J., Boirie, Y., Bruyere, O., Cederholm, T., Cooper, C., Landi, F., Rolland, Y., Sayer, A. A., Schneider, S. M., Sieber, C. C., Topinkova, E., Vandewoude, M., Visser, M., & Zamboni, M. (2019). Sarcopenia: Revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age and Ageing*, 48(1), 16-31. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy169>
- de Carvalho, C. J., Longo, G. Z., Kakehasi, A. M., Pereira, P. F., Segheto, K. J., Juvanhol, L. L., & Ribeiro, A. Q. (2021). Skeletal mass indices are inversely associated with metabolically unhealthy phenotype in overweight/obese and normal-weight men: A population-based cross-sectional study. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 126(4), 501-509.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114520004262>
- de Lucena Alves, C. P., de Almeida, S. B., Lima, D. P., Braga Neto, P., Miranda, A. L., Manini, T., Vlietstra, L., Waters, D. L., Bielemann, R. M., Correa-de-Araujo, R., Fayh, A. P., & Costa, E. C. (2023). Muscle quality in older adults: A scoping review. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 24(4), 462-467.e12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2023.02.012>

- de Santana, F. M., Premaor, M. O., Tanigava, N. Y., & Pereira, R. M. R. (2021). Low muscle mass in older adults and mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Experimental Gerontology*, 152, 111461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2021.111461>
- Deutz, N. E. P., Bauer, J. M., Barazzoni, R., Biolo, G., Boirie, Y., Bosy-Westphal, A., Cederholm, T., Cruz-Jentoft, A., Krznaric, Z., Nair, K. S., Singer, P., Teta, D., Tipton, K., & Calder, P. C. (2014). Protein intake and exercise for optimal muscle function with aging: Recommendations from the ESPEN Expert Group. *Clinical Nutrition*, 33(6), 929-936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2014.04.007>
- Distefano, G., Standley, R. A., Dube, J. J., Carnero, E. A., Ritov, V. B., Stefanovic-Racic, M., Toledo, F. G. S., Piva, S. R., Goodpaster, B. H., & Coen, P. M. (2017). Chronological age does not influence ex-vivo mitochondrial respiration and quality control in skeletal muscle. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 72(4), 535-542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glw102>
- El Hayek, L., Khalifeh, M., Zibara, V., Abi Assaad, R., Emmanuel, N., Karnib, N., El-Ghandour, R., Nasrallah, P., Bilen, M., Ibrahim, P., Younes, J., Abou Haidar, E., Barmo, N., Jabre, V., Stephan, J. S., & Sleiman, S. F. (2019). Lactate mediates the effects of exercise on learning and memory through SIRT1-dependent activation of hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 39(13), 2369-2383. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1661-18.2019>
- Fabel, K., Fabel, K., Tam, B., Kaufer, D., Baiker, A., Simmons, N., Kuo, C. J., & Palmer, T. D. (2003). VEGF is necessary for exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(10), 2803-2812. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2003.03041.x>
- García-Hermoso, A., Caverro-Redondo, I., Ramírez-Vélez, R., Ruiz, J. R., Ortega, F. B., Lee, D.-C., & Martínez-Vizcaíno, V. (2018). Muscular strength as a predictor of all-cause mortality in an apparently healthy population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of data from approximately 2 million men and women. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 99(10), 2100-2113.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2018.01.008>
- Goodpaster, B. H., Park, S. W., Harris, T. B., Kritchevsky, S. B., Nevitt, M., Schwartz, A. V., Simonsick, E. M., Tyllavsky, F. A., Visser, M., & Newman, A. B. (2006). The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: The Health, Aging and Body Composition

Study. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 61(10), 1059-1064.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/61.10.1059>

Grevendonk, L., Connell, N. J., McCrum, C., Fealy, C. E., Bilet, L., Bruls, Y. M. H., Mevenkamp, J., Schrauwen-Hinderling, V. B., Jorgensen, J. A., Moonen-Kornips, E., Schaart, G., Havekes, B., de Vogel-van den Bosch, J., Bragt, M. C. E., Meijer, K., Schrauwen, P., & Hoeks, J. (2021). Impact of aging and exercise on skeletal muscle mitochondrial capacity, energy metabolism, and physical function. *Nature Communications*, 12, 4773. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24956-2>

Hashimoto, T., Tsukamoto, H., Takenaka, S., Olesen, N. D., Petersen, L. G., Sørensen, H., Nielsen, H. B., Secher, N. H., & Ogoh, S. (2018). Maintained exercise-enhanced brain executive function related to cerebral lactate metabolism in men. *FASEB Journal*, 32(3), 1417-1427. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201700381RR>

Herold, F., Aye, N., Hübner, P., & Müller, N. G. (2021). Current methodological pitfalls and caveats in the assessment of exercise-induced changes in peripheral brain-derived neurotrophic factor: How result reproducibility can be improved. *Frontiers in Neuroergonomics*, 2, 678541. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnrgo.2021.678541>

Hoffmann, C., & Weigert, C. (2017). Skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ: The role of myokines in exercise adaptations. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, 7(11), a029793. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029793>

Hong, S. H., & Choi, K. M. (2020). Sarcopenic obesity, insulin resistance, and their implications in cardiovascular and metabolic consequences. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(2), 494. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21020494>

Izquierdo, M., Merchant, R. A., Morley, J. E., Anker, S. D., Aprahamian, I., Arai, H., Aubertin-Leheudre, M., Bernabei, R., Cadore, E. L., Cesari, M., Chen, L.-K., de Souto Barreto, P., Duque, G., Ferrucci, L., Fielding, R. A., Garcia-Hermoso, A., Gutierrez-Robledo, L. M., Harridge, S. D. R., Kirk, B., ... Fiatarone Singh, M. (2021). International exercise recommendations in older adults (ICFSR): Expert consensus guidelines. *Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging*, 25(7), 824-853. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-021-1665-8>

Jespersen, S. E., & Agergaard, J. (2021). Evenness of dietary protein distribution is associated with higher muscle mass but not muscle strength or protein turnover in healthy adults: A systematic

review. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 60(6), 3185-3202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-021-02487-2>

Johnson, M. L., Robinson, M. M., & Nair, K. S. (2013). Skeletal muscle aging and the mitochondria. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 24(5), 247-256.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2012.12.003>

Kim, I.-Y., Schutzler, S., Schrader, A., Spencer, H. J., Kortebein, P., Deutz, N. E. P., Wolfe, R. R., & Ferrando, A. A. (2015). Quantity of dietary protein intake, but not pattern of intake, affects net protein balance primarily through differences in protein synthesis in older adults. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 308(1), E21-E28.

<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00382.2014>

Kim, I.-Y., Schutzler, S., Schrader, A. M., Spencer, H. J., Azhar, G., Wolfe, R. R., & Ferrando, A. A. (2018). Protein intake distribution pattern does not affect anabolic response, lean body mass, muscle strength or function over 8 weeks in older adults: A randomized-controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*, 37(2), 488-493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2017.02.020>

Kostka, M., Morys, J., Malecki, A., & Nowacka-Chmielewska, M. (2024). Muscle-brain crosstalk mediated by exercise-induced myokines - insights from experimental studies. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 15, 1488375. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2024.1488375>

LaMonte, M. J., Hyde, E. T., Nguyen, S., Castro, E., Seguin-Fowler, R. A., Eaton, C. B., Miller, C. R., Di, C., Stefanick, M. L., & LaCroix, A. Z. (2026). Muscular strength and mortality in women aged 63 to 99 years. *JAMA Network Open*, 9(2), e2559367. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.59367>

Li, R., Xia, J., Zhang, X., Gathirua-Mwangi, W. G., Guo, J., Li, Y., McKenzie, S., & Song, Y. (2018). Associations of muscle mass and strength with all-cause mortality among US older adults. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 50(3), 458-467. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001448>

Liu, Z.-J., & Zhu, C.-F. (2023). Causal relationship between insulin resistance and sarcopenia. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome*, 15, 46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-023-01022-z>

Losa-Reyna, J., Alcazar, J., Carnicero, J. A., Alfaro-Acha, A., Castillo-Gallego, C., Rosado-Artalejo, C., Rodriguez-Manas, L., Ara, I., & Garcia-Garcia, F. J. (2022). Impact of relative muscle power

- on hospitalization and all-cause mortality in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 77(4), 781-789. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glab230>
- Mamerow, M. M., Mettler, J. A., English, K. L., Casperson, S. L., Arentson-Lantz, E., Sheffield-Moore, M., Layman, D. K., & Paddon-Jones, D. (2014). Dietary protein distribution positively influences 24-h muscle protein synthesis in healthy adults. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 144(6), 876-880. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.113.185280>
- Manini, T. M., & Clark, B. C. (2012). Dynapenia and aging: An update. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 67A(1), 28-40. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glr010>
- Matthews, V. B., Åström, M. B., Chan, M. H. S., Bruce, C. R., Krabbe, K. S., Prelovsek, O., Åkerström, T., Yfanti, C., Broholm, C., Mortensen, O. H., Penkowa, M., Hojman, P., Zankari, A., Watt, M. J., Bruunsgaard, H., Pedersen, B. K., & Febbraio, M. A. (2009). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is produced by skeletal muscle cells in response to contraction and enhances fat oxidation via activation of AMP-activated protein kinase. *Diabetologia*, 52(7), 1409-1418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-009-1364-1>
- McKendry, J., Coletta, G., Nunes, E. A., Lim, C., & Phillips, S. M. (2024). Mitigating disuse-induced skeletal muscle atrophy in ageing: Resistance exercise as a critical countermeasure. *Experimental Physiology*, 109(10), 1650-1662. <https://doi.org/10.1113/EP091937>
- McKendry, J., Stokes, T., McLeod, J. C., & Phillips, S. M. (2021). Resistance exercise, aging, disuse, and muscle protein metabolism. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 11(3), 2249-2278. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c200029>
- Merz, K. E., & Thurmond, D. C. (2020). Role of skeletal muscle in insulin resistance and glucose uptake. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 10(3), 785-809. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c190029>
- Mitchell, W. K., Williams, J., Atherton, P., Larvin, M., Lund, J., & Narici, M. (2012). Sarcopenia, dynapenia, and the impact of advancing age on human skeletal muscle size and strength: A quantitative review. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 3, 260. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00260>
- Moon, H. Y., Becke, A., Berron, D., Becker, B., Sah, N., Benoni, G., Janke, E., Lubejko, S. T., Greig, N. H., Mattison, J. A., Düzel, E., & van Praag, H. (2016). Running-induced systemic cathepsin B secretion is associated with memory function. *Cell Metabolism*, 24(2), 332-340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.025>

- Moore, D. R., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Witard, O., Breen, L., Burd, N. A., Tipton, K. D., & Phillips, S. M. (2015). Protein ingestion to stimulate myofibrillar protein synthesis requires greater relative protein intakes in healthy older versus younger men. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 70(1), 57-62. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glu103>
- Morgan, P. T., Witard, O. C., Højfeldt, G., Church, D. D., & Breen, L. (2025). Dietary protein recommendations to support healthy muscle ageing in the 21st century and beyond: Considerations and future directions. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 84(3), 245-258. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665123003750>
- Morland, C., Andersson, K. A., Haugen, Ø. P., Hadzic, A., Kleppa, L., Gille, A., Rinholm, J. E., Palibrk, V., Diget, E. H., Kennedy, L. H., Stølen, T., Hennestad, E., Moldestad, O., Cai, Y., Puchades, M., Offermanns, S., Vervaeke, K., Bjørås, M., Wisløff, U., ... Bergersen, L. H. (2017). Exercise induces cerebral VEGF and angiogenesis via the lactate receptor HCAR1. *Nature Communications*, 8, 15557. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15557>
- Morrison, R. T., Taylor, S., Buckley, J., Twist, C., & Kite, C. (2023). High-velocity power training has similar effects to traditional resistance training for functional performance in older adults: A systematic review. *Journal of Physiotherapy*, 69(3), 148-159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2023.05.018>
- Morton, R. W., Murphy, K. T., McKellar, S. R., Schoenfeld, B. J., Henselmans, M., Helms, E., Aragon, A. A., Devries, M. C., Banfield, L., Krieger, J. W., & Phillips, S. M. (2018). A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of the effect of protein supplementation on resistance training-induced gains in muscle mass and strength in healthy adults. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(6), 376-384. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097608>
- Newman, A. B., Kupelian, V., Visser, M., Simonsick, E. M., Goodpaster, B. H., Kritchevsky, S. B., Tylavsky, F. A., Rubin, S. M., & Harris, T. B. (2006). Strength, but not muscle mass, is associated with mortality in the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study cohort. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 61(1), 72-77. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/61.1.72>
- Park, S. W., Goodpaster, B. H., Strotmeyer, E. S., de Rekeneire, N., Harris, T. B., Schwartz, A. V., Tylavsky, F. A., & Newman, A. B. (2006). Decreased muscle strength and quality in older adults with type 2 diabetes: The Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Diabetes*, 55(6), 1813-1818. <https://doi.org/10.2337/db05-1183>

- Pennings, B., Koopman, R., Beelen, M., Senden, J. M. G., Saris, W. H. M., & van Loon, L. J. C. (2011). Exercising before protein intake allows for greater use of dietary protein-derived amino acids for de novo muscle protein synthesis in both young and elderly men. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 93(2), 322-331. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29649>
- Petersen, A. M. W., & Pedersen, B. K. (2005). The anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 98(4), 1154-1162. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00164.2004>
- Reid, K. F., & Fielding, R. A. (2012). Skeletal muscle power: A critical determinant of physical functioning in older adults. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 40(1), 4-12. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JES.0b013e31823b5f13>
- Sayers, S. P., & Gibson, K. (2014). High-speed power training in older adults: A shift of the external resistance at which peak power is produced. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28(3), 616-621. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a361b8>
- Schnyder, S., & Handschin, C. (2015). Skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ: PGC-1alpha, myokines and exercise. *Bone*, 80, 115-125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2015.02.008>
- Seino, S., Kitamura, A., Abe, T., Taniguchi, Y., Murayama, H., Amano, H., Nishi, M., Nofuji, Y., Yokoyama, Y., Narita, M., Fujiwara, Y., & Shinkai, S. (2022). Dose-response relationships of sarcopenia parameters with incident disability and mortality in older Japanese adults. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 13(2), 932-944. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12958>
- Severinsen, M. C. K., & Pedersen, B. K. (2020). Muscle-organ crosstalk: The emerging roles of myokines. *Endocrine Reviews*, 41(4), 594-609. <https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnaa016>
- Shou, J., Chen, P.-J., & Xiao, W.-H. (2020). Mechanism of increased risk of insulin resistance in aging skeletal muscle. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome*, 12, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-020-0523-x>
- Sjøberg, K. A., Frøsig, C., Kjøbsted, R., Sylow, L., Kleinert, M., Betik, A. C., Shaw, C. S., Kiens, B., Wojtaszewski, J. F. P., Rattigan, S., Richter, E. A., & McConell, G. K. (2021). The many actions of insulin in skeletal muscle, the paramount tissue determining glycemia. *Cell Metabolism*, 33(4), 758-780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2021.03.020>

- Srikanthan, P., & Karlamangla, A. S. (2014). Muscle mass index as a predictor of longevity in older adults. *American Journal of Medicine*, 127(6), 547-553.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.02.007>
- Tavares, H., Roschel, H., Felício, V., Nobrega, A., Hevia-Larraín, V., Gonçalves, L. S., Ar Barros, T., Costa, A. S., & Nascimento, E. (2025). Effects of daily protein intake frequency during 8 weeks of resistance training on lean mass and strength adaptations: A randomized non-controlled clinical trial. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 65(10), 1337-1345.
<https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.25.16698-X>
- Trejo, J. L., Carro, E., & Torres-Aleman, I. (2001). Circulating insulin-like growth factor I mediates exercise-induced increases in the number of new neurons in the adult hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 21(5), 1628-1634. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-05-01628.2001>
- Trommelen, J., van Lieshout, G. A. A., Nyakayiru, J., Holwerda, A. M., Smeets, J. S. J., Hendriks, F. K., van Kranenburg, J. M. X., Zorenc, A. H., Senden, J. M., Goessens, J. P. B., Gijzen, A. P., & van Loon, L. J. C. (2023). The anabolic response to protein ingestion during recovery from exercise has no upper limit in magnitude and duration in vivo in humans. *Cell Reports Medicine*, 4(12), 101324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101324>
- van Hall, G., Strømstad, M., Rasmussen, P., Jans, Ø., Zaar, M., Gam, C., Quistorff, B., Secher, N. H., & Nielsen, H. B. (2009). Blood lactate is an important energy source for the human brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, 29(6), 1121-1129.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2009.35>
- Wall, B. T., Gorissen, S. H. M., Pennings, B., Koopman, R., Groen, B. B. L., Verdijk, L. B., & van Loon, L. J. C. (2015). Aging is accompanied by a blunted muscle protein synthetic response to protein ingestion. *PLOS ONE*, 10(11), e0140903. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140903>
- Wang, D. X. M., Yao, J., Zirek, Y., Reijnierse, E. M., & Maier, A. B. (2020). Muscle mass, strength, and physical performance predicting activities of daily living: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 11(1), 3-25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12502>
- Xue, Y., Hu, T., Shi, Y., Wang, Y., Ma, X., & Bao, Y. (2023). Association of skeletal muscle mass and its change with diabetes occurrence: A population-based cohort study. *BMC Public Health*, 23, 466. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15315-7>

- Yang, X., Brobst, D., Chan, W. S., Tse, M. C. L., Herlea-Pana, O., Ahuja, P., Bi, X., Zaw, A. M., Kwong, Z. S. W., Jia, W. H., Zhang, Z., Zhang, N., Chow, S. K. H., Cheung, W. H., Louie, J. C. Y., Griffin, T. M., Nong, W., Hui, J. H. L., Du, G., ... Chan, C. B. (2019). Muscle-generated BDNF is a sexually dimorphic myokine that controls metabolic flexibility. *Science Signaling*, 12(594), eaau1468. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aau1468>
- Yasuda, J., Tomita, T., Arimitsu, T., & Fujita, S. (2020). Evenly distributed protein intake over 3 meals augments resistance exercise-induced muscle hypertrophy in healthy young men. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 150(7), 1845-1851. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxaa101>
- Zaromskyte, G., Prokopidis, K., Ioannidis, T., Tipton, K. D., & Witard, O. C. (2021). Evaluating the leucine trigger hypothesis to explain the post-prandial regulation of muscle protein synthesis in young and older adults: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 8, 685165. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.685165>