# Article Title: The Zoo Sphere of Influence Model

#### **Author Names:**

Sarah L. Spooner\*a,b,c, Susan L. Walkera, Simon Dowella, Mara Cristina Marquesd, Marina Somenzarid,e, Fabiana Lopes Rochae,f, Eugenia Cordero Schmidte,f, Charlotte Hughesa, Christine Leea, Charlotte Smitha, Jennifer Kellya, Linda Salimg, Doreen Khoog, Junaidi Omarg, Jennifer Franksh, Gretchen Waltersi, Samantha Sitholei, Olivier Hymasi Jessie K. Luna j, Alejandro Grajalk, Kathrin M. Röperl, Paul Bamforda, James Waterman m, Lyndon M. Howson a, Kirsty Costan, Frankie Lawrence-Thompsono, Stephanie Sandersonp, Kanako Tomisawaq, Maria Catalina Beltramor, Onnie Byerse, Kira Milehams, Martin Zordant, Karen Fifieldtu, Andrew Mossa

#### **Affiliations:**

- a. Chester Zoo, Caughall Road, Chester, CH2 1LH, United Kingdom. (s.spooner@chesterzoo.org, s.walker@chesterzoo.org, s.dowell@chesterzoo.org, c.hughes@chesterzoo.org, c.lee@chesterzoo.org, c.smith@chesterzoo.org, j.kelly@chesterzoo.org, p.bamford@chesterzoo.org, a.moss@chesterzoo.org)
- School of Animal, Rural, and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Brackenhurst Lane, Southwell, NG25 0QF, United Kingdom
- c. Spooner Consult, 52066 Aachen, Germany
- d. Zoológico de São Paulo. Av. Miguel Estefno, 4241, São Paulo/SP, 04301-002, Brazil (<u>mara.marques@zoologico.com.br</u>, <u>marina.somenzari@zoologico.com.br</u>)
- e. IUCN SSC Conservation Planning Specialist Group. 12101 Johnny Cake Ridge Road, Apple Valley, MN 55124 USA
- f. IUCN SSC Center for Species Survival Brazil. Parque das Aves. Av. das Cataratas, 12450, Foz do Iguaçu/PR, 85.855-750, Brazil (fabiana@cpsg.org, eugenia@cpsg.org)
- g. Zoo Negara, Hulu Kelang, 68000 Ampang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia (linda.salim@zoonegaramalaysia.my)
- h. Toronto Zoo, 2000 Meadowvale Rd, Toronto, ON M1B 5K7, Canada. (Jfranks@torontozoo.ca)
- University of Lausanne, Quartier Centre, 1012 Lausanne, Switzerland (<u>olivier.hymas@unil.ch</u>, gretchen.walters@unil.ch)
- j. Department of Sociology, Colorado State University, Sociology Modular, 1100 Meridian Ave Building A, Fort Collins, CO 80521, United States (<a href="mailto:jessie.luna@colostate.edu">jessie.luna@colostate.edu</a>)
- k. Woodland Park Zoo, 5500 Phinney Avenue North, Seattle, USA (alejandro.grajal@zoo.org)
- 1. Hannover Adventure Zoo, Adenauerallee 3, 30175 Hannover, Germany (kathrin.roeper@erlebnis-zoo.de)
- m. RedPony Analytics, UK (j.waterman@redponyanalytics.com)
- n. Zoos Victoria, Wurundjeri Country, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia (<u>kcosta@zoo.org.au</u>)
- o. The British and Irish Association of Zoos and Aquariums (BIAZA), Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, United Kingdom (frankie.lawrence-thompson@biaza.org.uk)
- p. EWS Partnership, 31 Westbourne Grove, West Kirby, Merseyside, CH48 4DJ, United Kingdom (s.sanderson@ewspartnership.org)
- q. Omuta City Zoo, Fukoka, Omuta, Showamachi 163, Japan (<u>kanako@omutacityzoo.org</u>)
- r. Fundación Temaikén, Ruta 25 km 0.7, Belén de Escobar. Buenos Aires. Argentina ( mcbeltramo@temaiken.org.ar )
- s. IUCN SSC, Rue Mauverney 28, 1196 Gland, Switzerland (kira.mileham@ssc.iucn.org)
- t. WAZA, WAZA Executive Office, Carrere de Roger de Ilúria, 2, 2-2, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
- u. Wellington Zoo, 200 Daniell Street, Newtown, Wellington 6021, New Zealand

Sarah L. Spooner\* (SLS): ORCID: 0000-0001-6650-3135, Susan L. Walker (SLW); ORCID: 0000-0003-3187-5195, Simon Dowell (SD): ORCID: 0000-0001-9754-0879, Mara Cristina Marques (MCM): ORCID: 0000-0003-4063-9565, Marina Somenzari (MS): ORCID: 0000-0003-1706-7175, Fabiana Lopes Rocha (FLR): ORCID: 0000-0003-819-6290, Eugenia Cordero Schmidt (ECS): ORCID: 0000-0003-2719-0601, Charlotte Hughes (CH), Christine Lee (CL), Charlotte Smith (CS), Jennifer Kelly (JK), Linda Salim (LS): ORCID: 0000-0001-7815-1691, Doreen Khoo (DK), Junaidi Omar (JO): ORCID: 0009-0009-9266-7980, Jennifer Franks (JF), Gretchen Walters (GW), Samantha Sithole (SSi), Oliver Hymas (OH), Jessie K Luna (JKL): ORCID: 0000-0002-6612-7632, Alejandro Grajal (AG), Kathrin M. Röper (KMR): ORCID: 0009-0004-0545-3903, Paul Bamford (PB), James Waterman (JW): ORCID: 0000-0002-0958-743X, Lyndon M. Howson (LMH), Kirsty Costa (KC), Frankie Lawrence-Thompson (FLT), Stephanie Sanderson (SSa), Kanako Tomisawa (KT): ORCID: 0000-0002-2455-381X, Maria Catalina Beltramo (MCB), Onnie Byers (OB), Kira Mileham (KM), Martin Zordan (MZ), Karen Fifield (KF), Andrew Moss (AM): ORCID: 0000-0003-4488-3936

# \*corresponding author

#### Acknowledgements

With thanks to all individuals who provided feedback on the model and matrix throughout its development and to those zoos/aquariums who have piloted the model. Particular thanks go to: Lisa Macdonald (Zoos SA), Catherine Shier (Edmonton Valley Zoo), Dr Judy Mann (Two Oceans Aquarium Foundation), Ann McCartney (University of California), Lauren Walker (Chester Zoo), Youth Board (Chester Zoo), Catherine Barton (Chester Zoo), Gaby Mastromonaco (Toronto Zoo), Jenny Gray (Zoos Victoria), Ash Murphy (New Zealand Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawhai*), and Khalisah Abbas.

#### CRediT:

Conception: (conceptualization) SLS, SLW, SD, AM, (Methodology): SLS, SLW, SD, AM. Acquisition of data: (investigation) SLS, SLW, SD, AM, MCM, MS, FLR, ECS, CS, JK, LS, DK, JO, JF, GW, SSi, OH, JKL, AG, KMR, PB, LMH, KC, FLT, SSa, KT, MCB, OB, KM, MZ, KF, Analysis and interpretation (software and visualisation) CH, CL, JW, ECS, SLS (resources) SLS, SLW, SD, AM, MCM, MS, FLR, ECS

Drafting the article: (Writing - original draft) SLS, SLW, SD, AM, revising the article: (Writing - review and editing) SLS, SLW, SD, MCM, MS, FLR, ECS, CH, CL, CS, JK, LS, DK, JO, JF, GW, SSi, OH, JKL, AG, KMR, PB, JW, LMH, KC, FLT, SSa, KT, MCB, OB, KM, MZ, KF, AM

Final approval of the version to be submitted: SLS, SLW, SD, MCM, MS, FLR, ECS, CH, CL, CS, JK, LS, DK, JO, JF, GW, SSi, OH, JKL, AG, KMR, PB, JW, LMH, KC, FLT, SSa, KT, MCB, OB, KM, MZ, KF, AM (Translations) FLR, MCM, MS, ECS, MCB, PB, SLS, KT, KMR

#### **Declaration of interests:**

☐ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☑ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Sarah L. Spooner reports financial support and article publishing charges were provided by Chester Zoo. Sarah L. Spooner reports a relationship with Chester Zoo that includes: consulting or advisory, employment, and travel reimbursement. Susan L. Walker reports a relationship with Chester Zoo that includes: employment. Simon Dowell reports a relationship with Chester Zoo that includes: employment and travel reimbursement. Andrew Moss reports a relationship with Chester Zoo that includes: employment. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**Corresponding author address:** Dr. Sarah Spooner, Chester Zoo, Caughall Road, Chester, CH2 1LH, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author email address: s.spooner@chesterzoo.org

# The Zoo Sphere of Influence Model

#### Abstract

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

In January 2023 we proposed the Zoo Sphere of Influence, a new model to represent the value of zoos/aquariums for species and society. This model set out to replace the 50-year-old perception of zoos/aquariums as fulfilling only the objectives of conservation, education, research, and recreation, and instead demonstrate the broad range of impacts zoos/aquariums have for species and society. The Zoo Sphere of Influence Model considers zoos/aquariums working at different scales (from local to international) and acknowledges a wide range of areas that they work. In addition to a visual representation of the value of zoos/aquariums, the initial paper proposed a proof-of-concept evaluation matrix that they could use to self-assess impact. Over a fifteen-month period, we validated and developed the proposed model and assessment matrix through a global consultation and trial process. We have updated the model to include new sections on Indigenous Peoples & Local Communities (IP&LC); Sustainability; and Diversity, Equity, Access, and Inclusion (DEAI). We have additionally updated the assessment criteria to incorporate key zoo/aquarium documents and have added a Core Values section reflecting the fundamental standards conservation zoos/aquariums should be fulfilling. This paper presents the updated version of the Zoo Sphere of Influence. We hope that the model will help zoos/ aquariums achieve the highest possible standard of practice and provide a means of recognising and celebrating these achievements.

# **Keywords:**

21 Zoo Sphere of Influence, impact evaluation, zoo, aquarium, role of zoos/aquariums

## 1. Introduction

- For the past 50 years zoos/aquariums have been defined as fulfilling the four pillars of Conservation,
- Education, Research and Recreation (initially proposed by Conway (1969) and further developed by
- others). Whilst revolutionary when first published, these pillars no longer reflect the multifaceted roles
- that zoos/aquariums fulfil in the 21st Century. In January 2023, we proposed the Zoo Sphere of
- 27 Influence Model as a way of considering the impact of zoos/aquariums for species and society more
- broadly (Spooner et al., 2023) (Figure 1a).
- The initial paper (Spooner et al., 2023) demonstrated that zoos/aquariums have a broad impact across
- 30 species and society detailing seven key areas that zoos work: Species and Habitat Conservation,
- 31 Education and Training, Scientific Research, Public Health and Wellbeing, Conservation Finance,
- Economy, and Policy. We suggested that zoo/aquarium impacts could be depicted as a sphere with the
- 33 zoo/aquarium at the centre and their influence radiating out from low to high impact (Figure 1A). We
- also highlighted how zoos/aquariums do not exist in isolation but work within networks and have
- 35 influence at different scales including the Local (onsite and local community), National, Regional
- 36 (global region e.g., Europe), and Global levels. The paper also proposed the idea of a matrix table
- 37 which could be used by zoos/aquariums as an assessment tool with each section of the matrix mapping
- which could be used by 2003 aquaritains as an assessment tool with each section of the matrix mapping
- onto the Zoo Sphere of Influence model.
- The initial paper was intended as an opinion piece to open-up conversations about zoos'/aquariums'
- 40 roles and the need for a more representative model. In the weeks and months following the publication
- of 'The value of Zoos for Species and Society: the need for a new model' (Spooner et al., 2023), we
- 42 received substantial feedback from the zoo/aquarium community indicating a desire to make the Zoo
- Sphere of Influence a viable tool for assessing and representing the roles of conservation
- 2008/aquariums in the 21st Century. As such, we began a formal consultation amongst the international
- 45 zoo/aquarium community to ensure that the model represented global viewpoints.
- This article presents the consultation process and subsequent updates to the model.

Figure\_1: A) proof-of-concept Zoo Sphere of Influence as proposed in Spooner et al. (2023), B) the updated Zoo Sphere Model

#### 2. Methods

### 2.1 Consulting the zoo/aquarium community

- A formal consultation period was held between 15 February 2023 and 15 November 2023 whereby
- 52 feedback was sought on the model. We took a multi-pronged approach to gathering feedback
- 53 including an open-access feedback survey, workshops, conference presentations, demos, and email
- 54 correspondence (Figure 2, Table 1).
- The online consultation survey ran between April and November 2023 and was advertised through the
- 56 WAZA weekly newsletter as well as through conferences and workshops (Table 1). The survey
- instrument included open-ended questions asking for feedback on each section of the model as well as
- 58 overall comments. Respondents were also asked specific questions regarding whether to include a
- scoring system, and if the levels of influence (Local, National, Regional, and Global) were
- appropriate. Skip-logic allowed respondents to provide detailed feedback on individual sections as
- 61 desired.

49

50

- In addition to comments received through the survey and discussions at conferences and workshops,
- 63 we received feedback directly via email. This included extensive comments from Zoos Victoria, and
- Zoos South Australia, Australia, and Woodland Park Zoo, USA, as well as general feedback from
- 65 numerous others.
- 66 Figure 2: Flow chart of the consultation process

### 67 Table 1. Summary of feedback events

Type of Feedback	Details	Location	Date	Experts	No. of individuals (approx.)
Workshops	The International Zoo Educators Conference	Wellington, New Zealand	October 2023	International zoo/aquarium conservation education experts	40
	The Indonesian Zoos and Aquarium Association's (IZAA/PKBSI) Action Indonesia GSMP meeting	Indonesia	May 2023	South East Asian zoo/aquarium leaders and field experts	20
	British and Irish Association of Zoos and Aquariums' (BIAZA) Regional Educators Meeting	Chester, U.K.	March 2023	UK zoo/aquarium conservation education experts	20
Presentations and Demonstrations	World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA) Annual Conference	San Diego, U.S.A.	October 2023	international zoo/aquarium leaders, business partners, and conservation experts	300
	European Association of Zoos and Aquaria (EAZA) Annual Conference	Helsinki, Finland	September 2023	international zoo/aquarium leaders and conservation	600
	BIAZA Annual Conference	Kidderminster, U.K.	June 2023	experts UK zoo/aquarium leaders	200

Consultation Survey	Advertised by WAZA newsletter and through conferences/ workshops	-	April – November 2023	International zoo/aquarium experts	24
Email feedback	Request for feedback sent to personal contacts and 'snowballed' out to colleagues	-	February– November 2023	International zoo/aquarium experts	25
	Direct email received by	-	-		
Pilot Phase 1	Corresponding author Workshops to test and finalise revisions to the model and assessment	Zoológico de São Paulo/ CPSG   CSS Brazil	2024	Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
	tool	Parque das Aves, Brazil		Zoo/aquarium whole site	<i>)</i> -
		Chester Zoo, U.K.		Senior leadership team	-
		Zoo Negara, Malaysia		Education Department	-
Pilot Phase 2	Large scale testing of the model and assessment tool	Temaikèn Foundation, Argentina	2024 - 2025	Education Department	-
		Zoos SA, Australia		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Zoos Victoria, Australia		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Zoológico de São Paulo/ CPSG   CSS Brazil		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Parque das Aves, Brazil		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Toronto Zoo, Canada		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Edmonton Valley Zoo, Canada		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Hannover Adventure Zoo, Germany		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Zoo Negara, Malaysia		Education Department	-
		Woodland Park Zoo, U.S.A.	-	Zoo/aquarium whole site	-
		Chester Zoo, U.K.		Zoo/aquarium whole site	-

69

### 2.2 Pilot Phase 1: Updating the model and matrix

- 70 We worked closely with Zoológico de São Paulo (hereafter São Paulo Zoo), and the IUCN SSC
- 71 Conservation Planning Specialist Group | Center for Species Survival Brazil (CPSG | CSS Brazil), as
- well as Zoo Negara, Malaysia, to develop and test the model (Pilot Phase 1). These zoos came to us
- 73 requesting that they be used as test sites.
- 74 Zoo Negara, Malaysia, provided feedback as to how their current provisions would fit the proof-of-
- 75 concept matrix, and this information was used to develop the criteria further.
- São Paulo Zoo, in partnership with CPSG | CSS Brazil piloted the model as a basis for their new
- development strategy and master plan. We worked extensively together to expand the initial model
- 78 and assessment matrix and test it through a series of multi-day workshops at their site.
- 79 This was further trialled at Parque das Aves, Brazil, as part of their strategic planning and at Chester
- 80 Zoo, U.K., where the model and assessment matrix were tested amongst their senior leadership team.

- In the process of developing new sections of the model we consulted experts, these included
- specialists in education theory, sustainability, Diversity, Equity, Access and Inclusion (DEAI), youth
- engagement, and Indigenous People and Local Communities (IP & LC).
- 84 *2.3 Pilot Phase 2: Testing the model and assessment tools*
- 85 Following this, the finalised Zoo Sphere of Influence model, a printable assessment pack, and an
- online tool (available at zoosphere.org) used to generate the model, were created and circulated to
- partner zoos/aquariums around the world to be further tested (Pilot Phase 2) (Figure 2 & 3, Table 1).
- 88 These materials were also examined by interested parties from the New Zealand Department of
- 89 Conservation Te Papa Atawhai, IUCN CPSG and World Association of Zoos and Aquariums
- 90 (WAZA).
- 91 Figure 3: Distribution of feedback sites: conferences/workshops (squares) and piloting
- 92 zoos/aquariums (triangles).

## 3. Results: Changes made following feedback

All feedback was considered and where possible integrated into the updated model (Figure 1B). The main changes are as follows:

#### 3.1 Layout and Appearance

The initial model included blank spaces where there were no proposed assessment criteria (Figure 1A). This was criticised as being hard to interpret as representing 100% of zoos'/aquariums' potential. We addressed this by creating a fully shaded sphere to represent maximum (100%) potential (Figure 1B). The model is also now colour-blind friendly.

#### 3.2 More detailed criteria

Criteria have been added to each section to ensure a more complete assessment with up to 27 criteria per section (See supplementary materials: Assessment Pack). Where sections have fewer than 27 criteria, the scoring system and diagram have been adjusted so that a full sphere is maintained if a zoo/aquarium fulfils 100% of the available criteria. Criteria are intended to reflect actions undertaken within the past 5 years.

The assessment criteria are also now linked to key WAZA documents (Barongi et al., 2015; Cerdan & Kahlon, 2023; Mellor et al., 2015; Thomas, 2020; WAZA, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2020a, 2020b, 2023a, 2023c, 2023b), the Global Biodiversity Framework (UNEP & CBD, 2022) and Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2015).

## 3.3 Local, National, and International Impact Levels

The "Regional" and "Global" levels proposed in the initial paper have been combined into one "International" level. This addresses confusion over the term "Regional" being interpreted at different scales and alleviates prior concerns about some large countries falling into multiple categories, such as the U.S.A. being considered as both "National" and "Regional". In creating one "International" level, greater support is given for all work conducted across countries rather than prioritising only projects that are cross-continental. This additionally gives support for projects which focus on endemic species or where the zoo/aquarium themselves is based in a biodiversity hotspot.

# 3.4 Scoring

Whilst there was a preference for implementing a scoring system for the model, this also raised concerns that scores could be misused and potentially misrepresent the work of individual zoos/aquariums. For example, whilst working with the local community may have significant conservation impact and protect key endemic species, these impacts could be

100101102

103

104

105

106

93

94 95

96

97

98

99

107108109

110

111

112113114

115

116

117

118119

120 121

125

126

127

128

masked by a scoring system which favours international activities. Further, a score alone would provide limited information about the actual actions that are being taken. As such, we have implemented a broad scoring system for each section, graded separately for local, national, and international levels. The authors do not intend the scores to be used for interzoo/aquarium comparisons and would strongly discourage their use in this way to avoid misleading conclusions being drawn.

# 3.5 Core Values Section

We received several requests for extra sections to be added to the model, these included: animal welfare, wildlife health, and staff wellbeing. Whilst these sections are critical principles for the successful operation of a zoo/aquarium, we did not feel they were explicit 'roles' of zoos/aquariums. Good animal welfare is fundamentally necessary to ensure the animals are healthy, breed successfully, and to ensure the species is conserved. It is not a zoo's/aquarium's role to be a provider of animal welfare but instead it is an expectation that good animal welfare underpins its operations. The same goes for staff wellbeing and wildlife health as without high standards in these areas, a zoo/aquarium will not be able to competently fulfil the criteria of the different sections of the model.

To acknowledge the critical nature of these values we have now included a Core Values section in the model. The Core Values section sits as a foundation ring within the centre of the model (reflecting its fundamental role). The criteria for these core values are based on WAZA guidelines principally in the areas of the zoo/aquarium site, animal husbandry, core animal welfare, health and safety, and staff (Mellor et al., 2015; WAZA, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2020b, 2023a, 2023b). In many countries, fulfilment of these Core Values will be automatically addressed through licensing requirements, however, as not all countries have a licensing process, the Core Values section provides a guideline as to expected baseline standards for conservation zoos/aquariums. As they represent the basis of conservation zoo/aquarium practice, Core Values should be addressed prior to assessing other sections of the model.

# 3.6 Three Additional Sections

### 3.6.1 Sustainability

Whilst the topic of sustainability was woven throughout the initial model, there was strong support for it to become a section on its own. Sustainability is fundamental to ensuring the longevity of the sector and is a role that many zoos/aquariums have already prioritised. Sustainability is multifaceted and includes Environmental Protection, Economic Viability, and Social Equity.

Environmental Protection has become synonymous with the term sustainability and is focused on encouraging positive environmental actions. Many zoos/aquariums have embraced this aspect through conservation education programmes (Mann et al., 2018; Pearson et al., 2014), onsite energy and transport initiatives (Woodland Park Zoo, 2024; Zoo Leipzig, 2023), and policy change campaigns (Environmental Investigation Agency, 2018).

Economic Viability ensures that the zoo's/aquarium's business strategy is sustainable over time. It considers aspects such as avoiding high staff turnovers and investing in skills development. Examples include developing research skills and facilities (such as endocrinology laboratories) within zoos/aquariums (Chester Zoo, 2024b); growing animal fodder onsite (Cincinnati Zoo & Botanical Garden, 2024; Scott, 2018) or connecting communities with trusted information about sustainable technologies and practices (for example, Loro Parque is using renewable energy to offset its power and contributes to the power supply of the Canary Islands (Loro Parque, 2024), Chester Zoo's partnership with

Mitsubishi Electric helps decarbonise heating at the zoo and demonstrate sustainable technology to the public, through installations of heat pumps (Mitsubishi Electric, 2024) and Edinburgh Zoo's 'solar meadow' generates electricity for the zoo and provides education on the technologies being used (RZSS, 2024)).

Social Equity seeks to ensure that all aspects of zoo/aquarium practice support positive outcomes for people. This includes areas such as education and engagement, promoting sustainable livelihoods and ensuring that supply chains are promoting good labour practices.

Given the range of activities undertaken, and large numbers of products used within a zoo/aquarium, including animal feeds, veterinary supplies, and what is sold to the public, achieving sustainability across the whole supply chain is a big ask. However, zoos/aquariums should be aspiring to be leaders in this area as their consumption practices have the potential to reach hundreds of millions of visitors. If zoos'/aquariums' actions can motivate behaviour change amongst their visitors, the potential impact would be substantial. Further, if they can influence sustainability policy, action can be achieved at national and international scales, beyond zoo-going audiences. This impact has already been demonstrated through work on, for example, deforestation free commodities (Chester Zoo, 2022) and reducing the illegal trade in wildlife (Silver, 2022).

# 3.6.2 Diversity, Equity, Access & Inclusion (DEAI)

There was strong support for including a Diversity, Equity, Access, and Inclusion (DEAI) section, as many zoos/aquariums viewed this as a primary goal of their organisation. Zoos/aquariums are working to broaden their access to ensure individuals from different socio-economic groups and communities can access their sites and benefit from all the services that they provide (Fields, 2022). Similarly, they are implementing events such as autism awareness days, quiet hours (Charleston, 2023), sign-language interpreted talks and tours (London Zoo, 2024), and many other events to ensure that individuals with additional needs can access and feel welcome at their sites.

DEAI also applies to the zoo/aquarium workforce. In recent years there has been growing celebration of diversity including zoo/aquarium representatives participating in national celebrations of sexual and gender diversity (Borck, 2022), as well as supporting diversity of race, cultures, religions, and other characteristics. This has been supported by an increase in DEAI policies by zoo/aquarium membership bodies (Kubarek et al., 2020; Tugend, 2021).

Age is also an important aspect of inclusion, and many zoos/aquariums are recognising this. For example, volunteer programmes support individuals from across the age spectrum and provide opportunities for cross generational engagement in conservation (Fraser et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2018). Some zoos/aquariums employ Youth Boards to empower young people, as the next generation of conservationists, to learn how to express their opinions and have a real impact on conservation from an early age (Chester Zoo, 2024a; Melbourne Zoo, 2024; The Zoo Louisville, 2024).

If zoos/aquariums are to be seen as reputable voices amongst the conservation community, they need to be trusted by the public and be viewed as part of the communities they serve. It is, therefore, essential that zoos/aquariums engage with and embrace DEAI as part of their role in society.

# 3.6.3 Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (IP & LC)

In addition to adding a DEAI section, we received substantial support for including a section on Indigenous Peoples & Local Communities (IP & LCs) and Plurinational groups. This is focused on building relationships with these groups and raising the profile of 'hidden' voices

within conservation, including those who may not be defined as 'Indigenous' by national governments. We acknowledge that relationships will differ depending on the context, for example, whether a zoo/aquarium is situated on Indigenous land, whether there are IP & LCs within the locality or within conservation sites, and whether the zoo/aquarium has a history of colonialism. In including this section, we hope to raise awareness across the whole zoo/aquarium community and acknowledge it as a global concern and not just an issue for zoos/aquariums where IP & LCs are present. Its inclusion also allows those who have already engaged extensively in the topic and who are working closely with IP & LCs to receive appropriate recognition.

Zoos/aquariums have benefited from colonialism, with many situated on land seized from Indigenous groups and filled with descendants of species collected from across the globe (Bowers & Richmond, 2023). Some early zoos even included displays of Indigenous peoples as anthropological exhibits (Luna, 2023). Colonialism has impacted species extinction, including increasing invasive species, hunting species to extinction, and altering habitats through changes in land use (Hymas et al., 2021; Lightfoot et al., 2013). However, this is still an area seldom discussed. As is happening within museums (Brulon Soares, 2021), zoos/aquariums have a responsibility to acknowledge their past in order to develop positive relations going forward. Some zoos have already embraced this responsibility by conducting and publishing extensive research into their histories (Maier-Wolthausen & Jahn, 2024, Eicher et al. 2019).

Zoos/aquariums need to consider the way IP & LCs are portrayed (Sithole et al. 2021). There is a tendency to display generic mud huts, 'tribal' masks, and 'ethnic' patterns, especially within African exhibits (Luna, 2023, Osayimwese, 2015, Sithole, 2021). Some portrayals create the impression that IP & LCs are uneducated and are the primary cause of wildlife decline (Luna, 2023, Osayimwese, 2015). Whilst often intended to elicit experiential learning or a sense of cultural emersion, these exhibits potentially create misconceptions or stereotypes towards Traditional communities, as well as of entire regions and racialised groups (Luna, 2023, Sithole et al. 2021).

In contrast, some zoos/aquariums work with their local IP & LCs to co-create resources (Sithole et al. 2023, Walters et al. 2024), such as Auckland Zoo's (*Rawhi Whakaaturanga o Tamaki Makaurau*) inclusion of the Māori language in signage and educational materials (Auckland Zoo, 2024), and Taronga Zoo's acknowledgement of the Cammerraigal and Wiradjuri people as customary owners of the land on which their zoos/aquariums are situated. The Seattle Aquarium has partnered with the Muckleshoot Tribe to co-create a new section of its site and promote local species as well as deepen connections with local communities and Indigenous Peoples (Seattle aquarium, 2024).

Even if a zoo/aquarium is not within an area with IP & LCs it will likely house species of cultural or spiritual significance (Bowers & Richmond, 2023). Whilst it may not be possible to accommodate all IP & LC viewpoints (e.g., some IP & LCs do not support conservation biobanking (Aramoana & Koea, 2020)), and there are logistical challenges to overcome (e.g., CITES regulations and health and safety), several zoos/aquariums have embraced relationships with IP & LCs. These include involving the Yurok Tribe in Californian condor releases (Smith, 2020; Yurok Tribe, 2023), returning or loaning feathers and other body parts to Indigenous groups for ceremonial use (Thomas, 2011), and holding a Traditional farewell ceremony for a deceased grizzly bear (Veterinary Practice News, 2023).

Conservation can benefit from adopting a 'Two-eyed seeing' approach (Rapp Learn, 2020, Bartlett et al. 2012), by combining western science with Indigenous Knowledge (IK) and Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) (Aikenhead & Ogawa, 2007; Rapp Learn, 2020).

Additionally, by ensuring support from the whole community (including IP & LCs) conservation projects are more likely to be successful in the long-term.

Acknowledging IP & LC perspectives and working with IP & LC groups are key steps for individual zoos/aquariums. At National and International levels, zoos/aquariums should develop and uphold fair and equitable access and benefits agreements (such as the Nagoya Protocol (Convention of Biological Diversity, 2011), ensure data sovereignty and free, Prior Informed Consent especially when working directly with IP & LCs, as well as maintaining the principles of the OCAP (Ownership, Control, Access and Possession) (FNIGC, n.d.).

While the authors of the model are not from IP & LC backgrounds, we sought extensive feedback from a range of individuals with connections to IP & LC groups. However, we still lack representation from these 'hidden' voices. Building working relationships and trust with communities takes time. We hope that in future the criteria for this section will be created by IP & LCs themselves.

#### 3.7 Assessment Pack and online tool

The final update to the model is the creation of a printable assessment pack and an online assessment tool (available at www.zoosphere.org and in the supplementary materials). These allow zoos/aquariums to generate their own versions of the model.

## 4. Using the model

An individual zoo/aquarium or several zoos/aquariums collectively can be placed in the centre of the Zoo Sphere with their level of influence radiating out from low to high impact. Separate rays represent influence at each impact level (Local, National, International). These rays are grouped into sections representing the 10 main areas that zoos/aquariums work.

Each criterion of the assessment matrix (see supplementary materials) relates to a cell on the model visual. Once a zoo/aquarium fulfils a criterion that section of the model can be filled (this can be done manually in the printed assessment pack or using the online tool (available at www.zoosphere.org and in the supplementary materials)). Depending on which of the criteria are completed, the model generated will display a combination of filled cells and gaps (see Figure 4). This allows zoos/aquariums to visualise their strengths and identify areas for improvement. In addition to depicting a zoo's/aquariums' current Zoo Sphere, zoos/aquariums can use the model for planning, by plotting their intended progression and visualising future outcomes. This was tested at São Paulo Zoo and Parque das Aves, Brazil, as part of their institutional planning:

In 2023, following its transition from a public to a public-private entity, São Paulo Zoo, and São Paulo Botanical Garden, Brazil, required an institutional plan to demonstrate planned developments to investors and establish objectives and activities for the next decade. This process, led by CPSG | CSS Brazil and based on CPSG Principles and Steps (CPSG, 2020) and the Zoo Sphere tool, involved active, multidisciplinary collaboration from professionals across the institution. An initial institutional diagnosis using the Zoo Sphere criteria was refined in the workshop, where activities were evaluated for initiation, expansion, reduction, discontinuation, or maintenance. Each activity was then prioritized as high, medium, or low. Finally, five actions per Sphere section were selected for further assessment, with those rated as very high priority scheduled for implementation over the next five years.

In 2024, Parque das Aves, Brazil, developed its strategic and operational planning for the next five years. The planning process began with a virtual diagnostic phase that used an asynchronous analysis based on the Zoo Sphere tool and a SWOT questionnaire. This was followed by two in-person workshops held in April and August 2024. The first workshop aimed to assess the diagnostic results, agree upon institutional strategic goals, and identify

365

strategic actions aligned with processes implemented at São Paulo Zoo. The second phase 319 focused on refining and validating the goals, actions, and operational plan. 320 Reflections and lessons learned from using the Zoo Sphere tool in institutional planning at these two 321 322 zoos show that it is an invaluable asset for diagnostics. It provides a clear visualization of wellperforming areas and those in need of improvement, aiding in the development of strategic objectives 323 324 and actions. The criteria within the Zoo Sphere tool cover a wide range of zoo-related areas beyond conservation, such as DEAI (Diversity, Equity, Accessibility, and Inclusion), sustainability, and 325 education. However, it does not encompass other essential domains like commercial operations, 326 327 institutional culture, human resources management, and visitor experience. While this limitation aligns 328 with the Zoo Sphere's intended purpose, it serves as a reminder that to address the whole 329 zoo's/aquarium's operational areas, additional tools may be necessary for a more comprehensive institutional planning approach. 330 In creating an assessment tool informed by the global zoo/aquarium community and by international 331 zoo/aquarium standards, we hope the model can be widely adopted and will demonstrate the impacts 332 333 zoos/aquariums fulfil globally. The model is designed to support all zoos/aquariums irrespective of size and location. We recognise that some zoos/aquariums which have only recently begun addressing 334 335 conservation and welfare objectives may not currently score highly in their own Zoo Sphere models. However, as zoos/aquariums work together as networks, we hope that these zoos/aquariums can seek 336 support from those conservation zoos/aquariums who already excel in particular areas. Thus, 337 collectively, the zoo/aquarium sector can improve standards and ensure the sustainability of 338 zoos/aquariums for the future. The next step is to use the Zoo Sphere to create national and 339 340 international benchmarks of these impacts which can help justify conservation zoos/aquariums in the 341 21st Century, inform future development, and ultimately promote best practice. We acknowledge that individual criterion will need to be updated in response to changes in 342 international standards, however, the model visual is robust enough to remain a valid representation of 343 344 conservation zoos/aquariums even if the underlying assessment criteria are updated. Figure 4: A) The (updated) 'Zoo Sphere of Influence' model demonstrating 100% of a 345 346 zoo's/aquarium's potential impact. The colours indicate the level of influence (green = local, blue = 347 national, purple = international), the strength of colour (moving from the inside outwards) indicates 348 the intensity of impact (paler = low impact, darker= medium and high impact). Each coloured block represents fulfilment of a specific criteria in the matrix table (Assessment Pack) (see Supplementary 349 350 Materials). B) Example of São Paulo Zoo's current Sphere of Influence based on assessment conducted November 2023. Only those criteria which have been fulfilled by the zoo are highlighted. 351 352 Note that it is not necessary to complete all sections linearly. Note also that the core values (central 353 ring) are not displayed on individual zoo/aquarium assessments. 5. Conclusions 354 Following a period of consultation and testing by zoo/aquarium experts from across the globe, we 355 have updated the Zoo Sphere model to include 10 sections (Species & Habitat Conservation, 356 Education & Training, Scientific Research, Sustainability, Indigenous People and Local Communities, 357 Diversity, Equity, Access & Inclusion, Public Health and Wellbeing, Conservation Finance, Economy, 358 359 and Policy) plus a set of core values. The model is founded on an updated matrix of criteria (see 360 Supplementary Materials: Assessment Pack) informed by WAZA documents and international standards. We have also developed a self-assessment pack and online tool (available at zoosphere.org) 361 362 to help zoos/aquariums apply the model at their sites.

The Zoo Sphere model is intended to help zoos/aquariums raise standards and uphold best practice.

We hope the model is used by zoos/aquariums internationally, regardless of their size and available

resources. We recognise that zoos/aquariums across the world are at different stages in their

403

404 405

366 367	development. By developing an international assessment tool, we hope to support zoos/aquariums unsure where to begin, as well as valuing organisations already providing best practice in many areas.
368 369 370 371 372	We have demonstrated how the Zoo Sphere can in aid in conservation planning and evaluation. Zoos/aquariums can self-assess their current Sphere of Influence including their scores in each section and use these to frame next step planning. As such, the Zoo Sphere provides a measurable way of tracking impact. It also allows zoos/aquariums to consider their roles holistically and decided which areas to prioritise.
373 374 375 376	Our next steps are to ensure accessibility of resources by publishing self-assessment packs in multiple languages. We also intend to create a benchmarking function to allow the global priorities of zoos/aquariums to be assessed, identify gaps, and support zoos/aquariums in improving their provisions for species and society.
377	
378	6. References
379 380	Aikenhead, G. S., & Ogawa, M. (2007). Indigenous knowledge and science revisited. <i>Cultural Studies of Science Education</i> , 2(3), 539–620. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11422-007-9067-8
381 382 383	Aramoana, J., & Koea, J. (2020). An Integrative Review of the Barriers to Indigenous Peoples Participation in Biobanking and Genomic Research. <i>JCO Global Oncology</i> , 6(5). https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO.18.00156
384 385	Auckland Zoo. (2024). <i>Mātauranga Māori</i>   <i>Auckland Zoo</i> . https://www.aucklandzoo.co.nz/visit/matauranga-maori
386 387 388	Barongi, R., Fisken, F. A., Parker, M., & Gusset, M. (2015). <i>Committing to Conservation: The World Zoo and Aquarium Conservation Strategy</i> (R. Barongi, F. A. Fisken, M. Parker, & M. Gusset, Eds.). World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA) Executive Office.
389 390 391	Bartlett, C., Marshall, M., Marshall, A., (2012) Two Eyed Seeing and other lessons learned within a co-learning journey of bringing together indigenous and mainstream knowledges and ways of knowing. <i>J. Environ Stud. Sci.</i> 2, 331-340. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13412-012-0086-8
392 393	Borck, C. A. (2022, August 6). <i>PRIDE at the Brookfield Zoo</i> . https://www.aza.org/connect-stories/stories/pride-brookfield-zoo-event-planning
394 395 396	Bowers, J. R., & Richmond, G. (2023). Investigating representations of indigenous peoples and indigenous knowledge in zoos. <i>Interdisciplinary Journal of Environmental and Science Education</i> , 19(4), e2321. https://doi.org/10.29333/IJESE/13746
397 398	Brulon Soares, B. (2021). Decolonising the Museum? Community Experiences in the Periphery of the ICOM Museum Definition. <i>Curator</i> , 64(3), 439–455. https://doi.org/10.1111/CURA.12436
399	Cerdan, P., & Kahlon, T. (2023). WAZA x RTR Short Guide. Ink Design Publishing Solutions.
400 401	Charleston, L. E. (2023, September 4). <i>Blog: Autism, accessibility, and Zoos</i> . IAZA. https://biaza.org.uk/news/detail/blog-autism-accessibility-and-zoos

Chester Zoo. (2022). Demand Sustainable Palm Oil: Sustainable palm oil statement.

https://www.chesterzoo.org/events/chester-zoo-youth-symposium-2023/

https://www.chesterzoo.org/news/sustainable-palm-oil-statement/

Chester Zoo. (2024a). Chester Zoo Youth Symposium 2023 | Chester Zoo.

446

406 407	Chester Zoo. (2024b). <i>Diagnostic Services</i> . https://www.chesterzoo.org/what-we-do/diagnostic-services/
408 409 410	Cincinnati Zoo & Botanical Garden. (2024, April 15). Cincinnati Zoo Launches "Farm to Stable" Container Farming Project. https://cincinnatizoo.org/cincinnati-zoo-launches-farm-to-stable-container-farming-project/
411 412 413	Convention on Biological Diversity. (2011). Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of the Benefits Arising from their Utilisation. The Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Canada. Pp 25
414 415 416	Conway, W. G. (1969). Zoos: Their changing roles. In <i>Science</i> (Vol. 163, Issue 3862, pp. 48–52). American Association for the Advancement of Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.163.3862.48
417 418	CPSG (2020) Species Conservation Planning Principles & Steps, Ver. 1.0. IUCN SSC Conservation Planning Specialist Group: Apple Valley, MN.
419 420 421	Eicher, M., Fijnje, F., Ködding-Zurmühlen, L., Unruh, S., Wieser, J. (2019) The Post-Colonial Zoo: An empirical case study of the Cologne Zoo, pp80. Post-colonial perspectives - Department of Geography, University of Bonn
422 423	Environmental Investigation Agency. (2018). <i>In Our Palms: Ensuring "No Deforestation" in EU Commodity Supply Chains</i> . https://eia-international.org/wp-content/uploads/In-Our-Palms.pdf
424 425 426	Fields, J. (2022, August 27). Community Connections: New Programming to Increase Accessibility.  Columbus Zoo and Aquarium. https://www.columbuszoo.org/blog/community-connections-new-programming-increase-accessibility
427 428	FNIGC (n.d.) The First Nations Principles of OCAP. Available from https://fnigc.ca/ocap-training/. Accessed 04.01.2025.
429 430 431	Fraser, J., Clayton, S., Sickler, J., & Taylor, A. (2009). Belonging at the zoo: retired volunteers, conservation activism and collective identity. <i>Ageing &amp; Society</i> , <i>29</i> (3), 351–368. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X08007915
432 433	Hymas, O., Rocha, B., Guerro, N., Torres, M., Ndong, K., & Walters, G. (2021). There's nothing new under the sun - lessons conservationists could learn from previous pandemics. <i>Parks</i> , <i>27</i> , 25–40.
434 435	Kubarek, J., Ogden, J., Grow, S., & Rutherford, A. (2020). AZA Social Science Research Agenda. Association of Zoos and Aquariums.
436 437 438	Lightfoot, K. G., Panich, L. M., Schneider, T. D., & Gonzalez, S. L. (2013). European colonialism and the Anthropocene: A view from the Pacific Coast of North America. <i>Anthropocene</i> , 4, 101–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANCENE.2013.09.002
439 440	London Zoo. (2024). <i>British Sign Language Tours</i> . https://www.londonzoo.org/plan-your-visit/accessibility/british-sign-language-tours
441 442	Loro Parque. (2024) Environmental Commitment. https://www.loroparque.com/en/compromiso-medioambiental/. Accessed 22.7.2024
443 444	Luna, J. K. (2023). Why are there African huts at the zoo? The racialized spectacle of conservation. Environmental Sociology, 9(4), 427–444. https://doi.org/10.1080/23251042.2023.2218950

Maier-Wolthausen, C., & Jahn, F., (2024). Die Zurschaustellung von Menschen im Zoo Hannover von

1878 bis 1932: Ein Forschungsbereicht im Spiegel zeitgenössischer Quellen. Pp 167. Zoo

488

447 448	Hannover gGmbH. Available from: https://www.zoo-hannover.de/koloniale-spuren. Accessed: 22.10.2024
449 450 451	Mann, J. B., Ballantyne, R., & Packer, J. (2018). Penguin Promises: encouraging aquarium visitors to take conservation action. <i>Environmental Education Research</i> , 24(6), 859–874. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2017.1365355
452 453	Melbourne Zoo. (2024). <i>Youth Advisory Committee</i> . Zoos Victoria. https://www.zoo.org.au/melbourne/whats-on/events/youth-advisory-committee/
454 455	Mellor, D. J., Hunt, S., & Gusset, M. (2015). WAZA Caring for Wildlife: The World Zoo and Aquarium Animal Welfare Strategy. WAZA Executive Office.
456 457 458	Mitsubishi Electric (2024) Chester Zoo showcases sustainability in a fight to prevent extinction. 1st May 2024. Available at: https://les.mitsubishielectric.co.uk/latest-news/chester-zoo-showcases-sustainability-in-fight-to-prevent-extinction. Accessed on 20.08.2024
459 460	Osayimwese, I. (2015). "Armchair Safaris: Representations of African Cultures in Zoos." <i>Architectural Theory Review</i> 20 (3): 296–311.
461 462 463	Pearson, E. L., Lowry, R., Dorrian, J., & Litchfield, C. A. (2014). Evaluating the conservation impact of an innovative zoo-based educational campaign: 'Don't Palm Us Off' for orang-utan conservation. <i>Zoo Biology</i> , <i>33</i> (3), 184–196. https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21120
464 465	Rapp Learn, J. (2020). Two-eyed seeing: Interweaving indigenous knowledge and western science. <i>The Wildlife Professional</i> , 14, 10.
466 467 468 469	RZSS, (2024) Sustainable solar for Edinburgh Zoo. Available at: https://www.eonenergy.com/business/why-eon/case-studies/edinburgh-zoo- solar.html#:~:text=A%20new%20education%20centre&text=This%20solar%20farm%20will%2 0provide,views%20of%20the%20solar%20array. Accessed on 20.8.2024
470 471	Scott, P. (2018, April 16). <i>Growing Our Own: SDZG's Botanical Buffet</i> . San Diego Zoo Wildlife Alliance. https://stories.sandiegozoo.org/zoonooz/growing-our-own/
472 473 474	Seattle Aquarium (2024) Seattle Aquarium Announces New Partnership with Muckleshoot Tribe, August 6, 2024. Available at: https://www.seattleaquarium.org/press-release/seattle-aquarium-announces-new-partnership-with-muckleshoot-tribe/ accessed on: 30.08.2024
475 476 477	Silver, K. (2022, December 10). <i>Putting a Stop to Wildlife Trafficking</i> . Association of Zoos and Aquariums. https://www.aza.org/connect-stories/stories/putting-a-stop-to-wildlife-trafficking-aza-ending-illegal-trade
478 479 480	Sithole, S. S., M. Fernandes, O. Hymas, K. Sharma, and G. Walters. (2021). "Stuck in the Colonial Past?: Perpetuating Racist, Environmental Myths of Kenya in a Swiss Zoo." <i>Anthropological Journal of European Cultures</i> 30 (2): 95–111. https://doi.org/10.3167/ajec.2021.300207.
481 482	Sithole, S.S., Walters, G.M., Hymas, O., (2023) Zoos and Aquariums are Changing their Exhibits to Represent Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities' Perspectives. <i>WAZA Newsletter</i> , 4, 37-38
483 484 485	Smith. A.V. (2020, November 5). <i>An Indigenous Effort to Return Condors to the Pacific Northwest Nears Its Goal</i> . Audubon. https://www.audubon.org/news/an-indigenous-effort-return-condors-pacific-northwest-nears-its-goal
486 487	Smith, C., Buckley, N., Bridges, E., Pavitt, B., & Moss, A. (2018). Self-reported impacts of volunteering in UK zoos and aquariums. <i>Cultural Trends</i> , 27(1), 18–32.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09548963.2018.1415409


489	Spooner, S. L., Walker, S. L., Dowell, S., & Moss, A. (2023). The value of zoos for species and
490	society: The need for a new model. Biological Conservation, 279, 109925.
<b>/</b> 01	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.100025


- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.109925 491
- 492 The Zoo Louisville. (2024, May 14). Youth Board Fundraising Team.
- Https://Louisvillezoo.Org/about-the-Zoo/Volunteer-Opportunities/Youth-Board-Fundraising-493
- Team/. 494
- Thomas, M. W. (2011, February 18). San Antonio Zoo helps protect rare birds by sharing feathers. 495
- 496 San Antonio Business Journal. https://www.bizjournals.com/sanantonio/print-
- 497 edition/2011/02/18/san-antonio-zoo-helps-protect-rare.html
- Thomas, S. (2020). Social Change for Conservation The World Zoo and Aquarium Conservation 498
- 499 Education Strategy. In https://www.waza.org/. WAZA Executive Office.
- https://www.waza.org/priorities/community-conservation/the-ize-waza-education-strategy/ 500
- 501 Tugend, A. (2021, October 13). Understanding Progress in DEAI Efforts. Association of Zoos and
- Aquariums. https://www.aza.org/connect-stories/stories/understanding-progress-in-diversity-502
- equity-efforts 503
- 504 United Nations. (2015). The Sustainable Development Agenda.
- https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/development-agenda/ 505
- United Nations Environment Programme, & Convention on Biological Diversity. (2022). Decision 506
- 507 adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity 15/4.
- Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. 508
- 509 https://www.cbd.int/conferences/post20202CBD/WG8J/11/7,CBD/SBSTTA/23/9,CBD/SBSTT
- 510 A/24/12andCBD/SBI/3/21, respectively.
- 511 Veterinary Practice News. (2023, April 18). Toronto Zoo honours life of Samson the grizzly.
- 512 Veterinary Practice News Canada. https://www.veterinarypracticenews.ca/toronto-zoo-honours-
- 513 life-of-samson-the-grizzly/
- 514 Walters, G.M., Sithole, S.S., Hymas, O (2024) Zoos and Aquariums are changing how they engage
- 515 with Indigenous People and Local Communities. WAZA Newsletter, 2, 13-17.
- 516 WAZA. (2003). WAZA Code of Ethics and Animal Welfare. WAZA Executive Office.
- WAZA. (2005). WAZA Guidelines for Improving Standards in Zoos: COM. 62.4. 517
- WAZA. (2007). WAZA Zoo Assessment Tool Model. WAZA Executive Office. 518
- WAZA. (2020a). Protecting Our Planet: Sustainability Strategy 2020-2030. WAZA Executive Office. 519
- WAZA. (2020b). WAZA Guidelines for Animal Visitor Interactions. WAZA Executive Office. 520
- WAZA. (2023a). WAZA Code of Ethics. WAZA Executive Office. 521
- 522 WAZA. (2023b, December 11). The WAZA 2023 Animal Welfare Goal.
- 523 Https://Www.Waza.Org/Priorities/Animal-Welfare/2023-Animal-Welfare-Goal/.
- WAZA. (2023c, December 11). WAZA 2027 Population Management Goal. 524
- Https://Www.Waza.Org/Priorities/Animal-Welfare/Waza-2027- Population-Management-525
- Goalwaza-2027-Population-Management-Goal/. 526
- Woodland Park Zoo. (2024). A Green Zoo: Sustainable Zoo Plan. https://www.zoo.org/greenzoo 527
- Yurok Tribe. (2023, November 3). NCCRP Prepares to Release Three More Condors. The Yurok 528
- Tribe News. https://www.yuroktribe.org/post/nccrp-prepares-to-release-three-more-condors 529

Zoo Leipzig. (2023, July 10). Environmental protection Eco-Management Audit Scheme.
 https://www.zoo-leipzig.de/en/about-us/environmental-protection/

532

533





### **CONSULTATION PHASE**

- Feedback survey.
- Focus groups/ workshops.
- Conference presentations/ demos.
- Email feedback received.

9 months

#### **RESPONDING TO FEEDBACK**

- Updates to layout and appearance.
- Criteria updated.
- Criteria mapped against key WAZA documents and strategies.
- Updated impact levels: Local, National, and International.
- Scoring system added.
- Core Values section added.
- Three additional sections:
   Sustainability; IndigenousPeoples and Local Communities (IPLC); Diversity, Equity, Access and Inclusion (DEAI)
- Assessment pack and online tool created.

# **PILOT**

#### PHASE 1

- São Paulo Zoo, Chester Zoo, Parque das Aves pilot the matrix at their organization and generate models to represent their site.
- Smaller test run with Zoo Negara education department.
- Initial partner zoos feedback on their experiences.

# REVISED MODEL & ASSESSMENT PACK

- Revised Model & Assessment Pack.
- Revised model and Assesment Pack are published.

### PHASE 2

- Global partner zoos/aquariums: pilot the matrix at their organisation and generate models to represent their site
- Partner zoos/aquariums feedback on their experiences.

# **ADJUSTMENTS & UPDATES**

- Final adjustments made to Assessment Packs based on experiences of partner zoos/aquariums.
- Translations of Assessment Pack.
- Assessment packs updated in accordance with WAZA guidelines.
- Benchmark models created.

Ongoing



