

Reflection, ACLU, New Hampshire & DEI

Does New Hampshire really want globalist and radical foreign change? Does the rest of America really want to change New England's culture? Why are urban and foreign-born lawyers making the rules for New Hampshire residents? Can New Hampshire residents find the lawyer support *they need*?

The complaint's central thesis is that the Department's Letter contains unsupported generalizations and vague reasoning. In other words, much of the filing advances arguments alleging logical and procedural deficiencies in the government's position. However, after *years* of tireless research and supporting analysis, we know this to be untrue.

As an ideological, political, and "traveling" matter, the ACLU is not the speaker for the residents of New Hampshire and does not purport to represent them as a whole. Rather, it is a national civil liberties organization that litigates on behalf of specific clients in individual cases. Accordingly, its arguments reflect the interests of those clients, not the collective views of New Hampshire citizens.

There are many concerns and implications surrounding DEI-related policies that New Hampshire residents have, including the exclusion or unequal access to its own culture and residents. DEI policies *twist reality* and use guilt as a strategy to enhance the opportunities of others in place of the residents themselves. New Hampshire is not a historically Black-majority state; it is predominantly White because slavery was something New Hampshire fought against and did not participate in as the Southern states did. DEI is simply, forfeiture of New Hampshire culture and a replacement with outside cultures. It's a firm no. If residents from other places want to attend university in New Hampshire, they should be welcomed naturally and wholeheartedly, never politically and racially forced.

DEI issues are often politically contested and ideology-dependent, yet they require careful evidentiary review and legal analysis rather than activist generalizations and anti-White bias. We have witnessed activism against New Hampshire residents, especially by newcomers who feel entitled enough that calling White individuals "colonials" and racist for protecting themselves has become normalized. Where White women and girls can be stabbed, robbed, and trafficked, and where White men are labored until they have no bones left to support their backs and are then left on the streets to fend for themselves, yet there is still little support from the left. The time for a new direction is now.

New Hampshire's population reflects its own historical and demographic development. Policy discussions concerning admissions and the allocation of educational opportunities necessarily involve competing considerations of access, merit, and legal compliance. This should not include "racially biased standards," but rather focus on student abilities. Why would New Hampshire residents want to forfeit their rights for others to take their places? End the blame-shifting, end the gaslighting, and let's talk about the real implications of what DEI means for New Hampshire residents. It means they become excluded in order to support out-of-state students in their place. Does New Hampshire really want that? I don't think so. New Hampshire high school graduates this year have yet to apply to college, but they will soon find out that the United States' landscape has radically changed in the last ten years, and it does not represent them, far from it. They're White, so why would it represent them?

The ACLU, along with similar organizations, engages in litigation across multiple jurisdictions, including New Hampshire, as part of a radical ideological movement of race advocacy. For example,

they still support the Southern Poverty Law Center even after fraud investigations yielded, to our horror, support involving the KKK and other very shady illicit criminal acts. No, this is not an isolated case; it is a trend, a long-winded trend in which the ACLU seems to have, throughout the years, been quite frequent in the spotlight, right behind them. Still, there is no accountability.

The ACLU states that it supports civil liberties, yet the liberties of American Whites are, at this moment in history, being suppressed and denied. Even when they are the minority, it does not matter. There has never been a positive activist movement for Whites, not even during modern slavery in which 80% of digital slavery victims have been White women and girls. What gives?

Litigation does not equal New Hampshire representation, and it is clear that the policies the ACLU holds are outdated and do not represent New Hampshire's culture, but rather something foreign, and should absolutely be questioned at every measure. New Hampshire should not be penalized, punished, or forced to forfeit its seats just to make ACLU lawyers and Democrats happy that their extreme and outdated ideologies have succeeded. Their party has lost America and caused the USA issues at every turn. It would not surprise me to see ACLU clients hoisting a Mexican flag and burning an American one. God forbid we reclaim our state and hoist our New Hampshire flag; the skulls and new age Helter Skelter crowd would be out in mass guns a blazing.

New Hampshire is not a racist culture. However, if forced suppression and exclusion continue at the rates they have, it will become a protective one. New Hampshire does not support forced mass migration, and I want to be very clear about this. Furthermore, I call on the ACLU and its supporters to stop forcing rapid changes and radical ideologies on Americans. We were free before activists decided to thwart our liberties and freedoms with left-wing radicalism. Now look at us. We labor daily to fight a foreign and domestic Cold War.

Forced mass migration, forced "diversification," and exclusion measures imposed on historical White cultures are, no doubt, crimes against humanity. Education is a vital part of our societal systems. New Hampshire residents do not support White erasure; it is literally who they are. We have seen what we needed to see.

In every direction, we see attacks against Whites. If it were really about equality, our country would be equal, but that is not the case today. From Florida to California to Oregon. Let New Hampshire be New Hampshire without the suppression and exclusion of its own citizens. I argue on behalf of historically suppressed New Hampshire Acadians especially, who are now a small pool of citizens compared to the undocumented migrant population alone—no match to the 50 million immigrants, and certainly nothing compared to DEI. *We are the minority*. I repeat the radical left's most favorite saying, "Wake up!" Let's talk real facts and numbers, but the ACLU will not do that. They are stuck in the past and still supporting fraudsters.

We support American culture, not force, fraud, coercion, and mass migration culture. *Live free or die!*