

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Community Confinement Facilities

Interim Final

Date of Interim Audit Report: N/A

If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A

Date of Final Audit Report: 12/19/2020

Auditor Information

Name: Patrick J. Zirpoli	Email: pzirpoli@ptd.net
Company Name: Patrick J. Zirpoli LLC	
Mailing Address: 149 Spruce Swamp Road	City, State, Zip: Milanville, PA 18443
Telephone: 570-729-4131	Date of Facility Visit: 11/4/2020 & 11/5/2020

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Transitional Living Centers			
Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): PA Bureau Of Community Corrections			
Physical Address: 900 West Third St.		City, State, Zip: Williamsport, PA 17701	
Mailing Address: 900 West Third St.		City, State, Zip: Williamsport, PA 17701	
The Agency Is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for Profit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private not for Profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal
Agency Website with PREA Information: https://transitionallivingcentersinc.org/			

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: Nicole Miller	
Email: nmillertlc@comcast.net	Telephone: 570-326-7220 Ext. 1

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Molly Kirby	
Email: mkirbytlc@comcast.net	Telephone: 570-326-7220 Ext. 7
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Nicole Miller Executive Director	Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator: 0

Facility Information

Name of Facility: Transitional Living Centers

Physical Address: 900 West Third St.

City, State, Zip: Williamsport, PA 17701

Mailing Address (if different from above):
same

City, State, Zip:

The Facility Is:

Military

Private for Profit

Private not for Profit

Municipal

County

State

Federal

Facility Website with PREA Information: <https://transitionallivingcentersinc.org/>

Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years? Yes No

If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):

ACA

NCCHC

CALEA

Other (please name or describe: Department of Justice)

N/A

If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:
Bureau of Community Corrections Annual Inspection / Annual Independent Accountants Review

Facility Director

Name: Nicole Miller

Email: nmillertlc@comcast.net

Telephone: 570-326-7220 Ext. 1

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name: Molly Kirby

Email: mkirbytlc@comcast.net

Telephone: 570-326-7220 Ext. 7

Facility Health Service Administrator N/A

Name:

Email:

Telephone:

Facility Characteristics

Designated Facility Capacity:

34

Current Population of Facility:

25

Average daily population for the past 12 months:	32.4	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Females <input type="checkbox"/> Males <input type="checkbox"/> Both Females and Males	
Age range of population:	18 yrs. to 56 yrs.	
Average length of stay or time under supervision	3 to 6 months	
Facility security levels/resident custody levels	Parole/Community	
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months	94	
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	89	
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	79	
Does the audited facility hold residents for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<p>Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds residents: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold residents for any other agency or agencies):</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Bureau of Prisons <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marshals Service <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Indian Affairs <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Military branch <input type="checkbox"/> State or Territorial correctional agency <input type="checkbox"/> County correctional or detention agency <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial district correctional or detention facility <input type="checkbox"/> City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail) <input type="checkbox"/> Private corrections or detention provider <input type="checkbox"/> Other - please name or describe: <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents:	20	
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents:	5	
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents:	0	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0	
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0	

Physical Plant

<p>Number of buildings:</p> <p>Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether residents are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house residents, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.</p>	3
<p>Number of resident housing units:</p> <p>Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house residents of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.</p>	0
<p>Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclosures:</p>	2
<p>Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures:</p>	8 rooms
<p>Number of open bay/dorm housing units:</p>	0
<p>Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<h3>Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams</h3>	
<p>Are medical services provided on-site?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Are mental health services provided on-site?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<p>Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> On-site <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local hospital/clinic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rape Crisis Center <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
<p>Investigations</p>	
<p>Criminal Investigations</p>	
<p>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
<p>Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State police <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
<p>Administrative Investigations</p>	
<p>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
<p>Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input type="checkbox"/> State police <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: PA DOC Investigators) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative (including Audit Methodology)

Pre-Onsite Audit Phase

Audit Planning and Logistics:

I had the opportunity to discuss the audit process and expectations of both parties with the Agency PREA Coordinator Molly Kirby. We coordinated the dates for the onsite audit at the facility. During these conversations we outlined an overall audit schedule.

Posting Notice of the Audit:

I forwarded the audit posting to the Agency PREA Coordinator on September 14, 2020. The posting included the dates of the audit, purpose of the audit, my contact information and a statement regarding the confidentiality of any communication received. The postings were placed throughout the facility, including all housing areas, and all common areas. I verified the placement of the audit notices during the onsite portion of the audit, and during the resident and staff interviews. I did not receive any letters from residents or staff at the facility.

Review of Agency and Facility Policies, Procedures and Supporting Documentation:

The Agency PREA Coordinator had provided me a flash drive containing all facility Policies and Procedures, as well as documentation that all agency and facility Policies and Procedures were practiced on a daily basis. They also provided me a completed PRE-Audit Questionnaire. The Policies, Procedures, and Documents reviewed during this phase of the audit are listed under Onsite Audit, with all documentation reviewed during the course of the audit.

Outreach to Community Advocacy Organizations:

I contacted Wise Options. I confirmed that they provide victim advocacy for the facility, and that they would respond to UPMC where the examination would be occurring. They also related that they knew of no issues at the facility.

Agency level interviews:

I conducted the agency level interviews during the audit of the facility. These interviews included the Executive Director and the PREA Coordinator. I also conducted interviews with Pennsylvania Department of Corrections personnel related to specific standards where they provide assistance.

Onsite Audit Phase

Site Review:

The audit was conducted during the Covid 19 Pandemic. The Facility and Auditor took all necessary precautions. These precautions included prior to entering the facility, questionnaire, and universal masking for all staff, residents and visitors. During the facility tour social distancing was practiced. The staff and resident interviews were conducted with the participants seated at minimum of 6 feet apart, and both wearing masks.

The Agency PREA Coordinator and I met on November 4, 2020 at approximately 9:00 a.m. to conduct a briefing and facility tour. We discussed the onsite portion of the audit, including facility tour, resident and staff interview location, and document review. During the tour I had the opportunity to view all areas of the facility. I interacted with both staff and residents, as well as observed the interaction between the staff and residents. I was able to verify the location of cameras throughout the facility, as well as the camera monitor locations. While in the housing areas I observed the related PREA information, audit posting. These postings were further observed in common areas throughout the facility.

The resident interviews began on November 4, 2020 immediately following the facility tour. The interviews were conducted in a private office, which provided privacy for the interviews. The residents were randomly selected from residents currently housed at the facility. During this process I interviewed residents in the following categories:

Interview Type	Number
Random Resident Interviews	6
Residents with a Physical Disability	0
Residents who are Blind, Deaf, or Hard of Hearing	0
Residents who are Limited English Proficient	0
Residents with a Cognitive Disability	1
Residents who Identify as Lesbian, Gay or Bisexual	2
Residents who identify as Transgender or Intersex	1
Residents in Segregated Housing for High Risk of Sexual Victimization	0
Residents who Reported Sexual Abuse	0
Residents who Reported Sexual Victimization During Risk Screening	0
Total Resident Interviews	10

During the interview process several targeted categories of residents were not being housed at the facility.

I conducted the interviews with all residents in the same manner, a preamble to the interview was relayed to the resident explaining the purpose of the interview, and how they were selected and explaining to them that they did not have to speak with me if they choose not to. No residents refused to speak with me. All residents were asked questions related to the Random Resident Interviews, and if they were in a targeted category, I asked those additional questions. During the interviews I utilized a copy of the initial PREA information received by residents, to visually stimulate the resident's recollection of their initial intake process.

Upon completion of the resident interviews the staff interviews were conducted in the same room, these interviews were all conducted in private. These interviews were conducted on both days of the audit. Interviews were conducted on all shifts. During the process I interviewed staff in the following categories:

Interview Type	Number
Random Staff Interviews	8
Medical and Mental Health Staff	0
Administrative Staff	0
Volunteers and Contractors	0
Investigative Staff	1
Staff who Perform Screening	1
Staff on the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team	2
First Responders	0
PREA Coordinator	1
Executive Director	1
	14
Total Staff Interviews	

I conducted the interviews with all staff in the same manner, a preamble to the interview was relayed to the staff member explaining the purpose of the interview, and how they were selected and explaining to them that they did not have to speak with me if they choose not to. No staff refused to speak with me. All interviewed staff were asked questions related to the Random Staff Interviews, and if they were in a targeted category. They were then asked questions pertaining to that area.

The onsite documentation review was conducted during the two days of the audit process. The onsite documentation was reviewed at the source, all files, and facility documents were retrieved by me. The following is a list of documentation reviewed during the Pre-Audit, Onsite Audit, and Post Audit Phases, with the applicable standard to each. It should be noted that the facility follows the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections policy DC-ADM 008, which is the PREA policy.

Documentation Reviewed Pre-Audit, Onsite Audit and Post Audit Phases	Applicable Standard
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Organizational Chart	Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
Not Applicable	Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Staffing Plan Staffing plan reviews dating back to 2017	Standard 115.213: Supervision and Monitoring

<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Searching Lesson Plans from PA DOC Training rosters Cross gender/Transgender Pat Search lesson plan From PA DOC</p>	<p>Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Language Line Solutions information Translated materials (regarding PREA) Translation Resources</p>	<p>Standard 115.216: Residents with Disabilities and Residents who are Limited English Proficient</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Documentation of 5 year Criminal Background Employee Handbook Pennsylvania Department of Corrections policy DC-ADM 008</p>	<p>Standard 115.217: Hiring and Promotion Decisions</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Camera layout</p>	<p>Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 UPMC Agreement Wise Options letter of agreement</p>	<p>Standard 115.221: Evidence Protocol and Forensic Medical Examination</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Investigative Reports of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment conducted by PADO</p>	<p>Standard 115.222: Policies to Ensure Referral of Allegations for Investigations</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 PREA Training PowerPoint PREA Participation Guide Training Records and Acknowledgement Forms for Staff, Volunteers and Contractors Yearly sign offs and tests</p>	<p>Standard 115.231: Employee Training</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Completed Acknowledgement Forms</p>	<p>Standard 115.232: Volunteer and Contractor Training</p>
<p>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Acknowledgment forms Resident Handbook, relevant pages, (English and Spanish) Posters Posted on Units (regarding PREA and zero tolerance)</p>	<p>Standard 115.233: Resident Education</p>

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations
Not Applicable	Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Completed screening tools Decision Making Guide	Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Screening Information Decision Making Guide	Standard 115.242: Use of screening information
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Resident Handbooks Posters Completed investigations PADOC website	Standard 115.251: Resident reporting
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Wise Options letter of agreement	Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 PADOC & Agency Websites	Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections policy DC-ADM 008 Investigative Reports	Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Investigative Reports	Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Investigative Reports	Standard 115.265: Coordinated response

Not Applicable	Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect Residents from contact with abusers
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Investigative Reports	Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.278: Disciplinary sanctions for residents
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 PADOC data reports from 2015 through 2019	Standard 115.287: Data collection
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 PADOC data reports from 2015 through 2019	Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 PADOC data reports from 2015 through 2019	Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 Prior audit reports	Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008	Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

At the conclusion of the Onsite Audit an exit conference was held with the administration. At this time, I provided an overview of the audit findings during the onsite audit portion.

Post Audit:

Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of all of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of each standard were met. This assurance was made by triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews. After taking all of these factors into account, I found that the facility has met all of the standards and are in compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act National Standards for Community Confinement.

Facility Characteristics

Transitional Living Centers is located at 900 W 3rd St, Williamsport, PA 17701.

The Agency Mission Statement reads as follows:

Transitional Living Centers, Inc. provides a supportive community that enables incarcerated women to make a positive and productive transition back into society. The Transitional Living Centers, Inc. is a community-based, not-for-profit residential program providing services to women who have been convicted of a crime and are about to re-enter society. As a state contracted community corrections center, the TLC provides supervision and assistance in a home-like environment. The residential facilities accommodate offenders who are referred by the Department of Corrections as state intermediate punishment cases, parole cases, or are referred by the Parole District Office as half-way back cases. Professional staff persons provide coverage, support, and services, twenty-four hours a day to help prepare each woman for the emotional, financial, and behavioral challenges of independent living.

History of the facility:

The Transitional Living Center for Women, Inc. was created out of a meeting of the Consortia on the Special needs of Women which met for the first time in the First Presbyterian Church, Williamsport, on February 28, 1984. The Rev. John Mostoller, stated the purpose of the meeting was to explore the special needs of women not otherwise met by existing community agencies/or services.

The facility consists of three buildings, a residential dwelling located at 900 W 3rd Street, a residential dwelling located at 309 Maynard Street, and a garage located at 900 W 3rd Street. All three buildings are located on adjacent property.

Both dwellings are secured facilities with access being granted by staff members. All staff, residents, and visitors must successfully pass through a metal detector prior to admittance. All entrants are further subject to search.

The 900 W 3rd Street dwelling consists of three floors, and a basement. The basement consists of a laundry area, and storage areas. The storage areas are locked and only accessed under staff supervision. The first floor consists of offices, common areas for the residents, kitchen, and dining area. The second floor consists of the resident rooms, these rooms consist of one single occupancy rooms, and five multi occupancy rooms. The third floor consists of offices.

The 309 Maynard Street dwelling consists of three floors and a basement. The basement consists of a laundry area, and storage areas. The first floor consists of offices, common area for the residents, dining area and kitchen. The second floor consists of multi occupancy resident rooms. The third floor consists of offices.

All resident bathrooms located in both buildings are single occupancy consisting of a toilet and shower. All showers have either a door or shower curtain for privacy. All bathrooms have a door for additional privacy. The garage located at 900 W 3rd Street is open and used for general storage.

Signage is located throughout both buildings; these signs outline the zero-tolerance policy and provide the reporting avenues.

Cameras are located throughout the buildings; these cameras are located both in the interior and exterior. These cameras are located in general areas but are not located in any resident rooms nor bathrooms. During the audit I found that staff moves throughout the facility frequently, this provides an overall level of safety.

Summary of Audit Findings

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 9

List of Standards Exceeded:

- § 115.211 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator.
- § 115.213 Supervision and monitoring.
- § 115.215 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches.
- § 115.217 Hiring and promotion decisions.
- § 115.231 Employee training.
- § 115.233 Resident education.
- § 115.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness.
- § 115.242 Use of screening information.
- § 115.251 Resident reporting.

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 32

- § 115.212 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents.
- § 115.216 Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient.
- § 115.218 Upgrades to facilities and technologies.
- § 115.221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations.
- § 115.222 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations.
- § 115.232 Volunteer and contractor training.
- § 115.234 Specialized training: Investigations.
- § 115.235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care.
- § 115.252 Exhaustion of administrative remedies.
- § 115.253 Resident access to outside confidential support services.
- § 115.254 Third-party reporting.
- § 115.261 Staff and agency reporting duties.
- § 115.262 Agency protection duties.
- § 115.263 Reporting to other confinement facilities.
- § 115.264 Staff first responder duties.
- § 115.265 Coordinated response.
- § 115.266 Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers
- § 115.267 Agency protection against retaliation.
- § 115.271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations.
- § 115.272 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations.
- § 115.273 Reporting to residents.
- § 115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff.
- § 115.277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers.
- § 115.278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents.
- § 115.282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services.
- § 115.283 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers.
- § 115.286 Sexual abuse incident reviews.
- § 115.287 Data collection.

- § 115.288 Data review for corrective action
- § 115.289 Data storage, publication, and destruction.
- § 115.401 Frequency and scope of audits.
- § 115.403 Audit contents and findings.

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

List of Standards Not Met:

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.211 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.211 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? Yes No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 dictates the agency's mandated zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. This policy furthermore defines all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

I reviewed the policy in its entirety, as well as questioned staff members on its content and applicable sections to their specific duties within the facility. The staff understood the policy and its practical application to the daily operation of the facility.

The agency has designated a PREA Coordinator. During the interview, she related that she has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. I found the PREA Coordinator to be well versed in the PREA Standards and their daily application in the facility. The PREA Coordinator is in the upper level of management and reports directly to the Executive Director on any PREA related matter.

During the interviews I was informed that the PREA Coordinator is always accessible to answer questions and provide advice on PREA related issues. I was also advised that the PREA Coordinator will check the facility to ensure that they are consistent in the application of the policies that apply to PREA.

Prior to the onsite audit all documentation was reviewed, during the onsite portion I observed the policies in daily practice, and this was further confirmed during my interviews with both staff and residents

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.212 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) Yes No NA

115.212 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) Yes No NA

115.212 (c)

- If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) Yes No NA

- In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency does not contract with any entity for the housing of residents.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.213 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? Yes No

115.213 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)
 Yes No NA

115.213 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has developed a facility staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities have taken into consideration all areas enumerated under this standard. Compliance was determined by reviewing the aforementioned policies. I further questioned the staff on the policies and the ability to fully staff the facility at all times. I was informed that the facility utilizes overtime if needed.

The staffing plan was completed and reviewed by the Executive Director, Director of Compliance, Director of Staff Development, and PREA Coordinator. This was confirmed through a review of the staffing plan and staff interviews. Interviews confirmed that the staffing plan was developed while taking into consideration the provisions of the standard. I reviewed the previous staffing plans to verify the yearly review.

The staffing plan has not been deviated from within the last 12 months. I confirmed during staff interviews that overtime would be utilized if needed to ensure the staffing demands are met.

The facility and agency level staff review all incidents that have occurred at the facility, as well as discussing normal facility operation. They discuss any adjustments that may need to be made to the staffing plan, deployment of video monitoring and other technologies, and any resources available. This was confirmed during the staff interviews. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Bureau of Community Corrections conducts monthly tours of the facility, this was confirmed with the Major at PADOCC.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the facility substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.215 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
 Yes No

115.215 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) Yes No NA

115.215 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? Yes No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents). Yes No NA

115.215 (d)

- Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No

- Does the facility have procedures that enables residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? Yes No

115.215 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? Yes No
- If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? Yes No

115.215 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The facility would not conduct cross-gender strip searches or visual body cavity searches. The agency policy prohibits these searches from taking place. I confirmed this procedure during staff and resident interviews, as well as a review of the policy.

The facility houses female residents only, there are no restrictions on activities due to a lack of a pat search.

The above policies outline procedures and practices that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without a nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine housing unit checks. The policies further dictate that staff of the opposite gender announces their presence when entering a resident housing unit. These practices were confirmed during the staff and resident interviews as well as during the facility tour when I observed the announcements taking place.

The facility has separate bathrooms in each housing area; these bathrooms ensure privacy while performing bodily functions, showering, and changing clothes. The showers in all of the bathrooms have shower curtains that allow privacy.

The facility does not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. If the resident's genital status is unknown, it is determined during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. I further confirmed the practices during the staff interviews. When a transgender or intersex resident is placed at this facility, they would have been identified as such prior to arrival at the facility. This practice was confirmed through staff interviews and the interview with the resident who identified as transgender.

The agency has trained security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex residents, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. This was confirmed by reviewing the provided training materials. I further verified this training during staff interviews. This training is provided through the PADOAC academy.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the facility substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.216 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? Yes No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? Yes No

115.216 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

115.216 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?
 Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency has taken appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities (including, for example, residents who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities), have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These steps include, when necessary to ensure effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. The agency ensures that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities, including residents who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision. The facility outlines the response to residents in these categories in the reviewed policies. During staff interviews, they related that they would verbally explain to cognitive disabled, or blind residents, and have anyone who is deaf read the materials. Staff confirmed that the procedures are outlined in the policy.

The agency has taken steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient. The agency has an interpretation service available, and all materials are printed in Spanish, the most common language they encounter.

The agency does not rely on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants. I further confirmed with the PADOE that they would utilize these services during their investigations. Investigations at the facility may also be conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police who have policies in place regarding the utilization of interpreters during the investigative process.

During the resident interviews, I interviewed residents with Cognitive Disabilities. They related that the staff further explained the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, and ensured that the resident understood the reporting avenues.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.217 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

115.217 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? Yes No
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? Yes No

115.217 (c)

- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? Yes No
- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal State, and local law: Make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? Yes No

115.217 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? Yes No

115.217 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? Yes No

115.217 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? Yes No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? Yes No

115.217 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? Yes No

115.217 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency has policies and procedures in place that identify anyone who had been convicted of sexual abuse in a confinement setting, engaged in or attempted to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated for the same. The agency has developed an application process. This process specifically asks the applicant about these activities, and all provisions enumerated in this standard. During the interviews with staff, and Administrative Personnel I verified that the form is being utilized. I further verified the utilization by reviewing personnel files. I found that the questions were asked and answered in all of the reviewed files. During the staff, interviews I verified they were asked these questions.

This process is not needed in the promotion process; I confirmed with both the agency and PADOE if anyone was investigated for an incident, and the outcome was substantiated their security clearance would be permanently revoked.

A background check is further conducted by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. An initial criminal history check is conducted. All staff has a criminal history check completed yearly by the PADOE. This was confirmed through interviews with the PADOE.

All agency staff has a criminal history check completed yearly. This practices far exceeds the provision of the standard requiring a criminal check every 5 years.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.218 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

115.218 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency has made no renovations to the facility. .

The facility has added cameras in areas that they identified as possible concerns, these included areas out of direct line of sight. The views of these cameras were reviewed no issues of cross gender viewing were found.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.221 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
 Yes No NA

115.221 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.221 (c)

- Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? Yes No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? Yes No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes No

115.221 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? Yes No

115.221 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? Yes No

115.221 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.221 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.221 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The facility houses residents for the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. Any incident involving a resident placed by the PADOE will be investigated by the PADOE trained investigators, these investigators are tasked with conducting the administrative investigation only. If the investigation is criminal the Pennsylvania State Police would investigate the criminal allegation. I contacted the PADOE and verified the investigation process. They informed me that all incidents are reported to the PADOE through the MOC which operates 24 hrs. a day.

The agency has developed an evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings. The Pennsylvania State Police have developed evidence protocol that exceeds the expectations of this standard.

The facility utilizes UPMC for all forensic medical examinations, without financial cost. I confirmed that UPMC utilizes Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) to conduct the examination.

The facility utilizes the Wise Options for victim advocacy. I reviewed the current letter of agreement.

I contacted both UPMS and Wise Options and confirmed that these services are offered as described in the letters of agreement.

During the interview with the PREA Coordinator I confirmed that the above services are being utilized for forensic examinations. I was informed that the facility has had no incidents where these services were utilized in the past 12 months.

All of the staff interviewed understood their responsibility in the preservation of evidence, and how to preserve a crime scene.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.222 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? Yes No

115.222 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Yes No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Yes No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? Yes No

115.222 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA

115.222 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This was confirmed through review of policies which outline the procedures for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment to the PADO. I further verified all allegations are investigated during PADO investigator interviews, staff interviews, and review of the PADO investigative reports.

I further reviewed the investigative policies for the PADO. I verified that the investigative procedure is published on the PADO website.

The agency has policies in place that govern the investigative process. This was confirmed during policy review and PADO investigator interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.231: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.231 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Yes No

115.231 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? Yes No

- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? Yes No

115.231 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? Yes No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.231 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency provides training to all employees on the areas enumerated in this standard. I reviewed the training curriculum and materials; I found that they address all areas. I further confirmed the training during the staff interviews and the review of training records. The employees receive initial training and annual updates. It was confirmed during staff interviews that they also receive updates multiple times a year.

All employees receive training on both genders. This was confirmed during a review of training materials and during staff interviews.

The employees are verifying the receipt of the training through a signature; this was verified during the review of the sample signature logs.

The staff receives yearly training on PREA which far exceeds the provisions of the standards.

The training provided by the agency is developed by the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator is constantly improving on the training materials.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.232 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? Yes No

115.232 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? Yes No

115.232 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency does not have any contractors nor volunteers. The PREA Coordinator understood her obligations under the standard if they do in the future.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.233: Resident education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.233 (a)

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? Yes No
- During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Yes No

115.233 (b)

- Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? Yes No

115.233 (c)

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No

115.233 (d)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? Yes No

115.233 (e)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

During the intake process, residents receive information explaining the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This was confirmed during the resident and staff interviews; this information is located in the resident handbook.

The facility provides resident education in formats accessible to all residents; this includes residents who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to residents who have limited reading skills. The facility provides materials to residents in Spanish; they would also utilize an interpretation line for other languages.

The facility has all key information on the zero-tolerance policy and reporting avenues provided through signage placed throughout the facility. I viewed this signage during the facility tour. I further confirmed that the signage has been in place during the resident and staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the facility substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.234 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)

Yes No NA

115.234 (b)

- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA

115.234 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA

115.234 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility does not conduct any administrative nor criminal investigations.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.235 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
 Yes No NA

115.235 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.)
 Yes No NA

115.235 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA

115.235 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) Yes No NA
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility does not have medical nor mental health staff. All services are provided through community providers.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.241 (a)

- Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? Yes No
- Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? Yes No

115.241 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?
 Yes No

115.241 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?
 Yes No

115.241 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?
 Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?
 Yes No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability? Yes No

115.241 (e)

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? Yes No
- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? Yes No

115.241 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? Yes No

115.241 (g)

- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

- Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
 Yes No

115.241 (h)

- Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? Yes No

115.241 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

All residents are assessed during the intake process, which is completed upon arrival at the facility. This screening is conducted utilizing the PRAT Assessment Tool. These instruments identify all areas of victimization and abusiveness enumerated in this standard. This was verified through interviews with staff and residents, as well as a review of the completed instruments. The screening is being conducted by the PREA Coordinator.

The initial screening for risk of being sexually abusive considers any known prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. This was confirmed during a review of the screening tool and interviews with both staff and residents.

The facility is reassessing all residents within 30 days of arrival, this reassessment is being conducted by the counselors, and they are taking into considerations all information available to them at the time of reassessment. This was confirmed by reviewing the reassessment documentation and staff interviews.

The facility would reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that may change the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This was confirmed during the staff interviews.

Residents are informed during the screening process that they will not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information. This was confirmed during the review of the screening tools and during the staff and resident interviews.

The agency implements control on the dissemination of screening information at the facility level. The information from the screening tools is only available to case managers, and administration.

The residents are constantly being reassessed by their assigned case manager and other facility staff. The counselors and staff are accessible to the residents, and in this atmosphere, work closely with the residents. This gives the staff the opportunity to observe the residents and ensure there is no change in their behavior or status. This was confirmed through interviews and watching the interaction between residents and the staff.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.242: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.242 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? Yes No

115.242 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? Yes No

115.242 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? Yes No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Yes No

115.242 (d)

- Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Yes No

115.242 (e)

- Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? Yes No

115.242 (f)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) Yes No NA
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) Yes No NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency utilizes the information from the screening and reassessment to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. This was confirmed during a review of the policy, and I confirmed these procedures during staff and resident interviews.

The agency makes all of these determinations on an individualized basis; this ensures the safety of each resident. This was confirmed during policy review, and staff and resident interviews.

I confirmed during policy review and interviews that when deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, and in making other housing and programming assignments, the agency considers on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. I also confirmed that the residents own views would be taken into consideration during these decisions. Through policy and interviews, I confirmed that the transgender residents would be given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents.

I confirmed during interviews that placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident would be reassessed at least twice each year. This is also addressed in the policy.

The facility does not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status. This was confirmed during interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

REPORTING

Standard 115.251: Resident reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? Yes No

115.251 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? Yes No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? Yes No
- Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? Yes No

115.251 (c)

- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? Yes No
- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.251 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility provides the residents with the information on reporting in the resident handbook and through a pamphlet provided at intake. The reporting avenues include the PADOc reporting avenue through the Office of State Inspector General, and internal reporting avenues. The instructions for the usage of these reporting avenues is extremely comprehensive, and the step by step usage of the reporting avenues is provided in all written materials both posted and given to the residents . During the interviews with both staff and residents, I confirmed that they were aware of the reporting avenues and that they can remain anonymous.

The agency website further instructs third parties on how to report. This was confirmed by viewing the website.

The staff interviews related that they understood the agency policy that states that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports.

The agency provides in policy a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

I found during the resident interviews that they felt that if something was happening, they would feel comfortable telling a staff member at the facility. This confidence in utilizing this reporting avenue shows the overall culture at the facility. Although this statement may not be true for all residents, the agency has provided so many different reporting avenues that a resident should feel comfortable with one of them.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.252 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Yes No

115.252 (b)

- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.252 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.252 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)) , does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.252 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA

115.252 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.252 (g)

- If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The audited facility did not have any grievances system that deals with sexual abuse or sexual harassment. If an allegation was mistakenly filed through the PADOE grievance system, it would be immediately removed from the grievance process and handled by an investigator.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.253 (a)

- Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Yes No
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Yes No

115.253 (b)

- Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.253 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

Access to outside confidential support services is outlined in the agencies policies and procedures. The resident would have the ability to utilize the services of Wise Options, with whom PADOc has a letter of agreement with. The services that the residents would receive are the same as the level received in the community.

Through interviews, I further established that follow up mental health care would be provided through the community providers.

All of the information required under this standard is provided to the residents, this was verified through review of the documentation and interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established third-party reporting methods in policy; these methods allow residents to report for other residents and outside individuals to report. The agency's website outlines the third party reporting avenues; this was confirmed through a review of the agency website. The following is posted on the website:

Methods of Reporting for Friends, Family, and the General Public:

Incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation can be reported in writing by sending correspondence through mail to the OSIG Attn: PREA Coordinator, Office of State Inspector General, 555 Walnut St. 8th floor, Harrisburg PA 17101

The facility has third-party reporting avenues posted in areas in the facility where they can be viewed by visitors.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.261 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Yes No

115.261 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes No

115.261 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Yes No

115.261 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.261 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency policy states that any staff, volunteer, and contractors shall immediately report any knowledge, or suspicion of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, retaliation, or neglect that may have caused any incident to occur.

The policy further states that staff are prohibited from revealing any information related to sexual abuse to anyone other than to the extent necessary. All staff interviewed understood this requirement.

The agency does not encounter youthful offenders.

The facility does not have any medical nor mental health staff.

All allegations are being reported for immediate action; this was confirmed during staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

- When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agencies policies dictate that when the staff learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the resident. The staff interviewed understood their responsibility, and all responded that they would immediately take appropriate steps to protect the resident.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.263 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? Yes No

115.263 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.263 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? Yes No

115.263 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

Through policy, the agency has established procedures and practices that meet all of the requirements of the standard and provision. These include upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility/program, the facility shall notify the BCC Management Operations Center for investigation.

During the interview with the PREA Coordinator she informed me that incidents of this nature have occurred at this facility, and these steps were followed. It should be noted the facility has not had any incidents within the past 12 months.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.264 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?
 Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

115.264 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency policies outline the initial response by staff. These policies include all of the provisions of the standard. The staff interviewed understood their responsibilities if they were the first responder to an allegation.

I verified compliance during the interview process.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.265: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.265 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility has adopted the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy DC-ADM 008 as the overall institutional plan to respond to sexual abuse incidents. This plan dictates the actions and coordination between first responders, supervisors, administration, community medical and mental health providers, and the PADO. I confirmed the institutional plan through a review of the plan, as well as during staff interviews and investigation reviews.

After a careful review of all documentation and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.266 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No

115.266 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has not entered into any collective bargaining agreement.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? Yes No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? Yes No

115.267 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? Yes No

115.267 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? Yes No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? Yes No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? Yes No

115.267 (d)

- In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
 Yes No

115.267 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?
 Yes No

115.267 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established a policy that meets the provisions of this standard. The agency has identified the PREA Coordinator as the designated staff member to monitor the resident or staff member for alleged retaliation. They will meet with them within 72 hrs. and every 15 days thereafter. This will continue for 90 days.

The PREA Coordinator confirmed they would utilize housing transfers, as well as facility transfers of perpetrators to protect the victim in an incident.

As previously mentioned, the staff interacts with the residents daily, they would help monitor the resident to ensure that no issues were occurring.

The PREA Coordinator understood their obligation under this policy.

The facility utilizes the Retaliation Monitoring Form created by the PADOCC.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.271 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA

115.271 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? Yes No

115.271 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? Yes No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? Yes No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Yes No

115.271 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Yes No

115.271 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff? Yes No

- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? Yes No

115.271 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? Yes No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? Yes No

115.271 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? Yes No

115.271 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? Yes No

115.271 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? Yes No

115.271 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? Yes No

115.271 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

During the policy review, I established that the agency, and the PADO, has policies in place that address all provisions of this standard. More importantly, during the review of investigations, and staff interviews I found they understand the provisions of the standard and are applying them throughout their investigations.

The trained investigators for the PADO would conduct the administrative investigation. These investigations would be reported to the Pennsylvania State Police for criminal investigation. The Pennsylvania State Police and PADO have an MOU for the investigation process; this MOU was originally created by me during my tenure with the Pennsylvania State Police.

The Pennsylvania State Police employs sworn law enforcement officers who are highly trained in evidence collection and identification. The PADO investigators have been trained in evidence collection and identification. I reviewed all agency policies and PADO policies related to investigations; I also conducted interviews for investigators from both. I found the investigators to be well versed in the investigative process. During prior investigation reviews, I found that they follow the evidence protocols outlined in the policy, and are well versed in evidence identification and collection.

The PREA Coordinator confirmed that the investigators would keep the facility informed through emails or phone calls.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.272 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency and PADO has policies that states there shall not be any standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

During the investigator interviews, I verified that they are applying preponderance of the evidence to make a determination.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

- Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? Yes No

115.273 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in the agency's facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) Yes No NA

115.273 (c)

- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the

resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? Yes No

- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.273 (d)

- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 Yes No

115.273 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? Yes No

115.273 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies in place that address all provisions of this standard. The agency utilizes the Resident Notification PREA form to notify the resident of the status of the investigation. I confirmed this through policy review, and staff interviews.

All investigations conducted by PADOC would be reported back to the resident utilizing this form. The form would be completed by the investigator and forwarded to the facility for dissemination to the resident.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.276 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.276 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? Yes No

115.276 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? Yes No

115.276 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? Yes No
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that addresses staff discipline for a violation of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs staff conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through staff interviews.

I further confirmed that if a staff member was found to have violated any of these policies, the PADOE would immediately terminate their security clearance.

The audited facility has not disciplined any staff member within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

115.277 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that addresses corrective action for volunteers and contractors who violate any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through staff interviews.

I further confirmed that if a volunteer or contractor was found to have violated any of these policies, the PADOE would immediately terminate their security clearance.

The audited facility has not disciplined any volunteers and contractors within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.278 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes No

115.278 (b)

- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? Yes No

115.278 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? Yes No

115.278 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? Yes No

115.278 (e)

- Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? Yes No

115.278 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No

115.278 (g)

- If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that addresses discipline for residents who violate any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs resident conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline staff interviews.

I further confirmed that if a resident was found to have violated any of these policies, the PADOE would discipline the resident under their policies.

The audited facility has not disciplined any residents within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.282 (a)

- Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
 Yes No

115.282 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262? Yes No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.282 (c)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No

115.282 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
 Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The facility staff ensures that victims of sexual assault receive prompt and appropriate medical intervention. Nature and scope are determined by medical and mental health practitioners at UPMC according to their professional judgment.

The facility does not have medical onsite that would deal with an incident of this nature. Through interviews, I confirmed that the resident would be brought to the emergency room for medical treatment, and a SANE exam. Follow up care would be offered by the agency through outside providers. This would include prophylactic treatment, testing is offered to the patient, as well as follow up care for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases. An evaluation by a community mental health provider for crisis intervention counseling and long term follow up plans.

The above services are offered at no financial cost to the resident. These policies and procedures were confirmed with facility staff and PADO staff.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.283 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes No

115.283 (b)

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? Yes No

115.283 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? Yes No

115.283 (d)

- Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if “all-male” facility. *Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.*) Yes No NA

115.283 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. *Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.*) Yes No NA

115.283 (f)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? Yes No

115.283 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

115.283 (h)

- Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The facility staff ensures that victims of sexual assault receive prompt and appropriate medical intervention. Nature and scope are determined by medical and mental health practitioners at UPMC according to their professional judgment.

The facility does not have medical onsite that would deal with an incident of this nature. I confirmed that the resident would be brought to the emergency room for medical treatment, and a SANE exam. Follow up care would be offered by the agency through outside providers. This would include prophylactic treatment, and testing is offered to the patient, as well as follow-up care for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases. An evaluation by a community mental health provider for crisis intervention counseling and long term follow up plans.

The above services are offered at no financial cost to the resident. These policies and procedures were confirmed with facility staff and PADO staff.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.286 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? Yes No

115.286 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? Yes No

115.286 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.286 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? Yes No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? Yes No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? Yes No

115.286 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that outlines the facilities review of incidents. The policy addresses all provisions of the standard. The facility utilizes a Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team, who addresses all of the aforementioned questions of concern when reviewing an incident. They would complete a report for the review.

I confirmed the incidents are being reviewed by reviewing the policy and all applicable documentation; I further discussed the reviews during the staff interviews.

All reports would further be reviewed by the PADOCC.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.287: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.287 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.287 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?
 Yes No

115.287 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes No

115.287 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? Yes No

115.287 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) Yes No NA

115.287 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established policies that address all provision of this standard. The data is forwarded to the PADOCC for the facility. The PADOCC policy reads as follows:

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Compliance Division (PCD) shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under the Department's direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. **(28 C.F.R. §115.87[a])**

1. The PCD shall review data collected and aggregated annually pursuant to PREA Standard (28 C.F.R. §115.87) in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training by: (28 C.F.R. §115.87[b], §115.88[a])
 - a. identifying problem areas; (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][1])
 - b. taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][2])

- c. preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the Department as a whole. (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][3])
2. All data shall be maintained, reviewed, and collected as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[d]) Incident-based and aggregated data shall also be collected from every facility the Department contracts with for the confinement of its inmates. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[e])
3. The Department will produce an Annual PREA Report, capturing data from January 1 to December 31, and will provide the following information:
 - a. the number of allegations made at each facility;
 - b. the number of substantiated, unsubstantiated, and unfounded investigations completed as of December 31 of each year;
 - c. the number of ongoing investigations as of December 31 for each facility;
 - d. the report shall compare the rates of incidents for each facility from the preceding year to the current report year;
 - e. any additional information that is required by the Survey of Sexual Violence (sic) required by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics; and (28 C.F.R. §115.87[c])
 - f. the report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years, and shall provide an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse. (28 C.F.R. §115.88[b])
4. The Department shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data information listed in Subsections A.3.a.-f. above, from facilities under its direct control and contracted facilities, readily available to the public through the Department website, at least annually. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[a][b])
5. The Annual PREA Report shall be approved by the Secretary and posted on the Department's website by June 30 of each year. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[f], §115.88[c])
6. The Department shall securely retain all aggregate PREA data, on the Department's secure servers, collected for a period of no less than ten years after the date of the initial collection unless federal, state, or local law requires otherwise. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[a][d])
7. Specific identifying information collected for reporting purposes shall be redacted so that no individual is identifiable. In addition, the Department may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific danger to a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[c], §115.88[d])

Compliance was confirmed through review of completed data collection instruments, and staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.288 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? Yes No

115.288 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? Yes No

115.288 (c)

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.288 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies in place that address all provisions of the standard. The agency PREA Coordinator reviews all collected data from the facility

The data is forwarded to the PADOE for the facility. The PADOE policy reads as follows:

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Compliance Division (PCD) shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under the Department's direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. **(28 C.F.R. §115.87[a])**

8. The PCD shall review data collected and aggregated annually pursuant to PREA Standard (28 C.F.R. §115.87) in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training by: (28 C.F.R. §115.87[b], §115.88[a])
 - d. identifying problem areas; (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][1])
 - e. taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][2])
 - f. preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the Department as a whole. (28 C.F.R. §115.88[a][3])
9. All data shall be maintained, reviewed, and collected as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[d]) Incident-based and aggregated data shall also be collected from every facility the Department contracts with for the confinement of its inmates. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[e])
10. The Department will produce an Annual PREA Report, capturing data from January 1 to December 31, and will provide the following information:
 - g. the number of allegations made at each facility;
 - h. the number of substantiated, unsubstantiated, and unfounded investigations completed as of December 31 of each year;
 - i. the number of ongoing investigations as of December 31 for each facility;

- j. the report shall compare the rates of incidents for each facility from the preceding year to the current report year;
 - k. any additional information that is required by the Survey of Sexual Violence (sic) required by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics; and (28 C.F.R. §115.87[c])
 - l. the report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years, and shall provide an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse. (28 C.F.R. §115.88[b])
11. The Department shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data information listed in Subsections A.3.a.-f. above, from facilities under its direct control and contracted facilities, readily available to the public through the Department website, at least annually. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[a][b])
 12. The Annual PREA Report shall be approved by the Secretary and posted on the Department's website by June 30 of each year. (28 C.F.R. §115.87[f], §115.88[c])
 13. The Department shall securely retain all aggregate PREA data, on the Department's secure servers, collected for a period of no less than ten years after the date of the initial collection unless federal, state, or local law requires otherwise. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[a][d])
 14. Specific identifying information collected for reporting purposes shall be redacted so that no individual is identifiable. In addition, the Department may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific danger to a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. (28 C.F.R. §115.89[c], §115.88[d])

Compliance was confirmed through review of completed data collection instruments, and staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.289 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?
 Yes No

115.289 (b)

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.289 (c)

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Yes No

115.289 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that addresses the provisions of this standard. I found that the agency digitally retains all data collected; this data is available to the public through the website.

All annual data for the facility is posted through the PADOCS this data dates back to 2015. The facility has data on their website dating back to 2018.

The agency has a policy in place that maintains all sexual abuse data for at least 10 years from the date received.

Staff interviews and review of the annual reports further confirmed this procedure.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No

115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (*Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No
- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *second* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *third* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? Yes No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents? Yes No

115.401 (n)

- Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility was audited once during the auditing cycle from August 20, 2016, through August 20, 2019.

During the audit process, I was able to receive copies of all relevant documentation, conduct private interviews with staff and residents, tour the complete facility, and receive confidential correspondence from both residents and staff.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The PADOCC has published all final audit reports for the facility on their website, this was confirmed by navigating to the page on the website and reviewing the audit report.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Patrick J. Zirpoli

12/19/2020

Auditor Signature

Date