

### Principal Object(s) as "Creation"

$$f(1) = f(0) = f(1 \text{ or } 0) = f(1 \text{ and } 0) = f(1 \text{ and/or } 0)$$

**F(1)** – Principal Source

**F(0)** - Available and Expressible Open Domain

**F(1 or 0)** – Our Earthly Creation Component and  
Earthly Express-ability

**F(1 and 0)** – Principal Source to Viable Truth

**F(1 and/or 0)** – Principal Source to Earthly Truth  
AND Earthly Express-ability

**These expressions give our sources to system(s) Logic  
and corresponding functionalities.**

Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X)Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( ) = ( , , ... )

Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X)Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( ) = ( , )

Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X) Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( ) = ( )

Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X) Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( , , ... ) = ( )

Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X) Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( , ) = ( )


Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X) Every(W)Any(X)Some(Y)Non(Z)  
( ) = ( )

## Zim Mathematics & His Existential Synopsis

**Systems and/or Sub Systems as Expressed  
For any Object and/or Object(s)  
A Mathematically Dominant Paradigm**

### TRUTH Geometry

$$F(1) \Rightarrow F(0) \Rightarrow F(1+0) \Rightarrow F(1,0)$$
$$F(1) = F(0) = F(1+0) = F(1,0)$$



$$G(1,0) \Rightarrow G(1+0) \Rightarrow G(0) \Rightarrow G(1)$$
$$G(1,0) = G(1+0) = G(0) = G(1)$$

## Intro to System(s) and/or Sub Systems Paradigm

Renaissance and now scientific thought use these Systems and/or Sub Systems concepts extensively and thoroughly in their pursuit of knowledge, but this usage has been entirely implicitly and/or explicitly in the recognition of these systems concepts and terms. The renaissance movement owed their success to this recognition, but now Science is doomed to another terminal series as expressed per their own utilized explicit without implicit axioms.

**Zim Math explains clearly, contemporary scientific paradigms and their tenets, logic, and concepts better than these disciplines ever will be able explain on their own.** I explore also Express-ability or Express-ability Outlines with principal and/or partial and/or open domain expression, or expressions of expressions. I have been working with some success on a Mathematical Non-expression and its importance to Mathematical development.

**As is documented throughout history, but ignored** by Earthly paradigms, our knowledge of knowledge is shown as systemically lacking information. This has given us origins to our partial system expression or partial system series expression and the recognized kingdom of knowledge with mis-information, mis-truths, false vs. truth paradigms including any so called terminal event or series. The selection / omission intelligence methodologies commonly used and recognized in our education systems are also shown to be, not useful in producing principal tenets to any knowledge paradigms. Availability of Principal expression provide our source to Principal outcomes / solutions. Pseudo / partial expression give our source to ALL "problems". Zim Math provides a pseudo and/or principal and/or open domain measure of rationale...**Zim Olson's Systems Math again opens the doors for utilization of all the systems concepts as explicit terms. Renaissance thinking is again viable.**

## Origin of System Components and Expression Dynamic:

$$f(1) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(0) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(1+0) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(1,0) = + - / \times$$

Giving this next tenet for any object expressed as a complete System or expressed Sub System.

$$g(1) + - / \times = g(0) + - / \times = g(1+0) + - / \times = g(1,0) = + - / \times$$

**Unknown operations as complete Systems** are said to be also applicable with these numerical values. These expressions are developable and reducible within any identifiable principal and/or pseudo and/or open domains giving viable knowledge.

**A derivation source for Principal System tenets**, completely expressed or non-expressed is below, giving Knowledge Source:

$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_ = \_$$

$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_ = \_, \_.$$

$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_ = \_, \_, \dots \_.$$

**Pseudo Systems or "Named" Expression Outline and Construct Source.** Pseudo Expression Trees as with Earthly Creation, give selection/omission categories of intelligence processes.

$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_ = \_.$$

$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_, \_ = \_.$$


$$\text{Every}(\_) \text{Any}(\_) \text{Some}(\_) \text{Non}(\_) \_, \_, \dots \_ = \_.$$

## Sources to Additional Principal Logic / Tenets

**Expressed 1; 0; 1+0; 1 and/or 0;** in various combinations of object or object(s) and as giving various combinations of object(s)

## System(s) Clock Expressions

Clock A(1,0) Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)



### System Wide Phi Time – Principal and/or Partial System as Expressed – Possible Dominant and Non Hierarchal Paradigm for Object and/or Objects

Clock(1+0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)  
Clock(1+0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)

### Source to Existential or Partial time(s) expressions. Clock Additions give Times / Spaces expression

Clock(1,0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)  
Clock(1,0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)

### Eternity, Series, or Infinite Series Expression

Clock(1)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)  
Clock(1)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)


### Non-States, Non-Functional, “Spirit”, Existential or Complete System Expressions

Clock(0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)  
Clock(0)Every(+),Any(+),Some(+),Non(+)

Zim Olson . . . . In Creative Mathematics

## Zim Mathematics & His Existential Synopsis

Systems and/or Sub Systems as Expressed  
A Mathematically Dominant Paradigm



The Real Uni....Verse

## Explanation of Systems & Sub Systems Concepts

### Four Behavioral Components for any Existential System /

**Object:** Every object has a functional context, Every(x); a System and no Sub System functionality Any(x) or f(x); A Non stated functionality, Non(x); and a resultant intersecting functionality, Some(x).

**Historical:** These concepts have been used throughout known History. These terms have been to a large extent implicit in our understanding; Theologies, knowledge, knowledge theory, law, businesses and economies. These and other understandings have laid claim to various portions of the system and/or sub system paradigm.

**Current Perspective on Systems and Usage:** Science utilizes the System functionality portion of this paradigm. Theologies still utilize this paradigm for a principal system, but do not agree on the existence or content of the behavioral components. 'Earthly' disciplines such as business, government, Information sciences, utilize and recognize the behavioral components but have not come to terms on their existential basis.

### Systems and/or Sub Systems as Dominant Mathematical


**Paradigm:** Zim Mathematics describes every object as possessing these behavioral components, including operations, numeric, unknown objects, and qualitative object and/or object(s). And that every of these object(s) can be expressed as a System and/or Sub System with varying existential results. Physical, qualitative, time attributes, illness and death are simply a partial system expression.

### Origin of System Components and Expression Dynamic:

$$f(1) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(0) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(1+0) + - / \times \Rightarrow f(1,0) = + - / \times$$

Giving this tenet on next page for any object expressed as a complete System or partially expressed Sub System.

Of interest is the outline of "Knowledge Source" for any object and/or object(s) as expressed simultaneously? And completely expressed with four known or unknown system behavioral components giving a Knowledge Source.



ZMO

$$+ f(\_) \Rightarrow \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_, \_) \Rightarrow \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_, \_, \dots \_) \Rightarrow \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_) \Rightarrow \_, \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_, \_) \Rightarrow \_, \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_, \_, \dots \_) \Rightarrow \_, \_ .$$

Knowledge

$$+ f(\_) \Rightarrow \_, \_, \dots \_ .$$

$$+ f(\_, \_) \Rightarrow \_, \_, \dots \_ .$$


$$+ f(\_, \_, \dots \_) \Rightarrow \_, \_, \dots \_ .$$

Source

Man would rather not have his fate be subject to "Luck".  
 Man's expressions of principal and/or partial events, non-  
 events, events and non-event, and events and/or non-events.

**Non Events in our experience also include mortality. Maybe this is the source to our fascination** with the phenomena of "Luck". But we are becoming more aware of our corporal or life event(s)/non-event(s), item(s)/event(s) consisting of more expressible substance than the qualitative constructs of our perception(s). Experience as System, Sub System, System and Sub System, and System and/or Sub System are within our true corporal as well as Mathematical domain(s). System(s) Math can explain how-why for every expressed "luck" event, there is a "Bad Luck" non-event.

Earth(Men<sub>1</sub> and/or Men<sub>0</sub>)Every( ) Any(+) Some( ) Non( )



$$g(1) + - / \times = g(0) + - / \times = g(1+0) + - / \times = g(1,0) = + - / \times$$

**Unknown operations as complete Systems** are said to be applicable with these numerical values shown above. These expressions are developable and reducible within an open domain and a principal logic

## G-d

**Every(1)Any(1)Some(1)Non(1)**

For Numeric object and/or objects, 1 completely expressed giving Principal Expression

**Omniscience, Omnipresent, Omni sapient, Omnipotent**

**Every(X)Any(X)Some(X)Non(X)**

A Principal Expression Outcome and as Completely Expressed giving Phi Time for All Object and/or Object(s)

