Conservation Agriculture: Enhancing Soil Organic Carbon and Transforming Soil Health

Ravina Yadav[1], Shivam Yadav[2] and Geeta Devi[3]

[1]Research scholar, Department of Soil Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, Haryana

[2]Research scholar, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad-211007, U.P.

[3]Research scholar, Department of Entomology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, Haryana.

Corresponding Authors: ravinayadav413@gmail.com

Introduction

As the global population is projected to rise from 7.7 billion in 2019 to 9.7 billion by 2050, boosting food production especially in rapidly growing developing countries is critical. However, expanding agricultural land is limited and existing land faces challenges like degradation, water scarcity, and climate variability. Conservation agriculture (CA) is a promising approach to meet these demands sustainably. CA involves minimal or no tillage, maintaining permanent soil cover and diversifying crops including legumes. It also incorporates practices like integrated pest and nutrient management tailored to local conditions. CA offers benefits such as increased soil water storage, improved soil quality, reduced erosion and potentially higher yields and farm income. It also enhances resilience to extreme climate events, driven by increased soil organic matter that improves soil stability, fertility and biodiversity compared to conventional methods.

Effect of Conservation Agriculture on Soil Organic Carbon (SOC)

The equilibrium between the inputs of organic matter (biomass) and losses (erosion, decomposition, leaching) determines the levels of soil organic carbon (SOC). This equilibrium is impacted by conservation agriculture (CA), which modifies crop/nutrient practices, residue management, and tillage. When compared to traditional techniques, CA modifies these variables in ways that may have an impact on SOC levels.

Tillage and Residue Management

Tillage: Traditional tillage depletes SOC by exposing it to decomposition. Reducing or eliminating tillage as in no-till systems helps retain SOC by preserving soil structure and reducing decomposition. However, in some cases intensive tillage can increase SOC by burying residues in less aerated soil layers.

Residue Management: Retaining crop residues boosts SOC in conservation agriculture (CA). However, low residue production due to poor soil conditions can limit SOC gains or even decrease SOC. Effective nutrient management is crucial to enhance crop yields and SOC in CA systems.

Crop Rotation

Crop rotation impacts SOC by varying carbon inputs and soil health. Diverse rotations with high-residue crops generally boost SOC. Roots from different plants and legumes add nitrogen improving soil fertility and crop yields. Residue cover also helps reduce erosion and nutrient loss, supporting higher SOC.

Net Effects of CA on SOC

The impact of Conservation Agriculture (CA) on Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) varies widely due to factors like climate, soil type, baseline SOC, crop management, time since implementation, and sampling methods. SOC changes can range from a loss of −0.15 Mg/ha/year to a gain of +0.93 Mg/ha/year. Generally, in favorable conditions without yield reductions CA often increases SOC especially at the soil surface. However, most studies focus on no-till and residue retention with fewer examining the effects of diverse crops and practices like cover cropping. Incorporating all CA elements together usually leads to greater SOC improvements.

Impacts of Increased SOC on Soil Properties

Increased SOC from Conservation Agriculture (CA) generally enhances soil physical, chemical, and biological properties.

Physical Properties

Aggregate Stability: SOC improves soil structure and aggregate stability. Soils with more SOC tend to have better aggregate stability which reduces erosion and increases water infiltration. CA practices such as no-till, boost fungal populations and root networks, leading to a 31% increase in water-stable aggregates compared to conventional tillage. This results in improved water infiltration and better support for microbial activity.

Soil Water: Conservation Agriculture (CA) often improves soil water storage by enhancing aggregate stability and retaining crop residues. This leads to better water infiltration and reduced evaporation. However, if residue retention is inadequate CA may result in reduced infiltration compared to conventional tillage. In wetter regions, excess moisture under CA can cause waterlogging which can harm crop growth and yield.

Bulk Density: Bulk density, which indicates soil compaction, can vary under Conservation Agriculture (CA). On average, no-till with residue retention increases bulk density by 1.4%. This rise is often due to reduced soil disturbance and machinery use but does not always harm crop growth because stable macropores still support root development and water infiltration. Increased bulk density can limit production by restricting root growth and reducing soil aeration, while decreased bulk density is typically associated with higher organic residue and more soil fauna activity.

Chemical Properties

Soil pH: Increased SOC in CA systems often leads to higher acidity due to plant residues, organic acids, and root exudation. The pH change varies with soil type and management, from minor decreases in semi-arid regions to significant drops with legumes and fertilizers.

Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC): CEC which impacts soil fertility, can increase, decrease, or remain unchanged with CA. Higher CEC is generally associated with greater organic matter, while lower CEC can result from decreased pH.

Plant Nutrients: CA systems can boost nutrient levels (N, P, Ca, Mg, K, Mn, Zn) due to increased organic matter. However, nutrient availability might not always improve, especially for nitrogen which may need additional fertilizers. Nutrient stratification and potential losses from high bulk density and increased infiltration can also affect plant access to nutrients.

Soil Microbiology: Increased SOC in CA systems offers more food for soil microorganisms often resulting in a larger microbial biomass compared to conventional systems. Enhanced SOC and residue retention can improve microbial conditions by fostering better soil aggregation, moisture, and temperature, which in turn boosts microbial abundance.

Macrofauna: Conservation agriculture (CA) boosts microbial diversity and abundance especially fungi and bacteria enhancing soil health and crop growth. It also increases macrofauna populations such as earthworms, which improve soil structure and nutrient cycling. CA's reduced disturbance contrasts with conventional tillage which often harms these organisms.

Impact on Yield, Yield Stability, and Farm Profitability

Yield: CA practices can lead to both increases and decreases in crop yield. On average CA results in a 2.5% yield reduction globally. Partial CA implementations may cause even greater losses. However, CA can enhance yields in dry climates by improving soil water storage and reducing land preparation needs. Benefits also include better soil fertility and fewer pest issues while challenges such as waterlogging, delayed plant maturity, and inadequate management can reduce yields. Tailoring CA practices to local conditions can help mitigate these issues.

Yield Stability: CA can improve yield stability by managing climate variability and extreme weather. It offers advantages like erosion control and better water infiltration, which help during droughts and intense rain. While some studies show increased stability with CA, others find no difference compared to conventional methods.

Profitability: Conservation Agriculture (CA) can increase profitability by lowering input costs for fertilizers, herbicides and insecticides. Even with yield reductions, CA may be more profitable than conventional methods due to these savings. However, profitability can be limited by factors such as poor markets for alternative crops, lack of access to essential resources and higher initial costs for new equipment and inputs. In smallholder farms labor and opportunity costs can also impact profitability, especially if residues are needed for other uses or if hand weeding is required.

Future Needs: Conservation Agriculture (CA) has notable advantages, such as cost savings and improved soil health. However, it faces challenges that must be addressed for broader adoption. CA needs to be adapted to local conditions and supported by necessary tools and resources to be effective in different environments.

Agronomic Challenges: CA faces issues like weed, pest, and disease management without tillage, nutrient stratification and soil compaction. Solutions include developing suitable seeding equipment, optimizing crop rotations, using cover crops and occasional strategic tillage. Effective local adaptation and research are key.

Social Challenges: CA must navigate cultural resistance and social conflict, particularly regarding traditional tillage practices and residue use. Educating farmers and facilitating partnerships are essential for overcoming these barriers.

Economic Challenges: CA adoption requires proving its profitability. Issues include limited markets for diverse crops, input costs, and restrictive policies. Financial support for initial investments and access to resources can aid adoption, but ongoing support and overcoming institutional barriers are crucial.

Conclusion: Well-adapted Conservation Agriculture (CA) systems can enhance soil health and productivity compared to conventional methods. To increase global adoption, CA must be tailored to local conditions, considering factors like climate, soil type, and farmer resources. Effective CA systems address both technical and socio-economic factors. Although initial yield losses may occur, institutional support can help. Investing in CA offers long-term benefits by improving soil sustainability and agricultural productivity.

References

Blanco-Canqui H. and Ruis S. J. (2018). No-tillage and soil physical environment. Geoderma, 326, 164–200.

Blanco-Canqui H., Schlegel A. J. and Heer W. F. (2011). Soil-profile distribution of carbon and associated properties in no-till along a precipitation gradient in the central Great Plains. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 144, 107–116.

Chan K. Y., Roberts W. P. and Heenan D. P. (1992). Organic carbon and associated properties of a red earth after 10 years rotation under different stubble and tillage practices. Australian Journal of Soil Research. 30, 71–83.

Dalal R. C. (1989). Long-term effects of no-tillage, crop residue, and nitrogen applications on properties of a vertisol. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 53, 1511-1515.

Dang Y. P., Balzer A., Crawford M., Rincon-Florez V., Liu H., Melland A. R. (2018). Strategic tillage in conservation agricultural systems of northeastern Australia: why, where, when and how? Environment Science and Pollution Research. 25, 1000-1015.

Hansen N. C., Allen B. L., Baumhardt R. L. and Lyon D. J. (2012). Research achievements and adoption of no-till, dryland cropping in the semi-arid U.S. Great Plains. Field Crops Research. 132, 196–203.

Lal R. (2015a). Sequestering carbon and increasing productivity by conservation agriculture. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 70, 55A-62A.

Lal R. (2015b). A system approach to conservation agriculture. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 70-82A.

Li J., Huang L., Zhang J., Coulter J. A., Li L. and Gan Y. (2019). Diversifying crop rotation improves system robustness. Agriculture. Ecosystems and Environment. 39-38.

Verhulst N., Govaerts B., Verachtert E., Castellanos-Navarrete A., Mezzalama M., Wall P. (2010). Conservation agriculture, improving soil quality for sustainable production systems. Advances in Soil Science: Food Security and Soil Quality.13, 123-132.

* * * * * * * * *