

(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

Domestic Violence And Alcohol Dependence: Cross Sectional Study In A Tertiary Care Setting

Gayathri Vijayalakshmi Assistant Professor, Dept of Psychiatry, Karuna Medical College, Palakkad, Kerala, India gayathriv3180@yahoo.co.in

Abstract-

Background: Domestic violence is an all-pervasive, serious social malady with major public health implications. Alcohol use is among the most controversial and widely debated component in conceptual and predictive model of domestic violence. Objectives: a) Variation of Domestic violence (Intimate partner violence) by background characteristics, b) Prevalence of domestic violence among spouses of inpatients at deaddiction centre, c) To find characteristics, dimensions, severity of domestic violence experienced by the partners & d) To assess psychological status of both perpetrators and victims.

Methods: Consecutive (n=100) alcohol dependent patients admitted at a Tertiary care Centre of and their spouses were recruited. These men were assessed for severity of alcohol dependence, comorbidities and psychopathology. Spouses assessed for type, severity of domestic violence and its consequences.

Results: Co-morbid psychiatric disorders found in sixty two percent. 68% had medical co-morbidities. Spouses who were physically abused (67%) also experienced other forms of abuse at similar rates viz., Emotional abuse (63%), Economic abuse (52%), and Sexual abuse (65%). Among women reporting domestic violence 11% had attempted suicide. The majority of those reporting domestic violence exceeded cut-off scores for a depressive disorder. Severity of violence correlated positively with Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (psychopathology) scores.

Conclusions: The findings highlight the importance of screening alcohol dependent patients for psychopathology, spouse for domestic violence and its sequelae, in mental health settings.

Keywords: Intimate Partner Violence, Alcohol Dependence/co-morbidities, Depression, South India

I INTRODUCTION

Domestic violence represents a social problem of enormous magnitude in the world. However, many acts of domestic violence are never reported or come to the awareness of law enforcement agencies, shelters, hospitals, or social service organizations. The widespread nature of this social problem suggests that when society learns about acts of domestic violence through any

means effective interventions should be employed to reduce further victimization. Many factors have been linked to domestic violence, although the causal role of many of these factors remains unclear. These include gender; alcohol and substance abuse; race/ethnicity; mental disorders and personality traits; family contexts, exposure to domestic violence; cultural; social contexts and socio-economic status.

"Domestic violence", "Intimate partner violence", "Wife abuse", and "Family violence" are all terms that have been used to refer to the occurrence of violence within adult relationships. Domestic violence is any act of physical, sexual or psychological abuse or the threat of such abuse inflicted against a person by another individual intimately connected to her by marriage, family relation or acquaintainship.

Three types of Domestic violence (DV) have been identified. They appear to be conceptually and etiologically distinct. The first. *Intimate* Terrorism, is distinguished by severe male-tofemale physical aggression (e.g., punching, threatening with weapons), with less severe femaleto-male violence occurring during these episodes as a manner of self-defense. The second type, Violent **Resistance**, is characterized by violence that occurs in response to a partner's violent and controlling behavior. In these cases, the resistor is violent, but not controlling. The last type, Situational Couple Violence, is characterized by bidirectional partner aggression which is mild to moderate in severity, and typically occurs as a reaction as a conflict escalates. The Situational Couple Violence is akin to violence reported in the general population surveys, whereas Intimate Terrorism more closely resembles the violence typically found in clinical samples.² Domestic violence has rather belatedly, now been recognized as a major public health issue, with implication both for health service providers and for the individual.

The Law:

In 2005, the Government of India passed a new legislation on Domestic Violence called **(PWDA)** 'The Protection of Women from

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

Domestic Violence Act'-2005. ³ It is a civil law aimed at providing relief to millions of women including wives, mothers, daughters & sisters, affected by violence in their homes. Domestic Violence Act 2005 is the first significant attempt in India to recognise domestic abuse as a punishable offence, to extend its provisions to those in live-in relationships, and to provide for emergency relief for the victims, in addition to legal recourse. ³

Primary Beneficiaries of This Act

Women and children. Section 2(a) of the Act will help any woman who is or has been in a domestic relationship with the 'respondent' in the case. It empowers women to file a case against a person with whom she is having a 'domestic relationship' in a 'shared household', and who has subjected her to 'domestic violence'.

Children are also covered by the Act;

Section 2 (q) states that any adult male member who has been in a domestic relationship with the aggrieved person is the 'respondent'. The respondent can also be a relative of the husband or male partner .Thus, a father-in-law, mother-in-law, or even siblings of the husband and other relatives can be proceeded against. ³

<u>Definition of Domestic violence</u>[The Protection Of Women From Domestic Violence Act, 2005] any act, omission or commission or conduct of the respondent shall constitute domestic violence in case it -

- a) harms or injures or endangers the health, safety, life, limb or well-being, whether mental or physical, of the aggrieved person or tends to do so and includes causing physical abuse, sexual abuse, verbal and emotional abuse and economic abuse; or
- b) harasses, harms, injures or endangers the aggrieved person with a view to coerce her or any other person related to her to meet any unlawful demand for any dowry or other property or valuable security; or
- c) has the effect of threatening the aggrieved person or any person related to her by any conduct mentioned in clause (a) or clause (b); or(d) otherwise injures or causes harm, whether physical or mental, to the aggrieved person.

Types:

- 1. Physical abuse bodily pain
- 2. Sexual abuse- sexual assault
- 3. Verbal abuse- Insults, ridicule, humiliation
- 4. Economic abuse- Deprivation of all or

any economic or financial resources, Disposal of household effects, Prohibition or restriction to continued access to resources or facilities.

5. Emotional abuse- verbal aggression, dominant and jealous behaviors

Sections 18-23 provide a large number of options for legal redressal. She can claim through the courts Protection Orders, Residence Orders, Monetary Relief, Custody Order for her children, Compensation Order and Interim/ Ex parte Orders.

If a husband violates any of the above rights of the aggrieved woman, it will be deemed a punishable offence. Charges under **Section 498A** can be framed by the magistrate, in addition to the charges under this Act. Further, the offences are cognisable and non-bailable. Punishment for violation of the rights enumerated above could extend to one year's imprisonment and/or a maximum fine of Rs 20,000. ³

Moreover women have the right for free legal services under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987.⁴

Reason for undertaking the study

Domestic violence is prevalent, serious, preventable issue that occurs on a continuum of economic, psychological and emotional abuse. Women are particularly vulnerable to abuse by their partners in societies where there are marked inequalities between men and women, rigid gender roles.⁵ Indeed it is responsible for more preventable ill-health and premature death in women under the age of 45 than any other of the well-known risk factors, like high blood pressure, obesity and smoking. ⁵

Domestic violence has been identified as a significant health problem requiring urgent attention by a number of bodies at the international, national and local levels, including: the World Health Organization, in its landmark World Report Violence and Health. ⁵Of the various components that have been identified in conceptual and predictive models of Intimate Partner Violence, alcohol use is among the most controversial and widely debated. Those who engage in Domestic Violence often drink and that intoxication often accompanies violence. There is considerable debate as to whether or not alcohol use simply co-varies with Domestic Violence, or is simply an "excuse" for aggression. This debate has important treatment implications, if intoxication is causally linked to Domestic Violence, then interventions that are successful in reducing drinking could reduce the occurrence of partner violence.

Hence an attempt was made to find the relationship of alcohol use and domestic violence.

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

EPIDEMIOLOGY

A multinational study estimated that 15-71% of women had been physically or sexually assaulted at some time by a partner. Domestic Violence is a major public health problem with a prevalence similar to chronic diseases such as diabetes and asthma. Population-based studies conducted in 48 countries have revealed that 10% to 69% of women report having been physically assaulted by an intimate partner during their lifetime. Although the results of family conflict studies or crime studies showed equal rates of assault by men and women, the injury rate for assaults by men is about seven times the injury rate for assaults by women.

National surveys include the National Family Violence Survey (NFVS), National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), and National Victimization Against Women Survey (NVAW) Survey. Methodological differences among these studies limit our ability to draw appropriate comparisons across these surveys. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶

According to findings from the National Victimization Against Women Survey (NVAWS), over three-fourths of women who have been victimized — either raped and/or physically assaulted — since age 18 were victimized by a current or former intimate partner. ^{17, 18} In fact, the number of female victims is startlingly high; almost one quarter of the women surveyed indicated that they had been physically assaulted and/or raped by an intimate partner in their lifetime.

Based on the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), an estimated 876,340 females were victims of crimes perpetrated by intimate partners in 1998. ^{18, 19}

The Indian Scenario

Violence against women is a serious problem in India. Overall, one-third of women age 15-49 have experienced physical violence and about 1 in 10 have experienced sexual violence. In total, 35 percent have experienced physical or sexual violence. ²⁰ Nearly two in five (37 percent) married women have experienced some form of physical or sexual violence by their husband. ²⁰According to **United Nation Population Fund** Report, around two-third of married Indian women are victims of domestic violence and as many as 70 per cent of married women in India between the age of 15 and 49 are victims of beating, rape or forced sex.²¹In India, more than 55 percent of the women suffer from domestic violence, especially in the states of Bihar, U.P., M.P. and other northern states.²⁰ Domestic violence constitutes 33.3% of the total crimes against women. 22

Physical violence was reported by 14% of pregnant women in the past year, psychological abuse by 15%, and sexual coercion by 9%. One-half of these women also reported ongoing abuse during pregnancy.²³

The antecedents of Domestic Violence have also been explored in a variety of studies. Many studies suggest that Domestic Violence is associated with lower socioeconomic status, ²⁴ lower education and problem drinking by the male spouse doubles the risk of Domestic Violence.²⁵ One in six (16 percent) married women have experienced emotional violence by their husband. Only one percent of married women have ever initiated violence against their husband. ²⁰

Epidemiology of alcohol use

National Household Survey of Drug Use in India, recorded alcohol use in 21% of adult males and less than 5 per cent in women. These were significantly seen higher use among tribal, rural and lower socioeconomic urban sections. ²⁶A study in southern rural India showed that 14.2% of the population surveyed had hazardous alcohol use on the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test. ²⁷

Co-occurrence of substance abuse and mental illness

Among adolescents and young adults with a substance abuse disorder, 41 to 65 percent also have a mental health disorder. ²⁸ The most common psychiatric co-morbidity was depression 39%, ²⁹ Phobia 16% ^{28, 29} and Anti Social Personality Disorder 22.7%. ^{30, 32}

Alcohol use & Domestic violence

Alcohol abuse contributed to 84% of violence acts. Alcohol doubles the risk of Domestic Violence in the family . $^{33-35}$

Compared to nonviolent husbands, violent husbands reported higher levels of problem drinking 34, 35 more frequent and higher quantity alcohol consumption, 36, 37 and an earlier onset of problem drinking. 34 How alcohol contributes to domestic violence remains unclear. It may, for example, interfere with cognitive processes, contribute to or aggravate aggressive tendencies. 38 Forty per cent of all males with alcohol dependence in Karnataka (India) reported solving disagreements by physical fights. 20

Reducing substance use (including alcohol) may reduce levels of physical injury but has not been shown to reduce the actual occurrence of domestic violence (intimate partner violence). ^{39, 40} Domestic Violence in partners of alcohol users depends on a number of variables pre-drinking mood, aggression and worries; environmental factors; personality specific factors; and individual goals of drinking. It is equally important to

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

emphasis that even if his substance use ceases, his violence and abuse usually continues.⁴¹ Although the connection between domestic abuse and alcohol consumption remains somewhat contentious, there is a notable shift and growing recognition that alcohol is not a direct cause of domestic abuse.⁴²

Finally, two studies found similar results using multivariate regression analyses, such that alcohol problems and alcohol use were associated with marital violence prospectively.⁴³

Age groups vulnerable to victimization

The peak ages of onset of alcohol dependence are from the early 20s to about age 40. Female partners in this relationship, ranging in age from 16-24, experience the highest rates of domestic violence. 44, 45 Domestic violence is common across all racial/ethnic groups, whereas others suggest that it is predominantly a minority and lower socioeconomic class phenomenon. 25

Mental disorders, Personality traits and Domestic violence

Some research indicates that men who assault their partners suffer from a range of mental disorders, including antisocial or borderline personality disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder, and that they typically suffer from low self-esteem, jealousy, aggressiveness, and poor 46-48 communication skills. The antisocial personality are more generally violent and involved with delinquent peers, substance abuse, and criminal behavior; they are broadly willing to employ violence to have their way in many contexts. As with Borderline personality both of these types score high on impulsivity, acceptance of violence, and hostile attitudes toward women. and low on measures of social skills. 49,50

Research has shown that men diagnosed with **Morbid jealousy** are especially upset about a partner's sexual infidelity and resort to questioning, stalking, and violence.15 % of women at some time or other experienced violence at the hands of jealous partner.⁵¹

Family context

Many studies indicate that individuals who grow up in violent homes are more likely to become violent with their intimate partners thus violence is learned—that is, that exposure to violence as a child contributes to a social learning process that views violence as an appropriate and acceptable strategy for resolving conflicts. ^{17,18}

Cultural and social context

Attitudes and beliefs about domestic violence and gender may derive from and be reinforced by traditional patriarchal views in society (e.g., certain cultural values) may either promote or accept domestic violence as an

appropriate approach to resolving certain types of conflict. 52,53

Additional factors linked to domestic violence victimization

- Marital and cohabitation status (couples who are unmarried and cohabiting)
- Income- (lower income populations)
- Education- (less educated)
- Occupational disparity within a relationship
- Power disparity. 17, 18

Groups vulnerable to victimization

Both men and women experience Domestic Violence. However, **women** are 2 to 3 times more likely to report an intimate partner pushed, grabbed or shoved them and 7 to 14 times more likely to report an intimate partner beat them up, choked them, or tied them down. ^{17,18} American Indian/Alaska Native women and men report more violent victimization than do women and men of other racial backgrounds. ^{17, 18}

Women with Intimate Partner Violence tend to have spouses with less education and problem drinking, corroborating prior findings, ²³an association between lower socioeconomic status and Intimate Partner Violence. ⁵⁴

Mental health consequences of domestic violence

Domestic violence was identified as a major contributor to the global burden of ill health in terms of female morbidity and mortality leading to psychological trauma and depression, injuries, sexually transmitted diseases, suicide and murder. ^{6,} The global health burden from violence against women in reproductive age group is about 9.5 million disability adjusted life years -DALYs. ⁶Most research addressing the consequences of Domestic Violence has focused on acts of physical aggression, whereas significantly less attention has been accorded more subtle and difficult-to-measure dimensions of partner violence such psychological abuse.^{59, 60} This gap is surprising, given that battered women frequently identify psychological abuse as inflicting greater distress compared to physical acts of violence. ⁶¹ A comprehensive meta-analysis, ⁵⁹ showed that abused women were three to five times more likely to experience depression, suicidality, self harm, Panic disorder, Phobias, PTSD, alcohol abuse, and drug abuse than the general population. Emotional and verbal abuse was a significant individual predictor of **depression**. This finding is consistent with reports of battered women describing ridiculing behaviors as particularly pernicious. Taunting, degrading behavior may influence depression via its eroding effect on self-esteem and self-worth. 62 70-85% of abused women experience

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016





(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

at least mild depression and 30-55% severe depression. 63-65

Women who have experienced physical or sexual abuse are more likely to attempt or at least think seriously about committing **suicide**. Women in these countries living in rural areas were more likely to attempt suicide, seemingly based on lower levels of education, greater social isolation and limited access to healthcare. Most women eventually leave their abusive partners to make the violence end. 65

In an **Indian study** conducted in five different states, 34.1% of the women suffering from domestic violence reported mental stress, 29.3% reported depression, 26.4% reported disturbed sleep, 21.8% reported anxiety and 15.1% chronic headache.⁶⁷The effects of domestic violence during **pregnancy**, both physical and non-physical, have the potential to affect the mother and the unborn baby such as depression, substance abuse, smoking, amnesia, first and second trimester bleeding, and the reduction in birth weight. ^{68, 69}

Hurdles of research

The prevalence of intimate partner violence is notoriously difficult to determine. Studies consistently show that compared with victims of other forms of violence women affected are less likely to disclose, to seek legal help, or form other social organization, even name the act as violence. ⁷⁰A major hurdle to studying and treating partner violence is the heterogeneity of the perpetrators and victims. ^{71,72}

Owing to the sensitivity of the subject, violence against women is "almost universally under reported" and reported levels should be thought of "as representing the minimum levels of violence that occur". ⁷³

OBJECTIVES

Variation of Intimate partner violence by (Domestic violence) background characteristics [education, age, caste, religion, head of household, work status of women, duration of married life]To find prevalence of Intimate partner violence (Domestic violence) among spouses of patients with alcohol To dependence. assess characteristics. dimensions, severity of Domestic violence, and its consequences among the spouses To assess psychological status of both perpetrators and victims

II MATERIALS AND METHODS STUDY DESIGN: Cross sectional study

STUDY SETTING: The study followed a cross-sectional design conducted in the Department of Psychiatry at Karuna Medical College. It has a wide catchment area. The study protocol was

approved by the Institute's 'Ethics committee'. From both patient and their spouse, written informed consent were taken and interviewed individually.

SAMPLING METHOD – Continous sampling.

In this study, we recruited 114 patients admitted consecutively between February 2013 and May 2015 diagnosed with Mental and behavioral disorders due to the use of alcohol dependence syndrome (ICD 10). 8 patients had polysubstance dependence & 6 patients who had significant cognitive impairment were excluded.

SAMPLE SIZE: 100 patients and their spouses INCLUSION CRITERIA

 Patients diagnosed to have Mental and behavioral disorders due to the use of alcohol dependence syndrome (ICD 10) admitted & Spouse of the above patients aged 16 yrs and above

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) screening scores of less than 24.When the spouse is not currently living with him.

Patients who fulfilled the study criteria were detoxified and then interviewed by using the Study proforma, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) scale, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS). Morbid jealousy assessed by model proposed by Cobb. 74,75

Demographic data, Hamilton Scale for Depression (HAM D){for assessing the severity of depression}, Composite Abuse Scale (CAS){for intimate partner violence} were administered to the spouses of these patients.

COMPOSITE ABUSE SCALE

The Composite Abuse Scale (CAS) is a widely used self report of behaviours that women describe as abusive by their partners. It has been published in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention compendium of intimate partner violence measures. It is an easily administered measure that provides standardized sub scale scores on four dimensions of intimate partner abuse. It consists of 30 items presented in a six point format requiring respondents to answer "never", "only once", "several times", "monthly", "weekly" or "daily" in a twelve month period. The strength of the scale is the ability to measure different types and severity of abuse, although a limitation is the reduced number of sexual abuse items.

ADMINISTRATION

The CAS has mostly been used with women 16 years of age and over and pregnant women.

SCORING

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

CAS is scored by summating the frequency scores without any weighting of the 30 items. a Total Score (0-150) have been computed by summing all scores across subscales. And physical abuse score ranges from 0-35.CAS has quite high sensitivity and specificity, a cut-off total score of 7 would correctly detect 95.8% of women with no women labeled abuse incorrectly (0%). Cut -off score 2 for the physical abuse scale [sensitivity 92.3%, specificity 1.8%].

The Composite Abuse Scale has demonstrated face, content, criterion, & construct validity. ⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ Limitation – lack of availability of local language version.

DATA ANALYSIS:

Analysis of data using SPSS 11(Statistical Package for Social Science) Independent t test is used to test for a difference between two independent groups on the means of a continous variable. Pearson's correlation is used to find a correlation between at least two continous variable. The value for a Pearson's can fall between 0.00 (no correlation) and 1.00 (perfect correlation). Other factors will determine if the correlation is significant. Correlation above 0.80 are considered pretty high.

IV RESULTS

Total sample N=100 [alcohol dependent patients (100) and their spouses(100)]

Table 1
Demography of patient and spouse:

	Patient		Spouse		
Age	24-65 yrs M=40.9		20-60yrs		
	sd=9.9		M=35.8		
			sd=9.7		
Age at	20-37yrs M=	27.6	11-30yrs		
marriage	sd=3.8		M=22.5		
			sd=3.9		
Education	Schooling	42	43		
	Graduate	58	57		
Occupation	Unskilled	15	9		
_	Semiskilled	61	0		
	Skilled	16	25		
	None	8	60		
			(homemakers)		
Income	nil	1	53		
Rs/month	< 5000	18	14		
	<10000	19	11		
	>10000	63	22		
Religion	Hindu	85			
	Christian	7			
	Muslim	8			

Residence	Rural	36	
	Urban	64	
Type of	Joint	44	
family	Nuclear	56	
Type of	Arranged	86	
marriage	Marriage by	14	
	choice(Love)		
Head of	Patient	67	
family	Father	25	

M=Mean, sd=standard deviation

*The table showed the sample were mainly from Hindu, middle aged, educated, semiskilled workers, earning more than 10000/month, and living in nuclear family and patient being the head of the family.

+While most of the partners were educated, unemployed and homemakers.

ALCOHOL USE

[Abbreviations used N- Number, M-Mean, sd-standard deviation]

All the **patients** recruited had fulfilled ICD 10 criteria for alcohol dependence. ⁹²

Duration alcohol intake was 3-40 years M=18.9 sd=10.03

AUDIT score [patients]

Range = 19-35Mean = 26.8

sd = 4.52

35 had previous history of de-addiction treatment

BPRS score [patients]

Depression

Range = 18-63 Mean = 26.24 Sd = 11.7

Psychiatric co-morbidity [patients]

Schizophrenia and related psychosis	9
Bipolar disorders	3
Nicotine dependence	31
Morbid jealousy [patients]	26
Medical co-morbidity [patients]	
Hypertension	17
Diabetes	30
Coronary artery disease	6
Alcohol liver disease	11
Pancreatitis	4

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE TOWARDS THE SPOUSE

Composite Abuse Scale (CAS)

Significant value N=83

Range =7-93 M = 47.5

sd = 29

Physical abuse N= 67

Range = 2-28

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

(This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License citing the original author and source)

24



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

M = 12.8 sd = 7.7

Table 2
Relation between composite abuse score and physical abuse score:

Pearson correlation

		CAS total	Physical abuse total
CAS total	Pearson Correlati on Sig. (2- tailed)	1	.927(**)
	N	100	100
Physica l abuse total	Pearson Correlati on	.927(* *)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	•
	N	100	100

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

SPOUSE

Hamilton Scale for Depression Score

Range $=2-44$	M = 17.1	sd = 1	1.1
0-7	no depression		33
8-13	mild		9
14-23	moderate		22
>23	severe		36
Abuse pattern			
Physical abuse		67	
Emotional abuse	63		
Economic abuse	52		
Sexual abuse	65		
Spouse reaction			
Sexual avoidance	2	67	
Plans of marital s	48		
Suicidal attempt		11	

Physical violence was taken as marker of Domestic violence which was compared with other data.



☑ Physic al

■ no abuse

Table 3 Physical abuse vs parameters

Physical	particulars	Mean	SD	p
abuse				
(-)	AUDIT (total	24.9	4.8	NS
(+)	score)	27.7	4.1	
(-)	BPRS (total	19.7	5.8	0.001
(+)	score) [patient]	29.4	12.5	
(-)	HMD (total	6.9	4.1	0.001
(+)	score) [spouse]	23	9.5	
	, - 1			
(-)	Age of patient	39.1	11.4	NS
(+)	(years)	41.1	9.1	
	,			
(-)	Duration of	15.6	11.1	NS
(+)	alcohol (years)	20.5	9.1	
(-)	Age of spouse	34.8	10.2	NS
(+)	(years)	36.3	9.4	
	()/			
	l	l		

NS= p value non significant

*The above table showed that physical abuse were significantly found in patients with more psychopathology (BPRS score) and the spouses were moderately to severely Depressed.

+The abuse was **not related** to the severity, duration of alcohol intake, the age of patient or the victim.

Table 4
Physical violence vs other demographic characters

		N	Physical	sd	P
			abuse		
			mean		
Education	< 10 th	42	8.4	7.8	NS
of patient	std	58	8.5	7.7	
	> 10 th				
	std				
Residence	Rural	36	9.2	7.6	NS
	Urban	64	5.3	7.8	
Type of	Arranged	86	9.2	7.8	NS
marriage	Love	14	5.1	6.1	

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

⁺The table showed that there is linear relation with the composite abuse score and physical abuse scores.



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

Type	of	Joint	44	8.5	7.4	NS
family		Nuclear	56	8.7	8.1	
Head	of	Patient	67	8.4	7.7	NS
family		Others	33	8.4	7.6	

^{*}The abuse were not determined by the education of patient, the residence, type of marriage, type of family, and who runs the family.

Table 5:

Effects of physical violence

Effects of physic		N	Physic	sd	P
		- '	al		
			abuse		
			mean		
Economic	Prese	5	14.1	5.	0.00
abuse	nt	2	2.8	5	1
	Absen	4		5 5.	
	t	8		1	
Emotional	Prese	6	13.6	5.	0.00
abuse by	nt	2	0.58	6	1
patients	Absen	2 3		1.	
	t	8		0	
Morbid	Prese	2	13.1	5.	0.00
jealousy(patie	nt	6	7.1	3	1
nt)	Absen	7		7.	
	t	4		8	
Suicidal	Prese	1	16.4	6.	0.00
thoughts(spou	nt	1	7.7	1	1
se)	Absen	8		7.	
	t	9		4	
Sexual	Prese	6	13	6.	0.00
avoidance by	nt	5	0.5	1	1
spouse	Absen	3		1.	
	t	5		0	
Thoughts of	Prese	4	14.6	4.	0.00
Separation	nt	8	3.3	8 5.	1
among	Absen	5		5.	
spouses	t	2		5	

^{*} Presence of physical abuse is an important factor for marital disharmony.

Demography:

Our study sample were mainly from Hindu, middle aged, educated, semiskilled workers,

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

(This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License citing the original author and source)

earning more than 10000/month, living in nuclear family and patient being the head of the family, while the spouses were educated but homemakers. This does not replicate the general population, as the study is conducted in a private psychiatric hospital.

The alcohol use and co-morbidities:

The study population satisfied criteria for alcohol dependence and nearly all had severe dependence pattern with mean AUDIT score of 26.2

Depressive disorders, Bipolar disorders and schizophrenia related psychosis were found in 24, 3, 9 patients respectively.

An Indian study assessed the lifetime prevalence of co-morbidity in patients with substance dependence. The commonly co-occurring disorders were mood disorders (35 %), psychotic disorders (11.5 %) and anxiety disorders (3.5 %). The depressive disorders ranged from 8.8%-23.6%. 93-98

In our study the psychiatric co-morbidities were assessed only clinically not using a structured questionnaire, while the overall psychopathology was assessed using Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale whose mean score was 26.4.

One third of the study patients had nicotine dependence which is similar to an earlier study, ⁹⁹ which showed that 37 percent of adults who were classified as current drinkers were also current smokers.

Upto 72% had medical illness, Diabetes Mellitus (30), Hypertension (17), alcohol liver disease (11), and coronary artery diseases (6)

Heavy alcohol consumption (>3 drinks/day) is associated with up to a 43percent increased incidence of diabetes. $^{100,\ 101}$

10 to 35% of all alcoholics have changes consistent with Alcoholic Hepatitis. 102

Morbid Jealousy:

26 percent of alcohol dependent patients had morbid jealousy this included that jealousy occurred both during intoxication and when in sober state & it was done using clinical interview using the model proposed by Cobb. 74,75 The rates were similar to an earlier study, 103 where Morbid jealousy was present in the range of 27% - 34% among men recruited from alcohol treatment services.

Domestic violence

The mean total score of composite abuse scale and its subset of physical abuse score linearly correlated

Spouses who were physically abused (67%) also experienced other forms of abuse at

⁺The affected spouses often think of ending the relationship, or run away from the source of abuse(48%).

⁺⁺Morbid jealousy is common in accuser (26%) while sexual avoidance in victim (65%). The affected spouses were significantly depressed (67%) and had suicidal ideation (11%).



(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

similar rates viz., Emotional abuse (63%), Economic abuse (52%), and Sexual abuse (65%).

Studies from World Health Organisation: Multi country study,⁶ showed the lifetime prevalence of physical violence by an intimate partner range between 13-61% and sexual abuse 6-50%

In India^{, 20, 58} reported 10-30% of women in community sample had experienced sexual violence and 94% of women who experienced physical violence also reported verbal insults and humiliations.

Consequences of Domestic violence

Two thirds of the spouses had clinical depression and 11 had suicidal ideation.

Western studies,^{5, 65,104,105} reported the prevalence of mental disorders were 49% among women who reported any type of violence versus 19% in control population.

Depression, suicide and alcohol abuse was the most prevalent negative health consequences of domestic violence. ^{23, 55, 57,106}

In Brazil 48% of women, Egypt 61%, India 64%, Indonesia 11% and in Philippines 28% of women had significant correlation between domestic violence and suicidal ideation.⁵

Significant association between exposure to violence and unhealthy mental status has been found in an Indian study conducted in five different states, 34.1% of the women suffering from domestic violence reported mental stress, 29.3% reported depression, 26.4% reported disturbed sleep, 21.8% reported anxiety and 15.1% chronic headache. 58,67

Determinants of Physical Abuse

In our study the psychopathology (BPRS score) in patients was the only determinant of physical abuse and it seemed it is not related to the duration or severity of alcohol.

Similarly socio-demographic characters like age of the patient, or the spouse, the residence, the type of family and head of family were also not statistically significant for physical abuse.

Previous studies emphasized that presence of mental illness were important determinant of domestic violence.

Incidence of violence was higher for those with severe mental illness but only significantly so for those with co occurring substance use and / dependence. 107

Certain socio-demographic factors, however, have been associated with increased risk of Domestic violence including young age, lower socioeconomic status, Separated or divorced status among women, while presence of lower education, and problem drinking among the accusers. ^{23,108,109}

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

(This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License citing the original author and source)

Alcohol and Domestic violence

Recent studies indicate that alcohol is not an identified direct cause of domestic violence, though it clearly is a correlate and may be a contributing factor. ^{42,110}

If alcohol were a direct cause of IPV, either through disinhibition or because of its cognitive distortion properties, consumption would precede or proceed the violence in a preponderance of instances. However it was found that upto 75% of the assaults were perpetrated by someone who is sober at that time. ^{110,111}

Furthermore, if alcohol abuse were a direct causal factor in IPV, a cessation of the alcohol use should be associated with cessation of the relationship violence and abuse. Unfortunately, "...none of the evidence suggests that alcohol treatment alone will effectively change abusive behavior" but surely, it certainly helps improve the situation. ^{112,113}.

Thus, it is doubtful that a simple, direct, linear, causal relationship exists with regards to alcohol and Intimate Partner Violence.

Overall this cross-sectional study replicates other international studies and demonstrates strong association between psychopathology and intimate partner violence and alcohol use being the contributory factor.

While the best available sources for the prevalence of violence were chosen, it is widely accepted that any existing measures are likely to under-estimate the actual size of the problem.

STRENGHTHS

One of the strengths of this Study is its use of uniform instruments and methodology, in particular in terms of sample design and data analysis. This study is one of the tertiary centre based studies in India that has provided valuable data on domestic violence ,established the strong association between domestic spousal violence and its impact on mental health. It also provides substantive evidence of the need to classify domestic violence as a major public health problem.

LIMITATIONS: Cross sectional study. Retrospective designs, recall bias & underreporting of violence. A cross-sectional study in general does not distinguish whether common mental disorders or violence happened first and cannot reach conclusions concerning causality. However, since we looked at the association between lifetime violence and recent symptoms of common mental disorders in this study, even if there is no evidence for causality, there are indications for temporal sequence. Only the main health problems affecting women exposed to intimate partner violence were

(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

included in the disease burden estimates (eg.: depression, suicide), rather than all the problems found in the review of the evidence on the health impacts.

The impacts of emotional abuse could not be included. This also meant that it was not possible to separately examine how much the different forms of abuse contribute to disease burden. Another, somewhat related, limitation is that all of the spouses of alcohol dependent patients admitted at de-addiction centre had been assessed. Personality profiles were not assessed. Lack of control-group subjects who have non-violent but unhappy relationships. Female-to-male partner violence (FMPV) not assessed.

REFERENCES

- Johnson MP, Ferraro KJ. "Research on domestic violence in the 1990s: Making distinctions". J Marriage Fam 2000; 62 (4): 948–63.
- [2] Klostermann KC. Substance abuse and intimate partner violence: treatment considerations. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy [Internet].2006 [Cited Jan 21];1:24. Available from http://www.substanceabusepolicy.com/content/1/1/2
- [3] The Protection Of Women From Domestic Violence Act, 2005, No. 43 Of 2005, The Gazette of India: Part II- Section 1: Ministry of Law and Justice; 2006.
- [4] The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, Government of India,1987, as amended The National Legal Services Authority Rules, 1995, The Gazette of India: Part II- Section 3 (ii): Ministry of Law and Justice: 1995.
- [5] Krug EG, Dahlberg LL, Mercy J A, Zwi AB, Lozano R .eds. World report on violence and health[Internet]. Geneva, World Health Organization; 2002[cited 2011 Jan 2].Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2002/.
- [6] World Health Organization. Multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence against women [Internet]. Geneva: WHO, c2005 [updated 2005 Mar; cited 2011 Jan 6]. Available from http://www.who.int/gender/violence/who_multicoun try_study/en/
- [7] Watts C, Zimmerman C, Violence against women: global scope and magnitude. Lancet 2002; 359 (9313):1232-1237.
- [8] Garcia- Moreno C, Jansen HA, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts CH. Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence: findings from WHO multi country on women's health & domestic violence. Lancet 2006; 368 (9543): 1260-1269.
- [9] Ferris LE. Intimate Partner Violence .BMJ 2004; 328 (7440):595-601.
- [10] Stets JE, Straus MA. Gender difference in reporting marital violence and its medical and psychological consequences. In Physical Violence in American Families: Risk Factors and Adaptations to Violence

- in 8,145 Families. London: Transaction Publishers; 1990.p93-113
- [11] Zlotnick C, Kohn R, Peterson J. Partner physical victimisation in a national sample of American families: relationship to psychological functioning, psychosocial factors, and gender. J Interpers Violence 1998; 13:156-166.
- [12] Straus MA.The special issue on prevention of violence ignores the primordial violence. J Interpers Violence 2008; 23(9):1314-20.
- [13] Straus MA. Bucking the tide in family violence research. Trauma Violence Abuse 2008; 9(4):191-213.[Abstract]
- [14] Johnson MP, Leone JM. The Differential Effects of Intimate Terrorism and Situational Couple Violence. J Fam Issues 2005; 26 (3): 322-349.
- [15] Johnson MP. Conflict and control : gender symmetry and asymmetry in domestic violence .Violence Against Women 2006; 12(11): 1003-1018. [Abstract]
- [16] National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Costs of Intimate Partner Violence Against Women in the United States[Internet]. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2003[updated 2003 Mar; cited 2011 Jan 15]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/pub-res/ipv_cost.
- [17] Tjaden P, Thoennes N. Full Report of the Prevalence, Incidence, and Consequences of Violence Against Women[Internet]. US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs; 2000[updated 2000 Nov; cited Jan 15]. Available from
 - : http://www.ncjrs.org/txtfiles1/nij/183781.txt.
- [18] Tjaden P, Thoennes, N. Extent, Nature, and Consequences of Intimate Partner Violence[Internet]. US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs; 2000[updated 2000 Nov; cited Jan 4]. Available from: http://www.ncjrs.org/txtfiles1/nij/181867.txt.
- [19] Walton MA, Murray R, Cunningham RM, Chermack ST, Barry KL Booth BM, et al. Correlates Intimate Partner Violence among Men and Women in an Inner City Emergency Department. J Addict Dis 2009; 28(4): 366–381.
- [20] National Family Health Survey 2005-2006 (NFHS-3), India International Institute for Population Sciences Mumbai[Internet]; 2006[updated 2006 Jan; cited 2011Mar 4]. Available from http://www.nfhsindia.org/manual/project.
- [21] United Nation Population Fund Report. Violence against women[Internet].New York: 2005[updated 2005 Oct; cited 2011Feb 9]. Available from: http://www.unfpa.org/women/docs.
- [22] National Crime Records Bureau. Ministry of Home Affairs .Crime in India[Internet] 2005[updated 2010 Sep; cited 2011 May 12]. Available from: http://ncrb.nic.in/ciiprevious/Data/CD-CII2005/home.html.
- [23] Varma D, Chandra PS, Thomas T, Carey MP. Intimate partner violence and sexual coercion among pregnant women in India:relationship with

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

To a

International Journal Of Public Mental Health And Neurosciences ISSN No: 2394-4668

(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

- depression and post traumatic stress disorder. J Affect Disord. 2007;102:227–235.
- [24] Bangdiwala SI, Ramiro L, Sadowski LS, Bordin IA, Hunter W, Shankar V. Intimate partner violence and the role of socioeconomic indicators in World SAFE communities in Chile, Egypt, India and the Philippines. Inj Control Saf Promot 2004;11: 101– 109
- [25] Jeyaseelan L, Kumar S, Neelakantan N, Peedicayil A, Pillai R, Duvvury N. Physical spousal violence against women in India: some risk factors. J Biosoc Sci 2007;39: 657–670.
- [26] World Health Organization. Global status report on Alcohol[Internet]. 2004[updated 2004; cited 2011 Jan 6] Geneva:World Health Organization; 2004. Available at http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/ global_status_report_2004_overview.pdf
- [27] John A, Barman A, Bal D, Chandy G, Samuel J, Thokchom M,et al. Hazardous alcohol use in rural southern India: Nature, prevalence and risk factors. Natl Med J India 2009; 22: 123–5.
- [28] Kessler R, Nelson C, McGonagle K, Edlund M, Frank R, Leaf P. The epidemiology of co occurring addictive and mental disorders in the national co morbidity survey: implications for prevention and service utilization. Am. J. Orthopsychiatry 1996; 66: 17-31.
- [29] Alec R, Judith DJ, Danuta L. Depression among alcoholics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991; 48:38–46.
- [30] Morgenstern J, Langenbucher J, Labouvie E. The comorbidity of alcoholism and personality disorders in a clinical population:Prevalence rates and relation to alcohol typology variables. J Abnorm Psychol 1997;106:74–84.
- [31] Salloum IM, Mezzich J, Cornelius JR, Day NL, Daley D, Kirisci L. Clinical profile of comorbid major depression and alcohol use disorders in an initial psychiatric evaluation. Compr Psychiatry 1995; 36: 260–266.
- [32] Singh NH, Sharma SG, Pasweth AM. Psychiatric co-morbidity among alcohol dependants. Indian J Psychiatry 2005; 47(4): 222-224.
- [33] Marshal MP. For better or for worse? The effects of alcohol use on marital functioning. Clin Psychol Rev 2003; 23(7): 959-997.
- [34] Murphy CM, O'Farrell TJ, Fals-Stewart W, Feehan M. Correlates of intimate partner violence among male alcoholic patients. J Consult Clin Psychol 2001; 69(3):528–540.
- [35] Leonard KE. Alcohol and intimate partner violence: When can we say that heavy drinking is a contributing cause of violence? Addiction 2005; 100: 422–425.
- [36] Bennett LW, Tolman RM, Rogalski CJ, Srinivasaraghavan J. Domestic abuse by male alcohol and drug addicts. Violence Vict 1994;9: 359–368.[Abstract]
- [37] Chermack ST, Blow FC. Violence among individuals in substance abuse treatment: The role of alcohol and cocaine consumption. Drug Alcohol Depen 2002;66: 29–37.

- [38] Moore TM, Stuart GL. Illicit Substance Use and Intimate Partner Violence among Men in Batterers' Intervention. Psychol Addict Behav 2004;18: 385–389
- [39] Banks HD, Randolph SM. Substance abuse and family violence. In R. L. Hamptom editors. Family violence: Prevention and treatment, 2nd edition Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage;1999.p. 288-308.
- [40] Kantor GK, Straus MA. Substance abuse as a precipitant of wife abuse victimizations. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 1989; 15(2): 173-189.
- [41] Taylor SP, Chermack ST. Alcohol, drugs, and human physical aggression. J Stud Alcohol 1993; 11:78-88
- [42] Gonzalez D, Cases C, Dardet C, Perez J. Alcohol and intimate partner violence: do we have enough information to act? Eur J Public Health 2006; 16 (3): 278–284.
- [43] Leonard KE. Alcohol and intimate partner violence: when can we say that heavy drinking is a contributing cause of violence?. Addiction 2005; 100: 422–425.
- [44] McKenry PC. "Toward a Biopsychosocial Model of Domestic Violence." J Marriage Fam 1995 ;57: 1995
- [45] Magdol L, Moffitt T, Caspi A, Fagan J, Silva P. (1997). Gender differences in partner violence in a birth cohort of 21 year olds: Bridging the gap between clinical and epidemiological approaches. J Consult Clin Psychol 1997; 65: 68-78.
- [46] Heise L, Garcia-Moreno C. Violence by intimate partners. In: Krug E,Dahlberg LL, Mercy JA, et al., editors. World report on violence and health[Internet]. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2002[updated 2002;cited 2011 Apr 17] p.87-121. Available from: http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/global campaign/en/chap4.
- [47] Kantor GK, Jasinski JL. Dynamics and risk factors in partner violence. In: Jasinski JL, Williams LM, editors. Partner violence: a comprehensive review of 20 years of research. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage; 1998. p. 1-43.
- [48] Stith SM, Smith DB, Penn C, Ward D, Tritt D. Risk factor analysis for spouse physical maltreatment: A meta-analytic review. J Aggress Violent Beha 2004; 10: 65-98.
- [49] Holtzworth-Munroe A, Bates L, Smutzler N, Sandin E. (1997). A brief review of the research on husband violence: Part One. Maritally violent versus nonviolent men. Aggress Violent Beha 1997; 2: 65–99.
- [50] Holtzworth-Munroe A, Rehman U, Herron K. General and spouse specific anger andhostility in subtypes of martially violent men and non-violent men. Behav Ther 2000; 31: 603-630.
- [51] Mullen P. Jealousy and violence. Hong Kong J Psychiatry 1995; 5: 18-24.
- [52] Ahmad F, Riaz S, Barata P, Stewart DE. Patriarchal beliefs and perceptions of abuse among South Asian immigrant women. Violence Against Women 2004;10(3):262-282.

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

- [53] Almeida RV, Dolan-Delvecchio K. Addressing culture in batterers intervention: The Asian Indian community as an illustrative example. Violence Against Women 1999; 5(6): 654-683.
- [54] Vizcarra B, Hassan F, Hunter WM, Muñoz SR, Ramiro L, De Paula CS. Partner violence as a risk factor for mental health among women from communities in the Philippines, Egypt, Chile, and India. Inj Control Saf Promot 2004; 11:125–129.
- [55] Pico-Alfonso MA, Garcia-Linares MI, Celda-Navarro N, Blasco-Ros C, Echeburúa E, Martinez M. The impact of physical, psychological, and sexual intimate male partner violence on women's mental health: depressive symptoms, posttraumatic stress disorder, state anxiety, and suicide. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2006;15: 599–611[Abstract]
- [56] Howard LM, Trevillion K, Agnew-Davies R. Domestic violence and mental health. Int Rev Psychiatry 2010; 22(5):525-34.
- [57] Patel V. Culture and mental health consequences of trauma. Indian J of Social Work 2000; 61: 619–630.
- [58] Kumar S, Jeyaseelan L, Suresh S, Ahuja RC. Domestic violence and its mental health correlates in Indian women. Br J Psychiatry 2005;187:62–67.
- [59] Golding JM. Intimate partner violence as a risk factor for mental disorders: A meta-analysis. J Fam Violence 1999; 14: 99-132.
- [60] Satyanarayana VA, Chandra PS. Should mental health assessments be integral to domestic violence research? Indian J Med Ethics 2009; 6(1): 15–18.
- [61] Montero I, Escriba V, Ruiz-Perez I, Vives-Cases C, Martín-Baena D, Talavera M, et al. Interpersonal violence and women's psychological well-being. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2011 Feb; 20(2):295-301. [Abstract]
- [62] Sackett LA, Saunders DG. The impact of different forms of psychological abuse on battered women. . Violence Vict 1999 Spring; 14(1):105-17.[Abstract]
- [63] Sutherland CA, Bybee DI, Sullivan CM. Beyond bruises and broken bones: the joint effects of stress and injuries on battered women's health. Am J Community Psychol 2002 Oct; 30(5):609-36.
- [64] Gibb SJ, Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ. Relationship duration and mental health outcomes: findings from a 30-year longitudinal study. Br J Psychiatry 2011 Jan; 198(1):24-30.
- [65] Campbell JC, Lewandowski LA. Mental and physical health effects of intimate partner violence on women and children. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1997; 20(2):353-74.
- [66] Naved RT, Akhtar N. Spousal violence against women and suicidal ideation in Bangladesh. Womens Health Issues 2008;18(6):442-52. [Abstract]
- [67] Yuganthar educational society A study of the nature, extent, incidence and impact of domestic violence against women in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Chattisgarh, Madya Pradesh and Maharastra: Planning Commission Government of India[Internet]; 2003[updated 2003 ;cited 2011 May 6].
 Available

- from:http://www.ijcm.org.in/article.asp?issue=0970 -0218;year2010
- [68] Poole GV, Martin JN Jr, Perry KG Jr, Griswold JA, Lambert CJ, Rhodes RS Trauma in pregnancy: the role of interpersonal violence. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1996; 174(6):1873-7; discussion 1877-8.
- [69.] Chambliss LR. Intimate partner violence and its implication for pregnancy. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2008 Jun;51(2):385-97.
- [70] Beaulaurier R, Seff LR, Newman FL. 'Barriers to Help-Seeking for Older Women Who Experience Intimate Partner Violence: A Descriptive Model', J Women Aging. 2008 20: (3/4): 231 – 248
- [71] Holtzworth-Munroe A, Meehan JC, Herron K, Rehman U, Stuart GL. "Testing the Holtzworth-Munroe and Stuart (1994) Batterer Typology." J Consult Clin Psychol 2000; 68(6): 1000–1019.
- [72] Holtzworth-Munroe A, Stuart G. Typologies of Male Batterers: Three subtypes and the differences among them. Psychol Bull 1994;116 (3): 476-497. [Abstract]
- [73] Watts C, Zimmerman C. "Violence against women: global scope and magnitude". Lancet 2002; 359 (9313): 1232–7.
- [74] Shrestha K, Rees DW, Rix KJB, Hore BD, Faragher EB. Sexual jealousy in alcoholics . Acta Psychiatr Scand 1985; 72, (3): 283–290.
- [75] Cobb J. Morbid jealousy. Br J Hosp Med 1979; 21: 511–518.
- [76] Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB. Babor T. A review of research on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT). Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1997; 21(4): 613-619.
- [77] Conigrave KM, Hall WD, Saunders JB. The AUDIT questionnaire: Choosing a cut-off score. Addiction 1995; 90:1349-1356.
- [78] Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, de la Fuente JR, Grant M. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption. II. Addiction 1993; 88: 791-804.
- [79] Reinert DF, Allen JP. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): a review of recent research. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2002 Feb; 26(2): 272-9.
- [80] Babor, TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, Monteiro MG. AUDIT: The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines for Use in Primary Care, second edition. World Health Organization. Department of mental health and substance dependence [Internet].c2001[updated2001; cited 2011Jan 2] WHO/MSD/MSB/01.6a. Available from:
- $http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/who_msd_msb_01.6a.$
- [81] Overall JE. Gorham DR. The brief psychiatric rating scale. Psychol Rep 1962;10: 799-812.
- [82] Shafer A. Meta-analysis of the brief psychiatric rating scale factor structure. Psychol Assess 2005 Sep; 17(3):324-35.
- [83] Lee MB, Lee YJ, Yen LL, Lin MH, Lue BH. Reliability and validity of using a Brief Psychiatric

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016

TO A

International Journal Of Public Mental Health And Neurosciences ISSN No: 2394-4668

(An official Publication of Sarvasumana Association)

- Symptom Rating Scale in clinical practice. J Formos Med Assoc 1990 Dec; 89(12):1081-7.
- [84] Hamilton, M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960; 23: 56-62.
- [85] Williams JBW . A structured interview guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1989; 45: 742-747
- [86] Maier W, Philipp M, Heuser I, Schlegel S, Buller R, Wetzel H: Improving depression severity assessment, I: reliability, internal validity and sensitivity to change of three observer depression scales. J Psychiatr Res 1988; 22:3–12.
- [87] Bagby RM, Ryder AG, Schuller DR, Marshall MB. The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: Has the Gold Standard Become a Lead Weight? Am J Psychiatry 2004;161: 2163-2177.
- [88] Hedlund JL, Vieweg BW: The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: a comprehensive review. J Operational Psychiatry 1979; 10:149–165
- [89] Hegarty KL, Sheehan M, Schonfeld C. A multidimensional definition of partner abuse: Development and preliminary validation of the Composite Abuse Scale. Journal of Family Violence 1999; 14(4):399-414.
- [90] Hegarty KL. Composite Abuse Scale Manual[Internet] . Department of General Practice .University of Melbourne ; 2007[updated 2007; cited 2011 Jan 2]. Available from: http://www.gp.unimelb.edu.au/about/docs/KH_CompositeAbuseScale.pdf.
- [91] Hegarty K, Fracgp, Bush R, Sheehan M. The composite abuse scale: further development and assessment of reliability and validity of a multidimensional partner abuse measure in clinical settings. Violence Vict 2005 Oct; 20(5):529-47.
- [92] Mental and Behavioral disorders due to substance use. World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. Geneva: A.I.T.B.S; 1992.p70-83
- [93] Kisore P, Lal N, Trivedi JK, Dalal PK, Aga VM. A Study of comorbidity in psychoactive substance dependence patients. Indian J Psychiatry 1994; 36:133-7
- [94] Goswami S, Singh G, Mattoo SK, Basu D. Courses of substance use and schizophrenia in the dualdiagnosis patients: Is there a relationship? Indian J Med Sci 2003; 57:338-46.
- [95] Trivedi JK, Sethi BB. Drug abuse in psychiatric patients. Indian J Psychiatry 1978;21:345-8.
- [96] Thirthalli J ,Benegal V. Psychosis Among Substance Users :Alcohol. Curr Opin in Psychiatry 2006;19:239-245
- [97] Srivastava A. Sreejayan K . Indian research on comorbidities. Indian J Psychiatry 2010;52:246-9
- [98] Sing NK, Bhattacharjee D, Das B. Interaction Patterns in Indian Families with Alcohol-dependent Persons Hong Kong Journal of Psychiatry 2009 ;19:117-120.
- [99] Bobo JK, Husten C. Sociocultural influences on smoking and drinking. Alcohol Res Health 2000; 24:225–232.

- [100] Shore JH, Beals J, Orton H, Buchwald D and the AI-SUPERPFP Team. Comorbidity of Alcohol Abuse and Dependence with Medical Conditions in 2 American Indian Reservation Communities. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2006; 30: 649–655[Abstract]
- [101] Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. Effect of Alcohol Consumption on Diabetes Mellitus A Systematic Review. Ann Intern Med 2004; 140 (3): 211-219.
- [102] McCullough AJ, O'Connor JF. Alcoholic liver disease: proposed recommendations for the American College of Gastroenterology. Am J Gastroenterol 1998; 93: 2022-2036.
- [103] Michael A, Mirza S, Mirza K A. Morbid jealousy in alcoholism. Br J Psychiatry 1995; 167: 668-672.
- [104] Krantz G. Violence against women: a global public health issue! J Epidemiol Community Health 2002;56:242–3
- [105] Gracia E. Unreported cases of domestic violence against women: towards an epidemiology of social silence, tolerance, and inhibition. J Epidemiol Community Health 2004;58: 536–7.
- [106] Chandra P, Satyanarayana V, Carey M. Women Reporting Intimate Partner Violence in India: Associations with PTSD and Depressive Symptoms. Arch Womens Ment Health 2009 August; 12(4): 203-209.
- [107] Solomon PL, Cavanaugh MM, Gelles RJ. Family Violence among Adults with Severe Mental Illness: A Neglected Area of Research. Trauma Violence Abuse 2005; 6: 40-54.
- [108] Bair-Merritt MH, Intimate Partner Violence. Pediatric Rev 2010; 31(4): 145–150.
- [109] Walby S, Myhill A. New survey methodologies in researching violence against women Brit Journal Criminol 2002;41: 501-522.
- [110] Thompson M, Kingree J. 'The Roles of Victim and Perpetrator Alcohol Use in Intimate Partner Violence Outcomes', Journal of Interpers Violence 2006: 21 (2):163-177.
- [111] Fals-Stewart W. The occurrence of partner physical aggression on days of alcohol consumption: a longitudinal diary study in Caetano R,Schafer J, Fals-Stewart W, O'Farrell T. and Miller, B. Intimate partner violence and drinking: new research on methodological issues, stability and change, and treatment. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2003; 27(2):292-300.
- [112] O'Farrell TJ, Fals-Stewart W, Murphy CM, Stephan SH,Murphy M. Partner violence before and after couples-based alcoholism treatment for male alcoholic patients: the role of treatment involvement and abstinence. J Consult Clin Psychol 2004; 72(2):202-217.
- [113] Stuart GL, Ramsey SE, Moore TM, Kahler CW, Farrell LE, Recupero PR, et al. Reductions in marital violence following treatment for alcohol dependence. J Interpers Violence 2003;18:1113– 1131.

© IJPMN, Volume 3, Issue 2, August-2016