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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## OVERVIEW

The purpose of this report update is to identify the potential traffic impact associated with the proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion project located in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County, New York.

The proposed landfill expansion site is located along the south side of Dodge Road between Wiltsie Road and Anderson Road/Sandberg Road. The proposed landfill expansion construction is anticipated to begin during the summer of 2015, and the life of the facility is approximately 15 years. The expansion will correspond to a maximum average waste acceptance rate of 1,000 tons per day. Access to the proposed landfill is proposed via one existing full access driveway on Dodge Road located approximately 8,000 feet southeast of Wiltsie Road. The study area consists of four (4) existing intersections. A comprehensive inventory of the existing roadway network operations was developed and peak period traffic volume data were obtained by SRF \& Associates (SRF).

Town of Carroll officials were contacted in an effort to include traffic generated from other developments in the area that are currently approved or under construction. No nearby developments were identified. A growth rate of I.0\% per year, used to project 2015 and 2030 background traffic conditions, was derived by considering historical traffic growth near the proposed landfill site.

Site generated traffic volumes for the proposed landfill expansion are projected and distributed to the network based on specified truck routes. Existing, background (2015 and 2030 future nobuild) and full development ( 2015 and 2030 future build) traffic conditions were evaluated at each study area intersection for the proposed landfill expansion. The operating characteristics of the access drives and impacts to safety and the adjacent roadway network are identified and mitigating measures are provided to minimize any capacity or safety concerns.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study addresses the traffic impact that can be expected from the proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion project in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County as described in this Report. It indicates that the existing driveways can adequately accommodate the projected traffic volumes and resulting impacts to study area intersections. The following list summarizes conclusions and recommendations to be considered as a result of this proposed landfill expansion:
I. The proposed landfill expansion is expected to generate approximately 34 total trips with 30 trucks during the AM peak hour, and 27 trips with 25 trucks during the PM peak hour.
2. The proposed site driveway on Dodge Road should be constructed with one exiting and one entering lane and should be stop sign controlled.
3. An engineering assessment of the roadways, including Dodge Road, (performed by C\&S Companies and Daigler Engineering) and bridges (performed by the NYSDOT) to be used by the facility has been undertaken and is included in the DEIS report.
4. Sight distance measurements indicate that minimum requirements are exceeded at all four study intersections and the proposed site driveway.
5. Based upon the accident analyses and projected traffic volumes for both trucks and passenger vehicles, the proposed Landfill expansion will not have a significant adverse impact on safety at any of the study area intersections and there are no existing apparent traffic safety deficiencies within the study area.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report update is to identify the potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion project located in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County, New York. The operating characteristics of the proposed access points and impacts to the adjacent roadway network are identified.

In an effort to define traffic impact, this analysis determines the extent of existing traffic conditions, projects background traffic flow including area growth, and projects changes in traffic flow due to operation of the proposed landfill expansion.

## II. LOCATION

The proposed site is bounded by Dodge Road to the north and east, single family residential uses to the east and south, Sandberg Road to the south and vacant lands to the west and north in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County, New York. The site is currently mostly vacant. The site location and study area are illustrated in Figure I - Site Location and Study Area (all figures are included at the end of this report).

## III. EXISTING HIGHWAY SYSTEM

The study area roadway system identified for investigation includes portions of Frew Run Road (County Road 34), NY Route 62, Wiltsie Road and Dodge Road. Four (4) existing intersections are studied in detail in this report as follows:
I. Route 62/CR 34/Institute Street
2. CR 317-Falconer Street/Route 62
3. CR 34/Wiltsie Road
4. Wiltsie Road/Dodge Road

NY Route 62 (Main Street/lvory St.) is a north/south highway and is owned and maintained by the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT). The highway is functionally classified as a rural arterial type highway with a posted speed limit of 35 mph in the Village of Frewsburg. The highway consists of one lane in each direction with a center turn lane in the vicinity of Frew Run Road between Institute and Falconer Streets. According to the most recent traffic volume data collected by the New York State Department of
 Transportation (NYSDOT) in 2012, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) along Route 62 approximately 50 ' north of Falconer St is 3,840 vehicles per day (vpd). The roadway section features 12 feet travel lanes, 10 feet on-street parking, 5 feet sidewalk, and a 10 feet two way left turn lane.

Frew Run Road (CR 34) is owned and maintained by Chautauqua County with a posted speed limit of 35 mph closer to NY Route 62 and 55 mph to the south. Frew Run Road is generally an east-west highway that extends from US 62 \& CR 55/CH 3I7, Frewsburg to the Cattaraugus Co. line. The highway consists of one travel lane in each direction. According to the most recent traffic volume data collected by SRF \& Associates (SRF) in 201I, the AADT along Route 34 approximately 2 miles northwest of Wiltsie Road is
 I,379 vpd.

Wiltsie Road is a north/south local roadway under the jurisdiction of the Town of Carroll with a posted speed limit of 45 mph . The highway consists of one travel lane in each direction. According to the most recent traffic volume data collected by SRF in 201I, the AADT along Wiltsie Road between Route 34 and Dodge Road is 496 vpd.

Dodge Road is generally an east-west local roadway under the jurisdiction of the Town of Carroll with a posted speed limit of 45 mph . The highway
 consists of one travel lane in each direction. According to the most recent traffic volume data collected by SRF in 201I, the AADT along Dodge Road, 1.6 miles east of Wiltsie Road is 134 vpd .

Existing Average Daily Traffic (ADT) information was recently collected by SRF and was also obtained from the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) Traffic Volume Report 2012 and NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer Website. Figure 2 illustrates the roadway geometry at each of the study intersections and the ADT volumes on the study roadways.

## IV. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## A. Peak Intervals for Analysis

Given the functional characteristics of the corridor and the land use proposed for the site (Carroll Landfill Expansion), the peak hours selected for analysis are the weekday commuter AM and PM peaks. The combination of site traffic and adjacent through traffic produces the greatest demand during these time periods.

## B. Existing Traffic Volume Data

Weekday AM (6:30am-9:00am) peak hour volumes were collected by Daigler Engineering and the Weekday PM (2:30pm-5:30pm) peak hour volumes were collected by SRF \& Associates (SRF) at the study area intersections identified above on June 14, 201I. The 2011 existing counts were compared with the historical counts from NYSDOT in 2012 on Route 62 approximately 50 ' north of Falconer Street.

The peak hour traffic periods generally occurred between 7:00 to 8:00 AM and 4:15 to $5: 15$ PM on weekdays. All traffic volumes were reviewed to confirm the accuracy and relative balance of the collective traffic counts. Volumes on Route 62 and Wiltsie Road were balanced to account for the differences between the intersections. All traffic volumes were found to balance within the network within reasonable and expected variations.

Historical counts between 2011 and 2014 were reviewed. No growth in traffic has occurred since the 2011 data collection and in many cases traffic volumes have actually decreased in the study area. Therefore no adjustments were made to the 2011 traffic volumes. These volumes are now considered the 2014 existing base volumes for analysis purposes in this report. The 2014 existing base conditions are reflected in Figure 3.

## C. Field Observations

All intersections included in the project area were observed during peak intervals to assess existing traffic operating conditions at each intersection. Windshield and travel surveys were performed on the identified truck routes to ascertain any apparent physical, safety, or operational deficiencies associated with these corridors. No deficiencies or areas of concern were noted. It is noted that the trucks will travel through intersections where school children cross. Truck traffic related to the proposed landfill will be infrequent during times when school children are crossing based upon the trip generation provided in Section VI.B. of this report.

## D. Average Daily Traffic

SRF \& Associates (SRF) collected 24-hour machine count data on County Road 34 (Frew Run Road), Wiltsie Road and Dodge Road on Thursday, June 23rd, 2011. Figures A, B and C graphically illustrate the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) at the three locations.


Figure A. Average Daily Traffic - County Touring Route 34


Figure B. Average Daily Traffic - Wiltsie Road

Dodge Road, 1.6 miles SE of Wiltsie Road (June 23rd, 2011)


Time
----Northbound Southbound
Figure C. Average Daily Traffic - Dodge Road

## E. Existing Accident Investigation

Accident reports for the intersections along Route 62, CR 34 (Frew Run Street) and Wiltsie Road were investigated to assess the safety history. The accidents included in the current review occurred during a three-year time period from January 2008 through December 2010. This is the most recent accident data available, as provided by NYSDOT. During this period, six reportable accidents were documented at the intersections and segments along Route 62 and CR 34 (Frew Run Street) included in the study area.

Table I summarizes accidents occurring at each intersection and the segments within the study area. Based on the number of accidents at each intersection and the segments, accident rates were calculated and compared to the statewide average for similar facilities. The calculated rates and comparison to statewide averages are also summarized in Table I. Accident rate calculations are included in the Appendix. Intersection rates are listed as accidents per million entering vehicles (ACC/MEV).

TABLEI
SUMMARYOF ACCIDENTSAND COMPARISON OF RATES

| Intersection | Number of <br> Accidents | Actual <br> Rate | Statewide <br> Average Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 62/CR 34/Institute Street | I | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| CR 317-Falconer Street/Route 62 | I | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| CR 34/Wiltsie Road | 0 | 0 | 0.10 |
| Wiltsie Road/Dodge Road | 1 | 0.38 | 2.14 |
| US Route 62 between Frew Run St and <br> Mattison St. | 1 | 2.10 | 2.14 |
| US Route 62 between Frew Run St and <br> Hazzard Road | 2 | 0.42 | 2.14 |
| Frew Run Street between Route 62 and <br> Wiltsie Road | 0 | 0 | 2.14 |
| Wiltsie Road between Frew Run Street <br> and Dodge Road |  | 0.10 |  |

Calculated accident rates at all of the intersections included in the accident investigation are below the state wide average accident rates for similar facilities published by NYSDOT. Given that there were only six collisions in the entire study area for the last three years with no identifiable accident patterns, no further investigation is necessary. There are no apparent traffic safety deficiencies within the study area.

## V. FUTURE AREA DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL GROWTH

The proposed landfill expansion construction is anticipated to begin in the summer of 2015 with operations beginning at the end of 2015 ; it is estimated that the useable life of the expansion is approximately 15 years. As such, for purposes of this analysis, traffic projections within the study area have been generated to determine 2015 and 2030 conditions. Town of Carroll officials were contacted to discuss current projects within the project study area that are currently under construction and/or have been accepted for final approval. No nearby developments were identified.

Review of NYSDOT historical Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes along Route 62 indicates fluctuations in traffic volumes between 1999 and 2012. The segment of Route 62 approximately 50 feet north of Falconer Street has decreased $0.7 \%$ over the past 13 years. To account for normal increases in background traffic growth, including any unforeseen developments in the project study area, a conservative growth rate of $1 \%$ per year for one year (2015) and 15 years (2030) was used for purposes of this analysis. The 2015 and 2030 background traffic volumes are depicted in Figure 4A and 4B. All supporting documentation is included in the appendix of this report.

## VI. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## A. Description

The proposed landfill expansion site is located along the south side of Dodge Road between Wiltsie Road and Anderson Road/Sandberg Road in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County, New York. The proposed landfill expansion construction is anticipated to begin in the summer of 2015 with operations beginning at the end of 2015 ; it is estimated that the useable life of the expansion is approximately 15 years. The expansion will correspond to a maximum average waste acceptance rate of I,000 tons per day. Access to the landfill gate will be on Dodge Road through an improved driveway located approximately 8,000 feet southeast of Wiltsie Road.

## B. Site Traffic Generation

The next step in the evaluation is to determine the additional traffic attributable to the proposed landfill expansion. The expansion will correspond to a maximum average waste acceptance rate of 1,000 tons per day. This, combined with normal landfill operations, will generate various site traffic including waste haul trucks, leachate hauling trucks, operation employees, construction worker's vehicles, recycle trucks, oil and fuel delivery, soil material trucks and other operational and construction vehicles. Information provided by Daigler Engineering and Sealand Waste LLC, found in the DEIS, regarding the projected trip data from the Carroll landfill site, as well as historical trip data from other landfill sites in western New York obtained by SRF were used for estimating trips regarding the number of employees, landfill trucks, construction related vehicles, and delivery/sales trucks during the peak hours.

When a new section of the landfill is being constructed, materials are brought on site, such as processed stone and geosynthetics. Additional traffic associated with these construction activities is not a year round occurrence; only on an as-needed basis when a new cell is being constructed. Construction material is primarily transported to the landfill via dump trucks and flat bed trucks. Construction related trucks are assumed to take the same route as the landfill trucks provided by the developer Interstate 86, US Route 62, Frew Run Road (CR 34), Wiltsie Road and Dodge Road. Trucks entering the landfill can arrive no earlier than 5:00 AM and the last load is generally accepted at the landfill no later than 6:00 PM. Based on our experience with other similar sites, it is assumed that there will be five (5) construction related trucks entering and five (5) trucks exiting the landfill site.

The trip rate for the peak hour of the generator may or may not coincide in time or volume with the trip rate for the peak hour of adjacent street traffic. Volumes generated during the peak hour of adjacent street traffic, in this case, the weekday AM and PM peaks, represent a more critical volume when analyzing the capacity of the system; those intervals will provide the basis of this analysis. The volume of traffic generated by a site is based on the land use and size of the development. However, the proposed landfill expansion is based on the average waste acceptance rate, which in this case is a maximum average of $\mathrm{I}, 000$ tons per day. Trip generation is an estimate of the number of trips generated by a specific building or land use. These trips represent the volume of traffic entering and exiting the driveway. Trip generation estimates, based on the information obtained from the developer and SRF were used to derive trip generation estimates for the proposed landfill expansion. Table II
summarizes the volume of projected trips for the weekday AM and PM peak hour. All trip generation calculations are included in Appendix A2 of this report.

TABLE II
SITE GENERATED TRIPS

| DESCRIPTION | AM PEAK |  | PM PEAK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENTER | EXIT | ENTER | EXIT |
| Employee | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Landfill Trucks | 10 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| Delivery/Sales | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Construction Related Trucks | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 I}$ | 16 |

## C. Site Traffic Distribution

The cumulative effect of site traffic on the transportation network is dependent on the origins and destinations of that traffic and the location of the access drive serving the site. The information regarding the proposed arrival/departure distribution of the employees, delivery truck and the landfill truck traffic to be generated at this site was obtained from the developer. All employees, delivery and truck traffic to be generated at the proposed landfill site arriving and departing from/to the east and west will use Interstate 86 (I-86), head south on US Route 62, east on Frew Run Road(CR 34), south on Wiltsie Road and east on Dodge Road to the landfill site. The traffic from the north and south will use US Route 62.

State and Interstate highways are designed to accommodate heavy vehicles (pavement thickness, bridges, and underpasses), whereas local roadways such as Frew Run Road, Wiltsie Road and Dodge Road may not be designed to accommodate higher percentages of heavy truck traffic. An engineering assessment of the roadways, including Dodge Road, (performed by C\&S Companies and Dailger Engineering) and bridges (performed by the NYSDOT) to be used by the facility has been undertaken and is included in the DEIS.

Figure 5 shows the anticipated trip distribution pattern percentages for full build out of the proposed landfill expansion. Figure 6 shows the resulting total site generated traffic as assigned to the site driveways and study area intersections for the weekday AM and PM peak hour periods under full build out conditions.

## VII. FULL DEVELOPMENT VOLUMES

The projected design hour traffic volumes were developed for the weekday AM and PM peak hours by combining the future 2015 and 2030 background traffic conditions (Figure 4A and 4B), and projected site generated volumes for the landfill expansion site (Figure 6) to yield the total traffic conditions expected at full operation. Figure 7A and 7B shows the total weekday AM and PM peak hour volumes anticipated for the proposed development under 2015 and 2030 full build out conditions.

## VIII. CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Capacity analysis is a technique used for determining a measure of effectiveness for a section of roadway and/or intersection based on the number of vehicles during a specific time period. The measure of effectiveness used for the capacity analysis is referred to as a Level of Service (LOS). Levels of Service are calculated to provide an indication of the amount of delay that a motorist experiences while traveling along a roadway or through an intersection. Since the most amount of delay to motorists usually occurs at intersections, capacity analysis typically focuses on intersections, as opposed to highway segments.

Six Levels of Service are defined for analysis purposes. They are assigned letter designations, from "A" to "F", with LOS "A" representing the best conditions and LOS "F" the worst. Suggested ranges of service capacity and an explanation of Levels of Service are included in the Appendix. The standard procedure for capacity analysis of signalized and unsignalized intersections is outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 20IO) published by the Transportation Research Board. Traffic analysis software, Synchro 7, which is based on procedures and methodologies contained in the HCM 2000, was used to analyze operating conditions at study area intersections. The procedure yields a Level of Service (LOS) based on the HCM 2000 as an indicator of how well intersections operate.

Existing operating conditions during the peak study periods are evaluated to determine a basis for comparison with the projected future conditions. The future traffic conditions generated by the landfill expansion were analyzed to assess the operations of the intersections in the study area. Capacity results for existing, background, and full development conditions are listed in Table III. The discussion following the table summarizes capacity conditions. All capacity analysis calculations are included in the Appendix.

TABLE III: CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS

| INTERSECTION | EXISTINGCONDITIONS |  | 2015 CONDITIONS |  |  |  | 2030 CONDITIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BACKGROUND CONDITIONS |  | FULLDEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS |  | BACKGROUND CONDITIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FULL } \\ \text { DEVELOPMENT } \\ \text { CONDITIONS } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Institute St. / Ivory Street (Rte 62) (U) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound - Institute Street | B(II.3) | C(16.9) | B(II.4) | C(17.6) | B(I2.I) | C(19.0) | B(I2.0) | C(20.5) | B(12.8) | C(22.5) |
| Westbound - CR 34 (Frew Run Street) | B(II.4) | C(16.2) | B(II.5) | C(16.7) | B(I2.1) | C(17.2) | B(I2.2) | C(19.4) | B(I2.9) | C(20.1) |
| Northbound Left - Main Street (Rte 62) | A(0.3) | A(0.6) | A(0.3) | A(0.6) | A(0.3) | $\mathrm{A}(0.6)$ | A(0.3) | A(0.7) | A(0.3) | A(0.7) |
| Southbound Left - Ivory Street (Rte 62) | A(1.3) | A(1.4) | A(1.4) | A(1.4) | A(2.4) | A(1.8) | A(1.4) | A(1.5) | A(2.4) | A(1.9) |
| Falconer St. I Ivory Street (Rte 62) (U) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound - Falconer Street | A(9.9) | B(11.5) | A(9.9) | B(11.7) | B(I0.I) | B(II.9) | B(10.3) | B(12.6) | B(10.5) | B(12.8) |
| Northbound Left - Ivory Street (Rte 62) | A(3.8) | A(2.2) | A(3.8) | A(2.3) | A(3.7) | A(2.2) | A(3.9) | A(2.4) | A(3.8) | A(2.3) |
| CR 34 (Frew Run) / Wiltsie Road (U) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left - CR 34 (Frew Run St.) | A(0.9) | A(I.I) | A(0.9) | A(I.I) | A(0.9) | A(I.I) | A(0.9) | A(1.2) | A(0.9) | A(1.2) |
| Northbound - Wiltsie Road | A(9.0) | A(9.1) | $\mathrm{A}(9.0)$ | A(9.1) | A(9.5) | A(10.0) | A(9.1) | A(9.2) | $\mathrm{A}(9.6)$ | B(10.1) |
| Dodge Road / Wiltsie Road (U) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound - Dodge Road | A(8.4) | A(8.6) | A(8.4) | A(8.6) | A(8.9) | A(9.4) | A(8.4) | A(8.6) | A(8.8) | A(9.4) |
| Southbound Left - Wiltsie Road | A(2.6) | A(4.5) | A(2.6) | A(4.6) | A(5.9) | A(5.6) | A(2.7) | A(4.5) | A(5.8) | A(5.4) |
| Dodge Road / Proposed Site Dr. (U) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound - Proposed Site Dr. | NA | NA | NA | NA | A(9.7) | A(9.9) | NA | NA | A(9.8) | A(9.9) |

Notes:
(U) = Unsignalized intersection

B(II.3) = Level of Service (Delay in seconds per vehicle)

Institute St. I Ivory Street (Route 62)/ CR 34 (Frew Run Street)
All approaches at the Institute St. /Ivory Street/Frew Run Street intersection operate at an average LOS " C " or better under existing, 2015 background, 2015 future conditions, 2030 background, and 2030 future conditions. No change in level of service is expected as a result of the development. No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.

## Falconer St. / Ivory Street (Route 62)

All approaches at the Falconer St. /lvory Street (Route 62) intersection operate at LOS "B" or better under existing, 2015 background, 2015 future conditions, 2030 background, and 2030 future conditions. The eastbound approach (Falconer Street) is projected to decline from LOS " $A$ " to " $B$ " during the AM peak hour between the 2015 background and 2015 full development conditions. The decrease in the LOS is related to borderline conditions (i.e. the delay was approaching thresholds that define differences in the letter designations for level of service) and the actual change in delay is 0.2 seconds per vehicle. No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.

## CR 34 (Frew Run) / Wiltsie Road

All approaches at the CR 34 (Frew Run)/Wiltsie Road_intersection operate at an above average LOS "A" under existing, 2015 background, 2015 future conditions, 2030 background, and 2030 future conditions. The northbound approach decreases from LOS "A" to " $B$ " between 2015 future conditions and 2030 future conditions during the PM peak hour. However, this condition is borderline, as the threshold between LOS " A " and " B " is 10.0 seconds; the actual increase in delay is 0.1 seconds. No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.

## Dodge Road /Wiltsie Road

All approaches at the Dodge Road/Wiltsie Road_intersection operate at an above average LOS " $A$ " under existing, 2015 background, 2015 future conditions, 2030 background, and 2030 future conditions. No changes in LOS are anticipated on any of the approaches between the background and full development conditions. No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection.

## Dodge Road / Proposed Site Dr.

The proposed site driveway on Dodge Road is projected to operate at an above average LOS "A" on all approaches during both peaks under 2015 and 2030 full development condition. The driveway should be constructed to meet the requirements of the largest design vehicle expected to use the proposed site.

## IX. SIGHT DISTANCE ANALYSIS

Sight distances were investigated at all four existing study intersections and the proposed driveway on Dodge Road. Sight distance is provided at intersections to allow drivers to perceive the presence of potentially conflicting vehicles. This should occur in sufficient time for a motorist to stop or adjust their speed, as appropriate, to avoid a collision at the intersection. Sight distance is also provided at intersections to allow the drivers of stopped vehicles a sufficient view of the intersecting highway to anticipate and avoid potential incidents. If the available sight distance for an entering or crossing vehicle is at least equal to the appropriate stopping sight distance for the major road, then drivers have sufficient sight distance to anticipate and avoid collisions. To enhance traffic operations, intersection sight distances that exceed stopping sight distances are desirable along the major road.

A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), 2004, was used as a reference to establish the required stopping sight distance and desirable intersection sight distance for the proposed site driveway.

Required stopping distances and desirable intersection sight distances are based on the design speed for a given section of roadway; generally the design speed is the posted speed limit plus 5 mph. For example, the posted speed limit along US Route 62, Frew Run Road, Wiltsie Road and Dodge Road is $35 \mathrm{mph}, 55 \mathrm{mph}, 45 \mathrm{mph}$ and 45 mph in the vicinity of the site. Hence design speeds of $40 \mathrm{mph}, 60 \mathrm{mph}, 50 \mathrm{mph}$ and 50 mph were used. The required stopping distance and desirable intersection sight distance based on the design speed are shown in Table IV.

TABLEIV
SIGHT DISTANCE REQUIREMENT AND MEASUREMENTS

| INTERSECTION | Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit <br> (mph) | Design Speed (mph) | Desirable Intersection Sight Distance for Left Turn from Stop (ft) | Required <br> Stopping Sight Distance (ft) | Available Sight Distance (ft) to the: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Left | Right |
| Falconer St. at US Route 62 Passenger Cars Combination Trucks | 35 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 445^{\prime} \\ & 680^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 ’ \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | >1,000 | ~650' |
| Frew Run Road at US Route 62 Passenger Cars Combination Trucks | 35 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 445 \\ & 680^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 305 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 575$ ' | >1,000 |
| Wiltsie Road at Frew Run Road Passenger Cars Combination Trucks | 55 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 665 ' \\ & 1015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 570^{\prime} \\ & 570^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | >1,400 | > 1,400' |
| Dodge Road at Wiltsie Road Passenger Cars Combination Trucks | 45 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 555^{\prime} \\ & 845 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 425^{\prime} \\ & 425^{\prime} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | > 1,200 | $\sim 800^{\prime}$ |
| Landfill Entrance at Dodge Road Passenger Cars Combination Trucks | 45 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 555^{\prime} \\ & 845 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 425^{\prime} \\ & 425^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 80{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim 340^{\prime} \\ \operatorname{SSD} \sim 615^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |

The available sight distance exceeds the required stopping sight distance at all of the existing intersections and at the proposed site driveway location. At the Dodge Road \& Landfill Entrance the available intersection sight distance is obstructed by the horizontal and vertical curvature of Dodge Road. However the available stopping sight distance, which is measured to an object in the travel lane as opposed to a vehicle exiting the driveway, exceeds the required stopping sight distance. Desirable intersection sight distances are exceeded at most locations as indicated in the table above.

## X. SIMTRAFFIC TRAFFIC SIMULATION MODELING

A SimTraffic traffic simulation model was developed for the AM and PM peak hour condition under the existing, background and full development conditions. SimTraffic is a microscopic, multi-purpose traffic simulation program which has the ability to animate the conditions and
behavior of vehicles traversing the transportation network.
This visualization was created to be used as an educational tool to demonstrate to the public and review agency officials, the expected traffic conditions showing the impact of landfill trucks at the Route 62/CR 34/Institute Street and CR 3I7-Falconer Street/Route 62 intersections from the proposed landfill expansion. The simulation may be made available for review at public hearings.

## XI. LINK EVALUATION

Roadway links connecting the study intersections were evaluated in terms of capacity conditions. The segments of US Route 62 between CR 34 /Institute St. and Falconer Street and Wiltsie Road between CR 34 (Frew Run St.) and Dodge Rd are major-street approaches to their respective intersections. Through vehicles on these approaches will experience zero delay and hence will operate at LOS " $A$ " in both directions on both segments. Other roadway links in the study area are impeded only at the intersections, which are evaluated above.

## XII. CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

This study addresses the traffic impact that can be expected from the proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion project in the Town of Carroll, Chautauqua County as described in this Report. It indicates that the existing driveways can adequately accommodate the projected traffic volumes and resulting impacts to study area intersections. The following list summarizes conclusions and recommendations to be considered as a result of this proposed landfill expansion:
I. The proposed landfill expansion is expected to generate approximately 34 total trips with 30 trucks during the AM peak hour, and 27 trips with 25 trucks during the PM peak hour.
2. The proposed site driveway on Dodge Road should be constructed with one exiting and one entering lane and should be stop sign controlled.
3. An engineering assessment of the roadways, including Dodge Road, (performed by C\&S Companies and Daigler Engineering) and bridges (performed by the NYSDOT) to be used by the facility has been undertaken and is included in the DEIS report.
4. Sight distance measurements indicate that minimum requirements are exceeded at all four study intersections and the proposed site driveway.
5. Based upon the accident analyses and projected traffic volumes for both trucks and passenger vehicles, the proposed Landfill expansion will not have a significant adverse impact on safety at any of the study area intersections and there are no existing apparent traffic safety deficiencies within the study area.

## XIII. FIGURES

Figures I through 7B are included on the following pages.

## FIGURE 1 - SITE LOCATION AND STUDY AREA











## A1

## Collected Traffic Volume Data

| Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - School Buses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tht Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IVORY RD MAIN ST Southbound |  |  |  | INSTITUTE ST Westbound |  |  |  | IVORY RD MAIN ST Northbound |  |  |  | INSTITUTE ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds |  |
| 02:30 PM | 9 | 79 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 187 |
| 02:45 PM | 7 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 69 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 180 |
| Total | 16 | 129 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 121 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 367 |
| 03:00 PM | 2 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 162 |
| 03:15 PM | 15 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 184 |
| 03:30 PM | 8 | 55 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 49 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 174 |
| 03:45 PM | 10 | 55 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 170 |
| Total | 35 | 219 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 26 | 0 | 63 | 215 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 690 |
| 04:00 PM | 8 | 54 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 186 |
| 04:15 PM | 6 | 55 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 170 |
| 04:30 PM | 13 | 51 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 194 |
| 04:45 PM | 12 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 195 |
| Total | 39 | 211 | 37 | 0 | 29 | 11 | 28 | 0 | 80 | 234 | 29 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 26 | 0 | 745 |
| 05:00 PM | 13 | 54 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 197 |
| 05:15 PM | 10 | 48 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 49 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 172 |
| Grand Total | 113 | 661 | 97 | 0 | 68 | 35 | 84 | 0 | 225 | 682 | 63 | 0 | 27 | 29 | 87 | 0 | 2171 |
| Apprch \% | 13 | 75.9 | 11.1 | 0 | 36.4 | 18.7 | 44.9 | 0 | 23.2 | 70.3 | 6.5 | 0 | 18.9 | 20.3 | 60.8 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 5.2 | 30.4 | 4.5 | 0 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 0 | 10.4 | 31.4 | 2.9 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 111 | 639 | 95 | 0 | 64 | 35 | 82 | 0 | 222 | 650 | 62 | 0 | 27 | 29 | 87 | 0 | 2103 |
| \% Cars | 98.2 | 96.7 | 97.9 | 0 | 94.1 | 100 | 97.6 | 0 | 98.7 | 95.3 | 98.4 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 96.9 |
| Trucks | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| \% Trucks | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 |
| School Buses | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| \% School Buses | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 |

File Name : InstituteSt.IvorySt.PM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 6/14/2011
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 02:30 PM to 05:15 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM

| 04:30 PM | 13 | 51 | 11 | 0 | 75 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 12 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 71 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 195 |
| 05:00 PM | 13 | 54 | 10 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 27 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 93 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 197 |
| 05:15 PM | 10 | 48 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 49 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 172 |
| Total Volume | 48 | 204 | 40 | 0 | 292 | 23 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 73 | 95 | 236 | 21 | 0 | 352 | 8 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 41 | 758 |
| \% App. Total | 16.4 | 69.9 | 13.7 | 0 |  | 31.5 | 23.3 | 45.2 | 0 |  | 27 | 67 | 6 | 0 |  | 19.5 | 17.1 | 63.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 923 | . 944 | . 909 | . 000 | . 948 | . 821 | . 708 | . 825 | . 000 | . 869 | . 880 | . 894 | .656 | . 000 | . 917 | . 500 | . 583 | . 722 | . 000 | . 788 | . 962 |
| Cars | 48 | 201 | 40 | 0 | 289 | 23 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 73 | 95 | 230 | 21 | 0 | 346 | 8 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 41 | 749 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.5 | 100 | 0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 97.5 | 100 | 0 | 98.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 98.8 |
| Trucks | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| \% Trucks | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 |
| School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - School Buses

|  | Falconer Street Southbound |  |  |  | Route 62 Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Route 62 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 02:30 PM | 42 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 0 | 129 |
| 02:45 PM | 30 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 24 | 0 | 146 |
| Total | 72 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 41 | 0 | 275 |
| 03:00 PM | 29 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 15 | 0 | 125 |
| 03:15 PM | 23 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 128 |
| 03:30 PM | 29 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 138 |
| 03:45 PM | 26 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 112 |
| Total | 107 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 14 | 123 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 62 | 0 | 503 |
| 04:00 PM | 25 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 20 | 0 | 137 |
| 04:15 PM | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 0 | 151 |
| 04:30 PM | 38 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 18 | 0 | 133 |
| 04:45 PM | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 17 | 0 | 157 |
| Total | 135 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 19 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 74 | 0 | 578 |
| 05:00 PM | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 142 |
| Grand Total | 344 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 41 | 346 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 485 | 191 | 0 | 1498 |
| Apprch \% | 79.4 | 0 | 20.6 | 0 | 10.5 | 88.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 23 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 | 2.7 | 23.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.4 | 12.8 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 341 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 33 | 336 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 183 | 0 | 1454 |
| \% Cars | 99.1 | 0 | 94.4 | 0 | 80.5 | 97.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.9 | 95.8 | 0 | 97.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 |
| School Buses | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 27 |
| \% School Buses | 0.9 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 14.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.2 | 0 | 1.8 |


|  | Falconer Street Southbound |  |  |  |  | Route 62 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Route 62 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:30 PM to 05:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 0 | 70 | 151 |
| 04:30 PM | 38 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 18 | 0 | 56 | 133 |
| 04:45 PM | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 17 | 0 | 78 | 157 |
| 05:00 PM | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 64 | 142 |
| Total Volume | 140 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 170 | 17 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 68 | 0 | 268 | 583 |
| \% App. Total | 82.4 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 |  | 11.7 | 87.6 | 0.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 74.6 | 25.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 854 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 904 | . 850 | . 858 | . 250 | . 000 | . 906 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 820 | . 895 | . 000 | . 859 | . 928 |
| Cars | 140 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 168 | 15 | 124 | 1 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 67 | 0 | 266 | 574 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 0 | 93.3 | 0 | 98.8 | 88.2 | 97.6 | 100 | 0 | 96.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.5 | 98.5 | 0 | 99.3 | 98.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 0 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| \% School Buses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



File Name: CR34.Wiltsie Road.PM Site Code : 55555555
Start Date : 6/14/2011
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - School Buses

| Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - School Buses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ht. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | County Road 34 Westbound |  |  |  | Wiltsie Road Northbound |  |  |  | County Road 34 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds |  |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |


| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 83 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 00$ | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 0 | 28.9 | 0 | 71.1 | 0 | 29.6 | 70.4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3.4 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 10.1 | 0 | 17.9 | 42.5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 47 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.6 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 97.9 | 99.1 | 0 | 0 | 98.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 |

File Name : CR34.Wiltsie Road.PM Site Code : 55555555
Start Date : 6/14/2011
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 02:45 PM to 05:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM

| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 37 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 29 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 106 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 28.6 | 0 | 71.4 | 0 |  | 34.4 | 65.6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 600 | 1.000 | . 000 | . 636 | . 500 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 875 | . 500 | . 875 | . 000 | . 000 | . 727 | . 716 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 106 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| School Buses <br> \% School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



|  | Wiltsie Road Southbound |  |  |  | Dodge Road Westbound |  |  |  | Wiltsie Road Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| $03: 00 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |


| $05: 00 ~ P M$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $05: 30 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 38.2 | 34 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 73.3 | 0 | 26.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 21.9 | 35.4 | 0 | 11.5 | 0 | 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 27.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cars | 0 | 20 | 34 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| \%Cars | 0 | 95.2 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schol Buses | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% School Buses | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

File Name : Wiltsie.Dodge.PM
Site Code : 11111111
Start Date : 6/14/2011
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 02:45 PM to 05:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 38.7 | 61.3 | 0 |  | 71.4 | 0 | 28.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 750 | . 594 | . 000 | . 646 | . 417 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 438 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 667 |
| Cars | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| School Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




input vvorksneet



## inpur voorksneet





2. Approach pedestrian and bicycle volumes are those that conflict with right tums from the subject approach.
3. Refer to Equation 1.6-2.
women said thess saul tam (bole mini var)
(1) C $7: 42 \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{m}}$ asked what are year doing thee -
upper "county Traffic"

Input Worksheet








## A2

## Miscellaneous Traffic Data and Calculations

## Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion, Carroll, NY

Documentation of Ambient Traffic Volume Growth

| Roadway | Segment | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Annual <br> Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US - 62 | OLD RT 62 - CR 36 IVORY RD <br> $50 ' ~ N ~ o f ~ F a l c o n e r ~ S t ~$ | 4,210 | 4,330 | 4,940 | 4,320 | 3,880 | 3,840 | $-0.7 \%$ |

By $\Varangle A D$ DATE $7-5-13$ DAIGLER ENGINEERING P.C.
снкD. вY BPADATE $7-9-13$
$\ldots . .$. englineering $\cdot$ sclence $\cdot$ design .................

1711 Grand Island Blvai - Grand Island, NY - 14072 Ph: (716) 773-6872 - Fax: (716) 773-6873
SUBJECT TRAFTC GENERATIM: P716) FOR CARRROLL SOLID WASTE FACULITY

WASTE DENSITY
IN-PLACE $0.75 \mathrm{ta} / \mathrm{cy}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Loose ins Truck } & \sim 0.5(750) \\
& =.375 \mathrm{tm} / \angle y \\
& =750 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

WASte hauling Vemalies:
transfer/TRailers: tate: $40,000 \mathrm{lbs}$
(45) Gross: $80,000 \mathrm{lbs} \max$ Mo $R$-Permit trailer Volume: : 100 cy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { AVG LOAD } & =70 \text { y e } 750^{\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ly}}=52,500 \mathrm{lbs} \\
& \text { Ner 20tau. }
\end{aligned}
$$

TANDEM/TriAXLE DUMP TRUCKS: TARE: $26,000 \mathrm{lbs}$
(15). Gross: $80,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ BOX VOLUME $=25 \mathrm{cy}$ LOAD $=18 \mathrm{cy}$ 6.75 T
w/R-PERMits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TRANSFER/TRAMER GROSS: } 102,000 \mathrm{lbs} \\
& \text { (0) Zarre: } \frac{40,000 \mathrm{lbs}}{62,000 \mathrm{lbs}}=31 \text { Tow }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tonnage

$$
\begin{gathered}
45(20)+15(6.75) \\
900
\end{gathered}
$$

\# of Trucks $\quad \because 45+15=\quad 60^{\prime}$ trucks/day
LEACHATE HAULERS:
see engineering Report - $5^{\prime}$ trucks/day
Emploteen:
Assume is Employees (uf OAM manuar seetion 2.1 )
by 7100

JOB NO. $\qquad$
SHEET NO. $\qquad$ 2 of 4
$\qquad$
1711 Grand Island Blva. - Grand Island, NY - 14072
Ph: (7161 1773-6872 - Fax: (716) 773-6873
subject Traffic Generation for carroll sumf

3/4" Graver havlers =
VOLUME Reaultred

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(869,114 \mathrm{ft}^{2}+716,489 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) 2.2 \mathrm{ft}\right] / 27 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{y}} \\
& =129,197 \mathrm{cy} \text { use } 130,000 \mathrm{cy} \\
& \text { (6) } 1.5 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{cy}=195,00 \mathrm{Tm} \\
& \text { C } 20 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{LoAD}=9,750 \text { loads }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assime construetion aver seven taar period

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \text { yrs } \times[(52 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{gr})(5 d / \omega \mathrm{k})-6 \text { dmys holiday }]=1778 \text { days } \\
& -11 \text { days/4r misc. } \cong 1700 \text { days } \\
& 9750 / 1700 \text { days }=6 \text { truds/ day }
\end{aligned}
$$

RECYChing operation
(in) yard waste $\begin{gathered}400 \text { fons } / 4 \mathrm{rec} 375 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{cy} \\ (2100 \mathrm{cy})\end{gathered}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2100cy) } 1875 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{cy} \\
& 90 \% \text { aver Marrcit } \Rightarrow \text { Novemerere } 9 / \text { morelles } \\
& 25 \text { dmys/manth }
\end{aligned}
$$

cdpo
$(n)$
$320 \mathrm{~T} /$ day (maxa) FROM Trucks

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
160 \text { T/day }(\text { typ. }) 7(20)+3(6.75)= & 160 \text { Tlday on } \\
140+20.25 & 10 \text { trucks }
\end{array}
$$

By DADD DATE 7-9-13 DAIGLER ENGINEERING P.C.
subject Tratrac Generation -CARROL SuMF
(out) yard waste $(z 100 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r})(0.5)=1050 \mathrm{cy} / \mathrm{yr}$. of product

1/2 of that to deaw urvod gries strecpile

$$
=525<y \quad 3 / 4 \text { on site }=\because 394
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 2 & \text { to } \\
=\frac{\text { quepost }}{525 c y} & \rightarrow 1 / 4 \text { offsite }
\end{array} \quad 131 c y
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Toran offesite } & =131+13 \mid=262 \mathrm{cy} \\
\div 15 \mathrm{cy} / \mathrm{I}_{\text {oad }} & =18 \text { loads, say oremanth } \\
& =1 \text { load/day }
\end{aligned}
$$

(out) cipo Fup erscy/day
salvage coors, theninker, angregate of minter:als are ceriusable bdg materals

- primarily lagge dmusuaral lumber ldorrs ( $\sim 5 \%$ )
- Metal saluage (3\%)
- clean, wood arime boiler fuel (use $7 \%$ )

Torat $15 \% \quad .15(2.15)=32.25 \mathrm{cy}$ say 33 Cy
$; 2$ loods/day

$B y+A$ DATE

DAIGLER ENGINEERING prc.

1711 Grand Island Blvd. - Grand Island, NY -14072 Ph: 17716) 773-6872 - Fax: (716) 773-6873

SUBJECT TRAffic GENDOATIIN FOR CARROLC SWMF

OIL 4 Fuel Delver
(1) Diesel motor oil
(2) Aydraulir ail
(3) GASOLINE
(4) Diesel Free

JOB NO. $\qquad$
ster no. 4 of 4 _OF $\qquad$

$167,200 \mathrm{gP} /$

 LeSS THAN $1 /$ day
Miscellaneous
1500 gallon domestic wastewater
other/delivery
$\therefore 2 /$ day $t /$

COMBINE DIL\& FOEL DELVER ut Miscellaneous \& other USE 3/day



## Revised Traffic Composition/Rates

| hour of day | \# trips (through gate) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 |
| $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 24 |
| $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 22 |
| $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 22 |
| $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 20 |
| $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 16 |
| $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 20 |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 19 |
| $2: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 18 |
| $3: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 19 |
| $4: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 14 |
| $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 |


| Daily Vehicle Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landfill trucks | Delivery \& Sales | Construction Related Employeg | Total |
| Waste | 60 |  |  |  |
| Leachate | 5 |  |  |  |
| Employee |  |  | 15 |  |
| Construction Materials |  |  | 6+4 |  |
| Recycle In | 10 | 4 |  |  |
| Recycle Out | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Fuel/Misc. |  | 3 |  |  |
| Total | 77 | 8 | $10 \quad 15$ | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | $x 2$ <br> 220 trips |



PROPOSED CARROLL LANDFILL EXPANSION
CARROLL, NY - CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
AM PEAK

| Num of yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATIO N NUMBER | INTERSECTION DESCRIPTION | Existing Volume | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Bkgd } \\ \text { Volume } \\ 2015 \end{array}$ | Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & \text { Site } \\ & \text { Trips } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enter Dist. \% | Exit Dist. \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips IN } \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Trips OUT } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Institute Street/ Frew Run St <br> US Route 62 (Main St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | ST | 117 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  | 121 |
|  | SL | 23 | 24 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 44 |
|  | WR | 45 | 46 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 59 |
|  | WT | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | WL | 46 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
|  | NR | 39 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
|  | NT | 136 | 140 |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
|  | NL | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | ER | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | ET | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | EL | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 2 | Falconer St US Route 62 (Ivory St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 49 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
|  | ST | 86 | 89 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 109 |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 105 | 108 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 121 |
|  | NL | 88 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |
|  | ER | 60 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 3 | CR 34(Frew Run Road)/ Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 36 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
|  | WL | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL | 23 | 24 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 37 |
|  | ER | 9 | 9 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 29 |
|  | ET | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | SL | 5 | 5 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 25 |
|  | WR | 17 | 18 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 31 |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | NR | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | NT | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | NL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Site Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 13 |
|  | ER |  |  | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 20 |
|  | ET | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED CARROLL LANDFILL EXPANSION
CARROLL, NY - CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
PM PEAK

| Num of yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATIO <br> N NUMBER | INTERSECTION DESCRIPTION | Existing Volume | BkgdVolume2015 | Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & \text { Site } \\ & \text { Trips } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Full } \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { Volumes } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Enter Dist. \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exit } \\ \text { Dist. \% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips IN } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips OUT } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Institute Street/ Frew Run St <br> US Route 62 (Main St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 48 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
|  | ST | 204 | 210 |  |  |  |  |  | 210 |
|  | SL | 40 | 41 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 52 |
|  | WR | 23 | 24 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 40 |
|  | WT | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | WL | 33 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |
|  | NR | 95 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |
|  | NT | 236 | 243 |  |  |  |  |  | 243 |
|  | NL | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
|  | ER | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | ET | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | EL | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 2 | Falconer St <br> US Route 62 (Ivory St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | ST | 152 | 157 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 168 |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 217 | 224 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 240 |
|  | NL | 68 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |
|  | ER | 140 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| 3 | CR 34(Frew Run Road)/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 24 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
|  | WL | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | NR | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL | 11 | 11 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 27 |
|  | ER | 27 | 28 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 39 |
|  | ET | 42 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | SL | 19 | 20 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 31 |
|  | WR | 5 | 5 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 21 |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | NR | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | NL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Site Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 16 |
|  | ER |  |  | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 11 |
|  | ET | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED CARROLL LANDFILL EXPANSION
CARROLL, NY - CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
AM PEAK - 20 years

| Num of yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATIO <br> N NUMBER | INTERSECTION DESCRIPTION | Existing <br> Volume | Bkgd Volume 1.0\% | Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & \text { Site } \\ & \text { Trips } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enter Dist. \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Exit } \\ \text { Dist. \% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips IN } \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Trips OUT } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Institute Street/ Frew Run St US Route 62 (Main St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | ST | 117 | 136 |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |
|  | SL | 23 | 27 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 47 |
|  | WR | 45 | 52 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 65 |
|  | WT | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | WL | 46 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
|  | NR | 39 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |
|  | NT | 136 | 158 |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |
|  | NL | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | ER | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | ET | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | EL | 12 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 2 | Falconer St <br> US Route 62 (Ivory St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 49 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
|  | ST | 86 | 100 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 120 |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 105 | 122 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 135 |
|  | NL | 88 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |
|  | ER | 60 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL | 15 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 3 | CR 34(Frew Run Road)/ Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 36 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
|  | WL | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL | 23 | 27 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 40 |
|  | ER | 9 | 10 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 30 |
|  | ET | 19 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | SL | 5 | 6 | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 26 |
|  | WR | 17 | 20 |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 33 |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | NR | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | NT | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | NL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Site Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 18 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 13 | 13 | 13 |
|  | ER |  |  | 100\% |  | 20 |  | 20 | 20 |
|  | ET | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED CARROLL LANDFILL EXPANSION
CARROLL, NY - CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
PM PEAK - 20 years

| Num of yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATIO N NUMBER | INTERSECTION DESCRIPTION | Existing Volume | Bkgd Volume 1.0\% | Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion |  |  |  | Total <br> Site <br> Trips |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enter Dist. \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Exit } \\ \text { Dist. \% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips IN } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Trips OUT } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Institute Street/ Frew Run St <br> US Route 62 (Main St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 48 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
|  | ST | 204 | 237 |  |  |  |  |  | 237 |
|  | SL | 40 | 46 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 57 |
|  | WR | 23 | 27 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 43 |
|  | WT | 17 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | WL | 33 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
|  | NR | 95 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  | 110 |
|  | NT | 236 | 274 |  |  |  |  |  | 274 |
|  | NL | 21 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
|  | ER | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | ET | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | EL | 26 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 2 | Falconer St <br> US Route 62 (Ivory St) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR | 17 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | ST | 152 | 176 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 187 |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 217 | 252 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 268 |
|  | NL | 68 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
|  | ER | 140 | 163 |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL | 30 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 3 | CR 34(Frew Run Road)/ Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 24 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
|  | WL | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | NR | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL | 11 | 13 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 29 |
|  | ER | 27 | 31 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 42 |
|  | ET | 42 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST | 12 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
|  | SL | 19 | 22 | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 33 |
|  | WR | 5 | 6 |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 22 |
|  | WT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WL | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | NR | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | NL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Dodge Road/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Site Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WT | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | WL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NL |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 16 | 16 | 16 |
|  | ER |  |  | 100\% |  | 11 |  | 11 | 11 |
|  | ET | 19 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
|  | EL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Proposed Carroll Landfill Carroll, NY

## INTERSECTION ACCIDENT RATE CALCULATIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Rate per MEV } & =\frac{\# \text { of Accidents } \times 1,000,000}{\text { Total No. of Entering Vehicles }}= \\
\text { Rate } & =\frac{\# \text { of Accidents } \times 1,000,000}{\text { Veh./Day } \times \text { Duration of Study }}=
\end{aligned}
$$

Accidents per million entering vehicles (Acc / MEV)

* Accident data is from Jan 01, 2008 to Dec 31st, 2010

1 Route 62/CR 34/Institute Street


2 US Route 62/Falconer Street


## ROADWAY SEGMENT (MID-BLOCK) ACCIDENT RATE CALCULATIONS



4 Route 62 (Between Frew Run St and Hazzard Road)

| Section length $=$ | 0.100 mi |
| :--- | :---: |
| $2-$ way ADT $=$ | 4344 |



5 Frew Run Street (Between Route 62 and Wiltsie Road)

| Section length $=$ | 3.160 mi |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 - way ADT $=$ | 1379 |

Rate $=$
 $=0.42$ Acc $/$ MVM

Co Touring Route 34, 2.0 mile NW of Wiltsie Road ((+) is NB, (-) is SB)


## Date, Time

Statistics
Period:
Speed violations:
Average time interval:
Traffic in column:
ADT:
Truck Share:
Thursday, June 23, 2011, 00:00 o'clock to Thursday, June 23, 2011, 23:59 o'clock

|  | Count + | \% | Count - | \% | Total | \% | V15 + | $\mathrm{Va}+$ | V85 + | Vmax+ | V15-1 | Va- | V85 | Vmax - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ Motorcycle | 31 | 4.4 | 63 | 9.3 | 94 | 6.8 | 6 | 31 | 61 | 70 | 42 | 51 | 57 | 64 |  |
| 1.1 sec Car | 190 | 27.2 | 235 | 34.6 | 425 | 30.8 | 45 | 52 | 62 | 76 | 45 | 51 | 57 | 71 |  |
| 12 \% Truck | 446 | 63.9 | 352 | 51.8 | 798 | 57.9 | 47 | 54 | 61 | 74 | 45 | 51 | 57 | 69 |  |
| 1379 Long truck | 31 | 4.4 | 30 | 4.4 | 61 | 4.4 | 39 | 47 | 56 | 59 | 41 | 48 | 53 | 59 |  |
| 62 \% Total | 698 | 50.7 | 680 | 49.3 | 1378 | 100 | 46 | 52 | 61 | 76 | 45 | 51 | 57 |  | SIERZEGA |

Dodge Road, 1.6 miles SE of Wiltsie Road ((-) is $\mathrm{SB},(+)$ is NB)


Date, Time
Statistics

Period:
Speed violations:
Average time interval:
Traffic in column:
ADT:
Truck Share:

Thursday, June 23, 2011, 00:00 o'clock to Thursday, June 23, 2011, 23:59 o'clock

|  | Count + | \% | Count - | \% | Total | \% | V15 + | $\mathrm{Va}+$ | V85 + | Vmax+ | V15-1 | Va- | V85 - | Vmax - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ Motorcycle | 18 | 26.1 | 21 | 32.3 | 39 | 29.1 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 40 | 25 | 31 | 33 | 49 |  |
| 0.8 sec Car | 50 | 72.5 | 44 | 67.7 | 94 | 70.1 | 25 | 29 | 33 | 38 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 35 |  |
| 7 \% Truck | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Long truck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \%$ Total | 69 | 51.5 | 65 | 48.5 | 134 | 100 | 23 | 29 | 33 | 40 | 23 | 28 | 32 |  | SIER ZEGA |

Wiltsie Road between CR 34 and Dodge Road


## Date, Time

Statistics

Period:
Speed violations:
Average time interval:
Traffic in column:
ADT:
Truck Share:

Thursday, June 23, 2011, 00:00 o'clock to Thursday, June 23, 2011, 23:59 o'clock

|  |  | Count + | \% | Count - | \% | Total | \% | V15 + | $\mathrm{Va}+$ | V85 + | Vmax+ | V15-1 | Va- | V85-1 | Vmax - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 \% | Motorcycle | 186 | 64.1 | 188 | 91.3 | 374 | 75.4 | 18 | 24 | 29 | 36 | 22 | 25 | 29 | 35 |  |
| 1.6 sec | Car | 94 | 32.4 | 18 | 8.7 | 112 | 22.6 | 9 | 19 | 27 | 32 | 13 | 21 | 25 | 28 |  |
| 7 \% | Truck | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.8 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 496 | Long truck | 6 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.2 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 \% | Total | 290 | 58.5 | 206 | 41.5 | 496 | 100 | 11 | 22 | 29 | 36 | 21 | 25 | 29 |  | SIERZEGA |

## A3

## Level of Service: Criteria and Definitions

## Level of Service Criteria

Highway Capacity Manual 2010

## SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, based on service measures such as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort, and convenience. Level of Service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of delay specifically, average total delay per vehicle for a 15 minute analysis period. The ranges are as follows:

| Level <br> of <br> Service | Control Delay <br> per vehicle <br> (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-20$ |
| C | $20-35$ |
| D | $35-55$ |
| E | $55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

## UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service for unsignalized intersections is also defined in terms of delay. However, the delay criteria are different from a signalized intersection. The primary reason for this is driver expectation that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher volumes than an unsignalized intersection. The total delay threshold for any given Level of Service is less for an unsignalized intersection than for a signalized intersection. The ranges are as follows:

| Level <br> of <br> Service | Control Delay <br> per vehicle <br> (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-15$ |
| C | $15-25$ |
| D | $25-35$ |
| E | $35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## A4

## Level of Service Calculations: Existing Conditions

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
2014 Existing Conditions - AM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | - | 4 |  | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL |  | BT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (verh) | 12 | 6 | 9 | 46 |  | 7 | 45 | 7 | 136 | 39 | 23 | 117 | 6 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  | \%\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | . 92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (wph) | 13 | 7 | 10 | 50 |  | 8 | 49 | 8 | 148 | 42 | 25 | 127 | 7 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wldth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 417 | 386 | 130 | 378 |  | 368 | 169 | 134 |  |  | 190 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 417 | 386 | 130 | 378 |  | 368 | 169 | 134 |  |  | 190 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 |  | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 | 99 | 99 | 91 |  | 99 | 94 | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| CM capacity (ver/h) | 501 | 535 | 919 | 558 |  | 548 | 875 | 1451 |  |  | 1384 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 29 | 107 | 198 | 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 50 | 8 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 10 | 49 | 42 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 601 | 668 | 1451 | 1384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 4 | 14 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.3 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.3 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uilization |  |  | 30.5\% |  | OULev | evel of | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Symchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street
2014 Existing Conditions - AM Peak Hour

| 2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6/9/2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\geqslant$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Volume (verlh) | 15 | 60 | 88 | 105 | 86 | 49 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flowrate (yph) | 16 | 65 | 96 | 114 | 93 | 53 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whath (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 426 | 120 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vcu, unblocked vol | 426 | 120 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pO queue free\% | 97 | 93 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CM capacity (vel/h) | 547 | 931 | 1435 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 82 | 210 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 16 | 96 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 65 | 0 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 816 | 1435 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 8 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uilization |  |  | 32.4\% |  | CuLevel | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion


Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road
2014 Existing Conditions - AM Peak Hou


Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/9/2014

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  | $\hat{4}$ | Y |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 7 |  | 26 | 7 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 7 |  | 26 | 7 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free\% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (vel/h) |  |  | 1614 |  | 989 | 1076 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 7 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1614 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 6.7\% |  | CuLevel of | Senice | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
2014 Existing Conditions - PM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | BT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | A |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (verh) | 26 | 7 | 8 | 33 |  | 17 | 23 | 21 | 236 | 95 | 40 | 204 | 48 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop | op |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | \%\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.8 | 87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 33 | 9 | 10 | 38 |  | 20 | 26 | 23 | 257 | 103 | 42 | 215 | 51 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 714 | 730 | 240 | 693 |  | 03 | 308 | 265 |  |  | 360 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| val, unblocked vol | 714 | 730 | 240 | 693 |  | 03 | 308 | 265 |  |  | 360 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 |  | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 89 | 97 | 99 | 89 |  | 94 | 96 | 98 |  |  | 96 |  |  |
| CM capacity (verVh) | 307 | 331 | 799 | 332 |  | 43 | 732 | 1299 |  |  | 1199 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 52 | 84 | 383 | 307 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 33 | 38 | 23 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 10 | 26 | 103 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 354 | 405 | 1299 | 1199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 13 | 19 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 16.9 | 16.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | c | c | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 16.9 | 16.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | c | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 38.3\% |  | OULev | evel of | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 3: CR 34 (Frew Run) \& Wiltsie Road


Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
SRF \& Associates
Synchro 7-Repor

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/9/2014

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Y |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 32 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 32 |  | 48 | 32 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 32 |  | 48 | 32 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free\% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (vel/h) |  |  | 1580 |  | 961 | 1042 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 32 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1580 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 6.7\% |  | CuLevel of | Senice | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

## A5

## Level of Service Calculations: 2015 Background Conditions

2015 Background Conditions - AM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | - | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | P | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL |  | BT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (verh) | 12 | 6 | 9 | 47 |  | 7 | 46 | 7 | 140 | 40 | 24 | 121 | 6 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 13 | 7 | 10 | 51 |  | 8 | 50 | 8 | 152 | 43 | 26 | 132 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 430 | 398 | 135 | 389 |  | 379 | 174 | 138 |  |  | 196 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| val, unblocked vol | 430 | 398 | 135 | 389 |  | 379 | 174 | 138 |  |  | 196 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 |  | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |  | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 | 99 | 99 | 91 |  | 99 | 94 | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| CM capacity (verVh) | 490 | 527 | 914 | 548 |  | 540 | 870 | 1446 |  |  | 1377 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 29 | 109 | 203 | 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 51 | 8 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 10 | 50 | 43 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 591 | 660 | 1446 | 1377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.4 | 11.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.4 | 11.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 31.4\% |  | CuLev | evel of | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6/9/2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\nabla$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |  |
| Volume (vel/h) | 15 | 62 | 91 | 108 | 89 | 50 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flowrate (yph) | 16 | 67 | 99 | 117 | 97 | 54 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wath (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| px , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicing volume | 439 | 124 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCL , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 439 | 124 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| po queue free\% | 97 | 93 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CM capacity (vehh) | 535 | 927 | 1430 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 84 | 216 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 16 | 99 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 67 | 0 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 811 | 1430 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 9 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 33.1\% |  | CuLevel of | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |



Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road


Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/9/2014

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  | $\hat{4}$ | Y |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 7 |  | 27 | 7 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 7 |  | 27 | 7 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free\% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (vel/h) |  |  | 1614 |  | 988 | 1076 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 7 | 21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1614 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 6.7\% |  | CuLevel of | Senice | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2015 Background Conditions - PM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street


Lovene Configigraic
Volume (ivern)
Sign Control
Grate
Sign Contid
Grade
Paek Hour Factor
Hourly flow rate (vpl)
Pexess Worth (it)
Walking Speed (tIts)
Percent Blockage
Reigent tum flare (veh)
Rignt tum liae (ven)
Mediantpe
Median sporage veh)
Upstreamsignal (t)
px, platoon unblocked

| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vC, conflicting volume | 736 | 752 | 247 | 713 | 724 | 317 | 273 | 371 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| vc2, stage 2 coniv vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vou, unblocked vol | 736 | 752 | 247 | 713 | 724 | 317 | 273 | 371 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2^{\text {ctage }}$ (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 22 | 22 |
| poqueve free\% | 88 | 97 | 99 | 88 | 94 | 96 | 98 | 96 |
| cm capaait (verrh) | 294 | 32 | 792 | 321 | 333 | 723 | 1291 | 1188 |


| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Tota | 53 | 87 | 395 | 316 |  |
| Volume Left | 34 | 39 | 24 | 43 |  |
| Volume Right | 10 | 28 | 107 | 52 |  |
| CSH | 339 | 394 | 1291 | 1188 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tr) | 14 | 21 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 17.6 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 |  |
| Lane LOS | c | c | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.6 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | c | c |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uuilization |  |  | 39.0\% | ICULevel of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro $7-$ Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |



| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $p$ |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |  |
| Volume (verh) | 43 | 28 | 4 | 25 | 11 | 4 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.88 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 59 | 38 | 6 | 39 | 12 | 5 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 97 |  | 130 | 78 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 97 |  | 130 | 78 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 99 | 100 |  |  |
| CM capacity (verVh) |  |  | 1496 |  | 861 | 983 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 97 | 45 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 38 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1496 | 890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.1 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.1 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 14.7\% |  | CuLevel | Senice | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road

| 4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69/2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR |  | SBL | SBT |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | $t$ |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Volume (vel/h) | 2 | 5 | 10 | 0 |  | 20 | 12 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |  | \%\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.63 |  | 0.65 | 0.65 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 11 | 16 | 0 |  | 31 | 18 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whath (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mediantype |  |  | None |  |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicing volume | 96 | 16 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 96 | 16 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tc}, 2$ stage ( s ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| po queue free\% | 99 | 99 |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (vehh) | 886 | 1063 |  |  |  | 1602 |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 16 | 16 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1006 | 1700 | 1602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 1 | o | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 18.4\% |  |  | Level of | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/9/2014

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Y |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 34 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 34 |  | 50 | 34 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 34 |  | 50 | 34 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free\% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (vel/h) |  |  | 1578 |  | 959 | 1039 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 34 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1578 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 6.7\% |  | CuLevel of | Senice | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

## A6

## Level of Service Calculations: 2015 Full Development Conditions

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2015 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street 61012014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Symchro $7-$ Report <br> SRF \& Associates |
| :--- | ---: |



| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro $7-$ Report <br> SRF \& Associates |
| :--- | ---: |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2015 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hou 3: CR 34 (Frew Run) \& Wiltsie Road 6/10/2014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 3 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2015 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road 6/10/2014


Pedestrians
Wane Mathing (tt)
Wercent Blockage
Percent Blockage
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Right turn flare (veh) None } \\ \text { Median type } & \\ \end{array}$
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (It)
px, platoon unblocked
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{vC}, \text { conflicting volume } & 71 & 7 & 8\end{array}$
CL, stage 1 conf vol

|  | 71 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |
|  | 71 | 7 |


| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 71 | 7 | 8 |
| tC, single $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.9 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.5 | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 100 | 97 | 98 |
| pO queue free $\%$ | 912 | 970 | 1224 |
| CM capacity $(\mathrm{ver} / \mathrm{h})$ |  |  |  |


| Direction, Lane \# | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 35 | 8 | 37 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 1 | 0 | 27 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 34 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| CSH | 968 | 1700 | 1224 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 3 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.6 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 18.5\% | ICULevel of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro $7-$ Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 4 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2015 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hou 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/10/2014


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Synchro 7-Report

2015 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (vehh ) | 27 | 7 | 8 | 34 | 18 | 40 | 22 | 243 | 98 | 52 | 210 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |


| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hourly flow rate (Vph) | 34 | 9 | 10 | 39 | 21 | 46 | 24 | 264 | 107 | 55 | 221 | 52 | Pedestrians

ane WICth (ft)
Percent Blockage
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)
Right turn flare (veh)
Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (tt)
px, platoon unblocked

| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vC, conflicting volume | 778 | 775 | 247 | 736 | 747 | 317 | 273 | 371 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |


| Proposed Carroll Landfill 2015 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\square}$ |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 31 | 144 | 70 | 240 | 168 | 18 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | \%\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 34 | 160 | 81 | 279 | 185 | 20 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdath (tr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mediantype |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , confliciting volume | 636 | 195 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 636 | 195 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tc, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF(s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free\% | 92 | 81 | 94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 415 | 847 | 1367 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 194 | 360 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 34 | 81 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 160 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 715 | 1367 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 28 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.0\% |  | ICULevel of | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |




Proposed Carroll Landfill 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Volume (verh ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20 | 11 | o | 7 | 16 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 34 | 19 | - | 16 | 17 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wdth (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (t/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 53 |  | 59 | 43 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 53 |  | 59 | 43 |  |
| tC , single ( s ) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 7.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 4.4 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 98 | 100 |  |
| CM capacity (verVh) |  |  | 1553 |  | 751 | 1027 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 53 | 16 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 19 | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1553 | 751 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Los |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 13.3\% |  | CuLevel of | Senice | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

## A7

## Level of Service Calculations: 2030 Background Conditions

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansior2030 Background Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& lvory Street


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion2030 Background Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansior2030 Background Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 3: CR 34 (Frew Run) \& Wiltsie Road 6/1920014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 3 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansior2030 Background Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road


Pedestrians

## Lane Width (tt)

Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage

| Right turn flare (veh) None |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Median type |  |

Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (tt)
pX , platoon unblocked


VC1, stage 1 conf vol
VC2, stage 2 conf vol

| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 32 | 8 | 9 |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |

${ }^{\mathrm{t} C} \mathrm{C}$, single (s)
$\mathrm{tc}, 2$ sta
$\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$
tF(s)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| CM quevae free $\%$ | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| (veh/h) | 978 | 1074 | 1611 |


| Direction, Lane\# | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 23 | 9 | 17 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 1 | o | 7 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 22 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 1069 | 1700 | 1611 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.9 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uilization |  |  | 15.9\% | ICULevel of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 4 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion2030 Background Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/1920014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro $7-$ Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 5 |

2030 Background Conditions - PM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (verlh) | 30 | 8 | 9 | 38 | 20 | 27 | 24 | 274 | 110 | 46 | 237 | 56 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | \%\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | \%\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |

Peak Hour Factor
Hourly flow rate
lane Wdith (ft)
Walking Speed (t/s)
Percent Blockage
Reight turn flare (veh)
Right turn flare (veh)
Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (tt)
pX , platoon unblocked
VC , conflicting volume
vC1, stage 1 conf vol

|  | 828 | 845 | 279 | 802 | 815 | 358 | 308 | 417 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

vau, unblocked vol
tC , single (s)
C, 2 stag
tF (s) queue free \%

|  | 85 | 96 | 99 | 84 | 92 | 95 | 98 | 96 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MM capacity (velVh) | 248 | 281 | 760 | 276 | 292 | 687 | 1252 | 1142 |


| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 59 | 98 | 443 | 357 |  |
| Volume Left | 38 | 44 | 26 | 48 |  |
| Volume Right | 11 | 31 | 120 | 59 |  |
| cSH | 291 | 346 | 1252 | 1142 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tr) | 19 | 8 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 20.5 | 19.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 |  |
| Lane LOS | c | c | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 20.5 | 19.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | c | c |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uilization |  |  | 43.3\% | ICULevel of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |



| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Background Conditions - PM Peak Hour


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Assciates | Page 3 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion
4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 4 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Background Conditions - PM Peak Hou 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/19/2014


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Synchro 7-Report

## A8

## Level of Service Calculations: 2030 Full Development Conditions

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street $6 / 232014$

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 |  | P |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (vel/h) | 14 | 7 | 10 | 53 | 8 | 65 | 8 | 158 | 45 | 47 | 136 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | \%\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 15 | 8 | 11 | 58 | 9 | 71 | 9 | 172 | 49 | 51 | 148 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Whath (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 542 | 492 | 152 | 482 | 471 | 196 | 155 |  |  | 221 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 542 | 492 | 152 | 482 | 471 | 196 | 155 |  |  | 221 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 | 98 | 99 | 88 | 98 | 91 | 99 |  |  | 96 |  |  |
| CM capacity (verh ) | 390 | 454 | 895 | 464 | 466 | 801 | 1425 |  |  | 1144 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 34 | 137 | 229 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 15 | 58 | 9 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 11 | 71 | 49 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 496 | 593 | 1425 | 1144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 5 | 22 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.8 | 12.9 | 0.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.8 | 12.9 | 0.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 40.8\% |  | ULevel | Senice |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 1 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street 6/23/2014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hou 3: CR 34 (Frew Run) \& Wiltsie Road 6


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 3 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 4 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - AM Peak Hour 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/23/2014


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Synchro 7-Report

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 1: Institute Street \& Ivory Street


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Symchro $7-$ Report <br> SRF \& Associates |
| :--- | ---: |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 2: Falconer Street \& Ivory Street 6/23/2014


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 2 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 3: CR 34 (Frew Run) \& Wiltsie Road


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| SRF \& Associates | Page 3 |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 4: Dodge Road \& Wiltsie Road 6/23/2014


Pedestrians
Walking Speed (tt/s)
Wercent Blockage
Percent Blockage
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Right turn flare (veh) None } \\ \text { Median type } & \\ \end{array}$
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (It)
px, platoon unblocked
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { vC, conficting volume } & 142 & 19 & 19\end{array}$
vC1, stage 1 conf vol

| $\mathrm{VC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| va, unblocked vol | 142 | 19 |


| $\mathrm{VCC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 142 | 19 | 19 |
| tCC single $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.4 | 6.9 | 4.4 |
| tc 2 2 stage $(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  |


$\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s}$

|  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| queueve free $\%$ | 99 | 94 | 96 |
| M capacity (veh/h) | 820 | 886 | 1418 |


| Direction, Lane\# | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 55 | 19 | 72 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 51 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 50 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| CSH | 880 | 1700 | 1418 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 5 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 19.2\% | ICULevel of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |

Proposed Carroll Landfill Expansion 2030 Full Development Conditions - PM Peak Hour 5: Dodge Road \& Proposed Site Drive 6/23/2014


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Synchro 7-Report

Letter from: Thomas A. Messana, State of New York Department of Transportation
To: Brian Boddecker, Daigler Engineering, P.C.

# NYSDOT Review - Proposed Traffic Safety Improvements 

April 21, 2014

Darrell F. Kaminski, P.E.
Regional Director

Joan McDonald
COMMISSIONER

April 21, 2014
Mr. Brian Boddecker, Staff Engineer
DAIGLER ENGINEERING, P.C.
2620 Grand Island Boulevard
Grand Island, NY 14072

## Subject: REVIEW OF PROPOSED TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS TOWN OF CARROLL (HAMLET OF FREWSBURG)

Dear Mr. Boddecker:
We have completed our review of the report submitted by your firm on March 19, 2014 proposing safety enhancements to mitigate the effects of increased truck traffic through the Village of Frewsburg, caused by an expansion of the Carroll Landfill south of the Village.

Based on our review, we have the following comments:

- A review of our records indicates that Frewsburg is an unincorporated hamlet, not a village; therefore they do not have any legal standing. All municipal references in this letter will be related to the Town of Carroll.
- The New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) agrees with the proposal to add painted crosswalks at the intersections of Route 62 with Institute St , Frew Run Rd, and Falconer St; however, crosswalks can only be placed where there are Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant curb ramps.

Based on this requirement, we have determined that a crosswalk can be placed across Route 62, on the north side of the intersection with Institute St/Frew Run Rd. It would be preferable for the curb ramp on the northwest quadrant of this intersection to face directly the curb ramp on the east side of the road; we have also determined that crosswalks can be placed across Falconer St, Institute St, and Frew Run Rd.

Since the crossing of Route 62 is not stop controlled, the crosswalk should be of the high visibility type. There should also be a Pedestrian warning sign and a diagonal downward Arrow at the crossing location, as well as a Pedestrian warning sign with sub-panel reading 300 Feet, in advance of the crosswalk, for both the northbound and southbound directions.

In street Pedestrian Crossing signs, they are placed within a crosswalk; therefore, one could be placed within the crosswalk proposed for Route 62. The Town of Carroll would need to obtain a permit from our Department to place and remove the sign on a daily basis, and the sign would only be allowed between April and October.

- NYSDOT also agrees with the proposal to add stop lines to the intersections of Institute St, Frew Run Rd, and Falconer St with Route 62.
- The school speed limit on Route 62, at the Robert H. Jackson Elementary School is currently 1000 feet. New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law allows for a school speed limit to be a maximum of 1320 feet. Therefore, NYSDOT will extend this school speed limit to the legal maximum, and adjust the location of the school speed limit signs.
- School speed limits can be established for roads which have direct access to/from a school. Therefore, a school speed limit can be established for Institute St, but not Route 62. Should it be so desired, a school speed limit can also be established on Falconer St. This request must come from the Town of Carroll directly to NYSDOT, and once approved, then the signs could be installed.

In summary, the NYSDOT agrees with your proposal to install crosswalks, stop lines, and pedestrian signs on Route 62 and recommends that the curb ramp on the northwest quadrant of the intersection be modified as well. We have enclosed an aerial photograph indicating these modifications for your use. Prior to completing any of this work, permits and approvals must first be obtained from the NYSDOT. A separate permit must be obtained by the municipality to place the instreet pedestrian sign. NYSDOT will make the proposed change to the existing school speed limit on Route 62; however, the Town of Carroll must officially request any additional school speed limits.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (716) 847-3268 or Angelo Borgese, of my staff, at (716) 847-3262.

Sincerely,
Original Signed by
Thomas S. Messana, P.E.
Thomas S. Messana, P.E. Regional Traffic Engineer

## TSM/AB/paf

Enclosure
cc: Major Michael J. Cerretto, Troop "A" Commander, NYS Police Angelo Borgese, P.E., Regional Traffic Operations Engineer

