

Migration, Crime Data, and Modern Warfare

The claim that migrants “commit less crime” is not based on factual data, as this data is not actually something that was monitored for public knowledge. If a person is born in China or the Dominican Republic, for example, yet committed a crime in New Hampshire, this crime would only be written as “man” or “woman” committed x crime from New Hampshire.

Furthermore, abolition movements such as End Incarceration or Abolish Prisons actually fuel these movements, because data is actually collected within prison systems. Ending incarceration, or normalizing crime as something only to be rehabilitated, would naturally “decrease crime rates.”

The reasoning is deeply flawed and is misleading the public to the point of creating experiences that lead to mass life cost. This experience is unacceptable and can be attributed to modern forms of complex warfare. This experience must be mitigated wherever it occurs.

This is not a mere mistake. It is an intentional misleading of the public in order to alter political and prison systems so that they may aid ideological movements that have known ties to foreign adversaries such as Russia while we are in an active war.

This experience is called “mass instrumental migration,” “institutional subversion,” “desecuritization,” and, most simply, “crimefare.” Furthermore, if police systems are abolished, they would be replaced with “psychologists,” where a significant portion may be foreign, creating access points and new patterns to organized foreign criminals. It essentially opens the door for “confidential” communications between foreign and domestic enemies.

