

Why a new approach?

No social/economic system will work (for the benefit of the people) if oligarchs are in control. Democracy, Socialism, Communism, Populism, Republicanism, Dictatorship, Monarchy, etc.

It is my position that the social problems that exist are the result of human society being based on a model where a small percentage of any population, the oligarchs, control the majority of the wealth and resources. By controlling the majority of the wealth and resources oligarchs have effective control of the social order. This means that the social institutions, social policies, and the culture that these institutions and policies create, are implemented to serve the interests of the oligarchs, not the interests of the general population. The general population provides labor services, fights wars, and endures the poverty, environmental, emotional, and physical injuries which these societies cause in order to produce wealth (goods and services) for the oligarchs.

The oligarchs take most of the wealth and exercise the most control. This is a common theme of all human societies throughout history. Upon close examination, societies which are portrayed as being egalitarian e.g democratic, are based on exploitation of large numbers of the population.

A good example is the city state of Athens/Attica. This city is described in western culture as the birth place of democracy. Democracy here being defined as "rule by the people". The fact is "based on [Thucydides](#), the Athenian citizens at the beginning of the [Peloponnesian War](#) were 40,000, making with their families a total of 140,000. The [metics](#), i.e. those who did not have citizen rights and paid for the right to reside in Athens were 70,000 while [slaves](#) were estimated between 150,000 to 400,000.^[1] Hence, approximately 6.5 to 11% of the population were adult male citizens, eligible to meet and vote in the Assembly and be elected to office."

The only people who could participate in the "democracy" were the men who numbered around 40,000. Of that 40,000 only 6,000 were required to form a Forum where political decisions were made and political power exercised through a voting process. Our own experience tells us that the probability is high that a large percentage of those 6,000 people were wealthy members of the business class. Our own experience and the perspective of history tells us that the probability is also high that these wealthy members used their wealth and power to influence and control large blocks of voters in order to exert control over the political system for their own interests.

This means between appr 42% of the population were slaves.

This means that the "birth place of democracy" was a city state with a population between 360,000 and 610,000 people (42% of which were slaves) who were ruled by 6,000 members (0.09 % worst case, 1.6% best case) of that population. Even if all 40,000 participated in the political process we are talking about between 6.5% and 11% best case of the population in a position to completely control the general population.

This is hardly the image of "democracy" that is taught in the school systems and which exists as part of the cultural mythology. However, this does show us a model or template of a society which is based on stealing the life energy (labor and productivity) of a large population of people held in bondage (slaves). How exactly is a thinking individual supposed to hold this type of system up as the "flower of civilization"? In addition

Let's look at the definitions of democracy and oligarchy. The following definitions are from Wikipedia but you will find the same basic definitions everywhere you search.

- **Oligarchy** ([Greek](#) Ὀλιγαρχία, *Oligarkhía*) is a [form of government](#) where [political power](#) effectively rests with a small [elite](#) segment of society (whether distinguished by wealth, family, military powers or

spiritual hegemony). The word *oligarchy* is translated into "rule by few." Compare with [autocracy](#) (rule by one person) and [democracy](#) (rule by the people).

- **Democracy** is a system of government by which political sovereignty is retained by the people and exercised directly by citizens. In modern times it has also been used to refer to a constitutional republic where the people have a voice through their elected representatives. It is derived from the [Greek δημοκρατία](#) ([\[dimokratia\]](#) ([help](#)·[info](#))), "popular government"[\[1\]](#) which was coined from *δήμος* (*dēmos*), "people" and *κράτος* (*kratos*), "rule, strength" in the middle of the 5th century BC to denote the political systems then existing in some Greek city-states, notably [Athens](#).[\[2\]](#)
- In political theory, *democracy* describes a small number of related [forms of government](#) and also a [political philosophy](#). ***Even though there is no universally accepted definition of 'democracy'***,[\[3\]](#) there are two principles that any definition of democracy . **The first principle is that all members of the society have equal access to power and the second that all members enjoy universally recognized freedoms and liberties.**[\[4\]](#)[\[5\]](#)[\[6\]](#)

Based on the fact that Athens was ruled by an average of 5.5% of it's population and had appr. 42% of it's population as slaves , there is no way this city can be considered a democracy as the word is used in the common popular vocabulary and understanding. Athens was a democracy for the OLIGARCHS.

If you look at societies that were based on a model of autocracy or monarchy, you find that even in the systems where power appeared to be concentrated in the hands of one individual e.g. a king or emperor, these individuals were surrounded by other individuals who exercised their own degrees of power. No one individual can control large numbers of other people without their consent. It is physically impossible. This means that any of these kings or emperors must have the consent of a majority those they give orders to otherwise they could not "rule". This is a "democratic" process. The majority agrees to a course of action. The only difference between the "democracy" of Athens and any other oligarchic state was the formalization of this process into an institution. It did nothing to change the basic oligarchic structure where "...political power effectively rests with a small elite segment of society..."

We see in the definition of Democracy above how the popular mythology taught to the general population distorts the reality to provide the general population with the illusion of control while true control is retained by the oligarchs.

This model, control by oligarchs, is the same all over the world and is reflected in every society. The degree to which the oligarchs can manage to be in control is a function of the size of the population, the technology available for the oligarchs to leverage in controlling the population and this includes military technology as well as information technology, and the type of cultural programming which the general population receives.

On the one hand it is argued that logistics alone require that a small subset of the population administer the social institutions and that it is not possible for everyone in a society to be involved in every political decision. This true. But this is not a justification for a percentage of the population to control the political process that affects the entire society for their own benefit and to the detriment of the general population.

It is also argued (by Plato no less) that the general population does not have the background and training necessary to function in the political arena. In most societies this is true. But that doesn't mean that it has to be that way. Citizens can and should receive all the necessary "education" required for them to understand and participate in controlling their own society.

Here we are, 2000 years after the "birth of democracy" and still the general population provides labor services, fights wars, and endures the poverty, environmental, emotional, and physical injuries which these societies cause in order to produce wealth (goods and services) for the oligarchs.

In order to change the course of human history so that we can have a true egalitarian society where the benefits of the social order are provided to all members of the population, we must recognize the true nature of the existing societies and base any solutions on that reality. Otherwise we just change the label on the same old system.

Basic model describing the architecture of the oligarchic system.

- 1) Oligarchs
- 2) those who support the oligarchs, get paid off for their support , and who depend on the system for their livelihood.
- 3) those who are held captive by the oligarchic system.

In an tyrannical system such as a kingdom or empire, the categories are:

Oligarchs	King, aristocrats, wealthy and powerful	Upper Class
Supporters	Those whose livelihoods are based on providing goods and services for the oligarchs. e.g Merchants, religious figures, military.	Middle Class
Captives	Population who produces goods and services.	Lower Class

In an "democratic" system such as a republic the categories are:

Oligarchs	Heads of corporations, heads of governmental institutions, wealthy and powerful	Upper Class
Supporters	Those whose livelihoods are based on providing goods and services to the oligarchs. e.g Merchants , administrators of social institutions such as education, military, police, religion, etc.	Middle Class
Captives	Population who produces goods and services.	Lower Class

Note on the capitalist "economic" system from Thomas Jefferson

"[Capitalism] is not a success. It is not intelligent, it is not beautiful, it is not just, it is not virtuous — and it doesn't deliver the goods. In short, we dislike it, and we are beginning to despise it. But when we wonder what to put in its place, we are extremely perplexed." Thomas Jefferson

Revealingly, the southern plantation agricultural model is a capitalist model. It is based on the model or template of a society which is based on stealing the life energy (labor and productivity) of a large population of people held in bondage (slaves). Applying the model of oligarchy above we see the same pattern:

Oligarchs	Owner of the plantation and his/her family.	Upper Class
Supporters	Those whose livelihoods are based on providing goods and services to the oligarchs. Slaves who worked in the house or other more skilled functions and whose "place" was dependent on the good will of the oligarchs and the upper class. These were the "house niggers".	Middle Class
Captives	Population who produces goods and services. The majority of the slaves who worked a menial labor and provided the bulk of the construction, food production and related goods and services. These were the "field niggers".	Lower Class

The plantation oligarchic model is based on physical enslavement of the field niggers and compromise and unspoken complicity of the house niggers. History shows that physical enslavement is not scalable. The cost and logistics become insupportable. So a new social model was created where large numbers of people distributed across the entire planet could be enslaved using economic means (backed up by military power to be sure). However, this social model has nothing to do with the economic model. Both forms of enslavement exist under "capitalism".

Capitalism is simply the most effective, efficient, and widespread system whereby the wealthy can exploit the general population while hiding behind a facade of an economic ideology purportedly based on egalitarian principles. Distribution of goods and services to the middle class to "buy them off" for supporting and participating in the system (house niggers), and infrastructure to support the bare minimum of necessities to the lower class which are providing all menial services (field niggers), is most efficiently done in a capitalist system. In addition, the system provides for a propaganda machinery which is used to hide, distort, and neutralize the impact of information which comes to light regarding the true nature of how wealth is generated for all levels i.e through the exploitation of human beings held in psychological, economic, and physical slavery.

For the purpose of this discussion we define capitalism as an economic system where means of production are mostly privately owned and operated for profit. Investments, distribution, income, production and pricing of goods and services are determined through the operation of a free market. This system includes the right of individuals and **groups of individuals acting as "legal persons"** or corporations to trade capital goods, labor, land, and money.

Point number 1: Means of production are privately owned and controlled by a small fraction of the population.

Point number 2: Means of production are operated for profit, not for anything else. All considerations are subordinate to profit.

Point number 3: There is no free market if the market can and is manipulated and controlled by those in power.

Question: Isn't this system ideal for [psychopaths](#)? The most successful capitalist (**individual or business/corporation**) is one who:

1. Never performs any action unless they determine it can be beneficial for themselves (profit).
2. Has a complete disregard for any sense of social obligation (all considerations are subordinate to profit).
3. Is egocentric and lacks insight of any sense of responsibility or consequence (consequences are only seen in terms of impact to profit).
4. Has emotions that are superficial and shallow, if they exist at all. (This enables them to take actions that have horrific effects on others, i.e. employees, populations that "in the way of progress," etc.) Corporations cannot have "emotions;" they are inhuman entities.
5. Are considered callous, manipulative, and incapable of forming lasting relationships, let alone showing any kind of meaningful love.
6. Uses charm, manipulation, intimidation, and violence to control others.

Capitalism is just the most modern institutionalized system of oligarchic control.

The Strategic Social Architecture view

As noted above, it is my contention that no social/economic system will work (for the benefit of the people) if oligarchs are in control.

Democracy, Socialism, Communism, Populism, Republicanism, Dictatorship, Monarchy, etc. In the end ***it is not about the system***, it is about the characteristics of the people who are controlling and supporting the system. Yet the general population along with most of the "intellectuals" waste their time arguing about which "system" is better. The measure of a social system is the type of people it produces and the resulting social reality. And it is the social REALITY which should be discussed. If the society produces field slaves of every race, house slaves of every race, and oligarchs of every race, then it doesn't matter what the structure is or what label you put on it. Fancy labels are nothing but lipstick on a pig.

It is my contention that human society is under the control of a small percentage of the population and that this small percentage are psychopaths/sociaopaths. The result of the actions of these people and their systems of exploitation, manipulation, and abuse have placed the human species on a collision course with extinction. The root cause of all the social threats we face, both individually and as a species, can be traced back to this one source. Whether war, pandemic, poverty, genocide, exhaustion of resources, extinction of species or pollution, all are the predictable consequences of the activities of this group and the resulting inability of people to work together to successfully resolve these social problems.

All these threats stem from a single social cause - the oligarchs and those who support them. These threats are social problems and the social problems are endemic to all the modern societies globally. All modern societies across the planet are the same because they're based on **oligarchies of exploitive and manipulative people controlling an underclass of what are functionally slaves.** Whether the people are held in economic

bondage or physical bondage, the result is the same – the oligarchy is stealing the life force, life energy, and life work of these people.

At the global level it is my belief that if we continue business as usual we will die as a species.

At the personal level it is my belief that if we continue business as usual we have ahead of us a future of horror that is almost unimaginable. All the horrors of the past and present multiplied a hundred times by the effects of technology. If you are not terrified, then you don't have any idea of what is going on or what is coming.

There are many groups of people working to address the various social problems but their approach is to focus on the symptoms not the disease. The efforts of organizations that work to help people in poverty or the victims of violence are at best a holding action and at worst are a rescue operation. Both are needed. But they will never address the source of the problem.

If you treat the symptoms and not the disease, you will not be successful. However, to treat the disease you must first be able to identify what the disease is. [Perspective of treating the symptoms and not the disease](#)

1. *Encyclopedia Of Ancient Greece* (ed. by Nigel Guy Wilson). Routledge (UK), 2006. [ISBN 0-415-97334-1](#). Pages 214, 215.