

# Policy Document for Protecting Americans From Political and Information Warfare

Cowdrey

## Policy Issue

The United States is experiencing an increasingly complex political environment in which information campaigns, political organizations, institutional conflicts, and fourth-generation warfare may affect the safety, stability, and sovereignty of the American people. One of the concerns presented in this policy document is that political and energetic exploitation of Americans may be occurring through coordinated information campaigns and other mechanisms of influence.

This issue requires examination beyond the traditional political divisions of left and right. The country has changed significantly since 2014, and political conflicts that were once viewed through a different lens may now involve more sophisticated forms of information warfare, hybrid warfare, proxy warfare, propaganda, and political mobilization.

## Case Study: League of Women Voters v. Trump

The case *League of Women Voters v. Trump* provides an important example for examination. The plaintiffs include the League of Women Voters of Massachusetts, League of Women Voters of the United States, League of Women Voters Education Fund, Association of Americans Resident Overseas, U.S. Vote Foundation, OCA-Asian Pacific American Advocates, and Delta Sigma Theta Sorority.

The defendants include President Donald J. Trump, the United States Postal Service, the Postmaster General, the Department of Homeland Security, and federal officials. Judge Indira Talwani issued an injunction on August 11 and, on August 25, found that the USPS violated the injunction. Counsel and support included the ACLU, ACLU of Massachusetts, NAACP LDF, and Brennan Center.

A separate matter, *Trump v. California*, involved California, 22 states, and D.C. The Supreme Court lifted that separate injunction on August 24. The lifting of that injunction did not automatically terminate Judge Talwani's separate injunction, because the two cases involve separate proceedings.

## Policy Concern

The concern is whether organizations involved in political litigation, advocacy, information campaigns, or institutional activity are participants in fourth-generation warfare directed

against the American people. Some organizations identified in this discussion are viewed as very hostile to American interests and as active participants in a larger conflict.

This concern also raises questions about whether information campaigns can influence Americans psychologically, politically, socially, and energetically. Women and other members of the American public may be particularly affected by left information campaigns environments that encourage fear, division, manipulation, or political hostility.

These questions should be investigated as matters of national security, public safety, civil rights, and democratic integrity.

## **Historical Responsibility**

A central policy question is whether Democrats are responsible for historical invasion and, if so, to what extent political actors associated with the Democratic Party have contributed to American mass atrocities.

This question has been approached through a grueling and exhaustive research process. What initially appeared to be a hypothesis was accompanied by intuition, spiritual harm, and the will to survive and protect the American people from slavery and war.

As the pieces were assembled, the patterns became increasingly clear. The effort to protect the American people is far from simply theoretical. The response to these protections became the same important evidence being examined.

## **Fourth-Generation Warfare**

The policy concern involves multiple components that may appear within a modern conflict environment, including:

- Hybrid warfare
- Proxy warfare
- Fourth-generation warfare
- Information campaigns
- Propaganda
- Political exploitation
- Institutional conflict
- Attacks on public safety systems
- Attempts to weaken or remove police systems
- Support for invasion
- Potential acts of treason

Taken together, these elements raise the question of whether Americans are experiencing a form of warfare that does not necessarily resemble traditional armed conflict.

## **Policy Objective**

The objective should be to determine whether organized political or institutional activity is being used to conduct warfare against the American people and, if so, to identify the individuals, organizations, funding structures, information networks, and mechanisms involved.

The United States should develop stronger mechanisms for identifying and documenting coordinated information campaigns, political exploitation, propaganda, hybrid warfare, and other forms of fourth-generation warfare while protecting lawful political speech and constitutional rights.

## **The Question of What Now**

The central policy question is no longer simply whether these activities are occurring. The question is what should be done if the evidence demonstrates an organized campaign against the American people.

If the United States is facing an active conflict involving invasion, information warfare, proxy warfare, hybrid warfare, and institutional attacks, the government and the American people must determine how those activities can be identified, investigated, documented, and stopped.

The policy objective is therefore protection of the American people, preservation of national sovereignty, prevention of slavery and war, and the defense of the institutions responsible for maintaining public safety and constitutional order.