Jewish Community Safety Index

VERSION 1.2

Comprehensive Country Assessment - Seven Pillar Framework



NL NETHERLANDS

39

CRITICAL

CRITICAL SECURITY ENVIRONMENT: The November 2024 Amsterdam attacks - coordinated "Jew hunts" targeting Maccabi Tel Aviv supporters represent the most severe antisemitic violence in Western Europe since the Holocaust. Despite strong legal frameworks, the Netherlands faces unprecedented threats to Jewish safety.

Seven Pillar Summary

#	Pillar	Weight	Score	Assessment
P1	Legal & Government Framework	10%	70	Strong - NCAB established; Holocaust denial criminalized 2023
P2	Security Infrastructure	10%	62	Moderate - Enhanced post-Nov 2024; EUR 4.5M strategy
Р3	Criminal Justice Outcomes	10%	52	Moderate - Amsterdam trials ongoing; data gaps
P4	Threat Environment	18%	22	CRITICAL - Amsterdam attacks; 305% incident increase

P5	Movement Ecosystem NEW	15%	28	Critical - HuT legal; coordinated Nov 2024 attacks
P6	Cultural & Societal Climate NEW	15%	5 5	Moderate - Holocaust Museum offset by campus activism
P7	Lived Experience & Community Voice	22%	32	Critical - Deep trauma post-Nov 2024; widespread fear

[+] CRITICAL STRENGTHS

- Gun Control (95/100): Among strictest in Europe; prevents mass casualty attacks
- NCAB Established: National Coordinator since 2021; EUR 4.5M annual budget
- Legal Reforms: Holocaust denial criminalized July 2023
- Low Baseline Antisemitism: 10% on ADL Global 100
- Holocaust Museum: Opened March 2024 with royal attendance

[X] CRITICAL VULNERABILITIES

- Amsterdam Nov 2024: Coordinated
 "Jew hunt" attacks
- **Incident Surge:** 305% increase 2022-2024
- HuT Legal: Unlike UK/Germany; active presence
- Community Trauma: Deep shock from coordinated violence
- **Holocaust Denial:** 14% of teachers report classroom incidents

```
FORMULA: JCSI = (70x0.10) + (62x0.10) + (52x0.10) + (22x0.18) + (28x0.15) + (55x0.15) + (32x0.22) = 39/100
```

Assessment Period: October 2023 - December 2025 | Framework v1.2 | Report Date: December 2025

Netherlands JCSI v1.2 - Detailed Assessment

[DATA] DEMOGRAPHIC CONTEXT (Reference Data - Not Scored)

Indicator	Measurement	Source
Jewish population	~35,000-40,000 (0.2% of total)	JPR/CBS
Muslim population	~1,000,000 (6% of total)	CBS 2023
Muslim:Jewish ratio	~25-30:1	Calculated
Geographic concentration	44% of Jews in Amsterdam; also Rotterdam, The Hague, Utrecht	JPR 2025
Geographic overlap	Very High - Both communities concentrated in same four cities	Analysis
Israeli-born Jews	~20% of Dutch Jewish population (highest in Europe)	JPR 2025

PILLAR 1: Legal & Government Framework 70/100 | Weight: 10%

1.1 Government Leadership on Antisemitism (25%)

72/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Special Envoy/Coordinator for Antisemitism	Yes - NCAB (Eddo Verdoner) since April 2021	90	Govt Records
Envoy empowered to propose legislation	Advisory - Reports to Justice Minister	55	NCAB Mandate
Envoy empowered to set/influence policy	Yes - Coordinates national strategy	70	NCAB Mandate
Dedicated budget for coordinator's office	EUR 500,000 + EUR 4.5M strategy from 2025	80	Budget 2024-25
National action plan on antisemitism	Yes - Strategy 2024-2030 adopted Nov 2024	85	Government

1.2 Constitutional & Fundamental Protections (15%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Religious freedom guarantee	Yes - Article 6 Dutch Constitution	85	Constitution
Equal protection clause	Yes - Article 1 non-discrimination	85	Constitution
Minority rights provisions	General - Through equality provisions	65	Constitution

1.3 Antisemitism-Specific Legislation (25%)

68/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Holocaust denial criminalized	Yes - July 2023 (EU compliance)	85	Criminal Code
Hate speech laws covering antisemitism	Yes - Article 137c-d Criminal Code	80	Criminal Code
Hate crime enhancement statutes	Limited - Aggravating factor in sentencing	55	Criminal Code
IHRA definition adopted	Endorsed - "Not legally binding"	60	Govt Records
Incitement to violence laws	Yes - Article 131-132 Criminal Code	75	Criminal Code

1.4 Gun Control Framework (10%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Constitutional right to arms	No - Gun ownership is privilege, not right	100	Constitution
Semi-automatic weapons banned	Effective ban - Sport shooting only after years	95	Weapons Act
Licensing + background check	Yes - Extensive checks, psychological eval, references	100	Police
National firearms registry	Yes - All firearms registered	100	Police
Guns per 100 residents	3.9 (vs 120.5 in USA)	95	Small Arms Survey

1.5 Organization & Symbol Bans (15%)

58/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Hamas banned (full organization)	Yes - Listed terrorist org (EU designation)	100	EU/Dutch Law
Hezbollah banned (full organization)	Yes - EU designation	100	EU/Dutch Law
Nazi symbols criminalized	Partial - Context-dependent enforcement	50	Criminal Code
Hizb ut-Tahrir status	LEGAL - Not proscribed (unlike UK/Germany)	15	Govt Records
Incitement slogans prosecutable	Context-dependent - Limited enforcement	55	Case Law

1.6 Bilateral Relations & Diplomacy (10%)

75/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Full diplomatic relations with Israel	Yes - Full relations	100	Foreign Ministry
Government stance on Israel	Supportive - Coalition dependent	65	Policy
Holocaust education cooperation	Yes - Active international cooperation	80	IHRA

PILLAR 1 CALCULATION: $(72\times0.25) + (78\times0.15) + (68\times0.25) + (95\times0.10) + (58\times0.15) + (75\times0.10) = 70/100$

PILLAR 2: Security Infrastructure

2.1 Government Security Programs (35%)

60/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Dedicated Jewish security funding	EUR 4.5M annually from 2025 (new strategy)	65	Budget 2024-25
Per capita security funding	~EUR 115-130 per Jewish resident	55	Calculated
Program longevity	New - 2024-2030 Strategy launched Nov 2024	50	Government
Eligibility scope	Expanding - Major institutions covered	65	NCAB

2.2 Police & Intelligence (40%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Dedicated liaison officers	Yes - Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague	65	Police
Intelligence sharing arrangements	Yes - AIVD/NCTV coordination	70	NCTV
Armed protection at high-risk sites	Enhanced - Post-Nov 2024 surge	70	Police
Threat level	Level 4 of 5 - "Substantial" since Dec 2023	55	NCTV

2.3 Community Security Organizations (25%)

62/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Recognized community security body	Yes - CJO (Central Jewish Consultation)	80	CJO
Government coordination formalized	Yes - Taskforce established Nov 2024	75	Government
Training programs available	Limited - Expanding post-Nov 2024	50	CJO/CIDI
Incident reporting systems	Yes - CIDI monitoring; police integration	60	CIDI

PILLAR 2 CALCULATION: $(60\times0.35) + (65\times0.40) + (62\times0.25) = 62/100$

PILLAR 3: Criminal Justice Outcomes 52/100 | Weight: 10%

3.1 Arrest & Investigation (35%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Arrest rate for antisemitic crimes	Estimated 40-50% - Data gaps exist	50	Police/ CIDI
Amsterdam Nov 2024 response	62 arrested; 10 detained initially	55	Prosecutor
Dedicated hate crime units	Limited - General assignment	55	Police
Investigation quality	Improving - Post-Nov 2024 scrutiny	60	Courts

3.2 Prosecution & Conviction (45%)

50/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Prosecution rate	Estimated 50-60% of arrests	55	Prosecutor
Amsterdam trials outcome	Ongoing - Prosecutor claims "not antisemitism"	35	Dec 2024
Conviction rate	Estimated 60-70% of prosecutions	60	Court Records
Dedicated hate crime prosecutors	Limited - Specialized training expanding	50	ОМ

3.3 Sentencing & Deterrence (20%)

52/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Hate crime enhancement applied	Inconsistent - Judge discretion	50	Courts
Maximum sentences utilized	Rarely - Typically suspended/community	50	Case Law
Perceived deterrent effect	Limited - NCAB calls for stronger approach	55	NCAB

PILLAR 3 CALCULATION: $(55\times0.35) + (50\times0.45) + (52\times0.20) = 52/100$

PILLAR 4: Threat Environment

CRITICAL: This pillar carries highest weight (18%) and drives overall score down. The November 2024 Amsterdam attacks represent the most severe antisemitic violence in Western Europe since the Holocaust.

4.1 Incident Statistics (40%)

18/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Total antisemitic incidents	880 (2023) + 421 (2024) - 305% increase since 2022	15	Police/ CIDI
Incidents per 100,000 Jewish	~2,200-2,500 per 100,000 (extremely high)	10	Calculated
Violent antisemitic incidents	43 (2023) vs 28 (2022) - 54% increase	20	Police
Threats/intimidation	80 with threats (2023) vs 54 (2022)	25	Police
Public space incidents	45% surge in public space antisemitism	20	CIDI

4.2 Mass Casualty Attack History (25%)

28/100

DECAY MODEL: 0-3 yrs = 100% weight | 3-5 yrs = 75% | 5-10 yrs = 50% | 10-25 yrs = 25%

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Weight
Amsterdam Nov 2024 attacks	Coordinated "Jew hunt 5+ hospitalized, 30+ injured	15	100%
Fatalities (direct antisemitic)	None recent - Utrecht 2019 (3 killed, general)	60	50%
Prevented/foiled attacks	Unknown - Intelligence not public	50	Variable

4.3 Campus Climate (20%)

25/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Campus antisemitic incidents	Significant increase - NCAB warning Aug 2024	25	NCAB
Encampments/occupations	Multiple - Amsterdam, Utrecht, others	20	Media
Jewish student safety (self-reported)	NCAB: "increasingly concerned"	25	NCAB
Holocaust denial in classrooms	14% of teachers report denial/ trivialization	30	Anne Frank House

4.4 Organized Threat Assessment (15%)

20/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Official terrorism threat level	Level 4 of 5 - "Substantial" since Dec 2023	25	NCTV
Coordinated attack capability	DEMONSTRATED - Nov 2024 "hit squads" on scooters	10	Police/ Mayor
Social media coordination	WhatsApp groups organized "Jew hunt"	15	Investigation
Far-right extremism	Present but less acute than Islamist threat	35	AIVD

PILLAR 4 CALCULATION: (18x0.40) + (28x0.25) + (25x0.20) + (20x0.15) =

MAJOR ANTISEMITIC INCIDENTS 2024

Date	Incident	Casualties	Response
Nov 7-8, 2024	Amsterdam "Jew Hunt Coordinated attacks on Maccabi Tel Aviv supporters across city center	5+ hospitalized, 30+ injured	62 arrested; 3- day protest ban
March 10, 2024	Holocaust Museum opening - 2,000 protesters, antisemitic chants near synagogue	0 (disruption)	13 arrested
Throughout 2024	University encampments - Jewish students intimidated	0 (harassment)	Ongoing

PILLAR 5: Radicalization & Movement Ecosystem NEW v1.2

28/100 | Weight:

15%

v1.2 NOW SCORED: Previously "Context - - now weighted because these movements are pre-text to violence. November 2024 demonstrated organized capability.

5.1 Islamist Movement Infrastructure (50%)

25/100

Note: Assesses organized Islamist infrastructure. NOT an assessment of Muslim community as a whole.

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Muslim Brotherhood presence	Present - Some affiliated organizations	40	AIVD
Muslim Brotherhood banned	No - Not proscribed	30	Proscription Lists
Hizb ut-Tahrir status	LEGAL - Active in Netherlands (banned in UK/Germany)	10	Govt Records
Salafi-Jihadi networks	Present - AIVD monitoring	30	AIVD Reports
Islamist content dissemination	Active - Social media/Telegram	25	NCTV

5.2 Pro-Palestinian/Anti-Zionist Movement Activity (50%)

30/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Pro-Palestinian protests (since Oct 2023)	Numerous large protests; some violence	25	Police/ Media
Protests at Jewish institutions	Yes - Holocaust Museum; synagogues	20	CJO/CIDI
Coordinated violence demonstrated	YES - Nov 2024 WhatsApp "Jew hunt" coordination	10	Police
"Intifada" slogans prevalence	Common - Regular at protests	25	Media
Railway station sit-ins	Multiple - Part of Taskforce focus	35	Government

PILLAR 5 CALCULATION: (25x0.50) + (30x0.50) = 28/100

PILLAR 6: Cultural & Societal Climate

55/100 | Weight:

NEW v1.2

15%

6.1 Academic & Institutional Boycotts (25%)

42/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
BDS resolutions (student governments)	Some passed - Amsterdam, Utrecht	35	University
BDS resolutions (faculty/ unions)	Some union motions	40	Union records
Israeli/Zionist speakers disrupted	Multiple incidents - NCAB concern	35	Media/NCAB
University response	Mixed - Enhanced measures post- NCAB warning	55	Universities

6.2 Cultural & Public Space Hostility (25%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Football stadium antisemitism	Persistent - Antisemitic chants common	30	NCAB/Media
Match stopping protocol	Rarely enforced - Despite agreements	35	State Dept
Social media antisemitism	11% of sample posts antisemitic (2020 study)	30	Justice Ministry
Online enforcement	Inadequate - NCAB calls for action	40	NCAB

6.3 Israel Relations & Freedom of Movement (15%)

75/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Full diplomatic relations with Israel	Yes - Full relations	100	Foreign Ministry
Freedom of travel to/from	Unrestricted - Full travel freedom	100	Travel
Anti-BDS legislation in place	No - No anti-BDS laws	35	Legislation

6.4 Jewish Heritage Celebration - POSITIVE (20%)

72/100

POSITIVE SCORING: These indicators ADD to score.

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
Public Hanukkah celebrations	Yes - Government participation	70	Media/Govt
Holocaust education mandatory	Yes - Part of curriculum	80	Education
Holocaust Remembrance Day observed	Yes - Kristallnacht memorial; Jan 27	85	Govt Records
Holocaust Museum	National Holocaust Museum opened March 2024; King attended	90	Museum
Jewish Cultural Quarter	Active - Major cultural institution	80	JCQ

6.5 Media & Public Discourse Climate (15%)

55/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
ADL Global 100 score	10% antisemitic attitudes - Relatively low	80	ADL 2019
Media coverage of Nov 2024	Mixed - Some blamed Israeli fans equally	40	Media analysis
Political discourse	Divided - Some minimization of attacks	45	Parliament

PILLAR 6 CALCULATION: $(42\times0.25) + (40\times0.25) + (75\times0.15) + (72\times0.20) + (55\times0.15) = 55/100$

PILLAR 7: Lived Experience & Community Voice

32/100 | Weight:

22%

KEY PRINCIPLE: How Jews report their own safety experience is primary data"not supplementary. This pillar has highest weight (22%). The November 2024 attacks caused deep community trauma.

7.1 Subjective Safety (40%)

25/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
% who feel safe as Jews	Estimated 25-35% - Down significantly post-Nov 2024	30	FRA/ Community
% feel antisemitism is serious	~90%+ report serious concern	10	Surveys
Impact of Nov 2024 attacks	Traumatic - "Pogrom" comparisons	15	Community
% feel situation has worsened	~95%+ report worsening	5	Surveys
% feel unsafe due to protests	~80%+ report feeling unsafe	20	Surveys

7.2 Behavioral Adaptation (35%)

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
% who hide Jewish identity in public	Estimated 50-60% in public - "Visible Jews" targeted	40	FRA/ Community
% who avoid Jewish symbols/clothing	Estimated 60-70% avoid - Rabbis particularly targeted	35	Surveys
% who avoid certain areas/events	Estimated 70%+ avoid some areas	30	Surveys
% who considered emigrating	Estimated 40-50% considered post- Nov 2024	45	Surveys

7.3 Community Vitality & Protective Factors (25%)

42/100

Indicator	Measurement	Score	Source
General public favorability toward Jews	10% antisemitic (ADL) - But gap with reality	60	ADL Global 100
Political representation & access	Limited - Small community; Taskforce access	50	Government
Jewish community demographics	Aging; 60% intermarried; Israeli migration helping	40	JPR 2025
Interfaith solidarity demonstrated	Some - But strained post-Oct 7	35	Community reports

PILLAR 7 CALCULATION: $(25\times0.40) + (35\times0.35) + (42\times0.25) = 32/100$

Key Findings

[+] CRITICAL STRENGTHS

- **Gun control (95/100):** 3.9 guns per 100 residents prevents mass casualty capability
- Legal framework: NCAB since 2021;
 Holocaust denial criminalized 2023
- **Security investment:** EUR 4.5M annually from 2025; new Taskforce
- Holocaust remembrance: National Museum; Kristallnacht memorial; education
- Low baseline antisemitism: 10% ADL Global 100 (among lowest)
- **Diplomatic ties:** Full Israel relations; IHRA membership

[X] CRITICAL VULNERABILITIES

- Nov 2024 Amsterdam attacks:
 Coordinated "Jew hunt - worst since Holocaust
- **Incident surge:** 305% increase since 2022; 880 incidents in 2023
- Hizb ut-Tahrir legal: Unlike UK/Germany; active presence
- Coordinated attack capability: WhatsApp-organized violence demonstrated
- Prosecutor minimization: Claims Nov 2024 "not motivated by antisemitism"
- Campus crisis: NCAB warns of Jewish student safety concerns
- Community trauma: Deep shock; emigration consideration at 40-50%

Final Score Calculation

JCSI v1.2 FORMULA

```
JCSI = (P1x0.10) + (P2x0.10) + (P3x0.10) + (P4x0.18) + (P5x0.15) + (P6x0.15) + (P7x0.22)

JCSI = (70x0.10) + (62x0.10) + (52x0.10) + (22x0.18) + (28x0.15) + (55x0.15) + (32x0.22)

JCSI = 7.0 + 6.2 + 5.2 + 3.96 + 4.2 + 8.25 + 7.04 = 39/100
```

Score Range

Classification

Netherlands

HIGH SAFETY

80-100		
60-79	MODERATE SAFETY	
40-59	CONCERNING	
0-39	CRITICAL	< NETHERLANDS (39)

Comparative Context

Country	JCSI v1.2 Score	Classification	Key Distinction
Australia	44	CONCERNING	Mass casualty attack (Bondi); stronger security
Netherlands	39	CRITICAL	Coordinated mob violence; HuT legal; smaller community
France	TBD	п	Largest European Jewish population
UK	TBD	п	HuT banned Jan 2024
Germany	TBD	п	HuT banned; stronger symbol laws

Netherlands-Specific Observations

[NOTE] ASSESSMENT NOTES

- **November 2024 as inflection point:** The Amsterdam attacks transformed the Dutch Jewish safety landscape, demonstrating coordinated attack capability previously unseen in Western Europe since WWII.
- Gap between laws and reality: Strong legal frameworks (NCAB, Holocaust denial laws) have not prevented severe violence - implementation and enforcement gaps persist.
- Small community vulnerability: At ~35,000, Dutch Jews are particularly exposed 20% are Israeli-born, creating additional targeting risk.
- **HuT legal status anomaly:** The Netherlands is now an outlier among peer nations in not proscribing Hizb ut-Tahrir following the UK (Jan 2024) and Germany's long-standing bans.
- **Prosecutorial approach concern:** The December 2024 prosecutor statement that Amsterdam violence was "not motivated by antisemitism" despite evidence of "Jew hunt" coordination undermines community confidence in justice system.

JCSI Framework v1.2 | Seven Pillar Assessment

Assessment Period: October 2023 - December 2025 | Report Date: December 2025

DISCLAIMER: This index provides comparative data for informational purposes. Small score differences (<5 points) may not be statistically meaningful. Data sources include FRA, ADL, CIDI, CJO, NCAB, police records, and government reports.

Spotlight Research