

I, ROBERT MALEK, SWEAR TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE + BELIEF
THE FOLLOWING:

HEAD INJURY PRESENTATION, ETC.
- INJURIES UP UNTIL JAN. 1, 2019 -
" DECEPTION, CONTRADICTION, IMPEACHMENT "

THE FOLLOWING IS CLEAR EVIDENCE MY DAUGHTER WAS INTENTIONALLY ASSAULTED
VIA IMPEACHMENT BY DECEPTIVE CONTRADICTIONARY STATEMENTS MADE BY MOTHER
MARGARET AND JOE PALOMINO :

FAMILY SERVICES PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 50: EXHIBIT A

PARAGRAPH 7

" CHILD AT THIS TIME, DOES NOT UNDERSTAND ANY CONCEPT OF TIME " IT FURTHER STATES
THAT IT APPEARS THAT SHE CAN ONLY REPORT WHAT IS IN THE NOW AND WHAT IS
HAPPENING RIGHT.NOW."

ACS COMMENT IS ONE THAT IS CONCLUSATORY AND OBVIOUSLY FALSE SINCE SHE IS
REPORTING ON THE PAST. SHE WAS ABLE TO REPORT WHAT HAD HAPPENED TO HER
PREVIOUSLY. **OBVIOUS CONTRADICTION** TO ACS FAULTY CONCLUSION. HOW CAN ANY
HUMAN BE ABLE TO REPORT PRESENT PAST OR ANY TIME ACCURATELY WHEN THEY ARE
STRIPPED OF PHONE, WATCH OR CALENDAR AS WAS MY DAUGHTER, MARGARET MALEK. BY
MOTHER MARGARET TAKING HER PAW PATROL WATCHES I BOUGHT FOR MINNIE
REPEATEDLY. SHE IS IMPEDING HER ABILITY TO REPORT HER INJURIES. THIS IS FURTHER
ABUSE, NOT MENTAL INCAPACITY.

*This is testimony of just how smart my daughter is and just how corrupt acs is. Even
without a watch or phone, Minnie states in paragraph 6 Page 50 EXHIBIT A, regarding
being thrown , the thermostat on the wall. ACS disregards her statement because it is not
even at her height, but at adult level. ACS refused to view my video of her statement of what
happened to her. That Joe threw her.*

HOW DID SHE GET UP TO ADULT HEIGHT? SHE DIDN'T FLY.

The EXCUSE that minnie stated she was playing is absurd. Minnie did not have the vocabulary to express the
difference between playing and intentional assault guised by playing. She was only 3. The video has to be

viewed. She said Joe was a bad man, etc...

The following further contradictory statements of her assault are apparent :

1. CPS DID DISCUSS ADEQUATE SUPERVISIOIN OF THE CH MARGARET TO ENSURE THAT SHE DOES NOT SUSTAIN ANY MORE MARKS AND BRUISES AS A RESULT OF RUNNING INTO FURNITURE THAN CAN POTENTIALLY REALLY HURT THE CHILD. (AND MINNIE WASN'T REALLY HURT ?)PAGE 46 INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES. (PLAYING WITH HERSELF.) EXHIBIT B.

2. CPS ASKED THE CHILD JOE PAGE 47 INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PARAGRAPH 7 HOW DID HIS SISTER SUSTAIN THE BRUISE TO HER FOREHEAD EXHIBIT C AND HE STATED THAT SHE WAS PLAYING ROUGH (WITH WHO ? HERSELF ? SO THE 3 YEAR OLD FEMALE WAS PLAYING ROUGH WITH THE 14 YEAR OLD MALE WHOM IS 5 FOOT 8 AND ABOUT 200 POUNDS ?)IN THE HOTEL ROOM AND RAN INTO FURNITURE. WAIT, IS SHE PLAYING WITH HERSELF NOW ? IN PARAGRAPH 1, THE MOTHER STATES THAT MINNIE WAS RUNING AROUND THE ROOM AND HIT HER HEAD ON THE END OF A NIGHT STAND IN THE ROOM..

(HOWEVER, NIGHT STANDS DONT CAUSE SCRATCHES. ONLY BLUNT FORCE TRAUMA. IN ADDITION, THE HEIGHT OF THE EDGE OF THE NIGHTSTAND WOULD NOT MATCH UP WITH THE INJURY TO MINNIES HEAD AND THE SCRATCH MARKS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE LINES OF A THERMOSTAT. THIS IS A VERY SIMPLE CRIME TO PROVE OR DISPROVE.)

I AM REQUESTING A COURT ORDER TO GO TO THE ROOM WITH A DETECTIVE TO TAKE PICTURES OF THE THERMOSTAT AND THE NIGHTSTAND WITH TAPE MEASURE THAT I HAVE WITH ME TODAY. I AM ALSO REQUESTING YOU CALL THE STEWART HOTEL AND ORDER THAT THEY ARE TO REMOVE NOTHING FROM THE ROOM.

I AM ALSO REQUESTING AN ORDER FOR THE DETECTIVE AND I TO QUESTION THE STAFF AT THE HOTEL REGARDING THIS AND OTHER INCIDENTS....

BUT WAIT.... A DIFFERENT STORY NOW.....

3. BOTH THE MOTHER AND THE 14 YEAR OLD STATED THAT THE CHILD FELL. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 49. EXHIBIT D. PARAGRAPH 1. SO HERE MY DAUGHTER DIDN'T HIT INTO ANYTHING AT ALL. PLAYING WITH HERSELF AND FELL.

. IN PARAGRAPH 5 OF INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES, PAGE 50 EXHIBIT A, PARAGRAPH 5, BM REPORTED THAT THE CHILD MINNIE WAS JUMPING FROM THE BED TO THE

NIGHTSTAND THAT WAS NEXT TO THE BED. BM STATED THAT SHE KEPT ASKING MINNIE TO STOP AND EVENTUALLY THE CHILD BUMPED HER HEAD ON THE NIGHTSTAND. (MINNIE PLAYING WITH HERSELF AND ANOTHER STORY OF HOW INJURY OCCURRED.)CPS INQUIRED WHEN THIS OCCURRED AND BM STATED THAT IT OCCURRED LAST WEEK (SUCH STATEMENT IS A LIE ON THE PART OF MARGARET INGOGLIA. THE INJURY OCCURRED BETWEEN 8-8 AND 8-9.- THE DAY I SAW MY DAUGHTER. EXHIBIT E. PARAGRAPH 2. PAGE 40. AND EXHIBIT H PARAGRAPH 1. THE COMMENTS ABOVE WERE MADE 8-9 AND 8-11. FURTHERMORE, THE CHILD WAS JUMPING FROM THE BED TO THE NIGHTSTAND ???? NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND ??? IMPOSSIBLE. WHAT CHILD DOES THAT ? HERE SHE IS PLAYING WITH HERSELF. HMM. IF A CHILD WERE TO JUMP FROM A BED TO A NIGHTSTAND AS CRAZY AS THIS WOULD BE, A CHILD WOULD BE HURT THE FIRST TIME AND IT WOULDN'T BE THE CHILDS HEAD. IT WOULD BE THE CHILDS KNEES / SHINS. A CHILD CAN BREAK A BONE THIS WAY OR ANY HUMAN FOR THAT MATTER. JUMP FROM A BED TO A SOLID WOOD NIGHTSTAND REPEATEDLY ? IMPOSSIBLE.

5. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES EXHIBIT E PAGE 40 PAGE 40 STATES THAT LAST VISIT AS PER CASE NOTES WAS 8-7-18. A HOME VISIT TO THE SHELTER WAS ALSO MADE ON 8-7-18. CHILDREN WERE SEEN, NO INJURIES. (PROVING THAT THIS WAS INJURY NOT VERY FAINT. IT WAS RECENT.) EXHIBIT A. PG 50 PARAGRAPH 6. , EXHIBIT E PARAGRAPH 2

6. GO TO EXHIBIT A WHERE IT STATES IN PARAGRAPH 6 PAGE 50 A VERY FAINT BLEMISH AND PARAGRAPH 8 PAGE 56 AN OLD SLIGHT SCRATCH... AND PARAGRAPH 9 A FAINT OLD SCRATCH. DO THESE PICTURES LOOK LIKE AN OLD SLIGHT SCRATCH OR VERY FAINT BLEMISH ? NO.

6A. WHEN WE REFER TO THE CPS REPORT FROM ALBANY, EXHIBIT F, WE HAVE MOTHER MARGARET STATING THAT MY DAUGHTER WAS PLAYING WITH JOE AND HURT HERSELF. SO HERE SHE IS PLAYING WITH JOE.

7. IN POLICE INVESTIGATION OBTAINED FROM FOIL : EXHIBIT G PAGE 11 OF 13 On August 23, 2018, at approximately 1400 hours I followed up with ACS Supervisor Mr. Fortune regarding their findings of the case. Mr. Fortune reports that both suspect (Joe Palomino) and mother (Margaret Incognito) were interviewed by Mr. Fortune. Mr. Fortune reports that both parties were consistent with their stories as to how victim sustained injuries. (IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THEY WERE NOT CONSISTENT AND MR FORTUNE LIED TO A POLICE DETECTIVE REGARDING THE INVESTIGATION OF AN ASSAULT OF A CHILD)

*They both report that she was running around the room and bumped into furniture,(SINGULAR AND NOT TRUE. THEY HAD DIFFERENT STORIES.) sustaining injury to head. Mrs. Incognito reports that she did not observe Joe throw his sister and strike her in any anyway. *Instead she observed Margaret running around, bumping (PLURAL) into furniture. - SO WHY DIDN'T SHE HAVE SEVERAL INJURIES THEN ?(STORY AFTER STORY)**

She reports that Mr. Malek does not reside with them so he does not know what happened. APPARENTLY NEITHER DO THEY BUT MINNIE HASN'T CHANGED HER STORY AT ALL.

NOR HAVE I.) Mr. Fortune reports that he observed Mr. Malek coaching victim when he was recording her speak about incident. "He then informed Mr. Malek that he is not supposed to interview child in that manner. HE WAS NOT PRESENT AND THE ACS INVESTIGATION NOTES CLEARLY SHOW HE WAS NOT THERE. EXHIBIT A PAGE 56 PARAGRAPH 9 FURTHERMORE, IF HE DID OBSERVE THEN WHY DIDN'T HE SAY SOMETHING WHERE IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ON TAPE ? REGARDLESS, CPS PETERSON STATED IN THE ACS INVESTIGATION NOTES PROVIDED IN ATTACHMENTS THE FOLLOWING: " CPS REPORTED THAT SHE REPORTED TO THE SUPERVISOR IN REGARDS TO THE BIOLOGICAL FATHER COACHING THE CHILD AS WHAT WAS DESCRIBED AS A FAINT OLD SCRACH ON THE CHILDS FACE. SO WHY WOULD PETERSON BE REPORTING TO FORTUNE IF HE WAS THERE WITH HER ? MAKES NO SENSE. HE WAS NOT AND FORTUNE IS NOT MENTIONED IN THE ACS NOTES AS BEING PRESENT IN EXHIBIT A.

SO HERE IN THIS INSTANCE, SHE IS PLAYING WITH HERSELF, RUNNING AROUND, BUMPING INTO FURNITURE, PLURAL , LIKE A OVERDOSED INSANE DRUG ADDICT.

THERE IS YET ANOTHER STORY..... KEEP IN MIND, MY DAUGHTERS STORY NEVER CHANGED....

ON PAGE 9 OF 13 OF POLICE INVESTIGATION BY BRUNETTI, IT STATES MARGARET INGOGLIA INFORMED FORTUNE THE BABY HAD JUST BANGED HER HEAD ON THE BED..... ANOTHER STORY (WHICH WOULDNT CAUSE SCRATCHES). EXHIBIT H

NOT A BABY

FORTUNE CLEARLY LIED TO BRUNETTI TO CLOSE THE CASE STATING THAT MARGARET AND JOES STATMENTS WERE CONSISTENT, MINNIE WAS NOT THROWN AND HE WITNESSED ME COACHING MY CHILD. ALL OF WHICH WHICH ARE NOT TRUE.

WITHIN 20 MINUTES, BRUNETTI CLOSED THE CASE.....EXHIBIT G
PAGE 12 OF 13..

BOTH ACS WORKERS, PETERSON AND ADEBO STATE JUST A OLD SCRATCH EXHIBIT A
PAGE 50 PARAGRAPHS 8-9. WHEN OBVIOUSLY IT WAS MORE THAN A SCRATCH.

FURTHERMORE, NO RISK RATING ?

EXHIBIT I

ACS CLOSES AS DUPLICATE. DUPLICATE OF WHAT ? EXHIBIT I

WHEN ACS WAS REQUESTED OF THE NOTES OF THIS INVESTIGATION THAT I HAD RECEIVED FROM MY LAWYER AS DISCOVERY, THEY VIOLATE MY FOIL RIGHTS, EXHIBIT J , AS THEY HAVE VIOLATED SINCE BY NOT PROVIDING ME WITH DISCOVERY SINCE JAN 7, 2019. IT IS CLEARLY EXHIBITED THROUGHOUT THIS PRESENTATION THAT THEY HAVE MUCH TO HIDE.

THIS PRESENTATION CLEARLY SHOWS THAT NEITHER ACS, NOR MARGARET INGOGLIA NOR JOE PALOMINO NOR TRAVIS JOHNSON ARE PROPER GUARDIANS OF MY DAUGHTER AS I AM DOING NOW AND SINCE I HAVE TAKEN OVER THIS CASE. THERE IS NO BETTER GUARDIAN OF MY DAUGHTER THAN I, WHILE TRAVIS JOHNSON AND AMY SERLIN REFUSE TO TURN OVER THE HAND WRITTEN NOTES OF OCTOBER 19, 2018 (DANA AND GWYNETH) AND THE ACS WORKER AS WELL TAKING HAND WRITTEN NOTES WHERE MY DAUGHTER BEGGED AND PLEADED TO GO HOME WITH DADDY. THAT SHE WAS SCARED OF MOMMY AND JOE. ON THIS DAY, I GAVE HER MY JACKET TO MAKE HER FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE AND SAFE. MARGARET AND JOE TOOK MY JACKET FROM HER.

WE WILL GET TO THAT VIDEO PRESENTATION LATER...

EXHIBIT J

UNTO THE ABOVE FORE MENTIONED 77 PCT POLICE PRECINCT INVESTIGATION.....

EXHIBIT K.

PG 1:

1. WHY IS MY DAUGHTER BEING ASSAULTED ONLY BEING CLASSIFIED AS CODE OF ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD ? WHAT THIS SHOULD READ IS ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD IN RELATION TO THE MOTHER AND ASSAULT FROM JOE.

2. CHILD IN COMMON ? NO..... WELL, ER... I BELIEVE MY NAME IS ON THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE AND PATERNITY SHEET FROM THE HOSPITAL. TO ONE HAS ALLEGED THAT MARGARET

MALEK IS NOT, I, ROBERT MALEK'S DAUGHTER.

PG 2:

1. IS VICTIM FEARFUL FOR THEIR SAFETY / LIFE ? YES.
2. ESCALATING VIOLENCE / ABUSE BY SUSPECT ? YES.
3. EMAIL IS INCORRECT. IT IS ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM
4. PHYSICAL FORCE : USED

PAGE 3:

1. INJURY USING PHYSICAL FORCE

PAGES 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19

CRIME / CONDITION ? BLANK. WHY ?

PAGE 10

INJURED ? YES, BRUISE TO FOREHEAD.

VISIBLE MARKS ? YES -BRUISING

OFFENSE DESCRIPTION ? SEX CRIMES ?????!!!!!!

HAS PHYSICAL VIOLENCE INCREASED IN FREQUENCY OR SEVERITY OVER THE PAST 6 MONTHS ? YES.

IS SUSPECT CAPABLE OF KILLING YOU OR YOUR CHILDREN ? YES.

PAGE 11 :

IS THERE REASONABLE CAUSE TO SUSPECT A CHILD MAY BE THE VICTIM OF ABUSE, NEGLECT OR MALTREATMENT OR ENDANGERMENT ? BLANK ! WHY?

PAGE 16 :

CRIME / CONDITION : SEX CRIMES ?????? !!!!!!!

ON PAGE 16 IT STATES THAT I SAID THAT MY DAUGHTERS MENTAL HEALTH IS GOOD.

HOW DID THEY DETERMINE THIS ? SHE HAS BEEN ASSAULTED AND HER FATHER HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY. I AM SURE SHE IS NOT DOING TOO WELL, NOR WOULD ANY PERSON, YOUNG OR OLD.

PAGE 17 :

CRIME / CONDITION : **BLANK.**

DATE CASE ASSIGNED : **BLANK**

7, 8, 12, 14, 15, 17,18

PAGE 19 - 20

CRIME CONDITION : **BLANK**

DATE CASE ASSIGNED : **BLANK**

DETAILS : THIS PAGE IS VERY IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS UNDER DETAILS WHERE THE 77 PCT ARE HIDING THE OCTOBER 11 ASSAULT INCIDENT WHERE THE AUGUST 9 DETAILS SHOULD BE...

1. NOTE THAT SHE HAS BRUISE ON HER FOREHEAD.

2. MOTHER OF CHILD STATES THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME HER STEP BROTHER 14 YEAR OLD INJURED HER.

3. CALLER NAME: ACS NEW YORK CITY - (NOT MR. MALEK !) NOTE : EXHIBIT L PAGE 7. MR. MALEK YELLED AND CALLED 911)

TURN BACK TO EXHIBIT K PAGE 19...

4. EMS UNIT DISPATCHED

5. EMS JOB NUMBER

6. EMS OPERATOR NUMBER

7. MOTHER OF CHILD STATES THAT THE STEP BROTHER HAS A MENTAL HISTORY !

8. EMS UNIT ON SCENE

9. HOSPITAL CODE

10. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL

11. NO OFFENSE ALLEGED ?? WHY ?

12. ASSAULT PAST FAMILY (JOE BEATING HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS, MY DAUGHTERS PREVIOUS ASSAULT OF AUGUST 9, 2018).

13. ASSAULT PAST FAMILY (JOE BEATING HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS AND PREVIOUS ASSAULT OF MY DAUGHTER BY JOE, SUCH AS 8-09-18.

14. ASSAULT PAST FAMILY ((JOE BEATING HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS AND ASSAULT OF MY DAUGHTER ON 8-09-18))

NOW NOTE HOW THE INVESTIGATION GOES BACK TO AUGUST 9 ON PAGE 21 !!!!!!!!!!!
HOWEVER, BEFORE WE DO, LETS CONTINUE WITH OCTOBER 11, 2018 WHICH IS THE DAY THAT IMMEDIATELY THE NEXT DAY, ACS WENT TO SHUT DOWN MY VISITS FOR CALLING 911 FOR MY DAUGHTER !!!!!!!!!!! HUDSON CLAIMING UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT I YELLED AND CALLED 911 WHICH THE TAPE PROVES I DID NOT YELL. ALMONTE CLAIMED IN HER AFFIDAVIT THAT I INTERROGATE MY DAUGHTER (PLURAL) WHEN HUDSON NEVER STATED THIS IN HER AFFIDAVIT ALMONTE MAKES UP HER OWN STORIES UNDER OATH, EXHIBIT L. COMPARE EXHIBIT L PAGE 4 TO HUDSONS AFFIDAVIT.

OCTOBER 11 INCIDENT FURTHER, GO TO EXHIBIT M.

PAGE 1. NOTE, THERE WAS NEVER AN INVESTIGATION ! NOT BY ACS OR NYPD.

ONLY INCIDENT REPORT

ACS THEN FILES MOTION TO PUNISH ME BY TRYING TO SHUT DOWN MY VISITATION FOR ME CALLING 911 FOR MY DAUGHTER.

2. UPON VIEWING THE INCIDENT REPORT, PAGE 1, NOTE THAT THE INJURY I SAW ON MY DAUGHTERS HEAD WAS NOT FRESH. IT HAPPENED 6 DAYS EARLIER WHILE SHE WAS AT THE SHELTER WITH HER MOTHER AND STEP BROTHER.

3. INJURED ? YES !

4. IN PAIN ? YES !

5. EVIDENCE PRESENT ? NO. ANSWER HERE SHOULD BE YES. HOWEVER, I WAS AUDIO RECORDING, UNKNOWN TO THE POLICE. MY DAUGHTER SAID JOE THREW HER.

6 . PHOTOS TAKEN ? YES. VICTIM INJURIES.

7. Is there reasonable cause to suspect a child may be the victim of abuse, neglect, maltreatment or endangerment ? NO ANSWER !

PAGE 2 :

1. MOTHER DOESNT KNOW HOW INJURY OCCURRED ?!?!?!? ACCORDING TO SARGEANT SINNER. SO MY DAUGHTER HAS BEEN INJURED ALL THIS TIME, IN PAIN AND MARGARET DOESN'T KNOW HOW THIS HAPPENED ?!?!?. HOWEVER, WAIT !!!!!!! TURN TO BACK TO EXHIBIT H PAGE 19 AND YOU FIND WHERE MOTHER MARGARET STATES TO THE RESPONDING NYPD WORKERS THAT THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME HER STEP BROTHER HAS INJURED HER !!!!!!!!!! AND THAT HE HAS A MENTAL HEALTH HISTORY !!!!!!!!!!

WITHIN 24 HOURS SARGEANT SINNER CLOSES THE CASE WITH NO INVESTIGATION. PAGE 3 EXHIBIT M.

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF OCTOBER 11, 2018 , THE SAME DAY SINNER CLOSES INVESTIGATION ACS FILES TO SUSPEND MY VISITS FOR CALLING 911 FOR MY DAUGHTERS WELFARE AND SAFETY.

BACK TO HEAD INJURY OF AUGUST 9, 2018..... AND ANALYSIS OF THE POLICE REPORTS OF THE CRIME OF ASSAULT AND ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD AGAINST MY DAUGHTER...PAGE 21 OF EXHIBIT K :

E) NOTE COMMENTS OF SUPERVISOR MRS JOLY, WHERE SHE STATES EACH TIME, TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OFFICER SAENZ, NO SIGN OF INJURIES !?!?! ON PAGE 21. EXHIBIT K

AND THIS COMMENT WAS MADE ON 10/17/18! AFTER MY DAUGHTER WAS HOSPITALIZED 3 TIMES WITH INJURIES.

ON PAGE 22, JUST 22 MINUTES LATER, THE OFFICERS CLOSE THE CASE ON ASSAULT NUMBER ONE AND THREE OF OCTOBER 11, 2018 AND BOTH OFFICERS CONCEALED ASSAULT NUMBER 3 IN CLOSED CASE NUMBER 1. IN REFERENCE TO NO SIGN OF INJURIES, THIS CONTRADICTS NOT JUST THE TRUTH, IT CONTRADICTS EVEN MARGARETS MULTIPLE CONTRADICTORY STATEMENTS OF HOW MY DAUGHTERS HEAD INJURY OCCURRED IN THE FIRST PLACE STATED REPEATEDLY THROUGHOUT THE NOTES. THE CONTRADICTIONS TO THIS STATEMENT BY JOLY ARE CLEARLY STATED BY THE POLICE REPORTS OF BOTH OCTOBER 11 AND AUGUST 9 NOT COUNTING AUGUST 17 AS WELL...MINNIE HAS BEEN REPEATEDLY INJURED IN THREE DIFFERENT OCCURRENCES.

PAGE 22:

CASE CLOSED BY SINNER AND SAENZ., CRIME / CONDITION : SEX
CRIMES??????

WITHIN 22 MINUTES OF SPEAKING WITH FARAH JOLY, ACS THE CASE IS
CLOSED.

NO SIGN OF ENDANGERMENT OR MALTREATMENT !!!!!

NOW LET'S LISTEN TO WHAT MINNIE HAS TO SAY, TAPE COUNTER
24:48- 26:00, JOE THREW HER INTO THE WALL BECAUSE SHE WAS
CRYING.....

30:40 - 30; 50" JOE THREW ME BECAUSE I WAS CRYING "

41:45 - 42 :00 " HUDSON ADMITTED TO THERE BEING A GREEN
MARK ON HER HEAD THAT SHE " MISSED " DURING ASSESSMENT.

SO NOW LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT BRUNETTI'S INVESTIGATION, FURTHER, EXHIBIT MC.

PAGE 1:

1. SO HERE WE HAVE ONCE AGAIN..... SEX CRIMES. ??
2. ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD. AS SAID ABOVE, THIS SHOULD SAY ASSAULT IN REGARDS TO JOE, ENDAGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD IN REGARDS TO THE MOTHER.

PAGE 7 : NO SIGNS OF ABUSE BY ACS ? SO WE HAVE SEVERAL CONTRADICTORY STATEMENTS FROM MARGARET AND JOE, MY DAUGHTER POINTS TO THE THERMOSTAT ABOVE THE HEIGHT OF HER HEAD AS TO HOW SHE WAS INJURED AND STATES ON VIDEO THAT JOE THREW HER **AND THERE ARE NO SIGNS OF ABUSE ?! KHABIR STATES MARGARET STATED NO PHYSICAL CONTACT BETWEEN HER TWO CHILDREN. TURN TO EXHIBIT F THAT STATES BY ACS THAT MARGARET STATED THAT SHE WAS PLAYING WITH JOE.**

PAGE 8 : SEX CRIMES ?

PAGE 9: SEX CRIMES AGAIN....

AND NOW, WE HAVE FORTUNE STATING THAT MARGARET SAID MY DAUGHTER BANGED HER HEAD NOW..... ON THE BED ?!?!?!? ANOTHER CONTRADICTORY STORY. WHILE ON PAGE 11 FORTUNE STATED MOTHER AND JOE SAID BUMPED INTO FURNITURE,

BRUNETTI, GOES UNTO STATE ON PAGE 9 THAT MR FORTUNE INTERVIEWED THE MOTHER MARGARET INGOGLIO WHO INFORMED HIM THAT THE BABY HAD JUST BANGED HER HEAD ON THE BED. MR MALEK DID NOT BELIEVE THAT AND FILED A REPORT WITH THE POLICE. WHY SHOULD I NOT BELIEVE MY DAUGHTER ? DID NOT BELIEVE " THAT ?" FURTHERMORE, MARGARET WAS CLAIMED TO STATE THIS TO MR. FORTUNE AND NOT ME. THERE IS AN OOP IN PLACE SO I COULDN'T SPEAK TO MARGARET. THIS IS CERTAINLY NOT A TRUE SUMMARY OF WHAT HAD TRANSPIRED. SO I SHOULD NOT BELIEVE MY OWN DAUGHTER AND BELIEVE MR FORTUNE AS TO WHAT HER MOTHER DID OR DID NOT SAY ?! WHY DIDN'T MR FORTUNE, SUPERVISOR ASK MY DAUGHTER WHAT HAD HAPPENED RATHER THAN HER MOTHER AS TO WHAT HAS HAPPENED, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE MOTHER HAS A TWO TIME ARTICLE 10 LOSS BACKGROUND WITH PHYSICAL ABUSE OF JOE + TWO INDICATED (PAGE 62 EXHIBIT N).NOTE WHERE IT SAYS THERE IS A PATTERN OF INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP, LACERATIONS, BRUISES, WELTS AND LACK OF MEDICAL CARE. NEXT PAGE, EXCESSIVE CORPORAL PUNISHMENT, FAILURE TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE FOOD, CLOTHING, SHELTER, INADEQUATE SUPERVISION, MEDICAL, MENTAL ILLNESS. THEN BELOW, INADEQUATE SUPERVISION, PHYSICAL. NEXT PAGE, 06 AND 09. SO WE HAVE 06, 08 AND 09 WITH NOW TURN TO LAST PAGE OF THIS EXHIBIT, WE HAVE TWO MORE INDICATED CPS REQUIRED AND 6 MORE CASE OPEN SERVICES. JOE WAS PUT WITH HIS FATHER UNTIL HE BEAT HIM UP AND WAS SENT TO LIVE WITH US 6 MONTHS BEFORE THIS CASE WAS FILED. JOE WAS AT THE MENTAL HOSPITAL BRUNSWICK, WHOM INDICATED HE BEAT UP HIS FATHER. **EXHIBIT O FIRST PAGE, SECOND PAGE HIS ARREST, THIRD PAGE, MRS COPELAND AT SCHOOL STATING IT AS WELL. . IN ADDITION, MARGARET AND JOE THEMSELVES INDICATED JOE IS AGGRESSIVE WITH ANOTHER CHILDREN IN REGARDS TO JOE EXHIBIT O LAST PAGE.**

THERE WAS NO MENTION OF ME IN THE BRUNSWICK REPORT. IT IS CLEAR THAT JOES

MENTAL PROBLEMS WERE THE THE RESULT OF HIS MOTHER AND FATHER AND NOT ME. MY DAUGHTER WAS FINE. WHAT ALLEGATION DID ACS MAKE IN REGARDS TO MY DAUGHTER AND I IN THEIR PETITION? NOTHING.

FOR ME, **EXHIBIT P**, NOTE LETTER DISMISSING ONE OF THESE INDICATEDS LEAVING ONLY THIS PRESENT ALLEGATION AND THERE WERE NO SUSPENDED CASES AS STATED IN **EXHIBIT N PAGE 1**.

IN REFERENCE TO THE AUGUST 7 - 9 INCIDENT, WHEN I TRIED TO FOIL THE AUGUST 9 VISIT WITH ACS, ACS VIOLATED MY RIGHTS AND DENIED FOIL.....

EXHIBIT Q

ACS DID THE SAME WITH THE OCTOBER 11 INCIDENT, **EXHIBIT Q**.

LETS NOW WATCH THE VIDEO.....

I ALREADY KNEW BEFORE I TOOK THIS VIDEO THAT JOE DID THIS BECAUSE I ASKED HER WHAT HAPPENED. SHE SAID SOMETHING ABOUT JOE AND THE BED WHEN THE FIRST WORKER WAS SUPERVISING THE VISIT. I HAD NO IDEA THE WORKER WAS GOING TO BE CHANGED. AT A LATER TIME I TURNED ON MY VIDEO CAMERA AND ASKED HER WHAT HAD HAPPENED.

NOTE THAT I ASKED TO SPEAK TO SUPERVISOR MR. FORTUNE. WHY WOULD I ASK TO SPEAK TO MR. FORTUNE IF HE WAS ALREADY THERE AS HE CLAIMED TO THE DETECTIVE ?

MAKES NO SENSE.

LET'S MOVE UNTO THE AUGUST 17 INCIDENT..... **EXHIBIT R**

*PICTURE EXHIBIT Q LAST PAGE.
VIEW PROJECTOR IMAGE. MORE
PAGE 1 OF 29 (1): PRONOUNCED.*

PAGE 1 OF 29 CHILD HAS BRUISES ON HER UPPER LEFT THIGH. THE MOTHER HAS NO EXPLANATION FOR THE INJURIES. SHE HAD NO EXPLANATION FOR OCTOBER 11 EITHER TO SGT SINNER BUT HAD TOLD THE RESPONDING EMS WORKERS THAT THIS WASNT THE FIRST TIME HER 14 YEAR OLD STEP BROTHER INJURED HER.

PAGE 5 OF 29, MARGARET INGOGLIA, SEX ABUSE ???? WHAT SEX ABUSE.

PAGE 7 OF 29 BRUISE ON UPPER LEFT THIGH .

PAGE 8 OF 29 CIRCULAR BRUISES AND YES, CIRCULAR BRUISES. MOTHER HAS NO EXPLANATION.

HERE IS THE PROBLEM WITH THIS INVESTIGATION. ON AUGUST 21, WITHIN A MATTER

OF ONLY 4 DAYS, NYPD CLOSES A CASE WITHOUT DETERMINING HOW MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED. IF THE FORENSIC INTERVIEW IS VIEWED ON PAGE 28 (SUMMARY). MY DAUGHTER STATED WHERE AND WHAT HURT HER SHE STATED AIRPLANE (BLOCK). HOWEVER, BLOCK AIRPLANES DONT FLY AND DONT HURT CHILDREN.

PARAGRAPH 4 STATES THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCLOSURE MADE REGARDING THE BRUISE ON HER BUTTOCKS AREA. WHAT THIS MEANS IS SIMPLE, NYPD MADE NO DETERMINATION OF HOW THIS OCCURRED. JUST WHAT DID IT.

FEDERAL CRIMINAL REGULATION IS 10 YEARS. MINNIE CAN BE QUESTIONED AGAIN.....

DR GABA FILED A REPORT WITH THE STATE CENTRAL REGISTRY BECAUSE THE MOTHER HAD NO EXPLANATION....

DR. GABA WAS RIGHT. THE MATTER HERE IS THAT MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED AND THE MOTHER HAD NO EXPLANATION AND MY DAUGHTER HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN THROWN BY JOE.

ON AUGUST 9, AND IN JULY BY JOE WHEN THE FAMILY WAS TOGETHER.... AND HE HAS SINCE CONTINUED TO.

FORTUNE AND JOLY LYING TO THE DETECTIVES ALONG WITH MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOE CAUSED A CLOSURE OF THE 8-9-18 CASE THAT SUBSTANTIATES JOE HAVING A HISTORY OF THROWING MINNIE. THE INJURIES TO MINNIES LEG ARE CONSISTENT WITH A BLUNT FORCE TRAUMA THAT CANNOT OCCUR WHEN A CHILD WHOM IS ONLY 3 FEET TALL SITS DOWN.

THE WAY THIS INJURY HAPPENED IS SIMPLE. JOE THREW MINNIE AND SHE LANDED ON THE PLANE. PLANES DONT FLY.

NOW THAT MINNIE SPEAKS FINE, LETS HAVE MINNIE EVALUATED AGAIN REGARDING THIS WITH HER FATHER PRESENT LETTING HER KNOW THAT SHE WONT BE GOING BACK HOME WITH MOMMY AND JOE. THAT SHE IS SAFE NOW. WATCH WHAT YOU ARE TOLD.....

SINCE THIS TIME, MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED BY BEING THROWN BY JOE IN FEB 2019 AS INDICATED IN TEXT MESSAGES WITH KEVIN GORRASI AND IN JUNE AS INDICATED WITH MY COMMUNICATION. THERE ARE ^{CIVIL} MANY ^{PHYSICAL} SITUATIONS OF ABUSE THAT HAD OCCURRED IN 2019 THAT I ~~MAY~~ ^{AM} NOT BE GETTING TO IN THIS PRESENTATION.

~~THE JUDGE HAS BLOCKED ME FROM RECORDING TO PROVE MINNIE'S STATEMENTS THAT JOE DROPPED HER ON HER BACK IN JUNE OF 2019. I DO HAVE OTHER EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT HOWEVER.~~

~~I HAVE SINCE REQUESTED A VACATION OF THE ORDER RETROACTIVE TO PRESENT....~~

FURTHERMORE, NO ONE IS BELIEVING ME THAT JOE THREW MINNIE IN OUR APARTMENT AS WELL, MINNIE WAS CRYING AND MARGARET SCREAMED AT JOE THAT SHE COULD HAVE BEEN KILLED....

ASK MINNIE.

~~I AM READY TO TAKE A LIE DETECTOR TEST NOW REGARDING THIS. HE THREW HER PREVIOUSLY IN OUR APARTMENT.~~

IT WAS NEVER DETERMINED HOW SHE WAS INJURED IN THE AUGUST 17 INCIDENT. NOW THAT MINNIE IS OLDER AND CAN SPEAK BETTER AND WE ARE STILL WITHIN THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN FEDERAL AND WITH STATE, DUE TO EQUITABLE TOLLING AND EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL, ~~WE STILL ARE~~, THIS CASE CAN BE REOPENED AND PROSECUTED ALONG WITH THE REST. VIEW THE APPROXIMATE 600 PAGES OF CORRESPONDENCE WITH 99% OF IT IGNORED BY AUTHORITIES... HERE TODAY...

VIEW ADDENDUM. MINNIE STATED JOE ~~THREW~~ HER
(1) HIT

REGARDING 1-29 (1) NOTE THE CLOSING...

1. NOWHERE DOES IT STATE THAT AT TIME OF CLOSING THE REMAINING PROBLEM IS THAT THEY DO NOT KNOW HOW THE INJURY HAPPENED JUST WHAT CONTACTED MINNIE'S BODY. A ~~BY~~ BLOCK AIRPLANE DOES NOT INJURE THE HUMAN BODY. MINNIE WAS THROWN AND LANDED ON THE AIRPLANE OR IT WAS THROWN. A 3 FOOT TALL CHILD FALLING ON THE AIRPLANE WOULD NOT CAUSE THE INJURY. MINNIE COULD HAVE BEEN QUESTIONED ONE MORE TIME OF HOW... SHE WAS NOT THEN OR EVER... RECENTLY RECEIVED INVESTIGATION NOTES FROM ACS.

I SPOKE WITH LUPERON, BERMUDEZ AND ROE. NONE OF THEM CARE THAT THEY DONT KNOW HOW IT HAPPENED. THEY KNOW IT DID AND DONT CARE HOW OR TO ASK MINNIE ONE MORE TIME NOW THAT SHE SPEAKS WELL....

UNTO 1-29 (2)

SO AFTER I CALL IAB, LUPERON, BERMUDEZ AND ROE ALL CLAIM TO REVIEW THIS INVESTIGATION CAREFULLY. SO EXPLAIN TO ME HOW MY DAUGHTERS RACE IS BLACK ?!?!?
PAGES 6-13,16, 20, 21

PAGE 26

" MR. MALEK STATED THAT HE FILED A REPORT REGARDING THE BUMP THE C/V HAD ON HER FOREHEAD SO THERE CAN BE A RECORD.... "

THIS IS AN ABSOLUTE LIE. SO THERE CAN BE A RECORD ?!?!? I FILED A REPORT SO MY DAUGHTER CAN BE PROTECTED FROM THE ABUSE OF HER HALF BROTHER WHOM BEAT HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS. I FILED A REPORT BECAUSE MY DAUGHTER TOLD ME JOE THREW HER AND SHE WAS INJURED AND CRYING. I AM A FATHER. MINNIE'S FATHER. I AM NOT AN EMPLOYEE OF THE CHANNEL 2 NEWS...

PAGE 27 IS WHERE WE NOW HAVE MARGARET INGOGLIA LYING TO THE POLICE. NOTE SUMMARY WHERE MOTHER STATED THAT SHE GAVE JOE THE MONEY TO BUY A PHONE AND HE DID NOT STEAL IT FROM THE CVS FATHER MR ROBERT MAEK... GO TO EXHIBIT C

PARAGRAPH 2 AND NOTE MOTHER MARGARETS STATEMENTS THAT JOE DID STEAL THE MONEY FROM ME..... SHARP CLOSES THE CASE AND NEVER EVEN SPEAKS TO JOE.. ! I WENT TO THE PRECINCT AND GAVE THE DOC IN THIS EXHIBIT TO LIEUTENANT MARCUS. IGNORED.....

DET SHARP STATED THAT THE FATHER HAS FILED MULTIPLE REPORTS AGAINST JOE AND HIS MOTHER SO IT CAN HELP HIM IN FAMILY COURT !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

BOTH DETECTIVES CLOSE THEIR CASE AT THE SAME TIME. LUPERON WITHOUT HAVING ANY IDEA HOW MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED AND SHARP WITHOUT EVER QUESTIONING JOE OR MYSELF. MY EMAILS TO SHARP AND LIEUTENANT MARCUS WERE IGNORED...

PAGE 28 PARA 4 AND 5. CASE IS CLOSED JUST BECAUSE MINNIE DOESNT SAY HOW IT HAPPENED. MAYBE ASK HER IN 2019 WHEN SHE IS OLDER AND CAN SPEAK BETTER TO FIND OUT ? HOW ABOUT JUST 6 MONTHS LATER ? WHY CLOSE ?

GO TO ADDENDUM #1 ACS NOTES RECEIVED NOV. 2020, 2 YRS
MINNIE STATED JOE HIT HER. "LUPERON INVESTIGATION" LATER !!

UNTO THE TOE NAIL INCIDENT.... I FOUND OUT ABOUT ON OCTOBER 18, 2018 AT VISITATION.

CONTRADICTION AFTER CONTRADICTION.

ANOTHER BOGUS ACS (FALSE / UNFOUNDED INVESTIGATION.)

MY DAUGHTER SAID MARGARET WAS HOLDING HER DOWN WHILE JOE WAS BITING OFF HER TOE NAIL AND SHE WAS BLEEDING AND CRYING....SHE THEN STARTED BITING AT MY FINGERNAILS TO SHOW ME AND SAID JOE HAS SHARP TEETH.

HOW MANY DIFFERENT STORIES CAN YOU COUNT HERE ?

EXHIBIT S.

1. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 7

SC REPORTED THAT BM TOOK HER NAIL OFF.

2. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 9

SHE STATED MC, MARGARET MALEK HAS INGROWN NAILS ON HER TOE NAILS OFTEN. (NOTE: THIS IS NOT RUE. NO PEDIATRICIAN ANYWHERE, ANYTIME HAS CLAIMED THIS.) MEDICAL RECORDS OF MINNIE, EXHIBIT ✓ SHE STATED USUALLY MC TAKES THEM OFF ON HER OWN. HOWEVER A WEEK AGO,

WHEN MC TRIED TO TAKE IT OFF, IT STARTED BLEEDING. (IT STARTED BLEEDING WHEN MINNIE TRIED TO TAKE IT OFF.) BM STATED SHE ASKED CHILD, JOE TO HOLD MC SO SHE COULD TAKE THE INGROWN NAIL OFF. BM STATED JOE NEVER BIT MCS TOENAIL OFF BY HERSELF.

NOTE: MINNIE HAS NEVER HAD INGROWN TOE NAILS. PLEASE VIEW RIMMA MEDICAL HISTORY IN THIS EXHIBIT. MARGARET INGOGLIA HAS NO MEDICAL HISTORY OF THIS FROM MINNIES PAST DOCTORS. SHE CLAIMED THE SAME IN HER 70 PCT LETTER OF HER SON. AT THIS TIME, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE ALLEGATIONS MADE BY MARGARET AND JOE WERE FALSE AGAINST THE FATHER, JUAN PALOMINO. HOW CAN A 3 YEAR OLD REMOVE INGROWN TOE NAILS BY HERSELF ?

3. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 15

SC REPORTED THAT SHE BITE HER TOE. SC REPORTED THAT BM TOOK HER TOE NAIL OFF. SC REPORTED THAT SHE WAS CRYING AND SHE DID NOT WANT HER TOE NAIL OFF. SC REPORTED THAT SC JOE WAS HELPING BM.

4. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 21:

MRS. JOLY SPOKE TO MRS INGOGLIA IN REGARDS TO HAPPENED TO MINNIE. MS. INGOGLIA ADMITTED TO CUTTING CH TOE NAILS, SNAGGING SKIN. MINNIE WANED A BAND AID FOR HER CUT. (**MOTHER CUT MINNIES TOE NAILS AND CAUSED HER TO BLEED. WAIT A MINUTE, DIDN'T THE MOTHER SAY MINNIE CAUSED HERSELF TO BLEED PREVIOUSLY ? SO JUST A GENERAL OVERALL TOE NAIL CLIPPING, NOT A SPECIFIC TOE ISSUE NOW AS OTHERWISE STATED. AND CUT MINNIES SKIN CAUSING IT TO BLEED.)** BM PROVIDED CHILD WITH THE BAND AID. (**MOTHER CUTS 3 YEAR OLDS SKIN WITH CLIPPER AND GIVES HER CRYING THREE YEAR OLD A BAND AID TO APPLY TO HERSELF !!!!!!!!!!!**).

5. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 18.

JOE REPORTED THAT HE HELPED BM TAKE SC TOE NAIL OFF BECAUSE IT WAS HANGING AND BM DID NOT WANT NOTHING TO SNAG IT. SC JOE REPORTED THAT SC MARGARET DID NOT WANT NO ONE TO TOUCH HER TOE NAIL SO THEY WORK TOGETHER TO TAKE IT OFF.

6. INVESTIGATION PROGRESS NOTES PAGE 25:

BM REPORTED THAT SHE TOOK SC NAIL OFF, BECAUSE IT WAS HANGING AND SHE DID NOT WANT ANY CLOTHING TO RIP IT OFF. BM REPORTED THAT SC WAS TRYING TO TAKE IT OFF FIRST WITH HER MOUTH BY BITING IT AND SHE STOPPED HER AND TOOK IT OFF, BUT IT CAME OFF TOO SHORT AND STARTED TO BLEED. (**NOW IT WAS BLEEDING BECAUSE IT CAME OFF TOO SHORT. AND SHE STOPPED HER. WAIT A MINUTE, IF YOU ARE USING A NAIL CLIPPER, HOW WOULD THIS HAPPEN ?**)

SC JOE REPORTED THAT SC WAS TRYING TO TAKE HER TOE NAIL OFF WITH HER MOUTH AND HE AND BM STOPPPED SC. SC JOE REPORTED THAT HE DID NOT PUT HIS MOUTH TO SC MARGARET TOENAIL.

VIEW CALL NARRATIVE, PAGE 3 OF 3 EXHIBIT T.

YACELYS CORONA, DA CAN BE NOTED ON PAGE 1 OF 3

DETECTIVE SARDINA NEVER INVESTIGATED THE CALL NARRATIVE. HE ONLY INVESTIGATED "MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION. " THE CALL TO THE SCR AND THE INTENT OF THE INVESTIGATION WAS NEVER UNDERTAKEN BY THE CHILD ABUSE SQUAD

PROPER INVESTIGATION NOT DONE....

UNTO THE INVESTIGATION... EXHIBIT U

PAGES 10, 14,15, 18,19, 20, 21,23,24,27,29,31,34 OF 36, HERE WE GO AGAIN, MY DAUGHTERS RACE IS BLACK. SO NOT ONLY ARE THEY INVESTIGATING THE WRONG MATTER, THEY OBVIOUSLY CANT EVEN DO THAT RIGHT EITHER.

1. On October 26, 2018, at approximately 1600 hours, I received this case via DA'S referral alleging that Margaret Malek is being physically abused by the brother and her mother. Sources states that the brother might be sexually abusing the c/v but further details are unknown. Source of the report is Yacelys Corona of the Brooklyn DA's office. (**PAGE 6 OF EXHIBIT U**)

THIS IS TRUE...

NOW COME THE SARDINA LIES....

" Ms. Ingolia said that the worst thing she would do is smack the top of her daughter's hand if she did something wrong. I told her that it was alleged on the report that she allows her son to sexually abuse her daughter. She said that was not true. She said her son suffers from some mental health challenges but would never do anything like that. " **PAGE 18 OF EXHIBIT U**

THIS WAS NEVER ALLEGED AND I WANT MARGARET INGOGLIA TO BE TOLD I NEVER ALLEGED THIS. THIS CAUSES CO PARENTING PROBLEMS AND FOSTERS RESENTMENT BETWEEN THE PARENTS. IT ALSO MAKES ME LOOK LIKE A LIAR. NOTE THOUGH THE FORM OF DISCIPLINE... IT COMES UP AGAIN LATER...

" 2. Joe said that he feels safe living with his mother. He said that he goes to school _____. He said that he was in the 7th grade and attends _____. I asked if he knew what parts of his body are considered private. He pointed to his crotch area. I asked if anyone ever touched him on the private part of his body. He said no. I asked if he ever touched anyone's private part. He said no " **PAGE 19 OF EXHIBIT U**

THE PART THAT WAS REDACTED WAS WHERE HE STATED THAT HE GOES TO SCHOOL

_____. THE WORD THAT WAS MISSING WAS PROBABLY REGULARLY. HE ONLY WENT TO SCHOOL ABOUT 10 DAYS FOR THE WHOLE SEMESTER FROM SEPT TO JAN. HE WAS LYING.

EXHIBIT V, pgs. 42 + 19 OF THIS EXHIBIT

" 1. On November 8, 2018, at approximately 1450 hours I spoke with the source of the SCR call Ms. Corona. Ms. Corona works at the Kings County DA Action Center. **EXHIBIT U PAGE 23**

2. The source reported that she received a call from a man saying that he was the father of Margaret Malek. He stated that his daughter was being sexually abused by her fourteen year old stepbrother. He reported that the mother does nothing to intervene. Ms. Corona had no further information."

CORONA NEVER STATED THIS . **TURN TO EXHIBIT T LAST PAGE.** THEN SARDINA GOES ON WITH MORE FALSE STATEMENTS AND STATEMENTS THAT MAKE NO SENSE WHATSOEVER....

TURN TO PAGE 24 OF EXHIBIT U, 2ND PARAGRAPH

" I asked him if he suspected sexual abuse. He said that one time while the family was living together in Brooklyn there was an incident. He said that Joe and his daughter were left alone in the house. When he came back, his daughter was naked in the bathtub. After that incident his daughter said to him "penis penis penis". Mr. Malek believes that could be a sign of sexual abuse. (THIS IS NOT TRUE. WHAT I EXPLAINED WAS WHEN WE CAME HOME, MINNIE WAS NAKED IN THE BATHTUB WITH THE DOOR OPEN AND JOE WAS SITTING ON THE TOILET WITH HIS CLOTHES ON WATCHING HER. MARGARET AND I TOOK MY DAUGHTER TO CANARSIE PIER. IT WAS HERE THAT SHE KEPT SAYING, PENIS PENIS PENIS. LATER THAT NIGHT WHEN SHE WAS IN BED WITH US, SHE WAS SAYING IT AGAIN AND AGAIN WHERE HER HAND WAS MOVING UP AND DOWN.

" 3. I tried to explain to Mr. Malek that the scope of my investigation is limited to the alleged abuse that may have occurred in Manhattan " **PARAGRAPH 3**

THIS IS WHERE SARDINA MAKES NO SENSE. SO HE IS INVESTIGATING SOMETHING THAT HAD OCCURRED BEFORE MANHATTAN WHILE STATING THAT HIS INVESTIGATION IS LIMITED TO AFTER MANHATTAN.

" I told him that I would not be investigating matters that were previously investigated by the NYPD, and that I would only be assessing if a crime was committed against his daughter Margaret. He said that he would send me a few things that may help me understand the case better. " **PARAGRAPH 3**

NOW THIS IS AN EFFORT TO MAKE ME SEEM AS IF I AM A MENTAL CASE. NO HE DID NOT. HE TOLD ME TO SEND HIM EVERYTHING AND HE WILL INVESTIGATE IT JUST LIKE BERMUDEZ. HENCEFORTH, I DID. THEN, WHEN I DO, SARDINA WRITES THIS SO THAT WHEN I SEND HIM "EVERYTHING" IT CAN MAKE ME APPEAR AS IF I CANNOT UNDERSTAND HUMAN COMMUNICATION AND AS IN THE ACS NOTES, HE STATES ' " VERY EMOTIONAL. " OF NOTE HERE IS THAT THE MATTERS THAT HE IS STATING " PREVIOUSLY INVESTIGATED " CLEARLY INDICATES HE SHOULD BE INVESTIGATING OCTOBER 11, AND NOVEMBER 2 SINCE THEY WERE NOT INVESTIGATED. REGARDLESS OF THE EMAILS I HAVE SENT HIM REGARDING THIS,

HE DOES NOTHING IN REFERENCE TO THEM AND NEVER INVESTIGATES THE TOE NAIL INCIDENT.

" 4. I received ten emails from Mr. Malek detailing his concerns. I found some of the emails difficult to understand because the facts were out of sequence. Some of the emails had details about reports and investigations that were already completed by ACS or the NYPD " **PARAGRAPH 4**

THE 8-9 AND THE 10-11 WERE STILL OPEN AT THIS POINT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. WHOM INFORMED ME THEY WERE CLOSED ? FURTHERMORE, WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE COMPLETED PROPERLY IS ANOTHER STORY. SARDINA ASKED ME TO SEND OVER EVERYTHING, EVEN 8-9 AND 10-11. NOT TO MENTION 11-2 AND 10-23 WHICH HE NEVER INVESTIGATED AND WAS SUPPOSED TO.

" In one of his emails he suggested that I or another officer arrest him and the C/V's mother. " **PARAGRAPH 4**

MORE INSULTS. THIS IS OUT OF CONTEXT TO MAKE ME LOOK BAD. MY CONTENTION WAS SIMPLE. BEFORE MY FAMILY WAS TAKEN AWAY, JOE WAS NOT TO BE LEFT ALONE WITH MINNIE. NOW THAT ACS TOOK MY FAMILY AWAY, JOE IS NO DANGER WHATSOEVER. MARGARET AND I LEFT JOE ALONE WITH MINNIE WHICH WAS MARGARET'S DECISION AS WELL AS ACS TO EVEN ALLOW HIM TO BE LIVING WITH US IN THE FIRST PLACE. I OBVIOUSLY WAS NOT HAPPY WITH THE SITUATION. IF MARGARET AND I WERE ARRESTED, THE ISSUE OF JOE IN REGARDS TO MY DAUGHTER MARGARET AND MARGARET AND I ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD BY LEAVING HIM WITH HER WOULD BE REVIEWED BY A CRIMINAL COURT JUDGE OR JURY AND JUDGE. IT IS MY VIEW THAT I WOULD BE VINDICATED, MARGARET WOULD BE FOUND GUILTY AND JOE WOULD BE EXPOSED FOR THE DANGER WHOM HER REALLY WAS. THIS IS FAR DIFFERENT THAT THE PICTURE THAT SARDINA IS TRYING TO PORTRAY.

PAGE 26 EXHIBIT U

" The father of the C/V Robert Malek has made numerous allegations against the mother and her fourteen year old son Joe. ACS has unfounded all allegations of sexual abuse against Joe. Joe has mental health challenges. He is being treated and his mother is following up on his treatment "

ALSO, GO TO 12/21/19 REPORT Pgs. 1, 4

THIS PARAGRAPH IS CRITICAL. THIS IS MRS HUDSON LYING TO DET SARDINA IN EFFORT TO SHUT DOWN A CASE.

I HAVE DISCOVERY NOTES. THE NOTES STATE THAT JOE WAS NOT RECEIVING ANY PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES ALL THE WAY UNTIL WHEN MY DISCOVERY STOPPED ON JAN. 7, 2019. THIS IS ALSO A FORM OF MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE AND ENDANGERS THE

" 1. On November 22, 2018, at approximately 0830 hours I submit this report for the purpose of attaching emails to the case folder. "(PAGE 27)

WHY IS SARDINA ATTACHING EMAILS AND THEN NOT INVESTIGATING WHAT IS WITHIN THE EMAILS ?

PAGE 34 OF EXHIBIT U BELOW

" 2. I received the above case as a DAs referral from the Brooklyn Child Abuse Squad on 10/27/2018. The report stated that the C/V is being sexually abused by her fourteen year old brother and the C/Vs mother is allowing it to happen. "

THE REPORT NEVER STATED THIS AND THE REFERENCE IS ONLY IN REGARDS TO MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION.

" She said that when her children are bad she gives them timeouts or takes away things that they want. "

NOTE THE CONTRADICTION TO THIS ABOVE... WHERE SHE STATES THAT SHE YELLS AND SMACKS...

" 4. I attempted to interview the C/V Margaret. She was happy and playful. She showed me some of her toys. I asked her if everything was OK at home. She said yeah and ran off. I did not observe suspicious marks or bruises on her at the time of my visit. "

WHAT THIS MEANS TO A HIGH LEVEL OF PROBABILITY IS SARDINA WAS ATTEMPTING TO INTERVIEW MINNIE IN THE PRESENCE OF MARGARET AND JOE WHEN HE SHOULD HAVE DONE SO NOT IN THEIR PRESENCE. THIS IS A SITUATION WHERE A DETECTIVE ALREADY MADE UP HIS MIND AS TO WHAT DID OR DID NOT HAPPEN AND DOESN'T EVEN BOTHER TO FOLLOW PROPER PROTOCOL.

" He said that he goes to school _____."

HE WAS NOT GOING TO SCHOOL REGULARLY. HE WAS NOT AND IS NOT. EVEN IN THE NOV 14 COURT REPORT, BOTH CHILDREN WERE ABSENT A TOTAL OF 26 DAYS WITHIN 9

WEEKS OF SCHOOL OR 40 SCHOOL DAYS. EXHIBIT ^V Pg. 205 I HAVE NO IDEA OF WHAT OCCURRED BETWEEN JAN 8 AND JUNE OF 2019. ACS IS CONCEALING SUCH DISCOVERY REQUEST. UNDOUBTEDLY FOR VERY GOOD REASON. NOTE THERE BEING A REASON FOR ABSENCE FOR THERE BEING A FIRE DRILL AT 1AM AT THE SHELTER. THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE. I SPOKE WITH THE DHS UMBUDSMAN MR SIMMONS PHONE NUMBER 212-361-7442.

. " The source of the report stated that she received a call from a man saying that he was the father of Margaret Malek. The source works at the Kings County DAs office command center. The caller stated that his daughter was being sexually abused by her fourteen year old stepbrother. He reported that the mother does nothing to intervene. Ms. Corona had no further information "

MORE LIES FROM SARDINA. VIEW THE REFERRAL IN EXHIBIT T.

" Mr. Malek mentioned the alleged sex abuse and the physical abuse. Both incidents were addressed in family court "

THEY WERE NOT. HOW DOES HE KNOW THIS TO MAKE SUCH A STATEMENT AS PART OF HIS INVESTIGATION ? DID HE GET THE TRANSCRIPTS ? WHOM TOLD HIM THIS OR IS IT HIS GUESS ?

" Mr. Malek provided me with detailed information in the form of emails about how he feels that ACS and other agencies are conspiring against him. "

I DONT " FEEL. " I HAVE THE EVIDENCE AND DONT DESERVE THE INSULT.

" 8. I conferred with the assigned ACS worker Ms. Hudson. ACS has no concerns for Margaret at this time. Joe is receiving mental health treatment and ACS has no cause to remove the C/V from the home. "

I HAVE THE DISCOVERY NOTES THAT JOE DID NOT RECEIVE HIS PSYCHE SERVICES AT LEAST UNTIL JAN 7, 2019 !!!!! HUDSON LIES TO DET. SARDINA WITH THE INTENT TO NEGATIVELY EFFECT THE OUTCOME OF A CHILD WELFARE INVESTIGATION FOR HER CLIENT MARGARET TO SHUT DOWN THE INVESTIGATION OF MY DAUGHTERS ASSAULT BY LYING. THIS IS A CRIME. EXHIBIT ^V Pg. 19 .

NOTE IN THE 70 PCT LETTER, PAGE 5 WHICH MARGARET DID SEND... IT IS ON FILE SOMEWHERE AT THE 70 OR POLICE PLAZA WHERE MARG CLAIMED HER SON HAS INGROWN TOE NAILS AS WELL !! RIDICULOUS. EXHIBIT ^W Pg 5.

OCTOBER 18, 2018 WAS THE DAY I FOUND OUT ABOUT MARGARET HOLDING DOWN MY DAUGHTER AND JOE WAS BITING OFF HER TOE NAIL. OCTOBER 19 WAS VISITATION. IT WAS ON THIS DAY THAT MY DAUGHTER BEGGED AND PLEADED TO GO HOME WITH DADDY. THAT SHE WAS SCARED OF MOMMY AND JOE. ACS AND TRAVIS JOHNSON ARE STILL NOT TURNING OVER THE HAND WRITTEN NOTES OF THAT DAY. I GAVE MY DAUGHTER MY JACKET THAT I HAVE HERE TO COMFORT HER. THEY TOOK MY JACKET FROM HER.

WHEN I SAW MY DAUGHTER ON OCTOBER 26, MRS HUDSON TOLD ME THAT MINNIE CRIED SO HARD THAT SHE BIT HER TONGUE BECAUSE SHE WANTED TO SIT ON JOES LAP AND JOE SAID NO. YOU WOULDN'T WANT HER SITTING ON JOES LAP, WOULD YOU MR MALEK ? I IGNORED MRS. HUDSON KNOWING THIS IS RIDICULOUS. I HAVE VIDEO OF HAVING RECEIVED THIS JACKET BACK TWO MONTHS LATER IN A BAG. THE JACKET WAS LIKE A ROCK. TAKEN, STORED AND NEVER MOVED UNTIL GIVEN BACK TO ME. I TOLD MINNIE ABOUT THIS AT VISITATION ON OCTOBER OF 2019 AT ACS.. SHE PUT HER THUMB IN HER MOUTH AND STARED INTO SPACE WITH HER EYES NOT BLINKING. SHE KNOWS. SHE REMEMBERS. SHE CAN TELL IF NOT AFRAID TO TELL. VIEW THE PICTURES I TOOK OF HER THUMB ON OCTOBER 26, 2018. THUMB SUCKED RAW..... REGRESSING INTO BEING A BABY. EXHIBIT X.

ON NOVEMBER 2, 2019, I SAW MY DAUGHTER AGAIN AT ACS. HUDSON BROUGHT OUT MINNIE AND AS SOON AS I SAW MINNIE MRS HUDSON SAYS " MR. MALEK, MINNIE TRIPPED OVER JOES FOOT WHILE WALKING OUT OF THE ELEVATOR. IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER SHE ASKED MINNIE WHAT HAPPENED AND SHE PARROTED THE SAME THING. MINNIE HAD TWO BRUISES TO HER FOREHEAD AND ONE TWO THE LEFT SIDE OF HER FACE. IF SHE TRIPPED, SHE WOULDNT HAVE THREE BRUISES. FURTHERMORE, IF SHE TRIPPED, A TRIP IS NOT A SLIP. SHE WOULD HAVE HAD TIME TO PUT HER HANDS OUT TO BLOCK THE FALL. IF SHE DIDN'T THEN WHY WASNT HER NOSE OR MOUTH INJURED ? WHY WASNT HER KNEES OR ELBOWS INJURED. FOR FALLING.

THE MATTER WAS CALLED INTO THE SCR, EXHIBIT Y, ACS CLOSED THE INVESTIGATIONS IN 1 DAY AND 3 DAYS AND THEN REFERRED TO THE DAS OFFICE. INSPECTOR ROE KNEW OF NO INVESTIGATION OF THIS HAVING OCCURRED WITH THE CHILD ABUSE SQUAD. ERIC GONZALEZ WAS CONTACTED BUT THERE IS NO REPLY. I HAVE REQUESTED A SUBPOENA OF HIM TO FIND OUT WHAT HAD HAPPENED TO THIS INVESTIGATION. THE INVESTIGATIONS OF THE OCTOBER 11 INCIDENT, NOVEMBER 2 AND POSSIBLE OCTOBER 26 ASSAULT NOT DONE BY ACS AND APPARENTLY COVERED UP BY THE DAS OFFICE AS WELL...

THERE ARE THE 2019 ASSAULTS AS WELL THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REFERENCED YET HERE.

SWORN TO ON THE 20th DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020.

Krista Sessoms

Robert Malek

NOTARY PUBLIC KRISTA SESSOMS
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 04SE6219517
Qualified in Kings County