

Homeless Encampments Ignored, Taxpayer Exploited University “Mass Migration Hubs” Highly Sought

At this time homeless encampments are all but ignored, yet universities still pursued as “mass migration hubs.” **Shady business in Tuscon. Where are taxpayer dollars going? Why are they still neglecting homeless encampments despite lawsuits and changed laws? Why are illegal immigrants being “smuggled in and housed” via American universities against the will of American taxpayers?**

“Documents just go missing. How convenient for anyone attempting to commit fraud.”

Source:

<https://arizonadailyindependent.com/2015/12/15/tucson-mayor-council-sued-for-abuses-of-process/>

Source:

https://tucson.com/news/local/government-politics/article_955fe2a4-a78a-47d1-8014-4104ffb9e5b6.html

Source:

<https://medium.com/tson-news-by-three-sonorans/city-corruption-draws-lawsuit-seeking-the-removal-of-mayor-rothschild-and-four-city-council-members-dadc5d841c3e>

Source: <https://arizonadailyindependent.com/2015/12/15/tucson-mayor-council-sued-for-abuses-of-process/>

Source: https://tucson.com/news/local/government-politics/article_955fe2a4-a78a-47d1-8014-4104ffb9e5b6.html

Source: <https://medium.com/tson-news-by-three-sonorans/city-corruption-draws-lawsuit-seeking-the-removal-of-mayor-rothschild-and-four-city-council-members-dadc5d841c3e>

8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA	
10	Cecilia Cruz,	NO. C20164342
11		COMPLAINT
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	Assigned to: Hon. SARAH R. SIMMONS
14	City of Tucson; Jonathan Rothschild	
15	as Mayor of the City of Tucson;	
16	Regina Romero, Paul Cunningham,	
17	Karin Uhlich, Shirley Scott, as	
18	elected members of the Tucson City	
19	Council; Richard Miranda as the	
20	appointed City Manager; Roger	
21	Randolph as the appointed Tucson	
22	City Clerk; Michael Rankin as the	
23	appointed City Attorney and Dennis	
	McLaughlin as a Principal City	
	Attorney,	
	Defendants.	

Cruz informed the court that Mayor Rothschild along with city council members Regina Romero, Paul Cunningham, Karin Uhlich and Shirley Scott have intentionally violated open meeting laws for the intended purpose of depriving Cruz and the public of information they had a legal right to obtain.

There you will find a compelling factual story that helps shed some light on the special interests that run Tucson and are the beneficiaries of Arizona election fraud.

The article is alleging municipal corruption in Tucson, Arizona, centered on the proposed development/sale of El Rio Park and Golf Course and the city's handling of public records and open-meeting requirements.

The main allegations described in the article are:

- Open-meeting law violations: The lawsuit alleged that Mayor Jonathan Rothschild and four council members—Regina Romero, Paul Cunningham, Karin Uhlich, and Shirley

Scott—intentionally participated in an executive session that violated Arizona's Open Meeting Law.

- Withholding public records: The lawsuit alleged that the city improperly took control of the process for collecting and disclosing records requested by Cecilia Cruz, rather than allowing the legally required public-record process to operate normally.
- Destruction of records: The article says that city attorney staff [destroyed key documents connected to the earlier litigation concerning El Rio.](#) ←
- Misrepresentations to the court: It further says the city attorney's [staff lied to Judge Christopher Staring and Cruz about whether those records existed.](#) The article states that Judge Staring subsequently made factual findings concerning the city's intentional and wrongful conduct.
- Potential conflict between public interests and private development: The underlying dispute concerned the city's plan to sell/develop El Rio Park with a private company. The article portrays this as potentially involving a "pay to play" arrangement and special interests, although that characterization comes from the article's authors and should not be treated as an established fact without reviewing the court record.
- Possible taxpayer losses: One of the videos linked in the article alleges that the El Rio proposal could have cost taxpayers millions.
- **A university purchase could give city officials legitimate political and economic benefits without necessarily meaning corruption.** In the El Rio situation, there are several plausible benefits that would explain why officials were interested.

1. Economic development and jobs

The public argument was that a GCU campus would bring **jobs, students, investment, and economic activity** to the west side of Tucson. City officials could point to that as an accomplishment during their terms. At the time, supporters specifically promoted the idea of economic development, while opponents questioned the economic-impact studies.

2. Getting the city out of an expensive property

El Rio was a financially troubled municipal golf course. Later city figures showed it losing hundreds of thousands of dollars annually and requiring substantial future capital investment. So selling or otherwise transferring the property could have allowed officials to say they had eliminated an ongoing financial burden.

That is a **legitimate governmental incentive**, even if residents disagreed with the decision.

3. Political credit

A large university development can be politically valuable. Officials could claim:

We brought a major institution to the west side.

We created jobs.

We attracted investment.

We redeveloped underused city property.

That can translate into political support and relationships with business and development interests.

4. The property itself was extremely valuable

This is probably the most important part to investigate.

El Rio was roughly **110 acres**. The city wasn't merely discussing selling a little-used building. It was discussing what would happen to a very large piece of publicly controlled urban land. Contemporary reporting says GCU wanted a roughly 100-acre campus and that the city was considering a sale or lease.

If a private university obtains valuable public land on favorable terms and subsequently develops it, **the difference between the public property's value and the transaction price becomes very important.**

5. Relationships with powerful institutions

Continued:

Universities can become major economic and **political institutions**. A city government that helps a university establish a campus may subsequently have a valuable relationship with that institution and its **executives, donors, developers, contractors, and other associated organizations**.

Actual corruption rather than just a controversial land deal:

1. **What was El Rio actually worth at the time?**
2. **What price/lease terms was GCU being offered?**
3. **Who negotiated those terms and who pushed the deal?**
4. **Did any mayor, council member, staff member, political donor, developer, consultant, or related party receive a personal financial benefit?**

The fourth question is the critical one.

The Medium article makes much stronger allegations about a **"pay to play"** arrangement and concealment of records. The contemporary reporting does confirm that there was controversy over a closed-door council discussion and over the city's handling of information about the proposed GCU project.

Potential for mass migration, DEI and VISA/Immigration Fraud could be another possible reason to scrutinize the proposed university purchase.

- **Potential for increased migration:** A large university campus can attract students from outside the area, including international students. GCU is federally certified to enroll F-1 students and issue I-20 forms, and it **actively recruits international students**. *That could increase the number of people moving into an area.*
- **DEI:** GCU publicly promotes students from diverse backgrounds and has **international and multicultural programming**.

- **Visa/immigration fraud:** This could potentially be an issue also.
- **Population growth or migration:** A 100-acre university campus could bring students, faculty, staff, and associated development into an area. GCU was actively pursuing large satellite campuses and described plans involving thousands of students and employees.

Immigration: There is evidence that GCU enrolled undocumented students in Arizona at the time; one 2013 report said more than 300 Phoenix-campus students lacked legal immigration status. Tucson campus could be used to facilitate illegal immigration or immigration fraud.

The article is alleging **municipal corruption in Tucson, Arizona**, centered on the proposed development/sale of **El Rio Park and Golf Course** and the city's handling of public records and open-meeting requirements.

The main allegations described in the article are:

- **Open-meeting law violations:** The lawsuit alleged that Mayor Jonathan Rothschild and four council members, Regina Romero, Paul Cunningham, Karin Uhlich, and Shirley Scott, intentionally participated in an executive session that violated Arizona's Open Meeting Law.
- **Withholding public records:** The lawsuit alleged that the city improperly took control of the process for collecting and disclosing records requested by Cecilia Cruz, rather than allowing the legally required public-record process to operate normally.
- **Destruction of records:** The article says that city attorney staff destroyed key documents connected to the earlier litigation concerning El Rio.
- **Misrepresentations to the court:** It further says the city attorney's staff lied to Judge Christopher Staring and Cruz about whether those records existed. The article states that Judge Staring subsequently made factual findings concerning the city's intentional and wrongful conduct.
- **Potential conflict between public interests and private development:** The underlying dispute concerned the city's plan to sell/develop El Rio Park with a private company. The article portrays this as potentially involving a **"pay to play"** arrangement and special interests, although that characterization comes from the article's authors and should not be treated as an established fact without reviewing the court record.
- **Possible taxpayer losses:** One of the videos linked in the article alleges that the El Rio proposal could have cost taxpayers millions.
- **A university purchase could give city officials legitimate political and economic benefits without necessarily meaning corruption.** In the El Rio situation, there are several plausible benefits that would explain why officials were interested.

- **Grand Canyon University (GCU) and Grand Canyon Education (GCE)**

In 2013, when the El Rio proposal was being considered:

- **Grand Canyon University (GCU) was owned and operated by Grand Canyon Education, Inc. (GCE).**
- **GCE was a publicly traded, for-profit company**, meaning it was owned by shareholders rather than one individual.
- **Brian E. Mueller** was President of GCU and President/CEO of GCE at the time.
- Therefore, the proposed El Rio purchase involved a **for-profit university owned by a publicly traded corporation**, not the nonprofit GCU that exists today.

In 2018:

- GCE transferred GCU to an **independent Arizona nonprofit corporation**.
- GCU became a nonprofit university, while GCE remained a separate publicly traded company.
- GCE continues to provide services to GCU under a long-term services relationship.

Today:

- GCU is a **nonprofit university** governed by its own Board of Trustees.
- GCE is a **separate publicly traded company**.
- **BlackRock is the largest disclosed shareholder of GCE, with approximately 9.7% ownership.**
- Other major disclosed shareholders include **FMR LLC (Fidelity), with approximately 6.7%, and Riverbridge Partners, with approximately 5.4%.**
- **Brian E. Mueller** remains CEO of GCE and president of GCU.

Jonathan Rothschild was mayor of Tucson from 2011–2019, so he was mayor during the El Rio discussions.

Rothschild's documented professional background was as a Tucson attorney and managing partner of **Mesch, Clark & Rothschild** before becoming mayor.

BlackRock → shareholder of GCE → GCE owned GCU in 2013 → GCU pursued El Rio → Jonathan Rothschild was Tucson mayor during the proposal.