WAREHOUSE TRANSFORMATION - A3 PROBLEM SOLVING Prepared by: Jason Riley CORE Linepipe Date: 06/23/19 **TEAM SELECTION** 5. DEVELOP COUNTERMEASURES. 6. SEE COUNTERMEASURES THROUGH (CONTAINMENT & CORRECTIVE ACTION) Countermeasure Owner Start Date Sean English, Suzanne Downey, Kevin Gartner, Fely Lozano, Allan Castelo, Kaleb McLeod, Greg Henry, Matt Beer, Melissa Implement Visual Management Plan (Yard ret tag area, Liner quarantine area, Rework Items, Signage Standard) Morningstar, Juan Soriano, Colin, Dwayne Storage/Packing Standard (Including non-standard materials, WIP, Red Tags) Location for Communication and Training Centre Colin June 24th.2019 July 22nd, 2019 Standard for vendor shipping and packaging (crates sizes from TAK) 1. CLARIFY THE PROBLEM. 2. BREAK DOWN THE PROBLEM. 3. SET A TARGET Layout for warehouse (improve accessibility, visual management, floor markings, signs) Inventory routing evaluation, spaghetti diagrams, flow Physical inventory control standard (lock & key vs visual etc) PROBLEM SUMMARY STATEMENT : Tagging Labeling standard (especially rework or red tag processes) Core Linepipe does not have a robust documented rework process. ISO 9001 2015E under "8.7 Controlling non-conforming Standard for counting red tags (do we count the sum of parts or the assembly) outs" calls for such a process. Core Linepipe has a strategic business objective to implement ISO 9001 2015E by December Standard for paperwork (red tags, do we use the Product Data Sheet or the MTR (Material Transfer Request) 31st, 2019. Under the new Quality Management System in the area of Material Management, CORE has not had a consistent monthly inventory accuracy that meets or exceeds the current target of 97%. This current state has resulted in Standard for red tag material movement (NAV vs reality, WIP location, 'recovery' of red tag materials, Traceability tracking, significant inventory variances and subsequent write-offs. Note to the reader: We attempted to run KPI of 97% inventory labeling, associated paperwork) accuracy based on dollar value. This makes CORE look good, and the problems aren't visible. Large total inventory values Standard for material transformations (cutting liner/steel, splitting liner/steel) July 22nd 2019 Sept 30th 2019 Dwayne can hide mistakes (large denominator). Current state calculations are not accurate due to scrap items being reflected as an Planning traveller for rework inventory imbalance. Even though we have issues, this calculation method incorrectly shows Supply Chain is performing well as most months are >97%. Evaluate single piece flow of information for rework items Standard for steel/liner length in rework (do we cut all steel to one length, all liner to one length, business case required) February Month January March April May Process for Scrap disposition Working Days to Post 20 12 10 MTR Process: review roles & responsibilities, ALL transfers, timing requirements (how soon must they be done?) Total score, % 98.50% 98.20% 99.30% 98.10% 95.80% NAV locations to represent reality (production staging, WIP, field staging w/ materials, receiving) 2.56 Month end inventory, \$Million 3.06 3.51 3.27 3.23 Policy for material returns (field, production, rework) \$ 108,300 Accuracy Loss \$ 44,763 \$ 59,464 \$ 24,788 \$ 61,702 nspection process evaluation and improve (does Wayne need to approve every inspection form? What if it is all green) Sean English July 15th, 2019 Sept. 30th, 2019 Procedure for prod/field material management Workflow for how to do inventory counts in NAV (policy/procedure/work instruction) Communication standard (inventory, non-routine...if you do something who do you need to tell?) Standard for auditing and measuring how well we are doing NCR System (non-conformity tracking being made universal, one location, one process) Standard for change management (document management, etc) Document approval process (RACI) Governance Standard (how often do we meet to review, report, KPIs, create actions etc.) Allan Castelo Started Dec. 31st, 2019 Training Matrix Overall Maturity Matrix for processes Roles & Responsibilities Standard for purchasing when GW issues a PO Fely Oct. 1st. 2019 Nov. 25th, 2019 Evaluate single piece flow of information (one piece of paper per stick) for production Kevin June 24th,2019 July 25th, 2019 Departmental OKR (Objectives and Key Results) Suzanne June 24th, 2019 Sept. 30th, 2019 4. ANALYZE THE ROOT CAUSE - PROBLEM ANALYSIS (PARETO, CAUSE and EFFECT, 5 WHY, etc.) 7. EVALUATE BOTH RESULTS AND PROCESSES (MEASUREABLES, KPIs etc.) TOP LEVEL INVENTORY KPI - As of June 24th, Inventory Accurracy will be measured as a percentage. Formual is Total Inventory divided by the Total Number Inventory Variances. MID LEVEL INVENTORY KPI's - TBD INDIVIDUAL INVENTORY KPI's - TBD 8. STANDARDIZE SUCCESSFUL PROCESSES / FUTURE STEPS Root Cause(s) Action(s) Due Date Owner Weak/Undefined Red Tag Pipe Process Weak/Undefined Rework Process 2 Weak/Undefined Material Flow Process 3 Weak/Undefined Transactional Workflow Process in NAV 4 Weak/Undefined Roles and Responsibilities 5