

Diagnosis and Management of Chest Pain and Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) Unstable Angina/NSTEMI

1



2

18

#### Case # 1 Ms. S.A.

- Aggravating factors?-walking
- Relieving factors?-rest/NTG at Urgi-centre
- Total duration?-3 hours

Continuing Medical Implementation

- Any similar pain before?-no
- Risks: – HPL, smoking 15 pack years, FH++++











## **Initial Approach**

1. Is the presenting symptom:

- typical angina?
- atypical angina?
- non-anginal chest pain?
- 2. How do you define each of the above?
- 3. What is this patient's clinical likelihood of CAD?
- 4. Does the patient require immediate therapy?
- 5. What investigations are indicated? When?
- 6. Does the patient require coronary angiography?
- 7. Does the patient require revascularization? Continuing Medical Implementation .....bridging

7

18









				- <u>-</u>	50	
	Typical	l angina	Atypica	ıl angina	Non a ches	anginal t pain
AGE	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
30-39	69.7	25.8	21.8	4.2	5.2	0.8
40-49	87.3	55.2	46.1	13.3	14.1	2.8
50-59	92.0	79.4	58.9	32.4	21.5	8.4
60-69	94.3	90.6	90.6	54.6	28.1	18.6
	3 of 3	criteria	2 of 3	criteria	1 of 3	criteria

# Define the Chest Pain Syndrome

- Chronic stable angina
  - Grade CCS severity
- Unstable angina
  - Define syndrome
  - Assess short term risk of death or MI
- Non ST-elevation MI
- ST-elevation MI

Continuing Medica









Continuing Medical Implement

	Categorize the Severity of Angina				
	(	CCS Classification			
	Class 0	asymptomatic			
	Class I	on strenuous activity			
	Class II	on moderate activity > 2 blocks or 2 flights of stairs			
	Class III	on mild activity < 2 blocks or 2 flights of stairs			
	Class IV	rest or minimal activity			
Continui	ing Medical Implem	entationbridging the care gap			

























-		









"The results support the view that platelet aggregates in the myocardium represent an embolic phenomenon and are a potential cause of unstable angina. The association of myocardial necrosis with such emboli could precipitate sudden death from ventricular fibrillation."

From: Intramyocardial platelet aggregation in patients with unstable angina suffering sudden is internet and in a suffering sudden is the suffering sudden in the suffering and the suffering suffer







29

8

### Unstable Angina-Triggers Systemic factors

- Hyper-coagulable state
- Increased vascular resistance
- Coronary spasm
- Increased cortisol & catecholamines
- Vasoconstriction
- Increased arterial pressure
- Circadian variation

.....bridging the care go

























Low Risk	Intermediate Risk	High Risk	Very High Risk			
Non ST <sup>↑</sup> ACS 30 Day Death/MI Risk						
< 3 %	3-8 %	8-15 %	>15%			
No higher risk features •Single short duration	Rest pain < 20 min. New onset/ Crescendo	Rest pain > 20 min.	Prolonged recurrent pains			
<ul> <li>(&lt;10 min.) rest pain</li> <li>Crescendo angina/New onset angina (Mod severity)</li> <li>6 Hour Observation</li> <li>ECG X 2 normal, unchanged or non-</li> </ul>	angina (Low threshold severity) •ECG non-specific abnormalities or normal •Biomarkers normal or borderline ↑	•ECG ST depression < 2mm •Deep T inversion (e.g. > 5 mm) •T inversion > 2 mm -Especially in > 5 leads	•ST depression < 2mm With ↑ CK-MB or Tn •ST depression > 2mm Multiple leads With pain •Transient ST ↑ > 1 mm			
specific ST Δ's •Negative biomarkers X 2	Increased baseline risk •DM •Previous CABG/MI •Recent PCI	•Isolated biomarker clearly +ve	•Hemodynamic instability → BP/CHF Refractory ischaemia with ST shift			







	Noncardiac Chest Pain	Stable Angina	Unstable Angina	Non-ST- Elev. MI	ST-	Elevation- MI
Clinical Finding	Atypical Pain	Exertion Pain	nal Rest DN	Pain, Post-M I, Prior ASA	l,	Ongoing Pain
ECG	Negative			ST-T-Wave S Changes Elev		ST Elevation
Serum Markers	Negative				Pos	itive
Risk Assessment	Low Probability Low Risk Me			edium-High R	lisk	STEMI
Diagnostic ASA, Heparin/LMWH + Rule Out MI/ACS ASA, Heparin/LMWH + Pathway Early Conserv. Primary PC					∲ ombolysis mary PCI	
Negative Positive ASA + GP IIb/IIIa Inhibitor + Heparin/LMWH + Discharge Anti-ischemic Rx			itor			
Cannon in Braunwald et al. Heart Disease. 2001.						
	W	www.tim	i nro			










#### BIOCHEMICAL CARDIAC MARKERS IN PTS WITH SUSPECTED ACS WITHOUT STE

	Disadvantages	
СК-МВ	Myoglobin	Troponins
1. Lack of specificity with skeletal muscle disease/injury	1. Very low specificity with skeletal muscle injury or disease	1. Low sensitivity in early phase of MI (<6 h after symptom onset)
2. Low sensitivity during early MI (<6 h) or late (>36 h) after symptom	2. Rapid return to normal	2. Limited ability to detect late minor reinfarction
onset and for minor myocardial damage		UAINSTEMI 9/00



























52





#### 1. Unstable Angina -Therapy 8 1. ASA-always!!! 6. Beta-Blockersyes!!!exertional 2. Heparin-if rest component or ACI!! Lovenox > UFH component 3. Add Clopidogrel pain!!rate limiting CaB (Plavix) for Troponin +/dynamic ST changes 8. Nitrates-yes!!!multiple routes(IV >rapidity) 4. Lytics-NO!!!(TIMI IIIB) Add IIB/IIIA inhibitors if planned eath/PCI within 24-48 hours or transient ST elevation Continuing Medical Implementation 10. Cardiac catheterization-





















#### **ICTUS Trial**

 Among troponin positive patients with a non-ST elevation ACS, treatment with an early invasive strategy was not associated with a difference in the primary endpoint compared with a selective invasive strategy

 However, two major components the primary endpoint, MI and rehospitalization for an ACS, show treatment differences in opposite direction

 Rate of MI in present trial notably higher than other similar trials, likely a reflection of peri-procedural MI given nonstringent definition of MI of CK-MB >1x ULN

 Primary endpoint and MI data in present trial differ from recent TACTICS-TIMI 18 trial and FRISC-2 trial, which showed benefit of an early invasive strategy over a conservative strategy in a similar patient population

Additionally, larger percentage of patients in conservative strategy in present trial underwent early revascularization (47%) than in TACTICS-TIMI 18 (36%) or FRISC-2 (9%) www Clinical trial results org

61





Clinical Trial Links: Invasive vs Conservative Strategy for UAP/NSTEMI						
	Favors Conservative	No Difference	Favors Invasive			
	VANQUISH	<u>TIMI III B</u>	TACTICS-			
	INSPIRE	MATE	FRISC II			
	ICTUS		<u>RITA 3</u>			
			<u>TRUCS</u>			
Co	ntinuing Medical Implementa	tion	VINO bridging the care gap			















