The Future of Pesticides: Moving Towards Biopesticides

D. K. Narwade*, S. S. Patil, A. P. Tupe, P. R. More and M. Pushpapalatha
Department of Entomology, Post Graduate Institute Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India
*Corresponding Author: durgeshnarwade48408@gmail.com

Introduction

Traditionally, farmers have relied on chemical pesticides to protect their crops from insects and diseases. Although these chemicals have been effective in increasing crop yields and ensuring a stable food supply, they come with several drawbacks. Issues such as chemical residues in food, pollution of water bodies, harm to beneficial insects like bees, and the development of resistant pests have raised concerns. As people become more aware of these problems, there's a growing interest in finding safer and more sustainable alternatives. This is where biopesticides come in—natural pesticides derived from living organisms such as plants, bacteria, fungi, and even minerals.

What Are Biopesticides?

Biopesticides are substances derived from natural sources used to manage pests through non-toxic methods. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), biopesticides are categorized into three main types:

1. **Microbial Pesticides**: These contain microorganisms like bacteria, fungi, or viruses that specifically target pests. For example, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) is a bacterium that produces toxins harmful to certain insect larvae but is safe for humans and other animals (Glare & O'Callaghan, 2000).
2. **Biochemical Pesticides**: These are natural substances that control pests in non-toxic ways. Examples include pheromones that disrupt insect mating or plant oils like neem oil that repel insects and stop their growth (Isman, 2006).
3. **Plant-Incorporated Protectants (PIPs)**: These are pesticidal substances produced by plants that have been genetically modified. For example, certain crops have been engineered to produce Bt toxins, which protect them from specific pests (Shelton *et al.*, 2002).

How Effective Are Biopesticides Compared to Chemical Pesticides?

A common question about biopesticides is whether they work as well as traditional chemical pesticides. While biopesticides often act more slowly, they have several benefits:

- **Specificity**: Biopesticides usually target specific pests, which means they are less likely to harm beneficial insects, animals, or humans. For example, Bt only affects certain insect larvae, leaving pollinators like bees unharmed (Sanahuja *et al.*, 2011).
- **Resistance**: Pests are less likely to develop resistance to biopesticides because these products are more specific. In contrast, chemical pesticides often affect a wide range of pests, increasing the chances of resistance. Research shows that pests develop resistance to Bt crops more slowly than to chemical insecticides (Storer *et al.*, 2010).
- **Environmental Impact**: Biopesticides break down quickly in the environment, reducing the risk of pollution and long-term ecological damage. They don't build up in the food chain, lowering the risk to non-target species and humans (Copping & Menn, 2000).

Safety and Health Benefits

Biopesticides are considered safer for humans, animals, and the environment compared to chemical pesticides. They typically pose fewer health risks and have a lower toxicity profile. For instance, the rapid breakdown of biopesticides means less exposure for humans. Regulatory agencies like the U.S. EPA thoroughly test biopesticides to ensure they are safe for human health and the environment before approving their use (Marrone, 2007).

How Biopesticides Are Used in Farming

Biopesticides can be applied in various ways, including as sprays, seed treatments, or soil treatments. They are also a key part of Integrated Pest Management (IPM), which combines biological, cultural, physical, and chemical methods to control pests effectively. IPM aims to minimize damage from pests while reducing the reliance on chemical pesticides.

For example, *Trichoderma* fungi are used to protect crops like tomatoes from soil-borne diseases. These fungi create a protective barrier around plant roots, shielding them from harmful pathogens and promoting growth (Harman, 2000). Neem oil, extracted from the seeds of the neem tree, is widely used to repel pests like aphids and spider mites due to

its natural compounds that interfere with insect feeding and reproduction (Schmutterer, 1990).

Comparing Biopesticides and Chemical Pesticides

To understand the role of biopesticides better, it's helpful to compare them directly with chemical pesticides:

Criteria	Biopesticides	Chemical Pesticides
Effectiveness	Specific to target pests, slower acting	Broad-spectrum, quick action
Resistance	Less likely to develop resistance	More likely to develop resistance
Environmental Impact	Break down quickly, less pollution	Persistent, can cause pollution
Safety	Generally safe for humans and non-target species	Higher health risks and toxicity
Cost	Initially higher, but cost-effective with IPM use	Typically lower initial cost

While biopesticides may not work as quickly as chemical pesticides, their specific targeting and reduced risk of resistance make them an appealing, sustainable option. Although they can be more expensive initially, the long-term benefits, such as reduced environmental cleanup costs and lower health risks, often outweigh these costs (Koul, 2011).

Challenges in the Use of Biopesticides

Despite their benefits, there are some challenges associated with biopesticides:

1. **Consistency**: The effectiveness of biopesticides can be influenced by environmental conditions like temperature and humidity, which can lead to inconsistent results. Research is ongoing to develop formulations that enhance stability and efficacy (Chandler *et al.*, 2011).
2. **Cost and Accessibility**: Biopesticides can be more costly to produce than chemical pesticides, making them less attractive to some farmers. Advances in biotechnology and production methods are needed to bring costs down (Marrone, 2019).
3. **Regulation**: The regulatory process for biopesticides can be complex and time-consuming. Streamlining these processes while maintaining safety standards could help encourage innovation and faster market entry (Bailey *et al.*, 2010).
4. **Awareness and Education**: Many farmers are not familiar with biopesticides or how to use them effectively. Efforts to educate farmers through extension services, training, and public awareness campaigns are essential for promoting biopesticides (Pretty *et al.*, 2018).

Moving Forward: Integrating Biopesticides into Modern Farming

To fully realize the potential of biopesticides, a comprehensive approach is required:

1. **Research and Development**: More investment in research is needed to improve the effectiveness, stability, and cost-efficiency of biopesticides. This includes creating new strains of microbial pesticides and better formulations (Glare *et al.*, 2012).
2. **Public-Private Partnerships**: Collaboration between governments, research organizations, and private companies can drive the development and commercialization of biopesticides. These partnerships can also support regulatory reforms and facilitate market access (Koul & Dhaliwal, 2002).
3. **Education and Training**: Strengthening extension services to educate farmers about biopesticides and their application is crucial. Demonstration farms and pilot projects can showcase the practical benefits of biopesticides (Pretty *et al.*, 2018).
4. **Promotion of IPM**: Encouraging the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies that combine biopesticides with other methods can enhance pest control effectiveness and reduce reliance on chemical pesticides (Ehler, 2006).

Conclusion: Biopesticides as a Sustainable Solution

The shift from chemical pesticides to biopesticides marks a positive step towards sustainable agriculture. By using natural methods to control pests, biopesticides offer a safer, environmentally friendly alternative that meets the growing demand for sustainable farming practices. Although challenges remain, ongoing research, innovation, and education will be key to expanding the use of biopesticides, ensuring healthier crops, ecosystems, and communities.

References

Aktar W., Sengupta D., and Chowdhury A. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. Interdisciplinary Toxicology, 2(1), 1-12.

Bailey A. M., and Chandler D. (2010). Regulation of biopesticides: challenges and progress. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 20(6), 619-622.

Chandler D., Bailey A. S., Tatchell G. M., Davidson G., Greaves J., and Grant W. P. (2011). The development, regulation, and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 366(1573), 1987-1998.

Copping L. G., and Menn J. J. (2000). Biopesticides: a review of their action, applications, and efficacy. Pesticide Science, 56(8), 651-676.

Ehler L. E. (2006). Integrated pest management (IPM): definition, historical development and implementation, and the other IPM. Pest Management Science, 62(9), 787-789.

Glare T. R., and O'Callaghan M. (2000). Bacillus thuringiensis: biology, ecology, and safety. John Wiley & Sons.

Harman G. E. (2000). Myths and dogmas of biocontrol. Changes in perceptions derived from research on Trichoderma harzianum T-22. Plant Disease, 84(4), 377-393.

Isman M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. Annual Review of Entomology, 51, 45-66.

Koul O., and Dhaliwal G. S. (2002). Microbial biopesticides: opportunities and challenges. CABI Publishing.

Marrone P. G. (2007). Microbial pesticides and natural products as alternatives to synthetic chemicals in agriculture. Advances in Applied Microbiology, 62, 101-125.

Pretty J., Benton T. G., Bharucha Z. P., Dicks L. V., Flora C. B., Godfray H. C. J., et al. (2018). Global assessment of agricultural system redesign for sustainable intensification. Nature Sustainability, 1(8), 441-446.

Sanahuja G., Banakar R., Twyman R. M., Capell T., and Christou P. (2011). Bacillus thuringiensis: a century of research, development and commercial applications. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 9(3), 283-300.

Shelton A. M., Zhao J. Z., and Roush R. T. (2002). Economic, ecological, food safety, and social consequences of the deployment of Bt transgenic plants. Annual Review of Entomology, 47, 845-881.

Storer N. P., Babcock J. M., and Edwards J. M. (2010). Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm. PLoS One, 5(7), e11678.

* * * * * * * *