**Authorship of the Bible**

The [books of the Bible](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Books_of_the_Bible) are the work of multiple authors and have been edited to produce the works known today. The following article outlines the conclusions of the majority of contemporary scholars, along with the traditional views, both Jewish and Christian.

**Divine authorship**

The [rabbis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rabbi) of the [Babylonian Talmud](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylonian_Talmud) held that God wrote the [Torah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torah) in heaven in letters of black fire on parchment of white fire before the world was created, and that Moses received it by divine dictation. The early [Church Fathers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_Fathers) agreed that the scriptures were inspired or dictated by God, but not on which writings were scriptural: as a result, the [Eastern Orthodox](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eastern_Orthodox) and [Roman Catholic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roman_Catholic) churches treat some books (the [Apocrypha](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apocrypha)) as inspired, but the [Protestant](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Protestant) tradition does not. In the 20th century the vast majority of theologians, both Catholic and Protestant, moved away from the divine dictation model and emphasised the role of the human authors. As a result, even many conservative scholars now accept, for example, that the [Book of Isaiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Isaiah) has multiple authors and that [2 Corinthians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Corinthians) is two letters joined.

**Hebrew Bible**

The [Hebrew Bible](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hebrew_Bible), or Tanakh, is the collection of scriptures making up the Bible used by [Judaism](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judaism). The same books, in a slightly different order, also make up the Protestant version of the [Old Testament](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Old_Testament). The order used here follows the divisions used in Jewish Bibles.

Most of the Hebrew Bible was written between the late 8th century BCE and early 6th century BCE. Biblical texts were written by [scribes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scribe#Judaism) ([Hebrew](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hebrew): [sofer](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sofer)), the literate class of bureaucrats in a mostly non- literate, oral culture. The question of biblical authorship was not important until [Hellenization](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hellenization) in the 4th century BCE, long after most biblical books had been written. [Ancient Greeks](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_Greeks) believed that a text's authority depended on its author, and Jewish tradition was pressured to identify authors for its

writings.

**Torah**

The first division of the Jewish Bible is the [Torah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torah), meaning 'Instruction' or 'Law'. In scholarly literature, it is frequently called by its Greek name, the Pentateuch ('five scrolls'). It is the group of five books made up of [Genesis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Genesis), [Exodus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Exodus), [Leviticus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leviticus), [Numbers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Numbers), and [Deuteronomy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deuteronomy) and stands first in all versions of the Christian Old Testament.

There is a tradition within Judaism and Christianity that [Moses](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moses) wrote the Torah. The Torah itself attributes certain sections to [Mosaic authorship](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mosaic_authorship). In later biblical texts, such as [Daniel 9](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_9):11 and [Ezra 3](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ezra_3):2, it is called the "[Torah of](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_Moses) [Moses](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_Moses)" According to [Rabbinic tradition](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rabbinic_tradition), the five books of the Torah were written by Moses, with the exception of the last eight verses of Deuteronomy which describe his death.

Moses would have lived in the [2nd millennium BCE](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2nd_millennium_BCE), before the development of [Hebrew writing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hebrew_writing). Scholars date the Torah to the [1st millennium BCE](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1st_millennium_BCE). The Torah may, however, incorporate older [oral traditions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oral_tradition), such as proverbs, stories, and songs. Most Jews and Christians believed in Mosaic authorship until the 17th century. Today, the majority of scholars agree that the Pentateuch does not have a single author and that its composition took place over centuries.

**Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus and Numbers**

The rise of [historical criticism](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Historical_criticism) in the 19th century led scholars to conclude that multiple authors wrote the Pentateuch over a long period. By the mid-20th century, the [documentary hypothesis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Documentary_hypothesis) had gained nearly universal consensus among scholars.

According to the documentary hypothesis, the Pentateuch was created by combining four originally independent documents. The [Jahwist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jahwist) source (c. 10th – c. 9th century BCE) and the [Elohist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elohist) source (c. 8th century BCE) were the first to be combined into one document. In the 7th century BCE, the [Deuteronomist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deuteronomist) produced Deuteronomy, which was later added to the combined document. In the [post-exilic period](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Post-exilic_period), the Pentateuch reached its final form with the addition of the [Priestly source](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priestly_source) (c. 5th century BCE).

The consensus around the documentary hypothesis began to break down in the 1970s, and this approach has since seen various revisions. While the identification of distinctive Deuteronomistic and Priestly theologies and vocabularies remains widespread, they are used to form new approaches suggesting that the books were combined gradually over time by the slow accumulation of "fragments" of text, or that a basic text was "supplemented" by later authors/editors. At the same time there has been a tendency to bring the origins of the Pentateuch further forward in time, and the most recent proposals place it in 5th century BCE [Judah under the Persian empire](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yehud_Medinata).

**Deuteronomy**

Deuteronomy is treated separately from Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus and Numbers. Its place in the documentary hypothesis is anomalous, as it, unlike the other four, consists of a single "source". The process of its formation probably took several hundred years, from the 8th century to the 6th, and its authors have been variously identified as prophetic circles (because the concerns of Deuteronomy mirror those of the prophets, especially [Hosea](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hosea)), Levitical priestly circles (because it stresses the role of the [Levites](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levites)), and wisdom and scribal circles (because it esteems wisdom, and because the treaty-form in which it is written would be best known to [scribes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scribes)). Deuteronomy was later used as the introduction to the comprehensive history of Israel written in the early part of the 6th century, and later still it was detached from the history and used to round off the Pentateuch.

**Prophets**

**Former prophets**

The Former Prophets (ראשונים נביאים, Nevi'im Rishonim), make up the first part of the second division of the Hebrew Bible, the [Nevi'im](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nevi%27im), which translates as "Prophets". In Christian Bibles the [Book of Ruth](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ruth), which belongs in the final section of the Hebrew Bible, is inserted between Judges and Samuel.

According to Jewish tradition dating from at least the 2nd century CE, the [Book of Joshua](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Joshua) was by [Joshua](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joshua), the [Book of Judges](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Judges) and the [Books of Samuel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Books_of_Samuel) were by the prophet [Samuel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel_(Bible)) (with some passages by the prophets [Gad](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gad_(Bible_prophet)) and [Nathan](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nathan_(Prophet))), while the two [Books of Kings](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Books_of_Kings) were by [Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeremiah). Since 1943 most scholars have accepted [Martin Noth](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Noth)'s argument that Deuteronomy, Joshua, Judges, Samuel and Kings make up a single work, the so-called "[Deuteronomistic history](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deuteronomistic_history)." Noth believed that the history was the work of a single author writing in the time of the [Babylonian exile](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylonian_exile) (586–539 BCE). This author/editor took as his starting point an early version of the book of Deuteronomy, which had already been composed during the reign of [Josiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Josiah) (last quarter of the 7th century), selecting, editing and composing it to produce a coherent work. [Frank Moore Cross](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frank_Moore_Cross) later proposed that an earlier version of the history was composed in Jerusalem in Josiah's time; this first version, Dtr1, was then revised and expanded to create Noth's second edition, or Dtr2. Still later scholars have discovered further layers and further author-editors. In the 1990s some scholars began to question the existence of a Deuteronomistic history and the question of the origin of these books continues to be debated.

**Latter prophets**

**Isaiah**

Modern scholars divide the [Book of Isaiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Isaiah) into three parts, each with a different origin: ["First Isaiah"](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proto-Isaiah), chapters 1–39, containing the words of the historical 8th century BCE prophet [Isaiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isaiah) and later expansions by his disciples; "[Deutero-Isaiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deutero-Isaiah)" (chapters 40–55), by an anonymous Jewish author in Babylon near the end of the [Babylonian](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylonian_captivity) [captivity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylonian_captivity); and ["Trito-Isaiah"](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Isaiah#Trito-Isaiah_(Isaiah_56-66)) (chapters 56–66), by anonymous disciples of Deutero-Isaiah in Jerusalem immediately after the return from Babylon (although some scholars suggest that chapters 55–66 were written by Deutero-Isaiah after the fall of Babylon). This orderly sequence of pre-exilic, exilic and post-exilic material is somewhat misleading, as some scholars note that significant editing appears to have taken place in all three parts.

**Jeremiah**

[Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeremiah) lived in the late 7th and early 6th centuries BCE. The [Book of Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Jeremiah) presents [Baruch ben](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_ben_Neriah) [Neriah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_ben_Neriah) as the prophet's companion who writes his words on several occasions, and there has accordingly been much speculation that Baruch could have composed an early edition of the book.

In the early 20th century [Sigmund Mowinckel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sigmund_Mowinckel) identified three types of material in the book, Jeremiah 1–25 (Type A) being the words of Jeremiah himself, the biographic prose material (Type B) by an admirer writing c. 580–480 BCE, and the remainder (Type C) from later periods. There has been considerable debate over Mowinckel's ideas, notably the extent of the Jeremiah material and the role of Baruch, who may have been the author of the Type B material. It is generally agreed that the book has strong connections with the [Deuteronomistic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deuteronomist) layers from the Former Prophets, recapitulating in modern terms the traditional idea that Jeremiah wrote both his own book and the Books of Kings.

**Ezekiel**

The [Book of Ezekiel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ezekiel) describes itself as the words of [Ezekiel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ezekiel) ben-Buzi, a priest living in exile in the city of [Babylon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Babylon) between 593 and 571 BCE. The various manuscripts, however, differ markedly from each other, and it is clear that the book has been subjected to extensive editing. While Ezekiel himself may have been responsible for some of this revision, there is general agreement that the book as we have it today is the product of a highly educated [priestly](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priestly) circle that owed allegiance to the historical Ezekiel and was closely associated with the Temple.

**Minor Prophets or Book of the Twelve**

The [Minor Prophets](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Minor_Prophets) are one book in the Hebrew Bible, and many (though not all) modern scholars agree that the Book of the Twelve underwent a process of editing which resulted in a coherent collection. This process is believed to have reached its final form in the [Persian period](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jerusalem_during_the_Achaemenid_period) (538–332 BCE), although there is disagreement over whether this was early or late. For the individual books, scholars usually assume that there exists an original core of prophetic tradition which can be attributed to the figure after whom the book is named. The noteworthy exception is the [Book of](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Jonah) [Jonah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Jonah), an anonymous work containing no prophetic oracles, probably composed in the [Hellenistic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jerusalem_during_the_Hellenistic_period) period (332–167 BCE).

**Writings**

**Psalms**

While a number of the [Psalms](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psalms) bear headings which seem to identify their authors, these are probably the result of the need to find a significant identification in tradition. The individual psalms come

from widely different periods: "some ... presuppose a reigning king and an established cult in the Temple; others clearly presuppose and mention the events of the Exile."

**Job**

The unknown author of the [Book of Job](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Job) is unlikely to have written earlier than the 6th century BCE, and the cumulative evidence suggests a post-Exilic date. It contains some 1,000 lines, of which about 750 form the original core.

**Proverbs**

The [Book of Proverbs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Proverbs) consists of several collections taken from various sources. Chapters 10:1– 22:16 are probably the oldest section, with chapters 1–9 being composed as a prologue – there is some question whether this happened before or after the Exile (587 BCE). The remaining collections are probably later, with the book reaching its final form around the 3rd century BCE.

**Ruth**

The [Talmud](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talmud) refers to [Samuel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel_(Bible)) as the author of [Ruth](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ruth), but this conflicts with several details inside the book. It has been proposed that the anonymous author was a woman, or if a man then one who took women's issues seriously. The book is largely a unity, although the genealogy of David appears to be a later addition.

**Song of Songs (Song of Solomon)**

The [Song of Songs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Song_of_Songs) was traditionally attributed to [Solomon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomon), but modern scholars date it around the 3rd century BCE. Scholars still debate whether it is a single unified work (and therefore from a single author), or more in the nature of an anthology.

**Qoheleth/Ecclesiastes**

The [Book of Ecclesiastes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ecclesiastes) is usually dated to the mid-3rd century BCE. A provenance in Jerusalem is considered likely. The book's claim of [Solomon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomon) as author is a literary fiction; the author also identifies himself as "Qoheleth", a word of obscure meaning which critics have understood variously as a personal name, a nom de plume, an acronym, and a function; a final self-identification is as "shepherd", a title usually implying royalty.

**Lamentations**

[Lamentations](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Lamentations) is assigned by tradition to the [Prophet](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prophet) [Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeremiah_(prophet)); linguistic and theological evidence point to its origin as a distinct book in the 3rd or 2nd century BCE, with the contents having their origin in special mourning observances in Exilic and post-Exilic Jewish communities.

**Esther**

The [Book of Esther](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Esther) was composed in the late 4th or early 3rd century BCE among the Jews of the eastern diaspora. The genre of the book is the novella or short story, and it draws on the themes of wisdom literature; its sources are still unresolved.

**Daniel**

The [Book of Daniel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Daniel) presents itself as the work of a prophet named [Daniel](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_(biblical_figure)) who lived during the 6th century BCE; the overwhelming majority of modern scholars date it to the 2nd century BCE. The author, writing in the time of the Maccabees to assure his fellow-Jews that their persecution by the Syrians would come to an end and see them victorious, seems to have constructed his book around the

legendary Daniel mentioned in Ezekiel, a figure ranked with Noah and Job for his wisdom and righteousness.

**Ezra-Nehemiah**

The [Book of Ezra](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ezra) and the [Book of Nehemiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Nehemiah) were originally one work, [Ezra-Nehemiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ezra-Nehemiah). H.G.M Williamson (1987) proposed three basic stages leading to the final work: (1) composition of the various lists and Persian documents, which he accepts as authentic and therefore the earliest parts of the book; (2) composition of the "Ezra memoir" and "Nehemiah memoir", about 400 BCE; and (3) composition of Ezra 1–6 as the final editor's introduction to the combined earlier texts, about 300 BCE. Lester Grabbe (2003) puts the combination of the two texts Ezra and Nehemiah, with some final editing, somewhat later, in the Ptolemaic period, c. 300–200 BCE.

**Chronicles**

[Chronicles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Chronicles) is an anonymous work from Levitical circles in Jerusalem, probably composed in the late 4th century BCE. Although the book is divided into two parts (1st and 2nd Chronicles), the majority of studies propose a single underlying text with lengthy later additions and amendments to underline certain interests such as the cult or the priesthood.

**Deuterocanonicals/Biblical apocrypha**

The Catholic and Orthodox Christian churches include some or all of the following books in their Bibles.

**Additions to Daniel**

The Greek text of the Book of Daniel [contains additions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Additions_to_Daniel) not found in the Hebrew/Aramaic version. All are anonymous. The [Prayer of Azariah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prayer_of_Azariah) (one of Daniel's companions) was probably composed around 169/8–165/4, when [Antiochus IV](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antiochus_IV) was oppressing the Jews. The [Song of the Three Holy Children](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Song_of_the_Three_Holy_Children) (i.e., the three thrown into the furnace) may have been composed by priestly circles in Jerusalem. [Susanna](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Susanna_(Book_of_Daniel)) may have been composed around 170–130 BCE in the context of the Hellenisation struggle. [Bel and the Dragon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bel_and_the_Dragon) is difficult to date, but the late 6th century is possible.

**1 & 2 Esdras**

[Jerome](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jerome)'s translation of the Bible into Latin (the [Vulgate](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vulgate)) contained four books of Esdras (i.e. [Ezra](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ezra)); Jerome's 1 and 2 Esdras were eventually renamed [Ezra](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ezra) and [Nehemiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Nehemiah); the remaining books each moved up two places in most versions, but the numbering system remains highly confused. The present [1 Esdras](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Esdras) takes material from the [Book of Chronicles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Chronicles) and the [Book of Ezra](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Ezra), but ignores [Nehemiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nehemiah) entirely; it was probably composed in the period 200–100 BCE. [2 Esdras](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Esdras) has no connection with the other Esdras books beyond taking Ezra as its central character. It was probably written soon after the destruction of the Temple by the Romans in 70 CE.

**Book of Baruch**

The author of the [Book of Baruch](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Baruch) is traditionally held to be [Baruch](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_ben_Neriah) the companion of [Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeremiah), but this is considered unlikely. Some scholars propose that it was written during or shortly after the period of the [Maccabees](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maccabees).

**1, 2, 3 & 4 Maccabees**

The anonymous author of [1 Maccabees](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Maccabees) was an educated Jew and a serious historian; a date around 100 BCE is most likely. [2 Maccabees](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Maccabees) is a revised and condensed version of a work by an otherwise unknown author called Jason of Cyrene, plus passages by the anonymous editor who made the condensation (called "the Epitomist"). Jason most probably wrote in the mid to late 2nd century BCE, and the Epitomist before 63 BCE. [3 Maccabees](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/3_Maccabees) concerns itself with the Jewish community in Egypt a half-century before the revolt, suggesting that the author was an Egyptian Jew, and probably a native of Alexandria. A date of c. 100–75 BCE is "very probable". [4 Maccabees](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/4_Maccabees) was probably composed in the middle half of the 1st century CE, by a Jew living in Syria or Asia Minor.

**Letter of Jeremiah**

The [Letter of Jeremiah](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Letter_of_Jeremiah) is not by Jeremiah; the author apparently appropriated the name of the prophet to lend authority to his composition. Nor is it by Jeremiah's secretary [Baruch](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_ben_Neriah), although it appears as the last chapter of Baruch in the Catholic Bible and the KJV. Internal evidence points to a date around 317 BCE, with the author possibly a Jew in Palestine addressing [Jews of the diaspora](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_diaspora).

**Prayer of Manasseh**

The [Prayer of Manasseh](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prayer_of_Manasseh) presents itself as a prayer from the wicked, but now penitent, king [Manasseh](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manasseh_of_Judah) (or Manassas) from his exile in Babylon. The actual author is unknown, and the date of composition is probably the 2nd or 1st centuries BCE.

**Wisdom of Sirach and Wisdom of Solomon**

[Sirach](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wisdom_of_Sirach) names its author as [Jesus ben Sirach](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesus_ben_Sirach). He was probably a scribe, offering instruction to the youth of Jerusalem. His grandson's preface to the Greek translation helps date the work to the first quarter of the 2nd century BCE, probably between 196 BCE and the beginning of the oppression of the Jews by [Antiochus IV](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antiochus_IV), who reigned 175–164 BCE. The [Wisdom of Solomon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wisdom_of_Solomon) is unlikely to be earlier than the 2nd century BCE, and probably dates from 100 to 50 BCE. Its self-attribution to [Solomon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomon) was questioned even in the medieval period, and it shows affinities with the Egyptian Jewish community and with [Pharisee](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pharisee) teachings.

**Additions to Esther**

The [Book of Esther](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Esther) itself was composed probably around 400 BCE by Jews living in the eastern provinces of the Persian empire and reached its final form by the 2nd century BCE; concerns over the legitimacy of certain passages in the Hebrew text led to the identification of the [additions to Esther](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Additions_to_Esther) in the Greek translation of Esther of the late 2nd or early 1st century BCE.

**Tobit**

[Tobit](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Tobit) is set in the 8th century BCE and is named after its central character, a pious Jew in exile. The generally recognised date of composition is the early 2nd century BCE.

**Judith**

The [Book of Judith](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Judith) is set in Israel in the time of Nebuchadrezzar, king of Assyria. It has strong Persian elements, which suggests a 4th-century BCE date; it also has strong parallels with the [Hasmonean](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hasmonean) period, which suggests a 2nd-century date. It is typically labeled [Pharisaic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pharisaic), but an origin in [Sadducee](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sadducee)

circles has also been suggested.

**Additional Psalms**

The canonical [Psalms](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psalms) contains 150 entries. [Psalm 151](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psalm_151) is found in most Greek translations, and the Hebrew version was found among the [Dead Sea Scrolls](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dead_Sea_Scrolls). [Psalms 152–155](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psalms_152%E2%80%93155) are part of the Syriac [Peshitta](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peshitta) Bible, some of which were found at [Qumran](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Qumran).

**New Testament**

**Gospels and Acts**

The gospels (and Acts) are anonymous, in that none of them provide the name of the author within their text. While the Gospel of John might be considered something of an exception, because the author refers to himself as "the disciple Jesus loved" and claims to be a member of Jesus' inner circle, most scholars today consider this passage to be a later addition (see below).

Many scholars argue that the Gospels were written by anonymous figures rather than the disciples traditionally associated with them. [Justin Martyr](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Justin_Martyr) in his [First Apology](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_Apology_of_Justin_Martyr) explicitly refers to the apostles as "uneducated" or "illiterate" (Acts 4:13), which has led scholars to question their ability to write the sophisticated Greek texts of the New Testament. [Bart Ehrman](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bart_Ehrman), a leading New Testament scholar, supports this view, explaining that the socio-economic background of Jesus' disciples—many of whom were fishermen or peasants—makes it unlikely that they could have authored these works. Ehrman also notes that literacy rates in first-century Palestine were extremely low, particularly in rural areas like Galilee, where most of the disciples lived. This is further supported by Catherine Hezser's research on Jewish literacy in Roman Palestine, which highlights the rarity of literacy among common people during this period. Therefore, it is widely accepted among scholars that the Gospels were likely written by anonymous authors rather than the disciples themselves.

There is general agreement among scholars that the [Synoptic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synoptic_Gospels) [Gospels](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synoptic_Gospels) ([Matthew](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Matthew), [Mark](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Mark) and [Luke](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Luke)) show a high level of cross- reference. The usual explanation, the [two-source hypothesis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Two-source_hypothesis), is that Mark was written first and that the authors of Matthew and Luke relied on Mark and the hypothetical [Q document](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Q_document). Scholars agree that the [Gospel of John](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_John) was written last, using a different tradition and body of testimony. In addition, most scholars agree that the author of Luke also wrote the [Acts of](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acts_of_the_Apostles) [the Apostles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acts_of_the_Apostles), making [Luke–Acts](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Luke%E2%80%93Acts) two halves of a single work.

**Mark**

According to tradition and early church fathers, first attested by [Papias of Hierapolis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Papias_of_Hierapolis), the author is [Mark the Evangelist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_the_Evangelist), the companion of the [apostle Peter](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostle_Peter). Theissen writes that the gospel appears to rely on several underlying sources, varying in form and in theology, which tells against the tradition that the gospel was based on Peter's preaching, while Elder argues that Mark is an oral work involving both a speaker and a writer who composed the text, which coheres with the patristic testimony. Various elements within the gospel, including the importance of the authority of Peter and the broadness of the basic theology, suggest that the author wrote in Syria or Palestine for a non-Jewish Christian community which had earlier absorbed the influence of pre-Pauline beliefs and then developed them further independent of Paul.

**Matthew**

Early Christian tradition, first attested by [Papias of Hierapolis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Papias_of_Hierapolis), held that the [apostle Matthew](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostle_Matthew), the tax- collector and disciple of Jesus, had written a Gospel in "Hebrew" ([Aramaic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aramaic), the language of Judea). Modern scholars interpret the tradition to mean that Papias, writing about 125–150 CE, believed that Matthew had made a collection of the sayings of Jesus. However, Papias's description does not correspond well with the [Gospel of Matthew](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Matthew): it was most probably written in Greek, not Aramaic or Hebrew; it depends on the Greek Gospel of Mark and on the hypothetical [Q document](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Q_document); it is not a collection of sayings; and it is unlikely to have been written by an eyewitness.

Although the identity of the author of our Gospel of Matthew is unknown, the internal evidence of the Gospel suggests that he was an ethnic Jewish male scribe from a Hellenised city, possibly [Antioch in](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_centers_of_Christianity#Antioch) [Syria](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_centers_of_Christianity#Antioch), and that he wrote between 70 and 100 CE using a variety of oral traditions and writtensources about Jesus.

**Luke and Acts**

There is general acceptance that the [Gospel of Luke](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Luke) and the [Acts of the Apostles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acts_of_the_Apostles) originated as a two- volume work by a single author addressed to an otherwise unknown individual named [Theophilus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theophilus_(Biblical)). This author was an "amateur Hellenistic historian" versed in Greek rhetoric, that being the standard training for historians in the ancient world.

According to tradition, first attested by [Irenaeus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irenaeus), the author was [Luke the Evangelist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Luke_the_Evangelist), the companion of the [Apostle Paul](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostle_Paul), but many modern scholars have expressed doubt and opinion on the subject is evenly divided. Instead, they believe Luke–Acts was written by an anonymous Christian author who may not have been an eyewitness to any of the events recorded within the text. Some of the evidence cited comes from the text of Luke–Acts itself. In the preface to Luke, the author refers to having eyewitness testimony "handed down to us" and to having undertaken a "careful investigation", but the author does not mention his own name or explicitly claim to be an eyewitness to any of the events, except for the we passages. And in the we passages, the narrative is written in the first person plural—the author never refers to himself as "I" or "me".

To those who are skeptical of an eyewitness author, the we passages are usually regarded as fragments of a second document, part of some earlier account, which was later incorporated into Acts by the later author of Luke–Acts, or simply a Greek

rhetorical device used for sea voyages.

**John**

John 21:24 identifies the source of the Gospel of John as "the beloved disciple," and from the late 2nd century tradition, first attested by [Irenaeus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irenaeus), this figure, unnamed in the Gospel itself, was identified with [John the son of Zebedee](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_the_Evangelist). Today, however, most scholars agree that [John 21](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_21) is an appendix to the Gospel, which originally ended at John 20:30–31, though a growing minority view the passage as part of the original text. However, there is considerable debate about how and when the appendix was added, and by whom. For example, several scholars argue it was added after "the beloved disciple" had died. The majority of scholars date the Gospel of John to c. 80–95, and propose that the author made use of two major sources, a ["Signs" source](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Signs_Gospel) (a collection of seven miracle stories) and a "Discourse" source, though recent scholarship has tended to turn against positing hypothetical sources for John.

**Epistles**

**Pauline epistles**

The [Epistle to the Romans](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_to_the_Romans), [First Corinthians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Corinthians) and [Second Corinthians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Corinthians), [Galatians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_to_the_Galatians), [Philippians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philippians), [1](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Thessalonians) [Thessalonians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Thessalonians) and the [Epistle to Philemon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_to_Philemon) are almost universally accepted as the work of Paul – the superscripts to all except Romans and Galatians identify these as coming from Paul and at least one other person, a practice which was not usual in letters of the period, and it is not clear what role these other persons had in their composition. There is some support for Paul's authorship of the three "Deutero-Pauline Epistles," [Ephesians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ephesians), [Colossians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colossians), and [2 Thessalonians](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Thessalonians). The three [Pastoral epistles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pastoral_epistles) – [First](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Timothy) and [Second Timothy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Timothy) and [Titus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_to_Titus), are probably all from one author, but most [historical-critical](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Historical_criticism) scholars regard them as the work of someone other than Paul.

**Letter to the Hebrews**

The Church included the [Letter to the Hebrews](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Letter_to_the_Hebrews) as the fourteenth letter of Paul [until the Reformation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antilegomena#Reformation). Pauline authorship is now generally rejected, and the real author is unknown.

**General epistles**

The traditional authors are unknown and the names were attributed to them arbitrarily to make it seem more credible: Peter the apostle (First and Second Peter); the author of the [Gospel of John](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_John) (First, Second and Third John), writing in advanced age; "Jude, a servant of Jesus Christ and a brother of James" (Epistle of Jude); and [James the Just](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/James%2C_brother_of_Jesus), "a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ" (James). In fact [1 John](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_John) is anonymous, and [2](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_John) and [3 John](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/3_John) identify their author only as "the Elder." Though [2 Peter](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Peter) states its author as "Simon Peter, a servant and apostle of Jesus Christ", most scholars today regard this as pseudonymous, and many hold the same opinion of [James](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_of_James), [1 Peter](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1_Peter) and [Jude](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epistle_of_Jude).

**Revelation**

The author of the [Book of Revelation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_of_Revelation) was traditionally believed to be the same person as both [John,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_the_Apostle) [the apostle of Jesus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_the_Apostle) and [John the Evangelist](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_the_Evangelist), the traditional author of the Fourth Gospel – the tradition can be traced to [Justin Martyr](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Justin_Martyr), writing in the early 2nd century. Most biblical scholars now believe that these were separate individuals. The name "John" suggests that the author was a Christian of Jewish descent, and although he never explicitly identifies himself as a prophet it is likely that he belonged to a group of Christian prophets and was known as such to members of the [churches in Asia Minor](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_centers_of_Christianity#Anatolia). Since the 2nd century the author has been identified with one of the Twelve Apostles of Jesus. This is commonly linked with an assumption that the same author wrote the Gospel of John. Others, however, have argued that the author could have been [John the Elder](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_the_Elder) of [Ephesus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ephesus), a view which depends on whether a tradition cited by [Eusebius](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eusebius) was referring to someone other than the apostle. The precise identity of "John" therefore remains unknown.

**See also**

* [Authorship of the Johannine works](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Authorship_of_the_Johannine_works)
* [Authorship of the Petrine epistles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Authorship_of_the_Petrine_epistles)
* [Books of the Bible](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Books_of_the_Bible)
* [Dating the Bible](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dating_the_Bible)