**[YOUR FULL NAME]**

**[YOUR FULL ADDRESS]**

**[YOUR POSTCODE]**

**[YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS]**

**[YOUR PHONE NUMBER (OPTIONAL)]**

**[DATE OF SUBMISSION]**

**To:** Shepway Energy Park Consultation Team

**Email:** shepway@sse.com

**Subject: Formal Objection to Shepway Energy Park Consultation**

Dear Shepway Energy Park Consultation Team,

I am writing to **formally object** to the indicative site layout for the proposed Shepway Energy Park development by SSE Renewables. My comments are submitted as part of the current non-statutory public consultation. My interest in the Scheme is that I am a local resident.

Our overriding issue is that this Scheme proposed by SSE seems little more than speculative, as it depends on NGET's decision regarding a new substation. Surely that should come first rather than the “temporary” infrastructure dictating the need for a permanent substation? Ultimately, we, consumers, are paying for all of this through levies and subsidies added to our bills. We would like to see a halt to any project design until NGET has made it clear if and where a new substation will be built and the NSIP process has started.

I attended one of your public consultations and found it to be [ ].

Additionally, my grounds for objection are as follows:

[CHOOSE RELEVANT HEADING FROM THE ATTACHED LIST BELOW AND PASTE IT HERE]

1.

2.

3.

The **Shepway Energy Park proposal** is **incompatible** with established plans to protect **Romney Marsh**, which is a "heritage asset of Outstanding Significance" known for its "openness and wildness" and rich history as identified in the Folkestone & Hythe District Heritage Strategy. This proposed Scheme also goes against the **National Planning Policy Framework**, which emphasizes protecting "irreplaceable resources" and ensuring development improves, not harms, local character and landscapes.

Building a **1000-acre solar and battery farm** here would **permanently destroy** Romney Marsh's unique character, harm its historic sites, and undermine its tranquility. This is not minor harm; it's **substantial damage to an irreplaceable asset**.

While renewable energy is important, this project, in this specific location, **fails the test of sustainable development** because its negative environmental and heritage impacts clearly outweigh its benefits. Protecting Romney Marsh's unique heritage landscape **must come first**, so this proposal should be **rejected**. Developers should find alternative, less damaging sites for renewable energy infrastructure.

**I officially object to the Shepway Energy Park development** as it's currently planned, and I expect my concerns to be fully considered.

Yours faithfully,

**[YOUR TYPED OR SIGNED NAME]**