



Blowing The Whistle

Investigations

To whom it may concern:

I am requesting a criminal investigation to be conducted and charges filed against several members of the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office and an attorney from the General Counsel Office in reference to perjury, tampering with evidence, federal conspiracy statutes like 18 U.S.C. § 372, falsifying official documents, lying to a federal investigator (EEOC), official misconduct and several other charges you deem applicable. The listed employees all made false statements under oath during my wrongful termination hearing where I was terminated on 08/24/23. During an

Internal Affairs interview on 03/23/23, I was yelled at by Mr. Dorner repeatedly. I had already filed several EEOC and Internal complaints and nothing was ever done. Morris Halyard informed me to write a letter to TK Waters, and he would personally walk it up to him. That was the biggest mistake of my life to think Waters cared or had any integrity to do the right thing. I was suspended and later informed that I lied about being yelled at during the interview.

Everything in this room is recorded and it would make no sense to lie about what happened in a room that is recorded 24/7. The department was so corrupt they deleted the part of the interview where I was being yelled at and then stated I lied in my complaint. The video quality was laughable, and it was not how videos look for I-record. The department did not care about the blatant cover up because nothing happened and they knew my attorney (FOP) was not going to do anything, which turned out to be true. If you go on I-record site, you will see the timestamp should be on the video and not above it. I reached out to an I-record official in 2023, who confirmed the timestamp should be on the video. I have never seen any video with the timestamp not on the actual video. It pretty much proves the video is authentic. Per department policy I should have received my copy of the interview within 72 hours. They lied under oath and stated that I received my copy when I never did. I did not receive my copy until 07/17/2023. Randi Green informed me in the internal affairs office that Detective Moeller pressed the pause button which is why I received a copy of my interview on two CDs instead of one which is normal.

During the hearing Green was asked under oath if she informed me of this and she lied and stated she did not. When I asked for a copy of the conversation in the internal affairs interview room that is recorded 24/7, they stated it did not exist because she would be found guilty. The department continues to knowingly protect officers who are literally committing felonies. Why did it take 4 months to get a copy of my interview? They needed time to tamper with evidence to

set me up and ruin my career and reputation publicly. They violated the same policy that they are supposed to uphold.

The following employees with the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office and an attorney with the General Counsel Office should be investigated and charged:

1. Shawn Coarsey
2. Michael Shell
3. Jesse Eanes
4. Randi Green
5. Breannah Wright
6. Justin Fisette
7. Laura Boeckman (General Counsel Office)
8. Mark Dorner

I will go into detail of what each did and the crimes they committed.

Shawn Coarsey

During my hearing under oath Mr. Coarsey stated that a missing person was found where the complainant informed me, they would be to make me look incompetent. This is the same man that stated under oath he reviewed all files associated with my case. If he had reviewed the case files, why did he make this statement under oath? This was done intentionally. Mr. Coarsey then went on to say that a fair investigation was done in reference to my internal and EEOC complaints against Robert Lestrangle and Walter Roberts. This was also a lie. To cover up their tracks for not even conducting an investigation against Lestrangle on my complaints that were so obvious that included all evidence of him harassing me behind the scenes,

preventing me from attending leadership classes and being PIC in the absence of the supervisor. No one would know of his treatment of me if they did not read my complaint. I never stated he walked in the room and was blatantly obvious about discriminating against me where others could see this. Almost 4 years later after filing a motion to compel discovery I was finally sent the internal file and observed what Coarsey stated was a fair investigation and it was not. Internal Affairs brought officers in that did not even work for Lestrangle and then asked very broad questions that they knew everyone would answer they never saw me be mistreated. The interviews were 2 minutes for some officers and did not make sense. It was obvious they were not trying to get the truth but instead protecting Lestrangle. Internal then combined my internal complaints filed against Lestrangle and Walter Roberts so when they sent me a letter informing me that my complaint was sustained against Roberts, I would assume it was for both. This is not how internal is supposed to work. Another example of protecting Lestrangle and not conducting a proper investigation. I filed complaints against Lestrangle in 2022 and 2023, and against Roberts in 2023. Each complaint is assigned a case number and investigation is done. They do not combine all complaints and do one investigation this does not make sense. This is another example of their corruption and protecting Lestrangle. I sent emails for years and discovery asking for the completed case file and they continued to violate the Florida Sunshine Law and not releasing it to me even though the investigation was completed years ago. I informed them of officers to bring in to be interview and they did not. Instead, they brought in officers I did not recognize or was not there long enough to know anything about what happened in the office and some never worked for Lestrangle.

Coarsey was asked under oath if a white male detective came out and pressed the button during my interview he stated, "I don't believe so, no". If he observed the video like he stated he did under oath why was his answer, "He don't believe so"? He lied under oath because internal employees already stated the button was pressed. Stated the button does not turn recording on and off. Stated video is continuous. Stated pressing the button is a timestamp. Stated Green and Wright did not walk out of the room and shut the door in my face. They did. Stated internal did not violate any policies. They violated several. They altered video, failed to investigate my complaints then lied and stated they were investigated, did not give me a copy of my interview within 72 hours per the Officer Bill of Rights. Stated my complaints were fully investigated. Lies I was not asked any questions in reference to my actual complaints so how could they investigate anything? My interview was minutes long for a complaint including evidence for two complaints against Lestrage. She did not ask me any questions in reference to my complaint. There was nothing fair about this investigation and once you review it you will see this, he lied under oath again. Stated everything in internal is recorded and everything is by the book. Lies. Again, the videos I asked for they stated they do not exist. If its recorded 24 hours it should be there. It's crucial evidence against them so they won't release it even though it's public information. Stated he watched the video from 03/23/23. He is complicit because he saw me being yelled at and knew the video was altered. Stated he saw no gaps or discrepancies with the timestamp along the top. Saw no parts skipped or sentences cut off. In reference to the missing person case, I want to go into further detail behind it. The missing person was also called in missing the night prior before I even got to work and no one wrote a report or looked for him. Two officers got the call prior to me and did not show up at the call. I went to the call and wrote the report and

had him entered into the system. I was the only officer written up because they were looking for anything to get me on. My supervisor (Glen Wood) did not want to come to the scene and set up a search, so he told me to leave out certain parts the complainant told me. I informed him I was not comfortable doing that and I would call the complainant back and get her exact wording. If you check my phone logs you will see I called the complainant back later. I was already at the call. My supervisor was not at the call and probably not even in the zone due to it being early morning. The only one that would have been inconvenienced would be him because he would have to come to the call, which is why he informed me to leave out certain parts that the complainant told me. He then turned around and wrote me up like I did not handle the call correctly. Because of this I was suspended for several months, had my police powers stripped and placed on desk duty, which made no sense. This was continued retaliation against me for preventing two white male officers from killing a black man and then reporting it. Stated number one reason I was terminated was because I lied. He knows I did not lie and that the video was altered. This is ironic considering all of the examples with evidence of all of them lying. The reason for my termination on the FDLE website stated I was terminated due to willful departure from the truth. When I continued to speak up and stated I was set up the reason was updated to weapons violation. Due to a female emergency, I ran out of the bathroom and forgot my own weapon on the shelf in the bathroom stall at police headquarters when it was closed to the public. There is no department policy of what an officer does with their own weapon. Numerous officers, including administration have forgotten their weapons in the bathroom at police headquarters in full uniform when it was open to the public. Due to being placed on desk duty I wore regular clothing and not a uniform. I found a detective's department issued gun in the same bathroom that the suspects

used and there was never an investigation and I was never called in. When my weapon was found security guard Leroy Brown called me and informed me, he had it. He stated they find employee weapons in the bathroom all the time and it was no big deal. When I asked for a copy of the phone call once again like the video I was informed it did not exist. All phone calls from phone at police headquarters are recorded. When asked under oath Brown lied and stated he never informed me of this. It is obvious he was told to lie because his demeanor towards me totally changed. Again, this is another example of the department being desperate to write me up to create a paper trail.

Walter Roberts

I filed an internal complaint on him due to his sexual, racial and disturbing behavior in the office on a daily basis. They combined Lestrage cases with his because they could not protect him because he was so blatant in his misconduct in the office on a daily basis of literally committing assault and battery everyday with nothing ever happening. Walters would shoot foam darts, metals darts and throw balls at male officer's heads and penis' and nobody did anything because his best friend was Patrick Ivey, who was Undersheriff and then Sheriff at the time. I would speak often with Dennis Cook who would complain to me about Roberts treatment of him. It was obvious he was either scared to tell the truth during his interview out of fear of being retaliated against like I was or if he was told to lie. He informed me Roberts hit him so hard on his penis that he had to go to urgent care for treatment because he was in so much pain. Roberts would bully him on a daily basis, and it was disgusting to see. This officer had also lost his twin brother to suicide, and it was hard to watch Roberts bully him on a daily basis. I spoke with Richard Vercyssee who also stated that Roberts needed to chill bullying and harassing Cook on a daily basis. Laura Boeckman,

who is an attorney with the General Counsel office informed me when they asked Vercryssee to be interviewed he declined. Most likely because he did not want to be retaliated against or he did not want to get Roberts in trouble. His behavior on a daily basis was downright disgusting. He would say racist things and would not care. He took his shirt off in the office and straddled a male officer's lap. During the interviews with the officers Detective Wright asked broad questions so no one would say he did the things I accused him of. Instead, she asked each officer if they ever observed any misconduct in the office. She never went into detail of what the misconduct was, so all the officers responded with no. She never asked if they observed Roberts take his shirt off in the office and straddle someone's lap, throw balls at male officers' penis,' shoot foam and metal darts at male officers' penis' and heads. She simply asked if they observed misconduct. The officers that they decided to bring in did not observe it because he did not start doing all of these disgusting things until later on. If they were conducting a fair investigation, why did they not interview officers who were working during the time of my complaint? They interviewed a couple that had been there for years but then asked the broad question of did they observe misconduct to again protect the white male employee. One day while waiting to leave Roberts informed me, I belonged at home in the kitchen. Nothing was done and no one was asked this specific question. When I had a meeting with FOP president Randy Reaves, Ken Palmer from FOP and Phil Volgesang (FOP attorney) Ken Palmer stated that Robert's admitted everything in my complaint was true and stated he would take whatever punishment given to him. There is no way anyone who said and did all the stuff he did in the office should not have been terminated. I never did anything and was set up and terminated. Coarsey lied again under oath when he stated there was a fair investigation. If it was fair, why did it take years for me to get the case file, everyone blowing

me off and combining investigations to make it appear all of my complaints were sustained when in reality only Roberts was because they could not protect him. I have repeatedly requested Roberts and Lestrangle's internal file disciplinary files, which is public information and opposing counsel and internal affairs refused to release it during Discovery for obvious reasons. I am pretty sure my complaints and Roberts punishment is not listed on neither of their files which is also why they combined the investigations. Why are they not releasing public information they have to release on cases that have been completed for three years? They are violating the law. Opposing counsel simply stated she objected to releasing it even though the judge's order stated for her to release it.

Michael Shell

During my hearing Mr. Shell stated under oath the reason why the video footage has the timestamp above the actual video was due to I-record updating their software. Stated I-Record updated software in December 2021. This contradicts when Green stated it was updated. She stated ISM gave her the software to update it. Shell stated that I-record sent out the software. Again, no one will tell me who from I-record they spoke to for obvious reasons. Stated all videos after this date does not have the timestamp on the video. This is not true the I-record website shows their videos still have the timestamp on the video just like my interviews from 2021. During Discovery Laura Boeckman was asked several times to release the information on who Shell spoke with from I-Record and informed him of the changes and she objected to releasing the information even with a court order informing her to. Shell stated he watched the 03/23/23, video from the beginning. If he did, he is complicit and lied under oath again because he would have seen me being yelled at before the video was altered. Stated under oath there was no time gaps and that the timestamp was along the top of the video. His statement contradicts Green's

statements made under oath. This will also cause issues in past and future civil and criminal cases for anyone who requested a copy of videos. All of these videos are not in their true form, and all have been tampered with by removing the timestamp and placing it above the video which is against the law. Due to them altering my video now every video made afterwards have to be altered and illegally tampered with. Stated under oath, the server is always recording yet continues to inform me that there is no video from 07/17/2023, when I was in that same room and Green informed me of information that would jeopardize their case and expose them. Stated pressing the button on the wall bookmarks the portion of footage for the video. Stated no one in internal has access to the recordings to be able to change them or stop and start them. This contradicts Green's statement made under oath. Stated no one in JSO has access to the recordings. Once pulled off they become part of the case file and are copied on CD, DVD or thumb drive. Stated again recorded 24 hours a day. Then stated it never stops. Yet states there is no recording from 07/17/2023.

Randi Green

Stated under oath, she was in interview room with me and Dorner but did not hear him yell at me. Opposing counsel at the hearing objected to her being asked a simple question probably because she informed them, she did not want to lie under oath so he tried to prevent it.

Stated the timestamp on the video changed months prior or possibly a year making it 2022. She stated ISM approached her and asked her to assist in updating I-record on everybody's system. Shell stated under oath I-Record informed them of the update. Why would ISM have anything to do with I-Record software? During discovery opposing counsel would not release information in reference to my Interrogatories in reference to who works in ISM because they are most likely the ones who manipulated the video. They probably want no parts of this and do not want to

testify so to protect them she won't give me their names. This is another example of conspiracy and them protecting criminal behavior. Stated under oath she did not tell me Detective Moeller pressed the button and stopped the recording which is why I had two videos. This was on 07/17/2023. They continuously lie, stating there is no recording but state numerous times in transcripts in their testimonies that the room records 24 hours a day. This statement contradicts Shell's statement of everything being recorded in that room 24/7. Stated one of the sergeants got with I-record people and they backtracked the video and got the hour prior. Shows video was stopped as I stated. How can it record 24 hours a day, yet they had to get help to get the previous hour? Because the recording was stopped as I stated. Another example of them covering up their lies. When asked if Detective Fisette was present on 03/23/23, during my hearing the opposing attorney that is complicit objected to the simple question because she did not want to lie under oath. She answered, "she did not know." There was no way she did not know he was there because he walked right past us in the interview room and pressed the button outside of the door. Also, the internal affairs office is not that big that they would not know if another detective was present on that day. The interview was in the middle of the day so everyone who was working that day had already seen each other. This is another blatant lie not to know or see who is working in the same office as you. This contradicts Fisette's statement under oath where stated Fisette didn't transfer to Internal until 04/2023. So, if what he said was true why wasn't her response he was not working in Internal on this date. Again, caught lying and conspiring against myself. Stated at the beginning of my interview on 03/23/23, it became heated. I had no reason to be angry, and you can see and hear me in the interview at the beginning, and I was not angry I was more confused why I was forced to be there. The only angry one was Mark Dorner. If it was heated and I was the one yelling, they would have written me up for being insubordinate. If you

review the video, you will not see anyone heated so where did that part go and who was the one heated? If everything is recorded 24/7 why does the video not show any heated exchanges? So, that pretty much proves Dorner was angry when he came into the room. Stated the video is not supposed to be turned off during an interview. Stated it is always recording. Yet the video was stopped during the recording so again internal violated policy, and nothing was done. Stated she is able to go to I-record and pull up a video. If that is the case, why did it take over 4 months to give me my copy? Because it took time to manipulate and tamper with it. They contradicted themselves. Policy states I was to get my copy within 72 hours, and it took 4 months. The time delay does not make sense other than they were altering it which is criminal. Stated she did not have knowledge of anyone turning the video off while I was being interviewed. She lied. She informed me on 07/17/2023, when I picked up my videos that Detective Moeller stopped the recording. They continue to state there is no recording on that date but say it records 24 hours a day. Contradicted themselves. She lied under oath again. Stated she did not know who printed out the door scans. That's a lie everyone in internal knows who prints out those door swipe logs because they use them all the time for their cases. Lied again. Wendy Martin is in charge of the office that prints out copies. When asked for her to testify at my hearing I was informed, she was off on that day (08/24/2023). During discovery opposing counsel was asked numerous times to produce Wendy Martin's attendance sheet on 08/24/23, and she objected. Most likely because she was working that day but did not want to lie under oath or take part in their set up of myself and their criminal misconduct. Stated pressing the button does not start recording. In the I-record video it states pressing the button outside of the door starts recording. Lied again. Stated again, it's always recording and stays on the hard drive for years. Again, why did it take over 4 months for me to get my copy? Stated even if the button is not pressed it is still recording. When asked if

she could manipulate the video she answered and said “she” could not. Then stated no one in Internal Affairs could. She selectively answered like this so she would not be lying under oath. She stated she could not and no one in Internal could. Because ISM most likely did which is why opposing counsel will not release the name of ISM Personnel. Notice she did not mention ISM couldn’t manipulate it. Stated it was not her decision to “clip” the video during the heated exchange. Stated when the video was “clipped” it started in the middle of the conversation so that’s why one of the sergeants got with I-record to backtrack. They literally admitted they altered the video; there was a heated exchange and not on my part and the video was clipped and backtracked. This is why they will not give me any information in reference to I-record on my Interrogatories. If everything records 24/7 in the room and no one touched any buttons to stop any recording, then why did they have to backtrack and clip any parts of the video? Nothing should have been tampered with. They did all of this because they had to remove the parts where Dorner was yelling at me again tampering with evidence and falsifying official documents.

Breannah Wright

Stated under oath she did not hear Dorner yell at me. Lies, her and Green were right there in the room with us. Stated no one asked her to be untruthful. Lies. When asked if Detective Fisette was present on 03/23/23, the attorney that is complicit objected to this simple question because she did not want to lie under oath. She answered, “she did not know.” Another blatant lie to not know or see who is working in the same office with you in the middle of the day. Fisette lied under oath and stated he didn’t transfer to Internal until 04/2023. So, if what he said was true why wasn’t her response he was not working in Internal on this date. Again, caught lying. Stated video is never supposed to be stopped even though Green admitted my video was stopped, clipped and backtracked. Stated pressing the button only flags the video. I-Record site states

pressing the button starts and stops recording. When asked why I received two videos instead of one she forgot what she was supposed to lie about. She admitted the button was pressed. Nothing should have been pressed while I was being interviewed per policy. I have been interviewed before, and no one pressed any buttons. Stated the button was pressed to flag it. Why flag the video? Because they had to go back to where I was being yelled at to alter it illegally. Stated she does not know who printed out the door swipes logs. Again, lies they all use these in their investigations and (Wendy Martin's) office is next door to theirs. Again, not wanting to mention Martin's name during the hearing.

Justin Fisette

Testified under oath when asked if he went to police headquarters during the day on 03/23/23. He responded, "Not that I can remember." Again, he was trying to avoid answering the question under oath and not directly lie. Why not answer no because I was assigned to the DUI unit and their office is not at police headquarters because I was not working on that day according to an attendance sheet submitted during discovery by Laura Boeckman. Stated he did not know who printed out the door scan logs for 03/23/23. Again, another lie because Wendy Martin's office is next door to Internal and they all had to go there to get copies of door logs before. Another example of everyone refusing to mention Wendy Martin's name during the hearing. He knew he was working that day yet was looking at a copy of a log that showed he was not working on 03/23/23. When asked if he pressed the button outside of the internal affairs door on 03/23/23, he did not answer yes or no but instead stated under oath he was assigned to the DUI unit on 03/23/23. Keep in mind an affidavit shows Fisette was assigned my case on 03/24/23, less than 24 hours after the incident of leaving my own weapon in the bathroom during a female emergency. He contradicted himself and it proved he lied under oath. When asked again if he

was at internal on 03/23/23, he stated he was not there. Yet in Laura Boeckman's response to my first set of Interrogatories, she lied and stated he was present in internal affairs on 03/23/23. When confronted with the timesheet she submitted stating he was off and all of internal testifying under oath he was not there she then wrote an email and filed a motion stating she made a mistake and that he was not there. During the second set of Interrogatories Laura Boeckman again admitted Fisette was present in internal affairs on 03/23/23. This time she was cornered and had no choice but to tell the truth because I asked for specific evidence that would prove not only was Fisette present in internal affairs on 03/23/23, but he was also assigned to the unit which proves he lied under oath along with all of internal affairs. Laura Boeckman has been caught lying during interrogatories numerous times and nothing has happened. Please feel free to go through out court docket and look at the motions and then review my opposing motions that include evidence. How she is still on this case is mind blowing because she is literally a witness in this case due to her unethical behavior and refusing to release evidence anyone in the public could request to get. Fisette stated under oath he transferred to Internal Affairs on 04/08/2023, yet was assigned my case on 03/24/23, another blatant lie under oath. The department, internal affairs and the General Counsel Office (Laura Boeckman) are all aware of this and nothing has been done. They are all complicit and this is pretty much a RICO case. These people ruined my life and career all because I reported corruption, which by law I am supposed to do.

Jesse Eanes

Stated under oath during my review hearing that he wrote a report in reference to my letter to TK Waters. Stated he reviewed the entire interview video from 03/23/23. Stated when I was terminated on 07/05/23, he gave me a packet of all reports involving my case. He lied under oath because on video you can clearly hear me asking for the video from 03/23/23. He stated he gave

me everything and he did not. I did not get the video until 07/17/23. Why not give me the video not only in 03/23, but why not give it to me when I was terminated on 07/05/23? The department needed more time to tamper and manipulate the video. There is no reason why they could not have given me a copy other than for criminal reasons. He stated under oath when asked that I was entitled to everything in reference to my case, but they did not give me the video from 03/23/23. He was asked if he knew of anyone flagging my video during my interview and he stated he did not know. They all observed the interview in the back while it's being conducted so he lied again. Yet earlier Coarsey stated nothing was flagged and he watched the entire video. When asked if Fisette was working in internal affairs on 03/23/23, he stated under oath Fisette was not working "in" internal affairs. Why not answer he was not working at all that day since he reviewed the files and saw the copy of Fisette's attendance sheet showing he was off that day. This is another example of not wanting to lie to this direct question. When asked if anyone pressed the button during the interview on 03/23/23, he stated the entire interaction between me and Dorner was recorded but did not know who flagged the timestamps. When asked if anyone informed him not to be truthful, he stated no, which was a lie. When asked who prints off door swipe logs, he stated the office that handles that is Wendy Martin's office. Yet earlier no one else in internal affairs knew who handled printing door swipe logs, which is also another lie they all told. He stated that Officer Plank printed out the door swipe logs. He stated he gave him the date and timeframe, and he watched him print them out. He then stated he pulled them off the printer and sent them to the FOP attorney immediately. The altered logs for 03/23/23, have the date and time on the bottom of each page. I am sure if you look at the email Eanes sent to FOP attorney you will see they probably were not sent immediately and if they were they were altered in Wendy Martin's office prior to printing them. The quality of the printouts was laughable, and you

could clearly see where they were manipulated and altered to remove Fisette's name. You can see uneven borders around the log. When asked if he informed me no video existed in reference to the 03/23/23 video that I was asking for on video on 07/05/23, he denied telling me this. You can hear me on video asking for my copy of the video and him telling me it does not exist. He lied under oath again. He lied under oath and stated that I had already received everything, which is a lie because I was back in internal affairs on 07/17/23, to get the video I was asking him for on this date that he said did not exist. This was another example of them buying time to alter and criminally manipulate the video. He then stated again everything I was entitled to as a principal was given to me. The main evidence against me as a Principal was the interview video from 03/23/23 and it was not given to me on 07/05/23, as he lied and stated under oath.

Mark Dorner

The Unit Commander over internal affairs. Dorner is the supervisor who was yelling at me during my interview on 03/23/23, that was altered and manipulated. He is one of the masterminds behind setting me up and all of internal affairs lying under oath. He knows he yelled at me and why he was so mad when he stormed into the interview room on 03/23/23. He conspired to set me up, defame my name and reputation, falsify evidence, tamper with evidence, and watch all his employees lie under oath.

Laura Boeckman

You will have to go through the court docket and read through all of her motions filed and my oppositions proving she misled the court, withheld evidence, and continue to delay everything. Her behavior is unethical and she should not be over this case. She is literally a witness in this case now. If a fair investigation is conducted there is no way anyone associated with case should

not lose their freedom, license and certificate. Ms. Boeckman needs to be reported to the Florida Bar for her actions. She has left a long paper trail with her motions and as of this date nothing has been done to her. Just another example of her unethical behavior other than the incident I spoke of earlier with her changing whether Fisette was present on 03/23/23, and sending emails stating it was a mistake and filing a motion stating it was a mistake only to turn around and change her story again when cornered with the truth proving he was there on that date. She needed to delay discovery because they had to go back and do the investigation of my complaint against Lestrage and now three years later having to tamper with all videos to manipulate them to look like all of the other videos it takes a long time. It took them four months to tamper with the 03/23/23 video. She lied and misled the court and stated I did not respond to her Interrogatories and release evidence. Due to her unethical behavior the judge extended her turning in discovery by months and I still had to turn my discovery in on May 22, 2026, as a Pro Se litigant. I showed proof that the interrogatories were all answered by filing a motion and including evidence of my responses with all of my answers to her. She then stated to the court due to all of my discovery it would take too long for her to go through all of it and needed an extension. The discovery that she asked for was a couple pages long and did not take that long to review. She wanted time to review all of my discovery which was unfair because her interrogatories were not that many things. When I checked to see if Ms. Boeckman had downloaded any of the files she stated she did not have enough time to review she had not downloaded them for over a week. Again, she lied to the court to extend her turning in discovery and make it appear that I was not following the discovery process even though I was. Even with a motion filed showing the interrogatories were answered and Boeckman lied, nothing happened and she is still on this case. On 05/18/2026, the General Counsel Office supervisor Sean Granat

filed a motion stating that he would be taking over the case and that Boeckman would be removed. This was done because Benjamin Crump was in town the night prior and we had a hearing on 05/19/2026, before Judge Lambert. They knew she had been unethical and knew she should not be on the case and was afraid Crump would show up as my attorney and wanted to be prepared. When I walked in and sat down in the hallway Granat and Boeckman were on the other end of the hall waiting to see who walked in with me. When they saw it was just me, Boeckman took over and Granat never said a word. To this day he has not done anything in reference to this case and Boeckman continues to handle everything. It is obvious they only filed that motion because they thought they would not be able to take advantage of Crump like they have done to a Pro Se litigant all this time.

I requested a copy of the door swipe logs for 03/23/23 to 03/24/23, in my motion to compel. Instead of sending those dates she sent 03/24/23 to 03/25/23 and then lied in an email and stated she sent 03/23/23 to 03/24/23. The court ordered her to release a copy to me by July 15, 2026. I informed her via email numerous times she intentionally sent me the wrong dates. She then sent a copy from three years ago and not a new copy. A new copy was requested to compare with the old and also because the formats in 2026 copies of 03/24/23 were different. I informed her she did not give me a copy of the exterior door swipes from 03/23/23, and she ignored my request. She sent a new copy of the exterior and interior for 03/24/23 because there were no discrepancies about Fisette being present on this date but refused to send a new copy for 03/23/23. She had no issues disregarding a court order to release evidence that anyone in the public could get a copy of it. Her not releasing the copies, lying, and coming up with excuses once again shows she is complicit in official misconduct. She then filed a motion on July 15, 2026, informing the court she released all evidence she was ordered to and once again that was a lie. She refused to release

any evidence that proved they all lied under oath and evidence that would prove they failed to conduct complete investigations (Joshua Robinson case 2017-735162).

The above-listed employees should all be listed on the Brady list and should all be prosecuted for the way they all conspired and ruined my life and career. Ms. Boeckman should be disbarred. Her unethical behavior leaves an easy paper trail to prove with evidence included. There is no excuse for any of their behavior involving this case. You can look at the transcripts and arrest every Jacksonville Sheriff's Office employee, and the Florida Bar can look at the court docket and review all motions filed and easily disbar Boeckman. These people all conspired to set me up and ruin my life and career. I am not going to stop until I get justice, and Joshua Robinson gets justice for what happened to him and how two white male officers almost killed him until I intervened and stopped them (Case 2017-735162). The Sheriff Department has a history of lying, using excessive force and setting people up. If they did all of this to an officer imagine what they are doing behind the scenes against the citizens, they come in contact with on a daily basis. How many people are sitting in jail and prison now because they were set up like I was? How many cases civilly and criminally are going to be re-opened and people possibly being released from jail and prison due to the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office misconduct? How many of these altered and tampered with interview videos have been released in major criminal trials? Due to dealing with the department and General Counsel Office not facing any consequences for their behavior I will be releasing this complaint publicly because the only time the department does anything legally is when the public knows. There are several Investigative Journalists who will be releasing stories on years of evidence collected on JSO. I will be writing a second book and releasing a Documentary so people can see up close how I have been treated unfairly for years by the department and the justice system. They can see the very people who were supposed to be

helping and protecting me conspired to take me down for doing nothing. These are the most corrupt people I have ever come in contact with and none of them need to be representing any police department or General Counsel Office. Their behavior is despicable. Due to Robin Waters relationship with TK Waters the Sheriff for Jacksonville Sheriff's Office, she should have nothing to do with this investigation. I will also be making sure all local and national media outlets get a copy of this complaint. The sheriff's office also needs to be investigated for not investigating a criminal complaint. I filed a criminal complaint with the Integrity Unit and spoke with Lt. Marc Musser who is over the Integrity Unit and informed him of all of the corruption in May 2026. I was very detailed about them lying under oath and committing perjury. He never responded back nor did I ever receive anything with a case number like department states I should have. Like always they blew me off and protected the real criminals. Shawn Coarsey has been so bold than to harass me on Facebook liking posts and commenting. As the second person in charge of the department, it is extremely petty and unprofessional to be commenting on and harassing someone publicly, but when you never have to face consequences, you have no fear and nothing is ever done. The department has shown up on my job site numerous times harassing me and putting my safety in jeopardy. I am now a private investigator and once I arrive on scene per law I have to call the department and inform them my name, number, location, and how long I will be there so if any calls come in in reference to a suspicious person they know who I am. Being a police officer for 22 years I have seen several calls come in on my computer stating a private detective was in the area with all of their information and their number to call them. Not once did I go to their vehicle and try to speak with them and jeopardize their safety and case. On numerous occasions instead of calling me on the phone JSO showed up, came to my car and made me roll my window down or pulled behind me with their lights on to draw attention to

myself intentionally putting my safety in jeopardy as a joke. They are supposed to call me if there are any issues, there is no reason for them to get behind me or walk up to my window and make me roll it down to speak with them. Also, if someone calls in a suspicious person call, they are supposed to go speak with the complainant not me. This is another example of them bullying and harassing me with no consequences. I am hoping a fair investigation is done but with transcripts of their lies it should not take that long. Everyone associated with this case should be immediately removed and suspended until the investigation is completed all the way up to Shawn Coarsey. I also filed a complaint with the General Counsel Office with C. Garret it is no shock he never contacted me back and when I went to send him an email for a follow up I was blocked from sending him an email. I sent Sean Granat a supervisor at the General Counsel Office an email I sent to Boeckman informing her of her unethical behavior and nothing was done. Do not excuse TK Waters from any of this. As the head of the department, he has seen those videos and know they are not supposed to look like that so he cannot say he had no knowledge of any corruption. Patrick Ivey also needs to be interviewed. Once released publicly everyone is going to know what is and what has happened to me for years. Thank you.

Respectfully,

P.I. Monica Bennett

P.I.# C3300539

Blowing The Whistle Investigations

Agency License Number: A3400023

<https://blowingthewhistleinvestigations.com/>

monicabennettblowswhistle.net