

HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT TIER 3

PHOTO DOCUMENTATION

<https://postimg.cc/gallery/VbGLNFh>

RELEVANT LAWSUITS AND LEGAL AUTHORITIES

JOHNSON v. GRANTS PASS

United States Supreme Court Opinion

Source: https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/23-175_19m2.pdf

The United States Supreme Court held that enforcement of generally applicable public camping ordinances does not violate the Eighth Amendment's prohibition on cruel and unusual punishment.

TUCSON ENCAMPMENT ENFORCEMENT AND POLICY

News Article

Source: https://tucson.com/news/local/government-politics/article_955fe2a4-a78a-47d1-8014-4104ffb9e5b6.html

TUCSON PROPOSITION 312

Arizona Revised Statutes § 42-17451

Source: <https://www.azleg.gov/viewdocument/?docName=https://www.azleg.gov/ars/42/17451.htm>

Proposition 312 provides a process through which qualifying property owners may seek relief when governmental entities fail to address certain public nuisance conditions.

BRADFORD v. CITY OF TUCSON, ARIZONA

Arizona Court of Appeals

Source: <https://www.appeals2.az.gov/Decisions/CV20240231Memo.pdf>

Bradford v. City of Tucson, Arizona

UNION OF SAINTS OPINION

The Union of Saints has summarized its case work with the understanding that modern homeless encampments can mirror forms of WW2 ghettoization. There are many factors and life circumstances that contribute to the formation of homeless encampments.

Ultimately, these conditions can create safety, sanitation, and biohazard concerns for both unhoused individuals and surrounding communities, which is why we support the measures outlined above.

We believe that fraud, waste, abuse, and negligence may be contributing to the continuation of these issues. Whether viewed through the lens of politics, public policy, or crisis management, there is no excuse for allowing these conditions to persist, and meaningful action is needed to address them.

Ignoring homeless encampment issues is not an option.