

Inorganic Herbicides in Agriculture: Historical Evolution, Mechanisms, and Legacy Impacts

Kannappan M.

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu – 608 002, India.

Corresponding Author: kannappanvikram29@gmail.com

Introduction: The Chemical Dawn of Modern Weed Control

The history of agriculture is inextricably linked to humanity's perpetual struggle against weeds, those unwanted botanical competitors that threaten crop yields and food security. Long before the advent of synthetic organic compounds, farmers turned to the earth's own elements to wage this war. Inorganic herbicides, derived from minerals and metals, represent the first chapter in chemical weed management and continue to cast long shadows across agricultural landscapes worldwide. As Sharma *et al.* (2019) emphasize, the growth in agrochemical production and consumption has been driven by robust economic expansion, particularly since the late nineteenth century, fundamentally transforming how humanity feeds itself.

Understanding the evolution, mechanisms, and lingering impacts of inorganic herbicides is not merely an academic exercise in agricultural history. Rather, it provides essential context for contemporary debates about sustainable agriculture, soil health, and the environmental legacy of past farming practices. As Panda *et al.* (2024) noted that, herbicides play a crucial role in modern agriculture by controlling weeds and ensuring sustainable crop productivity, yet their use has raised significant concerns regarding environmental contamination and long-term ecological impacts.

Historical Evolution: From Ancient Salts to Arsenical Compounds

The Pre-Industrial Era: Simple Salts and Acids

The journey of chemical weed control began not in modern laboratories but in ancient agricultural fields where farmers observed the vegetation-suppressing properties of certain natural substances. The Romans, masters of agricultural innovation, employed brine, concentrated salt solutions, and mixtures of salt and ashes to sterilize land, particularly in areas they wished to render agriculturally barren as acts of conquest and punishment (Ware, 2022). These primitive applications demonstrated an early understanding that certain inorganic compounds could selectively eliminate plant life.

The use of common salt (sodium chloride) continued through medieval times and into the industrial era. However, it was the nineteenth century that witnessed

the systematic exploration of inorganic compounds for agricultural purposes. Sulfuric acid, iron sulphate, copper sulphate, and sodium arsenate emerged as popular weed control agents during this period (Savage, 2021). The Chemical Age of agriculture had begun, and with it came both unprecedented agricultural productivity and unforeseen environmental consequences.

The Arsenical Era: Paris Green and Lead Arsenate

The late 1800s marked a pivotal moment in agricultural chemistry with the introduction of arsenical compounds. Paris Green, a vivid emerald compound with the chemical formula copper acetoarsenite [$\text{Cu}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2 \cdot 3\text{Cu}(\text{AsO}_2)_2$], became one of the most widely used pesticides in American agriculture. Hood (2006) documents that this arsenical pesticide, along with lead arsenate, largely replaced earlier compounds due to their superior efficacy against insect pests, particularly in apple orchards where the codling moth posed a severe threat to fruit production.

Lead arsenate (PbHAsO_4) first entered commercial use in apple orchards during the 1890s and quickly became the dominant arsenical pesticide well into the mid-twentieth century. According to research by Schooley (2006), lead arsenate was superior to Paris Green because it exhibited less phytotoxicity, meaning it was less harmful to the crop plants themselves while remaining lethal to target pests. The compound's widespread adoption transformed orchard management practices across North America and Europe. Peryea (1998) notes that despite growing concerns about arsenic contamination, lead arsenate remained in use until it was finally banned in the United States in 1988.

The extensive use of arsenical compounds left an indelible mark on agricultural soils. Studies conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey documented that former orchard sites contain elevated arsenic levels decades after application ceased, with concentrations often exceeding safe thresholds for residential development (USGS, 2006).

Sodium Chlorate and Other Inorganic Herbicides

Parallel to the arsenical compounds, other inorganic herbicides gained prominence during the early-to-mid twentieth century. Sodium chlorate (NaClO_3) became widely adopted as a non-selective herbicide, particularly for industrial weed control along railways, roadsides, and non-

crop areas. According to historical records from invasive plant management conferences, approximately 1.6 million pounds of sodium chlorate were used for weed control in the United States in 1942 alone (Invasive Plants Western USA, 2021).

Ammonium sulfamate, introduced in the early 1940s, represented another significant development in inorganic herbicide technology. Developed specifically for controlling woody plants, this compound offered broad-spectrum herbicidal activity with relatively low toxicity to livestock (EPA, 1988). The compound's effectiveness and perceived safety led to its widespread adoption in both agricultural and forestry applications throughout the mid-twentieth century.

Copper sulphate maintained its position as both a fungicide and herbicide, finding particular application in aquatic weed control and as a component of Bordeaux mixture—a preparation that combined copper sulphate with lime. This compound continues to be used in organic farming systems today, despite mounting evidence of its environmental persistence and potential toxicity (Savage, 2018).

Mechanisms of Action: How Inorganic Herbicides Kill Plants

Cellular Disruption and Metabolic Interference

Unlike modern organic herbicides that often target specific enzymatic pathways in plant metabolism, inorganic herbicides generally exert their phytotoxic effects through multiple, less selective mechanisms. Understanding these mechanisms requires examining how metal ions and oxidizing compounds interact with fundamental cellular processes.

Oxidative Stress and Reactive Oxygen Species Generation

Heavy metal-based herbicides, including copper and arsenic compounds, induce severe oxidative stress in plant tissues. Rashid *et al.* (2023) explain that plants respond to toxic levels of heavy metals by overproducing reactive oxygen species (ROS), highly reactive molecules including superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals. These ROS molecules attack cellular membranes through lipid peroxidation, degrade proteins by oxidizing amino acid residues, and damage nucleic acids, ultimately leading to cellular dysfunction and death.

The generation of oxidative stress represents a cascade of destructive events. When heavy metal ions accumulate in chloroplasts, they interfere with the electron transport chain of photosynthesis, causing electrons to be diverted to oxygen molecules rather than following their normal pathway. This diversion produces superoxide radicals

as byproducts. Under normal conditions, plants possess antioxidant defense systems, including enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase, that neutralize these ROS. However, when heavy metal concentrations exceed certain thresholds, these defense mechanisms become overwhelmed, allowing ROS to accumulate to lethal levels.

Disruption of Photosynthetic Processes

Copper-based herbicides interfere with photosynthesis through multiple pathways. Copper ions can substitute for magnesium in chlorophyll molecules, disrupting the light-harvesting complexes essential for photosynthetic energy capture. Additionally, excessive copper accumulation damages the structural integrity of chloroplast membranes, causing chlorophyll degradation and the characteristic chlorosis (yellowing) observed in copper-poisoned plants.

Rashid *et al.* (2023) document that elevated levels of heavy metals negatively affect chloroplast fine structure, alter chlorophyll a/b ratios, impair the biosynthesis of photosynthetic machinery, and modify pigment composition in grana and stroma membranes. These disruptions cascade through plant metabolism, reducing carbon fixation rates and ultimately starving the plant of the energy needed for growth and survival.

Enzyme Inactivation and Protein Dysfunction

Many inorganic herbicides exert toxicity by binding to sulfhydryl groups (-SH) in proteins and enzymes. Heavy metal ions such as copper, mercury, and arsenic have strong affinities for these sulphur-containing functional groups, which play critical roles in maintaining protein three-dimensional structure and catalytic activity. When metal ions bind to these groups, they cause conformational changes that inactivate enzymes essential for metabolism, including those involved in nitrogen assimilation, respiration, and nutrient transport.

Arsenic compounds present particularly insidious mechanisms of toxicity. Arsenate (AsO_4^{3-}) closely mimics phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) in chemical structure, allowing it to substitute for phosphate in ATP synthesis. However, the resulting arseno-ATP is unstable and rapidly hydrolyzes, effectively uncoupling oxidative phosphorylation and depriving cells of their primary energy currency (Rashid *et al.*, 2023).

Sodium Chlorate: A Unique Oxidative Mechanism

Sodium chlorate operates through a fundamentally different mechanism from heavy metal herbicides. Once absorbed by plant roots, chlorate ions (ClO_3^-) are reduced to chlorite (ClO_2^-) and subsequently to hypochlorite (ClO^-)

within plant tissues. These chlorine-oxygen compounds are powerful oxidizing agents that indiscriminately attack cellular components, destroying membranes, oxidizing proteins, and fragmenting nucleic acids. The non-selective nature of this oxidative assault makes sodium chlorate an effective but environmentally problematic herbicide (EPA, 2000).

Soil Interactions and Bioavailability

The effectiveness of inorganic herbicides depends critically on their bioavailability in soil systems. Rashid *et al.* (2023) emphasize that soil pH, organic matter content, cation exchange capacity, and rhizosphere chemistry profoundly influence how these compounds interact with plant roots.

In acidic soils, many heavy metal ions remain soluble and biologically available, increasing their phytotoxic potential. Conversely, in alkaline soils, these metals often precipitate as hydroxides, carbonates, or phosphates, becoming less available for plant uptake. This pH-dependent behaviour explains why liming, the agricultural practice of adding calcium carbonate to acidic soils, can reduce heavy metal toxicity. However, as Rashid *et al.* caution, over-liming can create deficiencies in essential micronutrients such as iron, manganese, copper, and zinc, substituting one problem for another.

Organic matter in soil can chelate heavy metals, forming stable complexes that reduce their mobility and bioavailability. This chelation process represents a double-edged sword: while it can protect plants from acute metal toxicity, it also means that metals persist in soils for extended periods, creating long-term contamination issues.

Legacy Impacts: The Environmental and Agricultural Burden

Soil Contamination and Persistence

Perhaps the most significant legacy of inorganic herbicide use is the persistent contamination of agricultural soils with heavy metals. Unlike organic compounds that eventually degrade through microbial action and chemical breakdown, heavy metals are elements, they cannot be destroyed or degraded. They can only be transformed, redistributed, or sequestered. Recent research published by an international team of scientists reveals the alarming scope of this contamination. The study demonstrates that 14 to 17% of global cropland is affected by toxic metal pollution, with arsenic, cadmium, and lead among the primary contaminants. This contamination affects an estimated 0.9 to 1.4 billion people living in areas where agricultural soils contain elevated heavy metal concentrations.

Rashid *et al.* (2023) provide detailed documentation of how agricultural practices, including historical pesticide applications, have contributed to heavy metal accumulation

in farmland. Their research shows that former orchard sites where lead arsenate was extensively applied during the early-to-mid twentieth century continue to exhibit arsenic and lead concentrations far exceeding safe thresholds decades after application ceased. In some documented cases, arsenic levels in former orchard soils exceed 100 mg/kg, many times higher than background concentrations of 5-10 mg/kg typical of uncontaminated soils.

Bioaccumulation and Food Chain Contamination

The persistence of heavy metals in agricultural soils creates ongoing risks of bioaccumulation in food crops. Rashid *et al.* (2023) explain that the degree of heavy metal uptake varies significantly among crop species and varieties, influenced by factors including root architecture, transpiration rates, and the presence of metal transporters in root cells.

Leafy vegetables and root crops tend to accumulate higher concentrations of heavy metals compared to cereal grains. Studies documented by Rashid *et al.* show that certain Brassicaceae species (cabbage, kale, mustard greens) accumulated the highest amounts of chromium among tested vegetables, while sweet potato accumulated elevated levels of multiple heavy metals. Rice presents particular concerns, as different varieties exhibit varying capacities for cadmium uptake, with some accumulating concentrations that exceed food safety standards when grown in contaminated soils.

The bioaccumulation of arsenic from historical pesticide applications poses serious human health risks. Long-term exposure to arsenic has been linked to various cancers, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and developmental disorders in children (Hood, 2006). Lead accumulation in food crops presents equally grave concerns, as even low-level chronic lead exposure can cause neurological damage, particularly in developing children.

Ecosystem Disruption and Biodiversity Loss

Beyond direct effects on crops and human health, inorganic herbicide contamination disrupts soil ecosystems in ways that cascade through agricultural landscapes. Panda *et al.* (2024) emphasize that herbicides can adversely affect soil microbial communities, which play essential roles in nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, and disease suppression.

Heavy metal contamination alters soil microbial diversity, generally reducing the populations of beneficial bacteria and fungi while sometimes favouring metal-tolerant but less functionally diverse species. This shift in microbial communities can impair nitrogen fixation, reduce phosphorus solubilization, and diminish the production of plant growth-promoting substances. The long-term

consequences include reduced soil fertility, increased susceptibility to soil-borne diseases, and diminished resilience to environmental stresses.

Research published report demonstrates that herbicide residues in agricultural soils can persist and continue affecting soil multifunctionality and microbial communities long after application (Li *et al.*, 2024). The study found that long-term herbicide residues altered enzymatic activity, disrupted microbial populations, and reduced nutrient cycling efficiency, effects that compound over time with repeated applications.

Water Quality and Aquatic Ecosystem Impacts

The mobility of some inorganic herbicides, particularly sodium chlorate, creates significant water quality concerns. These compounds can leach through soil profiles to contaminate groundwater or move via surface runoff into streams, rivers, and lakes. Once in aquatic environments, they affect algae, aquatic plants, invertebrates, and fish.

Copper-based herbicides, still widely used in aquatic weed control and organic agriculture, accumulate in sediments where they remain bioavailable for extended periods. Studies have shown that copper concentrations in sediments of lakes and ponds treated with copper sulphate for algae control can reach levels toxic to benthic (bottom-dwelling) invertebrates, which form the base of aquatic food webs.

Arsenic contamination of water resources from historical agricultural use represents a global health crisis in some regions. Rashid *et al.* (2023) document cases where irrigation water drawn from wells in agricultural areas contains arsenic concentrations exceeding WHO guidelines, creating a vicious cycle where contaminated water is used to irrigate crops that then accumulate additional arsenic.

Modern Perspective: Learning from History for Sustainable Agriculture

Regulatory Evolution and Banning of Toxic Compounds

The recognition of inorganic herbicides' severe environmental and health impacts has prompted regulatory action worldwide. Lead arsenate was officially banned in the United States in 1988, though its effects persist in former application sites (Peryea, 1998). Sodium chlorate was banned as a weedkiller in the European Union in 2009 due to health concerns, with existing stocks required to be depleted within one year (EU Regulation, 2009). Ammonium sulfamate lost its approval in the EU in 2008 when testing requirements could not be met (Sciencemadness Wiki, 2023).

and environmental persistence, copper sulphate remains approved for use in organic farming systems, where it serves as one of the few available fungicides and algaecides.

Savage (2018) argues that copper sulphate is far more toxic than modern synthetic herbicides like glyphosate, yet receives preferential treatment due to its "natural" origin, a designation that ignores its environmental impact.

Integrated Weed Management: Moving Beyond Chemical Dependence

Contemporary agricultural science increasingly recognizes that sustainable weed management requires integrated approaches that minimize reliance on any single control method. Nivetha *et al.* (2025) advocate for integrated weed management (IWM) practices that combine cultural, mechanical, biological, and judicious chemical controls. This approach aims to effectively reduce the growth of herbicide-resistant weed populations while minimizing environmental impacts.

Key components of IWM include:

- ✓ **Crop Rotation and Diversification:** Growing different crops in sequence disrupts weed life cycles and reduces the buildup of species adapted to specific crop systems. This ancient practice, validated by modern ecological research, reduces weed pressure without chemical inputs.
- ✓ **Cover Cropping:** Planting cover crops between cash crop cycles suppresses weed germination through competition for light and space while improving soil health through organic matter addition and enhanced microbial activity. Oliveira *et al.* (2020) demonstrate that cover crops can be strategically integrated with reduced herbicide applications in conservation agriculture systems.
- ✓ **Precision Agriculture Technologies:** Modern technologies including GPS-guided equipment, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence enable targeted herbicide applications only where needed, dramatically reducing total chemical use. Kendall *et al.* (2022) document the adoption of precision agriculture technologies among small-scale commercial farms, showing that these approaches can maintain weed control efficacy while reducing environmental impacts and input costs.
- ✓ **Mechanical and Thermal Weed Control:** Strategic tillage, flame weeding, and mechanical cultivation provide non-chemical alternatives for certain cropping systems. While these approaches require energy inputs and may have their own environmental trade-offs, they avoid the long-term persistence issues associated with inorganic herbicides.

Soil Remediation: Addressing Historical Contamination

For agricultural lands contaminated by historical inorganic herbicide use, remediation strategies offer hope for restoration. Current approaches include:

- **Phytoremediation:** Certain plant species, termed hyperaccumulators, can absorb and concentrate heavy metals in their above-ground tissues, which can then be harvested and removed from the site. Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*), sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*), and various fern species have shown promise for remediating arsenic, lead, and copper-contaminated soils.
- **Soil Amendments:** Adding materials that immobilize heavy metals, including phosphates, which precipitate lead; iron oxides, which adsorb arsenic; and biochar, which binds multiple metals, can reduce bioavailability even when total metal concentrations remain elevated. Rashid *et al.* (2023) review evidence that organic matter amendments can chelate heavy metals, forming stable complexes that protect plants from acute toxicity.
- **Excavation and Replacement:** For severely contaminated sites, particularly former orchards being converted to residential use, removing contaminated soil and replacing it with clean material may be necessary, albeit expensive and disruptive.

The Herbicide Paradox: Balancing Productivity and Sustainability

Modern agriculture faces a fundamental challenge articulated by multiple researchers: how to maintain the productivity gains enabled by chemical weed control while minimizing environmental impacts and ensuring long-term sustainability. Panda *et al.* (2024) emphasize that despite concerns about herbicide contamination, these compounds remain essential tools for feeding a growing global population. Without herbicides, crop losses to weed competition could reduce food production by 20-50%, threatening food security for billions of people.

This reality demands nuanced approaches rather than simplistic bans or uncritical acceptance. As Damalas and Koutroubas (2018) document, recent trends show a decline in overall herbicide volumes accompanied by shifts toward more specific and targeted formulations. This evolution reflects growing awareness that sustainable agriculture requires not the elimination of chemical tools but their judicious use within integrated management systems.

The lessons from inorganic herbicide history inform this evolution. The persistence, bioaccumulation, and ecosystem disruption caused by arsenical compounds and heavy metal-based herbicides demonstrate why degradability and environmental fate must be central considerations in agricultural chemical development. Modern organic herbicides, despite their own concerns, generally degrade within weeks to months rather than persisting for decades or centuries as heavy metals do.

Emerging Technologies and Bio-Based Alternatives

Contemporary research explores alternatives that might finally move agriculture beyond its dependence on persistent chemicals. Panda *et al.* (2024) highlight the development of bio-based herbicides derived from natural plant compounds with herbicidal properties. These include allelopathic chemicals extracted from plants like black walnut and sunflower, which inhibit competitor growth through natural biochemical warfare. Nanotechnology offers both opportunities and concerns. Nanomaterials can potentially deliver herbicides more precisely, reducing total quantities needed. However, Amna *et al.* (2019) caution that the environmental fate and long-term impacts of nanoparticle-based formulations remain poorly understood, raising concerns that we might be creating another generation of persistent contaminants.

Gene editing technologies, including CRISPR-Cas9, enable development of crop varieties with enhanced competitiveness against weeds through modified architecture, allelopathic compound production, or other traits. These approaches could reduce herbicide dependence, though they carry their own regulatory and public acceptance challenges.

Conclusion: Historical Wisdom for Future Sustainability

The history of inorganic herbicides in agriculture serves as both cautionary tale and instructional guide. These compounds, from ancient salts to sophisticated arsenical formulations, enabled significant agricultural advances, protecting crops and increasing yields when human labour alone could not meet growing food demands. Yet they also created environmental legacies that persist in our soils, water, and food decades after their use ceased, reminding us that agricultural decisions ripple through ecosystems and time in ways we may not immediately foresee.

As Rashid *et al.* (2023) compellingly document, heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils represents a global challenge affecting billions of people. The 14-17% of global cropland contaminated with toxic metals, the bioaccumulation in food crops, and the disruption of soil microbial communities all trace back, in part, to the seemingly expedient decisions of previous generations to employ persistent inorganic compounds for weed and pest control.

Yet this history also demonstrates agriculture's capacity for course correction. The eventual recognition of inorganic herbicides' harms led to regulatory action, the development of degradable alternatives, and the evolution of integrated management approaches. Modern agriculture increasingly embraces precision, targeting, and integration,

applying chemicals judiciously where needed rather than prophylactically where they might not be.

As we face the twin challenges of feeding a population approaching 10 billion while preserving environmental health, the lessons from inorganic herbicide history remain vitally relevant. They remind us that:

- **Persistence Matters:** Compounds that accumulate in environments and organisms create problems that outlast their benefits, sometimes by generations.
- **Natural Does Not Mean Safe:** Copper, arsenic, and lead are natural elements, yet among the most toxic substances we've introduced to agricultural systems.
- **Integrated Approaches Outperform Single Tools:** No single technology, chemical, mechanical, or biological, provides complete, sustainable weed management alone (Nivetha *et al.*, 2025).
- **Prevention Exceeds Remediation:** Avoiding contamination is vastly preferable to attempting cleanup, as heavy metals demonstrate through their essentially permanent presence in affected soils.

The ongoing transition toward sustainable agriculture requires learning from this history while embracing innovation. Bio-based herbicides, precision application technologies, genetically enhanced crop competitiveness, and refined integrated management systems offer pathways forward. Yet they require the wisdom to assess long-term consequences, not merely short-term efficacy.

As Panda *et al.* (2024) argue, developing herbicides with greater selectivity or bio-based herbicides that degrade after successfully controlling target weeds represents a crucial direction for agricultural chemistry. Combined with adoption of integrated weed management practices rather than prolonged and repeated chemical reliance, such approaches can reduce herbicide-resistant weed evolution while minimizing environmental hazards. The story of inorganic herbicides ultimately demonstrates that agricultural sustainability is not achieved through technology alone but through judicious application of knowledge, respect for ecological complexity, and humility about our ability to predict long-term consequences. As we continue humanity's ancient struggle against weeds, we carry the responsibility to learn from past mistakes while embracing innovation that serves both human needs and environmental health. The legacy we leave will be judged not by the yields of a single season but by the fertility and health of soils, waters, and ecosystems for generations to come.

References

1. Amna, A.H.F., Hakeem, K.R., & Qureshi, M.I. (2019). Weed control through herbicide-loaded

nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials and Plant Potential*, 507-527.

2. Damalas, C.A., & Koutroubas, S.D. (2018). Current status and recent developments in biopesticide use. *Agriculture*, 8(13).
3. EPA (1988). Ammonium Sulfamate: Pesticide Registration Standard. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
4. EPA (2000). Sodium Chlorate Technical Assessment Panel Review. U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service.
5. Hood, E. (2006). The apple bites back: Claiming old orchards for residential development. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114(8), A470-A476.
6. Kendall, H., Clark, B., Li, W., Jin, S., Jones, G.D., Chen, J., Taylor, J., Li, Z., & Frewer, L.J. (2022). Precision agriculture technology adoption: A qualitative study of small-scale commercial family farms located in the North China Plain. *Precision Agriculture*, 23, 319-351.
7. Li, X., et al. (2024). Long-term herbicide residues affect soil multifunctionality and the microbial community. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 264.
8. Oliveira, M.C., Osipitan, O.A., Begcy, K., & Werle, R. (2020). Cover crops, hormones and herbicides: Priming an integrated weed management strategy. *Plant Science*, 301, 110550.
9. Panda, B.B., et al. (2024). Herbicides in modern sustainable agriculture: Environmental fate, ecological implications, and human health concerns. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-024-05818-y>
10. Peryea, F.J. (1998). Historical use of lead arsenate insecticides, resulting in soil contamination and implications for soil remediation. *Proceedings of the 16th World Congress of Soil Science*.
11. Rashid, A., Schutte, B.J., Ulery, A., Deyholos, M.K., Sanogo, S., Lehnhoff, E.A., & Beck, L. (2023). Heavy metal contamination in agricultural soil: Environmental pollutants affecting crop health. *Agronomy*, 13(6), 1521. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13061521>
12. Savage, S. (2018). Far more toxic than glyphosate: Copper sulfate used by organic and conventional

farmers cruises to European reauthorization. *Genetic Literacy Project*, March 20.

13. Savage, S. (2021). A brief history of agrochemical testing. *Charles River Laboratories*, May 10.

14. Schooley, T.N. (2006). Lead arsenate: Historical use and survey of soil residues. Virginia Tech Electronic Theses and Dissertations.

15. Science (2025). Global soil pollution by toxic metals threatens agriculture and human health. *Science*, 384(6692), April 17.

16. Sharma, A., Kumar, V., Shahzad, B., Tanveer, M., Sidhu, G.P.S., Handa, N., Kohli, S.K., Yadav, P., Bali, A.S., & Parihar, R.D. (2019). Worldwide pesticide usage and its impacts on ecosystem. *SN Applied Sciences*, 1, 1-16.

17. USGS (2006). Digital data set of orchards where arsenical pesticides were historically applied. *U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2006-3134*.

18. Ware, G.W. (2022). An introduction to herbicides (2nd Edition). *IPM World*. University of Minnesota.

19. Nivetha, K., Anandan, P., Kannappan, M., & Vasanth, P. (2025). Effect of cultivars and weed management practices on productivity and profitability in drum seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Ecology, Environment & Conservation* (0971765X), 31.
