

Crimes Against Humanity Politics

Question Presented

Cowdrey

It meets the threshold of crimes against humanity; Is it war?

This is not a Democratic vs. Republican issue, but an issue of whether one supports the safety and well being of Americans. Americans must come first in this country. In the wake of violent attacks against Americans, including attacks on public servants such as military personnel, police officers, and federal agents, serious concerns are raised. There are also concerns regarding unequal attention and protection, including claims that certain victims, including White Americans, lack adequate voice when subjected to violence. Additional concerns extend to violence affecting all Americans and whether such harms receive sufficient attention when committed by individuals within immigrant populations.

There are also concerns regarding large-scale movements across industries, including trucking, which create environments vulnerable to trafficking and exploitation. These dynamics are contributing to a disturbing national security risk, particularly with the concern that undocumented individuals could potentially gather intelligence or act on behalf of foreign interests, including countries such as China, Russia, Mexico, India, or other potentially allied countries. From this perspective, it may be argued that Democratic leadership is no longer operating in the best interests of the United States, but instead has contributed to the development of a separate political alignment that supports foreign interests at the expense, including the loss of life, of the American people. There have been claims that America belongs to other nations, such as Mexico, China and Russia, that also contribute to this concern.

When individuals present evidence of harms by illegal aliens, they are met with hostility and vitriol, particularly when advocating for the protection of their families. There are also concerns about judicial leniency in cases involving undocumented individuals, including instances where mental illness is cited as a factor in criminal responsibility. Furthermore, policies such as forced medical interventions, including vaccines, violate personal autonomy and constitute medical battery. Taken together, these concerns have created serious and cruel harms, such as crimes against humanity, these crimes are being committed against the American people on a large scale. It is also understood that similar patterns are present in other Western countries.

These developments raise questions about whether such outcomes are the result of deliberate strategy or unintended consequences, especially when viewed alongside political movements, financial incentives, and concerns about exploitation and trafficking affecting Americans. Based on these concerns, a challenge is issued to the Democratic Party to reconsider its position, step down, or reflect on the implications of being perceived as acting against the interests of its own country. The perception may be that the Democratic Party committed acts of war against the American people if policy opinion does not change soon.

The responsibility to safeguard the American people, has never been negotiable, and this truth remains.

Findings and Legal Standard

The controlling legal standard is whether the facts satisfy the threshold of an armed conflict, requiring sustained and organized violence rising to a level of intensity recognized under international and constitutional law.

That standard is defined by four essential elements

sustained violence

organized actors

conflict level intensity

and the involvement of state or state like actors

Application

Based on the record presented, it is asserted that each of these elements is satisfied.

The evidence, taken as a whole, reflects patterns of ongoing and repeated violence that are not isolated or sporadic, but sustained over time resembling that of war time cadence. It further reflects the presence of actors operating with coordination, continuity, and mission. The scale and severity of the conduct extend beyond ordinary criminal activity and approach a level of intensity associated with recognized conflict conditions. Finally, the surrounding circumstances raise substantial questions regarding the involvement, influence, or exploitation by organized or foreign aligned entities.

Accordingly, the conditions described are argued to exceed the bounds of random or disconnected criminal conduct and to reflect a sustained pattern of organized harm.

Conclusion and Requested Determination

For these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the conditions described meet the threshold for sustained and organized conflict under the governing legal standard.

It is therefore requested that the trier of fact recognize the gravity and scale of the conditions presented and evaluate them under the highest standards of constitutional and international law.

Source

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y6h_QtNKuqA