

Female Victims of Gun Violence in the United States

Prepared by Union of Saints

Gun violence in the United States has a severe and disproportionate impact on women, particularly within the contexts of intimate partner violence, suicide, and mass shootings. The issue intersects with public health, criminal justice, mental health, domestic violence prevention, and constitutional debates surrounding firearm access and regulation.

Scale of the Problem

Annual Deaths

More than 6,500 women die from gun violence each year in the United States, with over half of these deaths involving firearm suicide.

Suicide Rates

An estimated 3,300 women die annually from firearm suicide, and rates have continued to rise in recent years, particularly among women of color.

Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)

Firearms play a major role in domestic violence fatalities:

- Every month, approximately 57–70 women are shot and killed by an intimate partner.
- Nearly 6 million women in the United States have experienced a gun being used against them by an intimate partner.

Mass Shootings

Research has found that in at least 46% of mass shootings between 2015–2022, the perpetrator targeted a current or former intimate partner or family member.

Disproportionate Impacts

Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native women experience disproportionately high rates of intimate partner-related gun violence.

Pregnancy and Postpartum Risk

Research has identified homicide as one of the leading causes of death during pregnancy and the postpartum period in the United States.

LGBTQ+ and Disabled Women

LGBTQ+ women and women with disabilities face elevated risks of severe relationship abuse and firearm-related intimidation, though underreporting limits the available data.

Health and Social Consequences

Female survivors of gun violence often experience:

- long-term physical injuries,
- chronic pain,
- PTSD and trauma-related disorders,
- anxiety and depression,
- family disruption,
- economic instability,
- and prolonged emotional distress.

For example, survivors of school and community shootings frequently report long-lasting psychological consequences affecting both children and caregivers.

Medical and forensic support programs play an important role in survivor care. Specialized forensic nurse examiner services provide:

- emergency medical treatment,
- evidence collection,
- trauma-informed care,
- and legal documentation support for victims of violence.

Policy and Prevention Efforts

Research and advocacy organizations such as [GIFFORDS](#) and [Everytown for Gun Safety](#) advocate for:

- stronger domestic violence firearm restrictions,
- firearm relinquishment requirements for prohibited abusers,
- expanded survivor protections,
- and stronger enforcement mechanisms.

The Bipartisan Safer Communities Act included provisions aimed at restricting firearm access for convicted domestic abusers, including certain dating partners.

Mental Health and Support Resources

Support resources commonly recommended for survivors and families affected by violence include:

- [National Alliance on Mental Illness \(NAMI\) Helpline](#)
Call: 800-950-6264
Text: “HelpLine” to 62640
- [988 Suicide & Crisis Lifeline](#)
Call or text: 988

Local mental health organizations and domestic violence support services also provide:

- counseling,
 - crisis intervention,
 - survivor advocacy,
 - legal assistance,
 - and educational programs.
-

Logical Fallacies and Advocacy Framing in Gun Violence Discourse

Policy advocacy organizations frequently use persuasive rhetoric in presenting firearm policy arguments. It is important to distinguish between:

1. Actual logical fallacies
2. Persuasive framing
3. Value-based policy assumptions

Organizations such as [GIFFORDS](#) are advocacy platforms rather than neutral academic institutions, and therefore frequently employ emotionally compelling language and strategic framing.

Common Rhetorical and Argumentative Patterns

Appeal to Emotion (Pathos)

Examples commonly include:

- references to children and schools,
- survivor testimony,
- trauma narratives,
- and emotionally charged statistics.

Emotional appeals become potentially fallacious when emotional intensity substitutes for rigorous evidence or nuanced policy analysis.

However, emotional content is also unavoidable in discussions involving violence and human suffering.

False Dichotomy / Binary Framing

Some advocacy messaging frames the issue as:

- “gun safety” versus “the gun lobby,”
- or “saving lives” versus political obstruction.

Critics argue this may oversimplify a complex constitutional and cultural debate into a moral binary.

Loaded Language / Poisoning the Well

Terms such as:

- “extremism,”
- “dangerous arguments,”
- or “merchants of death”

may function rhetorically to negatively frame opposing viewpoints before substantive debate occurs.

Hasty Generalization

Some broad causal claims about firearm laws and violence rates may oversimplify:

- socioeconomic conditions,
- regional differences,
- cultural variables,
- or broader crime trends.

Critics argue that correlation does not automatically establish causation.

Appeal to Authority

Advocacy organizations frequently reference:

- researchers,
- public health experts,
- survivors,
- legal scholars,
- and political leaders.

This becomes problematic only if dissenting viewpoints or methodological disputes are excluded from consideration.

Euphemistic or Ambiguous Framing

Terms such as:

- “commonsense reform”
- or “gun safety”

are sometimes criticized as euphemistic substitutes for broader firearm regulation policies. Supporters counter that the language accurately reflects the intent of the proposed policies.

Confirmation Bias / Selective Evidence

Advocacy organizations across the political spectrum often emphasize:

- supportive studies,
- favorable statistics,
- and emotionally resonant examples,

while minimizing contradictory evidence or competing interpretations.

The 2011 Tucson Shooting

The 2011 Tucson shooting occurred on January 8, 2011, during a public constituent event in Tucson.

Gabrielle Giffords was among those injured in the attack.

The shooter, Jared Lee Loughner, killed six people and injured thirteen others before being physically restrained by civilians after attempting to reload his handgun.

Individuals involved in restraining him included:

- Bill Badger,
- Patricia Maisch,
- Roger Salzgeber,
- and Joseph Zamudio.

Although Zamudio was legally carrying a concealed firearm, he did not fire it during the incident.

Loughner later pleaded guilty to multiple federal charges and received:

- seven consecutive life sentences,
- plus 140 years,
- without parole.

He remains incarcerated at a federal medical prison facility in Rochester for inmates requiring long-term psychiatric and medical care.

The surname “Loughner” is generally believed to be of Germanic origin, though there is no publicly verified genealogy establishing a precise family origin.

Gun Rights, Gun Safety, and Public Debate

Most major gun-rights organizations publicly state that they support firearm safety, though they often define safety differently than gun-control advocacy groups.

Gun-rights organizations frequently emphasize:

- responsible ownership,
- firearm education,
- safe storage,
- accident prevention,
- and lawful self-defense.

Examples include:

- [National Rifle Association \(NRA\)](#)
- [Project ChildSafe](#)
- [US Concealed Carry Association](#)

Common Areas of Emphasis

Firearm Training

- safety classes,
- hunter education,
- concealed-carry instruction,
- and range training.

Safe Storage

- gun safes,
- trigger locks,
- and prevention of unauthorized access.

Accident Prevention

- safe handling practices,

- negligent discharge prevention,
- and child safety education.

Responsible Carry

- de-escalation,
 - situational awareness,
 - and legal education for lawful firearm carriers.
-

Public Support for Gun-Safety Legislation

Polling consistently suggests that many gun owners support at least some forms of firearm-related safety legislation.

Approximate polling ranges among gun owners include:

Policy	Approximate Support
Universal background checks	~74%–85%
Safe-storage laws	~70%
Red-flag laws	~63%–69%
Raising purchase age for AR-style rifles to 21	~72%
Assault weapon bans	~40%–50%

Support generally decreases for:

- firearm confiscation policies,
- national firearm registries,
- and broader restrictions perceived as infringing upon the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Critics of polling data argue that:

- question wording can influence responses,
 - respondents may misunderstand existing laws,
 - and phrases such as “gun safety” may carry different meanings for different populations.
-

Broader Constitutional and Policy Debate

The primary disagreement between gun-rights and gun-control organizations is often not whether safety matters, but:

- what policies improve safety,
- how constitutional protections should be interpreted,
- and whether education or regulation is the more effective prevention strategy.

Gun-rights organizations often argue that:

- education,
- training,
- lawful self-defense,
- and responsible ownership

are more effective than broad firearm restrictions.

Gun-control organizations often argue that:

- stronger regulation,
- reduced access to certain firearms,
- and expanded legal oversight

are necessary to reduce firearm-related deaths and injuries.

Both sides commonly use the language of:

- “safety,”
- “protection,”
- and “saving lives,”

while differing significantly in their interpretations of the causes of violence and the most effective solutions for preventing harm.

Sources:

- <https://giffords.org/>
- <https://everytownresearch.org/report/guns-and-violence-against-women/>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gabby_Giffords

(AI Assisted)