November 10, 2011

Kent Olsen, Esq. Olsen and Traeger, LLP 650 S. Cherry St., Ste. 850 Denver, CO 80246

Re:

Dear Kent:

At this critical time in Mom's life, the most important, influential and powerful advocate she has is you. For the past year I have tried to plead for Mom's right to thrive by speaking out, but my words have fallen on deaf ears or have been twisted. And I have been, with the exception of Patty Jones, former conservator, the only one who has tried to act on Mom's behalf. The other Court appointed representatives have historically proved that they will not take into consideration my mother's best interest; her needs, her wants and the quality of care and comfort she deserves. I appeal to you, as Mom's attorney ad litem, to take a stand in Mom's cry for help and support.

Through the over 30 letters copied to you as of January, 2011, that have been written to Barton Cox, the Attorney General's Office, DORA, and the Department of Health, I have kept you informed of the lack of care and abuse that has been going on with regard to Mom. I have documented what I have witnessed that has negatively affected Mom. I have also voiced concerns about the ongoing passive aggressive behavior of the Court appointed guardian, Barton Cox.

I wrote a letter to you just 2 days ago asking you to make a difference in Mom's life by taking a stand against sending her back to Emeritus at Denver. You, yourself, have stated in the past that this is not the best placement for her and that she should be moved somewhere she will receive more care and better care.

For the past 11 months, you have stood by in silence. I have never heard from you by either email or phone regarding your position to protect Mom from elder abuse. Now is the time to work in Mon's best interest and protect her from the court appointed representatives that hold her hostage in an inadequate facility (especially now that she must recover from major hip surgery) and view her as a commodity. They do not have regard for her as the loving caring person that she is. You cannot avoid this critical situation. Mom is 90 years old and probably has less than a year to live. You, as her attorney ad litem, have a fiduciary duty to protect Emma Parr from harm by others.

As you are aware, until March 1, 2011, Mom's bills were always paid; and always paid on time. That is because I have always funded Mom's account. I have never had a problem paying for anything that was in Mom's best interest. That being said, I will not endorse and/or pay for

elder abuse. It is documented that Emeritus at Denver has not provided adequate care for Mom and her rights are being violated.

- Since February, Barton has been talking about Mom's needs and that he is looking into another placement for her. His trail of emails written to me proves that he has been aware that Emeritus at Denver does not provide the care Mom needs since at least the beginning of the year. In one of these emails Barton wrote, "I want to tell you again, Larry, that there have been some problems at the Court of Denver that needed attention, (nutrition, cleanliness, etc.)" As you know, he even admitted this in Court.
- At Emeritus at Denver, the basic daily personal needs of my mother are not met, including food (they feed her a constant diet of snacks and toasted cheese sandwiches) and clothing (that always goes missing.) Barton testified to these problems as well.
- On August 19, 2010, a volunteer who is "familiar and comfortable" with Alzheimer/dementia population, wrote:
 - "When a person is admitted onto hospice that should increase a circle of care, however in Ms. Emma's case, it seemed to decrease from what I've learned over the days of visiting. I was told to that she was admitted into hospice with failure to thrive. I was told on many occasions by the staff that Ms. Emma didn't want to be bothered with visitors, but much to their surprise, she indeed wanted visits and enjoyed them. They said she had many bad days but within the 16 days (over 50 hours) that I spent there, I was able to bring up her spirits. I have learned that in the past two weeks, she has maintained her weight. In my opinion, and years of experience, I have come to know that the more people to share in one's live, the more one's life becomes enriched. So shutting Emma off from visitors is a demeaning act and diminishes her growth." Linda Johnson

I ask you, Kent, would you tolerate this if Emma were you mother? Wouldn't you protest unacceptable standards for your mother? Patty Jones, who has been like a family member and has known my mother for over 30 years, not only understood my protest, but advocated with me that Mom should be moved. For taking this stance, she was not only removed as conservator, but punished by the Court with fines and legal costs that are beyond her capability to pay.

As for my taking this stance, I have endured; extreme emotional grief and distraught as Barton has restrained me from seeing my mother and as the Court and others have used my mother as a financial commodity. I have also suffered slander, character assassination, and financial stress.

In your proposal to the Court, you wrote, "it would be in Emma Parr's best interest to move her to another facility where all people who wish to visit her may do so without the rancor that has taken place at her current place of residence at Emeritus of Denver." I agree with your suggestion of moving her to Denver Hospice. I urge you to be

supportive of Mom along with me. Together we can ensure that she is well taken care of in her final months. If not, then the issue of injustice in Mom's life will certainly continue even after she passes and is no longer with us and all involved will be accountable for their actions.

Sincerely,

Larry W. Parr

Cc: Rita Booker

Kirk Ludwick