Enteric Methane Emissions from Indian Livestock: Mitigation Strategies, Challenges, and Opportunities

Harmeet Singh Dhillon[1], Robin Kaura[2] and Nishchal Thakur[1]
[1]Dairy Microbiology Division, ICAR–National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana – 132001
[2]Dairy Engineering Division, ICAR–National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana – 132001
Corresponding Author: dhillonsinghharmeet@gmail.com

Abstract

Enteric methane emissions from ruminant livestock represent a major source of agricultural greenhouse gases and a significant inefficiency in feed energy utilization. In India, which hosts the world's largest bovine population and a predominantly smallholder-based dairy sector, enteric methane mitigation is both an environmental necessity and a strategic opportunity to enhance productivity and farmer livelihoods. This paper synthesizes current knowledge on the sources, mechanisms, and climatic significance of enteric methane production, with a specific focus on the Indian livestock production context. It critically reviews a range of mitigation strategies, including dietary and nutritional interventions, feed additives such as 3-nitrooxypropanol and plant-based secondary metabolites, microbial and probiotic approaches, genetic improvement, and productivity-oriented herd management practices. Evidence indicates that ration balancing, improved forage quality, and productivity gains can reduce methane emissions intensity by 20–40% while simultaneously increasing milk yield and farm income, making them particularly suitable for smallholder systems. Emerging technologies, including methane inhibitors, precision feeding, and improved monitoring, reporting, and verification frameworks, offer additional mitigation potential but require supportive policy, regulatory approval, and cost-effective delivery mechanisms. The review highlights the critical role of national programs, carbon credit schemes, and digital advisory platforms in scaling low-methane practices across diverse agro-ecological regions. Overall, the paper argues that enteric methane mitigation in India should be framed not as a reduction in livestock numbers, but as a multi-benefit strategy that aligns climate change mitigation with improved feed efficiency, enhanced animal productivity, and sustainable rural development.

Keywords: Enteric methane; Ruminant; Mitigation; Dairy productivity; Climate change

1.Introduction

Livestock systems are integral to global food security, rural livelihoods, and human nutrition; however, ruminant animals such as cattle, buffalo, sheep, and goats are also major sources of enteric methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas generated predominantly during ruminal fermentation. Beyond its environmental implications, enteric methane production represents a fundamental inefficiency in feed utilization, as a portion of ingested dietary energy is lost rather than being converted into economically valuable products such as milk and meat. In this context, recent advances in animal nutrition, herd management, and methane-mitigation technologies have provided a suite of scientifically robust and practically applicable interventions that enable substantial reductions in enteric methane emissions while sustaining, and in some cases enhancing, overall livestock productivity.

2. Enteric Methane: Sources, Mechanisms, and Climatic Significance

Enteric methane is generated within the rumen, a highly specialized anaerobic fermentation chamber in ruminant animals where complex fibrous feed components are decomposed by a diverse consortium of microorganisms. During this fermentative process, molecular hydrogen is released as a metabolic by-product and subsequently utilized by methanogenic archaea to form methane as a terminal end product. The resulting methane is expelled primarily through eructation and represents an inherent consequence of ruminal fermentation. Although methane has a relatively short atmospheric residence time, it possesses an exceptionally high global warming potential, exerting more than 80 times the warming effect of carbon dioxide over a 20-year time horizon. Consequently, enteric fermentation accounts for a substantial share of global agricultural methane emissions, underscoring its importance as a priority target for climate change mitigation within livestock production systems.

3. Methane Mitigation Strategies in the Indian Scenario

India hosts the world's largest livestock population, comprising more than 300 million bovines, including indigenous cattle, crossbred animals, and buffaloes, and this sector forms a cornerstone of rural livelihoods, nutritional security, and the national dairy economy, contributing nearly 5% to the country's gross domestic product. At the same time, India ranks among the leading global sources of enteric methane emissions, a highly potent greenhouse gas generated during ruminal fermentation in ruminant livestock. Unlike carbon dioxide, methane has a relatively short atmospheric lifetime but a substantially higher warming potential, such that emission reductions can deliver rapid and measurable climate benefits within a decade. In this context, enteric methane mitigation in India should not be viewed as a constraint on livestock production; rather, it represents a strategic opportunity to simultaneously enhance animal productivity, improve feed-use efficiency, strengthen farmer incomes, and advance national climate mitigation objectives.

4. Effective Interventions to Reduce Enteric Methane Emissions

Dietary and management interventions represent the most effective and immediately actionable pathways for mitigating enteric methane emissions in livestock systems, with nutritional optimization serving as the primary leverage point. Improvements in forage quality and ration balancing can redirect ruminal fermentation toward metabolic pathways associated with lower methane formation, while targeted feed additives further amplify mitigation potential. Methane inhibitors such as 3-nitrooxypropanol (3-NOP) suppress the terminal step of methanogenesis and have consistently demonstrated substantial emission reductions without adverse effects on animal performance. Similarly, certain macroalgae, notably *Asparagopsis* species, contain bioactive compounds that strongly inhibit methanogenic archaea, although limitations related to large-scale production and supply chains currently constrain widespread adoption. Plant-derived additives, including essential oils, tannins, and saponins, provide more moderate reductions but offer practical applicability, particularly within smallholder and low-input production systems.

Complementing dietary approaches, emerging strategies aim to redirect rumen microbial metabolism rather than eliminate microbial populations altogether. Probiotic interventions that promote propionate-producing microbial pathways can reduce hydrogen availability to methanogens, thereby indirectly lowering methane emissions, while immunological approaches such as vaccines targeting methanogenic archaea remain under investigation, with long-term efficacy and field-level feasibility yet to be conclusively demonstrated. Genetic improvement offers a further, durable mitigation pathway, as considerable inter-animal variability in methane emissions has been observed even under identical feeding conditions; selection for animals that emit less methane per unit of milk or meat can deliver cumulative and permanent reductions over successive generations without imposing recurring costs on farmers. In parallel, gains in productivity and herd management play a critical role in reducing emissions intensity, as improvements in reproductive efficiency, animal health, and nutritional management decrease the number of unproductive animals and enable higher outputs from smaller herds. The large-scale adoption of these mitigation strategies is ultimately contingent on supportive policy and institutional frameworks, including carbon credit mechanisms, green finance, and targeted incentive schemes that offset implementation costs, as well as advances in methane monitoring technologies—from on-animal sensors to remote and satellite-based systems—which are enhancing measurement accuracy, transparency, and confidence in climate performance claims across livestock production systems.

Table 1. Major Enteric Methane Mitigation Strategies and Their Potential

Strategy Category	Example Interventions	Typical Methane Reduction	Additional Benefits
Feed & Nutrition	3-NOP, seaweed, high-quality forage	15–40%	Improved feed efficiency
Microbial Tools	Probiotics, vaccines (experimental)	5–20%	Stable rumen function
Genetics	Low-methane breeding lines	10–15% per generation	Permanent, cumulative gains
Herd Management	Better fertility, health, productivity	10–30% (intensity)	Higher farm income
Policy & MRV	Incentives, carbon markets	Enables adoption	Financial sustainability

5. Enteric Methane Emissions in the Indian Livestock Sector

Indian dairy production is predominantly based on smallholder systems, in which households typically maintain two to four animals, and several structural and management characteristics of these systems exert a strong influence on enteric methane emissions. Feeding practices rely heavily on low-quality crop residues such as wheat straw, paddy straw, and maize stover, often compounded by pronounced seasonal feed shortages and nutritionally imbalanced rations. Although animals generally exhibit long productive lifespans, average milk yields remain low, and the large national buffalo population—whose methane emissions are comparable to or, in some cases, higher than those of cattle—further shapes the national emission profile. As a consequence, methane emissions expressed per animal are often moderate, yet methane intensity per unit of milk produced is relatively high compared with more intensive dairy systems. Within this production context, feed and nutritional interventions constitute the most impactful and immediately scalable mitigation pathway. Evidence from national initiatives such as the Ration Balancing Advisory programme demonstrates that balanced rations can simultaneously reduce enteric methane emissions by approximately 8–15%, increase milk yields by 10–20%, and lower feed costs for farmers. Replacing a portion of cereal straw with higher-quality feed resources, including green fodder, silage, legume hay, or urea-treated straw, improves feed digestibility and shifts ruminal fermentation toward pathways associated with lower methane production per unit of intake. These gains can be further strengthened through the strategic use of methane-reducing feed additives. The inhibitor 3-nitrooxypropanol has consistently achieved methane reductions of 20–30%, although its widespread adoption in India will depend on regulatory approval, affordability, and incorporation into compound feeds. In parallel, plant secondary metabolites, including tannins from tree leaves such as *Acacia* and *Leucaena*, essential oils, and spices, have shown promise under Indian feeding conditions, while low-level supplementation with vegetable oils or bypass fats can reduce methane emissions while enhancing dietary energy density. Such interventions are particularly compatible with stall-fed dairy systems prevalent in peri-urban regions.

Given the dominant contribution of buffalo to India's milk production and enteric methane emissions, buffalo-specific mitigation strategies represent a major opportunity. Improving energy supply during early lactation, reducing calving intervals through enhanced reproductive management and nutrition, and developing methane mitigation additives tailored to buffalo physiology are especially important, as much of the existing evidence base remains cattle-centric. Notably, improvements in buffalo productivity alone can lower methane intensity by 20–30%, even in the absence of novel additives. Complementing nutritional and management interventions, microbial and probiotic approaches are being actively explored by Indian research institutions, with a focus on indigenous microbial strains that enhance propionate production and redirect rumen hydrogen away from methanogenesis. Although the magnitude of emission reductions achieved through these approaches is generally modest, typically in the range of 5–15%, their low cost, adaptability to tropical feeding regimes, and cultural acceptability make them attractive components of integrated mitigation strategies. Genetic improvement offers a further, long-term mitigation pathway, particularly through the strategic utilization of India's indigenous cattle breeds such as Sahiwal, Gir, and Tharparkar, which are well adapted to heat stress, disease pressure, and low-input production environments. Emerging evidence of breed-level variation in methane yield supports the integration of methane-related traits into national breeding and genomic improvement programmes, while avoiding indiscriminate crossbreeding that increases feed demand without commensurate gains in productivity. Although genetic mitigation progresses slowly, its effects are permanent and cumulative. Across all intervention categories, improvements in productivity emerge as the most practical and system-wide mitigation strategy for Indian dairy systems. Reductions in age at first calving, shorter calving intervals, lower mortality and infertility rates, and improvements in animal health and housing collectively enable greater milk output from fewer animals. In practical terms, increasing average milk yields from approximately 5–6 L/day to 10–12 L/day can reduce methane intensity by 25–40%, even when absolute methane emissions per animal remain largely unchanged, thereby aligning climate mitigation with farm profitability and livelihood resilience in the Indian dairy sector.

Table 2: Enteric Methane Mitigation Options for Indian Livestock Systems

Strategy	Applicability in India	Methane Reduction	Co-Benefits
Ration balancing	Universal	8–15%	Higher milk yield
Improved fodder/silage	High	10–20%	Feed security
Plant-based additives	High	5–20%	Low cost
3-NOP (future)	Medium	20–30%	High efficiency
Productivity gains	Very high	20–40% (intensity)	Income growth
Genetic selection	Long-term	10–15% per generation	Sustainability

6. Policy and Institutional Support in India

Methane mitigation efforts in India can be most effectively advanced by embedding them within existing institutional, policy, and digital frameworks, including the National Livestock Mission, the Rashtriya Gokul Mission, India's Carbon Credit Trading Scheme, and established digital advisory platforms such as mKisan and e-Gopala. Integrating enteric methane reduction strategies into these programmes allows mitigation objectives to be aligned with ongoing livestock development and productivity goals, thereby reducing transaction costs and enhancing scalability. Moreover, the use of targeted economic incentives—such as carbon finance mechanisms, price premiums for low-emission milk, and cooperative-led innovation in feed formulation and delivery—can significantly accelerate farmer adoption of low-methane practices while safeguarding the economic viability of smallholder production systems.

7. Methane Mitigation as a Multi-Benefit Strategy

Reducing enteric methane emissions should not be viewed as a choice between climate action and livestock production, as growing evidence demonstrates that such interventions can deliver multiple, mutually reinforcing benefits. Methane mitigation offers rapid climate gains by slowing near-term warming, improves production efficiency by reducing the loss of dietary energy as gaseous emissions, and enhances farmer livelihoods through higher productivity and the potential creation of new income streams. Importantly, many of the scientific and technological tools required to achieve these outcomes are already available and have been shown to be technically viable. The remaining challenge lies primarily in scaling these solutions in an equitable and context-sensitive manner, particularly in developing countries where livestock systems support the livelihoods of millions of smallholder farmers. With an appropriate combination of continued research, enabling policy incentives, and effective extension and advisory services, enteric methane mitigation can be positioned as one of the most practical and impactful climate solutions within the agricultural sector.

8. Conclusion

In the Indian context, enteric methane mitigation should be framed not as a reduction in livestock numbers, but as a strategic pathway to improve production efficiency, system resilience, and farm-level profitability. By integrating improved feeding practices, targeted genetic improvement, and productivity-oriented herd management, India can achieve substantial reductions in methane emissions while simultaneously strengthening the performance and sustainability of its dairy sector. When explicitly aligned with farmer welfare and supported by appropriate economic incentives, methane mitigation has the potential to rank among India's most cost-effective climate actions, delivering tangible benefits to producers and consumers while contributing meaningfully to broader environmental and climate objectives.

References

Beauchemin, K. A., Ungerfeld, E. M., Abdalla, A. L., Alvarez, C., Arndt, C., Becquet, P., Benchaar, C., Berndt, A., Mauricio, R. M., McAllister, T. A., Oyhantçabal, W., Salami, S. A., Shalloo, L., Sun, Y., Tricarico, J., Uwizeye, A., De Camillis, C., Bernoux, M., Robinson, T., & Kebreab, E. (2022). Invited review: Current enteric methane mitigation options. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *105*(12), 9297–9326. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-22091

Hristov, A. N., Bannink, A., Battelli, M., Belanche, A., Sanz, M. C. C., Fernandez-Turren, G., Garcia, F., Jonker, A., Kenny, D. A., Lind, V., Meale, S. J., Zilio, D. M., Muñoz, C., Pacheco, D., Peiren, N., Ramin, M., Rapetti, L., Schwarm, A., Stergiadis, S., . . . Lund, P. (2024). Feed additives for methane mitigation: Recommendations for testing enteric methane-mitigating feed additives in ruminant studies. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *108*(1), 322–355. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2024-25050

Lileikis, T., Nainienė, R., Bliznikas, S., & Uchockis, V. (2023). Dietary Ruminant enteric methane Mitigation Strategies: Current findings, potential risks and applicability. *Animals*, *13*(16), 2586. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13162586

Pushpalatha, R., Roshni, T., Sruthy, S., & Upadhyay, G. (2025). Potential mitigation practices to reduce methane emissions from livestock in rural India and policy recommendations. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *197*(3), 289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-025-13706-2

* * * * * * * * *