Improvement indeed! Electronic voting machines have been improving the voting process for many years. Today, proponents have a better argument in favor of the improvement of the Electronic voting machines. Without a doubt, proponents have a better argument than the opponents because they uphold that electronic voting machines can not be modified during an election; they provide accessible voting for people with disabilities; and they increase efficiency.

Firstly, proponents argue that electronic voting machines can not be modified during an election. For example, they claim that "To this day, no evidence exists that any electronic voting machines used in an election in the United States has been tampered with or even that any attempt has been made to perform such tampering".(pro 1) This suggests that electronic voting machines are resistant to tampering and modifying during an election.

Secondly, proponents argue that electronic voting machines provide accessible voting to people with disabilities. They claim that "all voters, including the physical disabilities, vote using the same system, and also use a wheel to highlight choices, and a button to mark those choices on the electronic ballot". (pro 3) This suggests that electronic voting machines are more conveniently accessible for people with disabilities.

On the contrary, opponents believe that electronic voting machines are not reliable. They argue, "if there are problems, we will not have a reliable way to debug them, which is deeply troubling".(con 7) However, proponents have a better argument. They affirm that "numerous pieces of evidence suggest that electronic voting machines outperformed all other methods used in november 2004 election".(pro 7) This implies that electronic voting machines would improve the process of voting and increase efficiency.

In conclusion, the proponents for electronic voting machines have a better argument than the opponents because they support their argument more effectively. The proponents use evidence that disproves the opponents' claims. They show the benefits of voting machines by arguing that electronic voting machines can not be modified during the election since they are resistant to altering. They also provide accessible voting to people with disabilities, making it more convenient for them. Additionally, they will also improve the voting process and increase efficacy. As such, it is clear that the proponents' argument about electronic voting machines is much stronger; therefore, electronic voting machines do improve the voting process.