



THE COMPLETE PATENT ATTORNEY WORKFLOW TOOLKIT

(INDIA-FOCUSED, GLOBALLY COMPETENT)



AUTHOR-
PRATEEK RAJ



Introduction

The life of a patent attorney is high-pressure, high-stakes and highly process-driven. Yet in India, most practitioners still rely on outdated habits, scattered tools, and improvised workflows. This toolkit is your antidote to that chaos.

Created specifically for **Indian patent attorneys**, this book arms you with a **battle-tested, field-proven workflow** from first client contact to final grant and beyond.

Inside, you'll find:

- Time-saving automations and practical hacks
- Process checklists tailored for Indian filings (with global compatibility)
- Solutions to common frustrations attorneys face every day

Whether you're a solo practitioner, law firm partner, or in-house IP counsel, this eBook is designed to make your work smoother, smarter, and stronger.


Let's rebuild your entire patent lifecycle step by step, tool by tool.



Table of Contents

1. **Client Onboarding & Invention Disclosure - The Foundation of Patent Success**
2. **Patent Search, Drafting & Filing Strategy - Building the Right Foundation**
3. **Responding to FERs & Office Actions From Panic to Precision**
4. **Hearings, Appeals & Smart Prosecution Tactics**
5. **Global Drafting Strategies Writing Patent Claims That Win Worldwide**
6. **Patent Analytics Using Intelligence for Drafting, Filing & Oppositions**
7. **Patent Prosecution & Office Action Hacks (India + Global)**
8. **Working with Startups, SMEs & First-Time Filers**
9. **Patent Claim Drafting Secrets**
10. **Responding to FERs Strategically**

Chapter 1: Client Onboarding & Invention Disclosure - The Foundation of Patent Success

 **Objective:** Equip Indian patent attorneys with a foolproof system for onboarding inventors/clients and capturing invention disclosures in a globally acceptable, litigation-proof format.

SECTION A: The Importance of Strong Onboarding

In the patent world, **a weak disclosure = a weak patent**. You can't draft a powerful claim if the inventor gives you half-baked input. That's why onboarding is not a "formality" it's the first battle you must win.

A1. The First Call/Meeting - What to Cover

Use this **Onboarding Checklist** during your first client meeting:

Ask This

Why It Matters

What's the **core idea** of your invention?

Start with layman's language. No jargon.

Has this invention been **publicly disclosed** before?

Ensures novelty hasn't been compromised.

Are you the **sole inventor**?

Clarifies inventorship and ownership rights.

Are there any **third-party contributors**?

Prevents future disputes and inventorship claims.

Do you intend to file **only in India** or **globally**?

Determines strategy: PCT vs Convention vs National

A2. The “Perfect” Invention Disclosure Form (IDF)




Most attorneys use **basic** **IDFs**, but here’s what a **bestseller-worthy** **IDF** includes:

Section	What to Ask	Why It Matters
Title of the Invention	A simple one-liner	Used in filing & communication
Technical Field	What domain is this from?	Helps in classification
Problem Solved	Describe the pain point	Important for inventive step
Prior Art (if any)	Have you seen anything similar?	Helps in drafting and prior art searches
Drawings/Sketches	Any rough figures, flowcharts	Critical for mechanical/software inventions
Variants or Embodiments	What are other possible versions?	Helps broaden claims
Utility or Application	Where will this be used?	Helps in market analysis and patent strength
Keywords	5-10 keywords	Helps with search tools and SEO for global clients




A3. Tools That Make This Process Smooth

Tool	Use	Link
Google Forms / Typeform	Create your own branded IDF for clients	Google Forms / Typeform
Canva Whiteboard	Real-time sketching with client during call	https://www.canva.com/whiteboards/
Notion Template	Centralize inventor data, tech field notes, and prior art	Notion
Loom	Record a client walkthrough of the invention	Loom
Zoom + Whiteboard Plugin	Remote inventor collaboration	Zoom

A4. Indian Reality Checks (That Nobody Talks About)

-  **Inventors are scared of giving “too much” info early** - Assure NDAs. Build trust.
 -  **Most Indian startups don’t have proper records** - Teach them what counts as "supporting data."
 -  **Govt/academic inventors don’t know how to disclose well** - Offer your own pre-filled template as a starting point.
-


Pro Tips for Indian Patent Attorneys

-  **Offer a 1-hour invention-disclosure guidance call** as a value add.
-  **Train your paralegal/junior to collect disclosures** using a checklist (saves you 10+ hours/month).
-  **Keep a Google Drive “Disclosure Kit” ready** NDA, IDF, Client Guide PDF, Call Scheduler Link.

Summary

“Garbage in, garbage out” applies 10x more in patent law. A strong, structured onboarding & disclosure process is how you win from the very first step whether you're filing for an Indian SME or a Silicon Valley client.

Chapter 2: Patent Search, Drafting & Filing Strategy - Building the Right Foundation


 **Objective:** Help Indian patent attorneys create robust search strategies, prepare globally competitive drafts, and choose the best filing routes with confidence.

SECTION A: Patentability Search - Your First Line of Defense

A good search saves time, effort, and embarrassment later. Yet many Indian attorneys skip it or do a superficial job. You need a **3-layered search model**:

A1. 3-Layered Search Model

Layer	Tool / Resource	Purpose
1. Keyword-based search	Google Patents, Lens.org	Get a basic landscape
2. Classification-based	Espacenet, Patentscope (WIPO)	Target accurate CPC/IPC classes
3. Semantic or AI-based	PQAI, PatentPal, IP.com, Perplexity AI	Dig deeper into near-similar concepts

 **Bonus Hack:** Use ChatGPT to expand your keywords, synonyms, and alternate phrasing before search.

Pro Tip: Make Search a Client Touchpoint

Show your client a basic **Patentability Search Report PDF** with comments. They love transparency and feel involved = better retention.

Must-Have Search Tools for Indian Attorneys

Tool	Function	Free or Paid	Link
Lens.org	Comprehensive search	Free	https://www.lens.org
Google Patents	Fast overview + drawings	Free	https://patents.google.com
Espacenet	Classification + Legal Status	Free	https://worldwide.espacenet.com
PQAI (by IdeaLabs)	AI-based patent similarity	Free	https://pqai.tech
Orbit Intelligence	Deep search, analytics	Paid	https://www.questel.com
Perplexity AI	AI based for deep search	Free and Paid (both)	https://www.perplexity.ai

SECTION B: Drafting - From Abstract to Claims Like a Pro

Indian attorneys often undercharge because they **undervalue their drafts**. The truth is: **your drafting is your signature**.

B1. Draft Structure - International Standard

Section	Best Practices
Title	Precise, not broad (avoid generic terms like "system")
Field of Invention	2-3 lines max
Background	Include prior art pain points - not literature review
Summary	Describe invention + edge in 4-5 sentences
Detailed Description	Use numbered paragraphs; avoid over-tech-heavy style
Claims	Keep 1-3 independent; 10-20 total; always check unity of invention
Drawings	Black & white, clear numbering

Pro Tips for Indian Drafting Style (With Global Touch)

- Use US-style “shall comprise” phrasing for claims.
- Include **at least one method and one system claim** (if applicable).
- Use **numbered paragraphs** to match US, EP, and PCT norms.
- Avoid using "novel," "unique," or "invention" in description those are conclusions, not facts.

Tools to Assist Drafting

Tool	Use	Link
PatentPal	AI-generated claims + abstract	https://www.patentpal.com
ChatGPT (Custom GPTs)	Suggest alternate claims + logic	https://chat.openai.com
Grammarly	Grammar and tone polish	https://www.grammarly.com

Note:- Each draft must be tailored with precision and sharpened by an attorney's wit, striking a balance between legal rigor and persuasive flair.

SECTION C: Filing Strategy - National, PCT, or Convention?

Don't just ask, “Where do you want to file?”

Ask, “Where do you plan to sell/license/invest?”

C1. Filing Route Guide for Indian Clients

Filing Type	When to Recommend	Timeframe	Cost Implication
Indian Filing only (Form 1 + 2)	Low budget, Indian market only	0–1 month	₹₹
Indian + Convention (e.g., US, EU)	Few key countries in mind	File foreign apps within 12 months	₹₹₹
PCT Filing	Clients unsure of which countries yet	Gives 30/31 months	₹₹₹₹
US First Filing (Rare)	Only if 100% US invention, US inventors	File via USPTO directly	₹₹₹

Pro Tip: Filing Strategy = Business Strategy

Explain to clients:


- Filing **more countries** ≠ **stronger patent**. Focus on ROI.
- Use **India as priority** to save costs, then go PCT if expansion is needed.
- Always do **Form 18 filing** early to speed up examination (especially for startups via Form 18A).

Summary

A patent attorney is part detective, part scientist, part lawyer. Get your search right, draft with strategy, and file like you mean business.




This chapter gave you the full cycle from **search to drafting to filing** in a way that's deeply practical for Indian attorneys and scalable for global standards.

Chapter 3: Responding to FERs & Office Actions From Panic to Precision

 **Objective:** Equip Indian patent attorneys to handle First Examination Reports (FERs), office actions, objections, and rejections with confidence, clarity, and cost-efficiency both in India and globally.

SECTION A: Understanding the FER in the Indian Context

Every FER is an opportunity to prove your **legal + technical prowess**. But attorneys often:

- Use weak or copy-paste responses 
- Overlook procedural levers (Rule 24B, Form 4, etc.) 
- Miss deadlines 


A1. Key Components of an FER in India

Objection Type	What It Means	Common Mistakes
Section 2(1)(j) - Lack of novelty/inventive step	Prior art cited shows your claims are obvious or known	Rebutting with generic “technical advancement”
Section 3(d)/(k)/(i) - Non-patentable subject	mere discovery of a new form of a known substance, a new property or use for a known substance/Business method / software / method of treatment	Not restructuring claims or adding hardware
Insufficient disclosure (Section 10)	Description too vague or missing key examples	Failing to submit working examples

Unity of invention

Multiple inventions in one application

Ignoring examiner's suggestion to divide Application

 **Pro Tip:** Treat the FER as a *negotiation brief* not a rejection.

A2. Workflow for Responding to FER

1. **Read and Annotate** each objection. Use highlighters or comments in PDF.
2. **Map each cited prior art** to specific claim elements.
3. **Prepare a rebuttal matrix:** Claim vs Art vs Argument.
4. **Use comparative claim charts** if needed (for inventive step).
5. **Submit detailed response** + amended claims (if applicable).
6. **Double-check deadlines** in respect of filing different forms, or expedited pathways.

Template: Rebuttal Matrix for FER

Objection	Patent Cited	Your Claim	Counter-Argument	Amendment (if any)
Lack of novelty	D1 IN201811001234	Claim 1	D1 teaches X; our Claim 1 defines Y + Z	No amendment needed

📖 SECTION B: Handling Global Office Actions (USPTO, EPO, WIPO)

Indian clients often go global. That means you'll deal with **Non-Final Rejections**, **Written Opinions**, or **Examination Reports** under PCT.

🧠 Key Differences in Global Practice

Jurisdiction	Special Points
USPTO	Use “ <i>Means-plus-function</i> ” with care. Avoid overly broad claims. Consider <i>continuation</i> filings.
EPO	Unity of invention is strictly applied. Clarity is key.
WIPO (PCT)	Written opinion often precludes national phase rejection take it seriously.

🔧 **Hack:** Use ChatGPT or CoCounsel to draft **claim narrowing arguments** based on cited US/EU prior art.

🔥 SECTION C: Drafting Winning FER Responses - Real Tips

✅ C1. Language Matters

Do this:

“The cited document teaches a system that differs in function and structure from the present invention...”

Don't do this:

“The invention is novel and non-obvious and the examiner is incorrect.”

Use **objective, technical tone**. Argue, don't plead.

🧠 C2. When to Amend, When to Argue?

Situation	Strategy
Strong prior art + minor difference	Amend + argue
Prior art is weak or non-enabling	Argue without amendment
Multiple objections in unity	File divisional application U/S 16

💡 **India-specific Insight:** Amendments **must be supported in the original filing**. No new matter allowed (Section 59).

📁 Bonus: Indian Procedural Forms Cheat Sheet

Form	Use	Link
Form 4	Extension of time	https://ipindia.gov.in
Form 18	Request for Examination	IP India Portal
Form 13	Amendment of application	Same as above

🧠 Practical Tip

Always document your logic.


Even if the Controller accepts your argument, you should save your FER matrix in client records. It helps in **opposition, litigation, and valuation**.

🏁 Summary

FER is not a failure. It's a chance to shine.

Treat objections like a puzzle, not a problem. Indian patent attorneys can stand out globally **if they learn to structure rebuttals, amend wisely, and communicate like a pro.**

Chapter 4: Hearings, Appeals & Smart Prosecution Tactics

 **Objective:** Master the underutilized yet powerful tools of **hearings**, **appeals**, and **prosecution strategy** specifically tailored for Indian patent attorneys working in a global IP landscape.


SECTION A: Hearings Before the Indian Patent Office (IPO)

A1. When Hearings Happen:

- After **FER response fails** to satisfy the Controller.
- Objections persist despite amendments.
- **Voluntary hearings** are possible if complex objections exist.


A2. How to Prepare for a Hearing

Task	Action
Study the FER & your own response	Treat it like a courtroom brief
Prepare a Hearing Note	One-page summary of your main arguments, citations, amended claims
Keep physical + digital copies	For last-minute document reference
Ensure client instructions are clear	Don't negotiate claim amendments live without client okay
Focus on novelty + inventive step + clarity	Most hearings revolve around these issues

 **Tip:** Ask for **hearing transcript** or ensure written submission is on record.

A3. Common Mistakes in Hearings

- Going unprepared and treating it as “routine.”
- Offering vague verbal explanations.
- Not submitting written arguments post-hearing.
- Making amendments not backed by original disclosure.

 **Pro Tip:** Hearing is **not a debate**, it’s a **negotiation based on law and science**.

SECTION B: Filing Appeals at IPAB → Now at High Courts (DPIIT Rules)

Since IPAB is abolished, appeals go to **High Courts under writ jurisdiction** or **commercial division**.

B1. When to Appeal

Appeal Situation	Example
Rejection post-hearing (Section 15)	Controller rejects despite technical arguments
Procedural irregularity	Controller denies extension, or ignores Rule 24B
Disproportionate claim narrowing	Patent granted with only 1 out of 20 claims

 Use **Writ Petition under Article 226** or **Commercial Appeal** under CPC depending on the issue.


Checklist Before Appeal:

- Was every argument submitted in writing?
 - Have you exhausted IPO remedies?
 - Is client willing to bear litigation cost?
-

SECTION C: Smart Prosecution Tactics for Indian + Global Strategy

C1. Managing PCT National Phase + Indian Strategy

Timeline	Strategy
File PCT first	Use 30/31 months to build claim strength
File Indian + US/EU	Keep claim language flexible
After ISR (PCT Search Report)	Use findings to pre-empt Indian FER issues

 **Pro Hack:** If EPO search report cites weak prior art, amend Indian claims accordingly **before** FER is issued.

C2. Prosecution Playbook: Domestic + International

Situation	Indian Strategy	Global Strategy
Controller suggests minor amendment	Accept with written logic	Align with US claim scope
Obviousness with 2 prior arts	Show non-obvious synergy	Argue technical effect (EPO standard)
Examiner silent on claim 15-20	Push for implicit acceptance	Highlight them in continuation abroad

Tools for Hearing & Appeals Management

Resource	Link
IPO Controller Contact List	ipindia.gov.in
Case Tracker: High Court Writs	ecourts.gov.in
Global IP Strategy Tool	https://www.patsnap.com

Bonus: Questions to Ask Before a Hearing


1. Can I win this with technical clarity or legal force?
 2. Are amendments safer or should I defend original claims?
 3. If rejected, am I ready to appeal and where?
-

Closing Insight:

"Hearings and appeals are not just remedies they are **opportunities for reputation-building**."

A well-fought hearing, a sharp FER response, and a strategic appeal can position you as a **formidable attorney** in the Indian and international IP arena.


Chapter 5: Global Drafting Strategies Writing Patent Claims That Win Worldwide

 **Objective:** Equip Indian patent attorneys with globally-aligned claim drafting skills that resonate across **USPTO, EPO, JPO, and Indian IPO** while ensuring practical enforceability and grant potential.

SECTION A: Understand the Global Claim Language Game

A1. Global vs. Indian Drafting Sensitivities

Region	Sensitivity	Drafting Tip
India	Clarity, sufficiency under Section 10	Avoid “result-oriented” terms
USPTO	Enablement, best mode, definiteness	Include " means + function " cautiously
EPO	Technical effect, problem-solution	Avoid unnecessary “business method” jargon
China	Support by spec, strict unity	Use narrower dependent claims early

 **Pro Tip:** Use **dual-mode drafting** - keep one claim set tailored for India, and a globally expandable one for PCT/foreign filings.

SECTION B: Structuring a Globally Adaptable Patent Specification

B1. Anatomy of a World-Class Patent Draft

1. **Title:** Functional but neutral.
 2. **Field of Invention:** Target 2 lines, no buzzwords.
 3. **Background:** Mention **technical problems**, not generic industry gaps.
 4. **Summary:** Brief, claim-aligned explanation.
 5. **Detailed Description:** With **reference numerals**, flow diagrams, sequences.
 6. **Examples:** Add use-cases or embodiments (3 minimum).
 7. **Claims:** Global compliance (see below).
 8. **Abstract:** ~150 words, claim-aligned.
-

SECTION C: Drafting Claims That Survive Globally

C1. Golden Rules for Claim Drafting

Rule	Explanation
One broad, rest narrow	Make Claim 1 global, others for prosecution leverage
Avoid functional language unless fully backed	Else gets rejected in US (112(f))

Use "comprising" not "consisting of"	For open claims
Be consistent in terminology	No mixing “module” and “engine” unless defined
Don’t include purpose	E.g., say “a sensor” not “a sensor for identifying driver mood” unless fully supported

SECTION D: Drafting for Country-Specific Traps

D1. Indian IPO

- **Section 59 compliance:** Every amendment must be traceable to original spec.
- Avoid "**I claim**" phrasing or hybrid language.
- Be ready to defend **Section 3 exclusions** (especially in software/biotech).

D2. USPTO

- 112(a): Full enablement, including **best mode** (even if not enforced).
- Avoid use of **vague terms** like “about”, “roughly” unless defined.

D3. EPO

- Always highlight the **technical problem** solved.
 - Avoid **claims to method of doing business** or pure data manipulation unless tied to hardware.
-

⚠️ Common Mistakes in Drafting (Indian Attorneys for Global Filings)

Mistake	Global Consequence
Over-reliance on client disclosure	Missed novelty-defining elements
Claims too “mechanical”	Rejected in US or EP as obvious
Poor definition of terms	Ambiguity during translation
No alternate embodiments	Weakens divisional claim strength

💡 **Pro Hack:** Maintain an **internal claim bank** for frequently-used phrases across technologies (e.g., IoT, AI, pharma). Saves time & ensures consistency.

Real Example: Drafted in India, Filed Worldwide

Title: Smart Crop Monitoring Device

Filed: India, US, EP

Drafting Differences:

Element	Indian Spec	US Spec	EPO Spec
Claim Focus	Device features	Sensor logic	Environmental benefit
Support	Paragraph 8	Para 10-12	Para 14-15
Claim Language	“A system for crop analysis...”	“A system comprising sensors, configured to...”	“A system solving the technical problem of monitoring...”

Tools for Better Global Drafting

Tool	Purpose
Patent Bots	Claim checker for clarity
Google Patents	Comparative analysis


[FreePatentsOnline](#) Deep patent search

WIPO Patentscope Global PCT specs reference

Closing Insight:

“A patent is only as global as its **drafting intelligence**. Local thinking, global language that's the new power skill for Indian attorneys.”

Chapter 6: Patent Analytics Using Intelligence for Drafting, Filing & Oppositions

 **Objective:** Turn raw patent data into powerful, decision-driving insights for every stage of the patent lifecycle from first draft to post-grant opposition.

SECTION A: What is Patent Analytics?

Patent analytics is the **strategic use of patent data** to inform drafting, portfolio management, prosecution, litigation, licensing, and innovation strategy.








It involves:

- Legal analytics (grants/rejections patterns),
 - Technical trend mining,
 - Competitive monitoring,
 - Citation and family analysis,
 - Filing trajectory prediction.
-

SECTION B: Use-Cases of Patent Analytics for Indian Attorneys

Use-Case	Outcome
Before Drafting	Identify novelty gaps, avoid overlaps
During Filing	Tailor claims to survive specific jurisdiction
Before Opposition	Attack weak claims with data-driven logic
For Clients	Build trust with actionable intelligence

SECTION C: Key Tools You Should Be Using

Tool	Purpose	Free/ Paid
Lens.org	Global patent search + landscape	 Free
Google Patents + BigQuery	Technical trends + assignee analytics	 Free
Orbit Intelligence	Deep analytics on claims, citations	 Paid
Derwent Innovation (Clarivate)	Patent family analysis	 Paid
Patentscope (WIPO)	PCT trends and keyword mining	 Free
PQAI.org	Open-source AI patent search	 Free
Patent Bots	Claim error checker	 Free trial

 Pro Tip: Create **pre-saved queries** in Google BigQuery for top filing assignees, CPC classes, or inventors.

SECTION D: How to Integrate Analytics in Workflow

D1. Pre-Drafting: Novelty + White-Space Mapping

- Search **key inventors, keywords, CPC codes**.
 - Use **co-citation and backward citation** charts to spot crowded zones.
 - Create a **“white space report”** for the client.
-

D2. While Filing: Global Data for Jurisdiction-Specific Drafting

Jurisdiction	Analytics Insight	Action
USPTO	“Section 101 rejections high in AI”	Add hardware ties in claim
EPO	High grant in MedTech, low in FinTech	File device-first
China	High domestic priority, low foreign citations	Watch for local FTO issues

D3. Post-Grant: Analytics for Opposition & Licensing

- **Citations by later patents** = Tech relevance.
- **Opposition history** = Weakness clues.
- **Patent family coverage** = Commercial potential.

📌 **Tactic:** Filter patents with high **family members**, low **forward citations** these are likely to be **broad but underutilized**.

💡 SECTION E: Real-World Patent Analytics Scenarios

1. Drafting Strategy for a Blockchain Patent

Data Point: High 101 rejections in blockchain + low allowance in pure FinTech

Action: Added “improved computer system” language → allowance at USPTO

2. Opposition in Pharma

Target Patent: EP patent for compound ABC

Analytics Used:

- Cited only once
- No Indian filing
- 3 objections raised in Chinese counterpart


Action: Filed pre-grant opposition using the Chinese Office Action as evidence



SECTION F: KPIs Indian Attorneys Should Track

KPI	Metric
Grant success rate	By jurisdiction
Office Action count	Avg. per filing
Avg. time to grant	Trend over quarters
Patent family growth	By assignee/client
Rejection reason frequency	Esp. in AI/Software

Chapter 7: Patent Prosecution & Office Action Hacks (India + Global)

 **Objective:** Cut prosecution timelines, reduce rejections, and improve grant outcomes using proven hacks tailored to Indian patent attorneys working on global filings.

SECTION A: Understanding the Prosecution Journey

Whether it's India, US, EPO, or China, prosecution means **navigating rejections and objections** raised by examiners before grant.

Key Milestones:

1. **First Examination Report (FER) / Office Action (OA)**
 2. **Response Submission**
 3. **Hearing (India-specific) / Examiner Interview**
 4. **Grant or Rejection**
-

🔍 SECTION B: Common Grounds of Rejection and How to Tackle Them

Ground	India	US	EPO	Smart Response Strategy
Lack of Novelty (Sec 2(1)(j))	✓	✓	✓	Cite distinguishing features. Provide working example.
Inventive Step	✓	✓ (103)	✓ (Art 56)	Apply problem-solution approach. Focus on technical effect.
Non-Patentable Subject Matter	Sec 3	101/112	Art 52	Tailor claims: hardware + process. Avoid pure algorithms.
Insufficient Disclosure	Sec 10	112	Art 83	Add flowcharts, experimental data, use cases.
Unity of Invention	Sec 10(5)	✓	✓	Split claims. Argue “single general inventive concept.”

📌 **Pro Tip:** Keep pre-drafted **jurisdiction-specific response templates** ready.

🧠 SECTION C: Hacks That Cut Down Office Actions (Indian & Global)

🔧 Hack 1: Use Examiner Analytics

- Use tools like **PatentAdvisor** (USPTO) or **PAIR** to check examiner’s **allowance rate**, **OA trends**, and **interview frequency**.
- In India, track **Controller history** from FER database.

➡ **Action:** Customize arguments to examiner style (strict vs. permissive).

Hack 2: Anticipate Rejections from Patent Analytics


- Use **forward citations** of prior art to pre-write counter-arguments.
 - Draft claims that already **pre-empt rejection grounds** (esp. Sec 3(d), Sec 3(k), Sec 3(i) in India).
-

Hack 3: Preemptive Claim Grouping

- In India, avoid unity rejection by **pre-classifying dependent claims** under claim clusters (System, Method, Apparatus).
 - Globally, use **multiple independent claims** only where clearly defensible.
-

Hack 4: Use Patent Examiner Interviews

Country	Interview Option	Format
India	Informal hearing only	Offline
US	Examiner Interview (37 CFR §1.133)	Telephonic/Video
EPO	Oral Proceedings (Rule 115)	Scheduled

 **Action:** Conduct interviews early to **clarify examiner concerns** and **speed up prosecution**.



SECTION D: Templates for Responses

Here are plug-and-play templates for major rejection types:

D1. Novelty Response Snippet

The cited document fails to disclose feature X, particularly [insert distinguishing aspect].
Our invention teaches [insert improvement] which results in [benefit].

D2. Section 3(k) (India: Computer-Related Invention)

The claimed invention provides a technical effect by solving [problem] using [specific algorithm], implemented with [hardware element].
Hence, falls outside the scope of Section 3(k).

D3. 101 Rejection (US: Abstract Idea)

Under Alice/Mayo framework, the claim includes a practical application tied to [real-world effect], implemented via [technical system].



Add to your central **OA Response Playbook** (Word file for reuse).




SECTION E: Metrics Every Patent Attorney Should Track

Metric	Why It Matters
Avg. % of Office Actions per Grant	Efficiency score
Avg. Days to Response	Workflow speed
Win Rate per Jurisdiction	Reputation index
Pre-vs-Post Interview Grant Rate	Influence analysis
Controller/Examiner Reversal Trends	Strategic drafting decisions

Chapter 8: Working with Startups, SMEs & First-Time Filers


Strategies, Mindset & Processes for Patent Attorneys

 **Objective:** Equip Indian patent attorneys with the right tools, tone, and tactical frameworks to serve startups, first-time inventors, and SMEs - while ensuring commercial, strategic, and IP success.

SECTION A: Understand the Mindset of the Client

Startups & SMEs:

- Limited IP literacy
- Budget-sensitive
- Results-focused
- Urgent timelines
- Strong emotional connection with the invention

 **Your Role:** Be their “IP translator,” not just a legal vendor.

SECTION B: Initial Interaction - Build Trust, Not Fear

✓ What to Do in First Consultation

Task	Action
Assess IP Readiness	Do they even need a patent? Suggest trademark/copyright if more relevant.
Explain “What is Not Patentable”	Avoid misleading optimism. Be honest early.
Use Visual Flowcharts	Use India + Global patent process in 1-page visual
Quote in Phases	Break down: Drafting – Filing – FER – Grant – Maintenance
Offer Free First Call + NDA	Builds trust; protects their disclosures



SECTION C: Budgeting and Cost Hacks for SMEs In India:

- **80% Govt. fee discount** for startups and individuals
- **Facilitator support** (esp. in DPIIT-recognized startups)
- **Fast Track Exam** for startups

Globally:

- USPTO Micro Entity status
 - EPO fee waivers in some tech transfers
 - PCT national phase optimization: Enter only in top markets (IN + US + EP)
-

SECTION D: Teaching Patent Basics Without Overwhelming

Deliver core IP education in **bite-sized blocks**. Use:

- Instagram-style slides (3-5 per topic)
 - FAQs: “Will this protect me globally?” → Explain territoriality
 - Audio clips or screen recordings explaining FER
 - WhatsApp updates on patent progress (brief, not legalese)
-

SECTION E: Drafting Strategy for First-Time Filers

Focus	Strategy
Don't Overclaim	Use 2-3 independent claims. Avoid sci-fi claims.
Add Examples & Use Cases	Easy for startups to explain + examiners to understand
Emphasize Technical Effect	Especially for Section 3(k) and software-based claims

Add Provisional if Budget Low Gives time + secures priority

💡 **Tip:** Offer “1+1” strategy:

- File provisional now
- Prepare foreign strategy during the 12-month window

SECTION F: Post-Filing Support Keep It Alive

Startups feel lost **after filing**. Keep momentum by:

- Sending timelines (auto-email system)
- Sharing industry patent examples
- Pitch Deck add-ons: “IP Secured” certificate mockups
- IP Audit Sheet for future portfolio planning

SECTION G: Offer Startup-Focused IP Service Models

✅ **Service Packages You Can Offer:**

1. **Startup Starter Kit:** 1 Patent + 1 Trademark + NDA Templates
 2. **Patent Readiness Audit**
 3. **Tech Transfer Ready Kit:** Patent + Claims Summary + Valuation brief
 4. **“Angel Round Ready” IP Bundle:** Patent + TM + FTO opinion
-

Chapter 9: Patent Claim Drafting Secrets

Maximizing Protection While Minimizing Risk


“Claims are not just legal text they are your client’s invention in words.”

This chapter reveals advanced claim drafting techniques, especially for Indian attorneys drafting for global prosecution.

SECTION A: The Pillars of a Good Claim

Every claim should:

- Clearly define **novelty**
- Be broad enough to block **copycats**
- Be narrow enough to **survive FER/examination**
- Align with **client’s commercial scope**

 **Golden Rule:** One invention = One strong claim set + Multiple fallback options

📖 SECTION B: Drafting Strategy Step-by-Step Flow

Stage	Action
1. Identify Essential Elements	What makes the invention work uniquely?
2. Define Technical Contribution	Especially for software/AI/IoT this helps with §3(k) objection
3. Start with Broad Claim	Define invention in functional + structural terms
4. Draft Narrower Dependent Claims	Add implementation, variations, and optional features
5. Use Multiple Independent Claims (if needed)	One for method, one for system/device

📌 **Tip:** Use "one-paragraph pitch" of the invention before starting actual claims.

⚖️ SECTION C: Indian Practice vs Global Practice (Claim Focus)

Parameter	India	US	EPO
Max Claims (normal fee)	10 total	3 independent	Fees beyond 15 claims
Software Acceptability	Strict (Sec. 3(k))	Accepts if practical	Needs technical character
Unity of Invention	Enforced post-filing	Often lax	Very strict
Claim Language	Formal + precise	Flexible	Very structured

💡 **Draft with EPO in mind** to succeed globally.

SECTION D: Practical Claim Drafting Tips

Do's:

- Begin with a **broad yet valid** independent claim
- Use **transitional phrases**: “comprising” (open) vs “consisting” (closed)
- Add fallback positions (features likely to overcome objections)
- Use **reference numerals** in claim body (optional in India)

Don'ts:

- Don't copy-paste abstract into claim
 - Don't describe **results** instead of **steps**
 - Don't use vague words like "smart," "advanced," "user-friendly"
-

SECTION E: Example - Claim Drafting Breakdown

Invention: A smart irrigation system that controls water flow based on soil moisture.

Independent Claim

A system for managing irrigation, comprising:
a soil moisture sensor;
a water valve operably connected to said sensor;
a controller configured to actuate said valve based on moisture data;
wherein said controller triggers said valve when moisture is below a threshold.

Dependent Claim 1

The system of claim 1, wherein the controller includes a GSM module to send data to a remote server.

Dependent Claim 2

The system of claim 1, wherein the threshold value is user-defined via a mobile application.

Independent Claim (Method)

A method for automated irrigation comprising the steps of:
(a) detecting soil moisture;
(b) comparing it with a pre-set threshold;
(c) activating a water valve based on the comparison result.

SECTION F: Pre-Drafting Hacks for Attorneys

Tool	Purpose
Claims Template	Standard skeleton for method, system, and computer-readable medium
Feature Matrix	Map essential + optional features
Client Interview Form	Ask for functions, use-cases, failure points, variants

FER Objection Database

Check how similar claims were rejected/allowed

SECTION G: FER-Resistant Claims

To reduce FER probability:

- Use **technical problem-solution** approach
 - Anticipate **§3(k)** and draft around it:
 - Emphasize hardware involvement
 - Include control signals, system interaction
 - Avoid **business method language** even if client is fintech
-

Chapter 10: Responding to FERs Strategically

Language, Law, and Tactics That Work

“The First Examination Report (FER) isn’t a rejection it’s a negotiation.”

In this chapter, we decode how Indian patent attorneys can handle FERs with precision, confidence, and long-term foresight.

SECTION A: Anatomy of an FER

The Indian Patent Office typically raises objections under:

- **Section 2(1)(j)/(ja)** – Novelty and Inventive Step
- **Section 3** (especially 3(d), 3(e), 3(f), 3(i), 3(k))
- **Section 10(4)** – Clarity and conciseness
- **Unity of Invention** – Rule 13
- **Claim Support** – Claims not fully enabled or supported

 **Tip:** Read FER in “problem buckets,” not line-by-line. Classify into:

1. Procedural
 2. Formal
 3. Technical (novelty, inventive step, 3)
-

🎯 SECTION B: Strategy Framework for FER Response

Step	Action
1. Analyze examiner's objections	Cross-check with original claims and cited art
2. Run your own novelty/inventive search (again)	Many cited arts are weak or irrelevant
3. Prioritize claim amendments	If multiple objections arise, address the strongest first
4. Prepare rebuttal points	Case law + technical distinction
5. Draft response	Avoid emotional or aggressive tone
6. Use interview opportunity	Indian examiners often respond well to pre-hearing calls

🧠 SECTION C: Language That Wins

Instead of saying → Try saying

- "The objection is incorrect" → "The applicant respectfully submits that..."
- "There is no similarity" → "The cited document teaches a different solution because..."
- "Our invention is novel" → "The claimed invention is distinct in technical contribution because..."



Use IRAC Style in replies:

Issue – Rule – Application – Conclusion

SECTION D: Legal Provisions to Reference

Objection	Section	Your Response May Cite
Software Invention	3(k)	Controller's Guidelines 2017, IPAB/Delhi HC decisions
Lack of Novelty	2(1)(j)	Cite differentiators from cited art + show working embodiment
Lack of Inventive Step	2(1)(ja)	Use problem-solution approach, TSM test (if applicable)
Obscurity in Specification	10(4)	Show how claims are supported by working examples

 Add **real world use-case + technical effect** to strengthen inventive step.

SECTION E: Practical Tools

- **FER Tracker Sheet:** Date of FER, deadline, objections, status
 - **Response Drafting Template:** Standard headers, tone, formatting
 - **Claim Mapping Tool:** Align cited claim element vs yours
 - **Objection Bucket Template:** Helps delegate or prioritize objections
-

SECTION F: Real Response Sample (Simplified)

Claim: A method for authenticating a user using a face recognition model.

Objection (3(k)): The claims relate to a computer program per se.

Response (Extract):

"The claimed invention provides a technical solution to the problem of secure user authentication by implementing a multi-layer neural network on a device-specific processor.

The claimed method interacts with biometric sensors and embedded modules, thus involving hardware elements.

Further, this aligns with the Technical Effect Test as per Controller's Guidelines on CRIs, 2017."

 Attach: Flowchart + device-level interaction diagram

SECTION G: Hacks from Top Attorneys

- Use **precedent citations** from IPAB (now High Court) or Delhi High Court rulings
 - Don't oversell novelty; **over-defensive language** is a red flag
 - Modify **only if you must** else, stick to strong argumentation
 - Check cited patent families many are **not Indian prior art** or lack enabling disclosure
-

SECTION H: Optional Call with Examiner (Post-Reply)

In India, it's permissible to request a **hearing or video conference** after FER response:

- Builds rapport
- Reduces second FER
- Helps understand *real* issue behind objection

Final Thought:

“You don’t ‘win’ against the examiner you win with the examiner.”

Special Thanks to Dr. Shikha Tejswi (Founder and Managing Partner at Tejswi IP) for their valuable guidance throughout the book.

Prateek Raj is an Associate at **Tejswi IP**, a firm dedicated to delivering strategic and practical IP solutions to inventors, startups, research institutions, and corporations across India and beyond.

This eBook is a reflection of his practical insights and deep understanding of the Indian patent ecosystem.

Contact Tejswi IP

- **Firm Name:** Tejswi IP
- **Author:** Prateek Raj
- **Email:** info@tejswiip.com
- **Website:** www.tejswiip.com
- **LinkedIn:** linkedin.com/company/tejswi-ip
- **Youtube Channel:-** youtube.com/@tejswiip
- **X Handle:-** x.com/tejswiip
- **Phone:** +91 9818639090

...