

Investigation
Progress Notes

*****WARNING*****
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

CASE NAME: Ingoglia, Margaret
STAGE NAME: Ingoglia, Margaret

CASE ID: 26871553
STAGE ID: 32053647

1 Hour

CPSS conducted face to face contact with BM, Margaret and Joe at the family shelter.

BM appeared nervous and inquired as to how many ACS workers were going to be coming to the home. CPSS explained that due to the time that the report came in that ECS responded to the initial call. CPSS explained that the assigned CPS is not in today and CPSS was making a courtesy visit on CPS behalf.

BM explained that she knows that it's BF trying to harass her.

CPSS [REDACTED]. The room has a queen size bed and a pull out sofa. BM reported that Margaret aka Minnie sleeps in the bed with her and that Joe sleeps on the pull out couch. CPS observed counters of food in serving containers. CPS inquired if they are provided with food and BM stated yes and further stated that they go to the 6th floor to heat the food up.

CONTRADICTS HERSELF

BM reported that the child Minnie was jumping from the bed to the nightstand that was next the bed. BM stated that she kept asking Minnie to stop and eventually the child bumped her head on the nightstand. CPSS inquired when this occurred and BM stated that it occurred last week.

CPSS observed the child with a very faint blemish on her forehead, barely noticeable on the child's pale skin. CPSS asked Margaret about her "boo boo". Minnie stated that she was playing and demonstrated her self playing and she showed CPSS the wall close to the sofa and pointed to the thermostat that was on the wall. CPSS observed that the thermostat is not even at her height but at adult level. CPSS discussed with Minnie about her body parts. She was not able to identify her parts. When CPS asked if someone touches her different body parts, the child did not understand.

MINNIE STPD IN VIDEO STATEMENT SHE WAS TROWN

CPSS assessed that the child is not at the developmental level to understand and not on task for her age. Child was observed to be running around the room with her brother. She was climbing on and off the bed and a little roughhousing with her brother. The child did not appear fearful of BM or Joe. Child at this time, does not understand any concept of time, and appears that she can only report what is in the now and what is happening right now. Child is very verbal but does not understand much. CPSS asked the child her age and she did not know her age and did not attempt to hold up any amount of fingers to attempt to show her age. Child was observed to be very playful.

NOT SO.

CPSS asked BM if anyone ever spoke to her about an early intervention referral for child and she stated that in the past BF declined early intervention referral for the child. CPSS informed that if she was considering putting the child in school, that the child needs to be evaluated. BM stated that she was fine with that. BM gave CPSS some background information on the relationship between her and BF. CPSS informed her that CPSS was fully aware of the dynamics and informed BM that she was in a domestic violence relationship. CPSS educated BM and informed her that DV is not just physical but it can be in the form of financial, control and emotional. CPSS informed that she would benefit from DV counseling and she stated that she would participate.

BM stated that she has a case planner with Catholic Charities. Ms. Gibbs and that she was at the room earlier. BM stated that she didn't want to lose the case manager due to her location. CPSS stated that the CP will work with her until

Investigation
Progress Notes

*****WARNING*****
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

CASE NAME: Ingoglia, Margaret
STAGE NAME: Ingoglia, Margaret

CASE ID: 26871553
STAGE ID: 32053647

Margaret with Joe, they came home to find Margaret naked in the bathtub saying "penis" three times. Additionally, Margaret would move her hand manipulating masturbation while saying "penis". On another occasion the mother witnessed Joe throw Margaret and then discussed with him that he could have killed her throwing her the way that he did. The source did not have an address for the mother and children citing that they are kind of in ACS custody. Further details are unknown.

yelled

NOT QUITE SO. VIEW
POLICE REPORT.

Additional Information report was received.

Miscellaneous Information:

Additional Information: 14 year old Joe pushed Margaret age 3, causing her to sustain a bruise to the forehead and some scratches on the forehead. The mother does not appear to be neglectful with the information source has available.

Law Enforcement will be pursuing charges against Joe the child for the incident.

The father Robert, had noticed this injury during his visit at the ACS center. He then went to the Precinct to report the information.

Locating Information:

The children are believed to be home with the mother. 2

Red Flags: Inadequate Guardianship and Sexual Abuse, History of Mental health, history of emotional Domestic Violence, and current Article X petition in Family Court. 3

Trends and Patterns: Inadequate Guardianship 4

Hypotheses: Child Joe is physically abusing his younger sister Margaret. 5

Child Joe is having an inappropriate sexual 6

CPSS spoke with CPS Adebo and CPS Peterson whom both assisted with a supervised visit conducted on 8/9/18 with BF and child Margaret aka Minnie. 7

CPS Adebo stated that BF brought to CPS attention that she had a mark on her face. CPS Adebo reported that it was an old slight scratch on the child's forehead. CPS reported that BF was asking the child about the scratch but CPS was unable to hear the child's response and the CPS reported that the child mumbles and at times the child is inaudible. CPS also reported that BF spoke with the supervisor in regards to the concern of the scratch. 8

CPS Peterson stated that BF was coaching the child and asking her about the mark on her face, asking the child if Joe did it. CPS reported that the child stated that Joe did not do it and that the father persisted. CPS reported that she reported to the supervisor in regards to BF coaching the child about what was described as a faint, old scratch on her face. 9

ECS initiated the current report. Successful contact was made with BM and children. The concerns of the subsequent report was assessed and discussed with the family, however, there was no noted discussion in regards to the Sex Abuse allegation. 10

- Covering CPS to engage the children in regards around their relationship and any sex abuse. 11
- Covering CPS to assess any sex abuse concerns and contact CPM and CPSS to notify of concerns to determine if the case needs to be progressed to an IRT. 12

CPS to update CPSS on assessment and investigation for further guidance and directives. 13

*****End of Note*****