Daniel Gabino Martinez c/o 585 Camino Montebello Santa Fe, New Mexico Citizen of Arizona *in rerum natura* 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. CIV-06-1146
Plaintiff,	)	
- V -	)	Refusal for Fraud to "UNITED
	)	STATES' RESPONSE TO DOCKET
	)	NO. 18: PLAINTIFF'S "CHALLENGE
	)	TO JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL
DANIEL GABINO MARTINEZ	)	ATTACK ON ORDER TO ANSWER
Petitioner herein	)	DATED FEBRUARY 22, 2007 AND
by special appearance, defendant solely	)	ORDER STRIKING IMPROPER
for Purposes of equitable relief.	)	PLEADING BY PETITION IN
	)	SPECIAL VISITATION" and "NOTICE
	)	OF UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO
	)	DOCKET NO. 21: DEFENDANT'S "
	)	CHALLENGE TO JURISDICTION AND
	)	COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ORDERS
	)	

Appearing by Special visitation, specifically NOT general appearance and at all times waiving no rights at any time, comes now Daniel Gabino Martinez Petitioner herein, to refuse for fraud the "UNITED STATES'RESPONSE TO DOCKET NO. 18: PLAINTIFF'S CHALLENGE TO JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ORDER TO ANSWER DATED FEBRUARY 22, 2007 AND ORDER STRIKING IMPROPER PLEADING BY PETITION IN SPECIAL VISTATION" and "NOTICE TO DOCKET NO. 21: DEFENDANT'S CHALLENGE TO JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ORDERS...." For being impertinent, immaterial, inappropriate and frivolous, in addition to committing fraud on the court. In holding with the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Federal Crop Insurance v. Merrill*, 332 U.S. 380 and Ryder v. U.S. 115 S.Ct. 2031, all American Citizens are directed and required

to initiate a direct challenge to authority to anyone representing themselves as government "officers" or "agents.", I Daniel Gabino Martinez have challenged jurisdiction, authority and standing and it has not been made to appear on the record that the Magistrate Garcia or Judge Paul Kelly Jr. had jurisdiction to issue the "ORDERS". So until jurisdiction is made to appear on the face of the record, this court is without foundation or merit to sanction, issue any orders or to enter any Default judgment.

If anyone should be held in contempt it should be David C. Iglesias and Howard Thomas for not complying with federal and state statutes. 28 U.S.C. Section 1652 requires that the laws of the several states shall be regarded as rules of decision in civil actions in courts of the United States. 28 U.S.C. Section 530B requires that Attorney's for the Government shall be subject to state laws. New Mexico statutes at 36-2-10 requires that Howard Thomas and David Iglesias support the constitution and the laws of the United States and of this state. 36-2-12 requires that Howard Thomas and David Iglesias produce or prove by his oath or otherwise the authority under which he appears and until he does so, the court may stay all proceedings by him on behalf of the parties for whom he assumes to appear. Yet Daniel Gabino Martinez has challenged the authority of Howard Thomas and David Iglesias to represent the United States of America or the United States without the credentials required by law and no such authority has been made to appear on the face of the record. 36-2-17 makes clear that if an attorney is guilty of deceit with intent to deceive the court, judge or party, that he is responsible to the party injured. Thus, Daniel Gabino Martinez requests that this honorable court sanction David Iglesias and Howard Thomas for the refusal to respond to my jurisdictional challenges, my challenges to authority and standing and for the fraud committed upon this court. This refusal to respond to my challenges on a point by point basis and to make jurisdiction to appear on the face of the record

has deprived this honorable court of jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case and has
deprived this court of the jurisdiction to issue any orders or judgments in the instant case.
Dated: March, 2007
Daniel Gabino Martinez <i>in rerum natura</i> Citizen of Arizona C/O 585 Camino Montebello Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
NOTARY STATEMENT
State of New Mexico ) )ss:
County of Santa Fe )
Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State on thisday of March, 2007, personally appeared Daniel Gabino Martinez, known to me to be the identical person who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he first took an oath upon reason and belief as to the truth and then executed the above petition as a free and voluntary act.
My commission expires,
Notary Public

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Daniel Gabino Martinez certify that on this day March 16, 2007 *A.D.*, I served copies of the attached "Refusal for Fraud to "UNITED STATES'RESPONSE TO DOCKET NO.

18: PLAINTIFF'S CHALLENGE TO JURISDICTION AND COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ORDER TO ANSWER DATED FEBRUARY 22, 2007 AND ORDER STRIKING IMPROPER PLEADING BY PETITION IN SPECIAL VISTATION" and "NOTICE TO DOCKET NO. 21: DEFENDANT'S CHALLENGE TO JURISDICTION AND

COLLATERAL ATTACK ON ORDERS...." "with attachments hereinafter documents, by placing said document properly enclosed in a sealed wrapper, postage pre-paid, in a letter box of the U.S. Post Office in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, a depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department, addressed to the following at the address listed below;

United States Attorney's office for the District of New Mexico P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque 87103 NEW MEXICO, USA

DATE: March , 2007 A.D.

As the Undersigned, I, Daniel Gabino Martinez, hereby verify, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America\*\*\*, without the "United States\*\*" (Federal government), that the above statement of facts and laws is true and correct, according to the best of My current information, knowledge, and belief, so help Me God.

Signed:\_ Daniel Gabino Martinez, Citizen of Arizona in rerum natura c/o 585 Camino Montebello

Santa Fe. New Mexico

Phone Number (702) 274 0416