

### **INITIAL WRITING SKILLS LEVEL, dated 10/4/20**

Felon people rights shouldn't be denied. People with felonies has same civil rights as other citizen. Advocates firmly believe that all people have rights and this has to be respected, regardless what their actions where theres human rights and vote is one if them.

Also advocates argue that felon person paid for their debts and all restrained rights should be to restore.

Therefore, advocates agree that some states laws need to reformed and apply human rights to felon people. Also advocates urge to review justice system and made changes where can apply a just justice for all citizen in equal.

However, advocates claim that when the law is applied to felon people and this has been completed, felon people should be able to access to imediate life and fit in society and not be discriminated.

To conclude, felons people should be able to apply their civil rights rights after their debts are paid and theres no reason to be exclude from society because every body deserve an opportunity to have a life because humanity is not perfect.

### **WRITING SKILLS LEVEL: AS OF 10/19/21**

#### **Should Abortion Be Legal?"**

Should abortion be permissible? On Jan. 22, 1973, Roe v. Wade decreed abortion in US Superior Court Rule 7-2 as a fundamental right. However, the discussion over whether abortion should be legalized continues nowadays in debate, and this has divided Americans into two different groups of ideologies: "pro-choice" (abortion supporters) and "pro-life" (against abortion). The pro-life position is more convincing than the pro-choice position because pro-life claims that abortion is murder, that a baby's life begins at conception, and that a woman must accept responsibility for her pregnancies.

Firstly, pro-lifers claim that abortion is murder. Therefore, if the victim is yet to be born, "killing an innocent person is wrong." The US government regards unborn children as human beings (ProCon Con 1). This evidence suggests that an unborn baby is harmless and thus has the same rights as a person because it is considered a human being. Its life began after fertilization, and anything that attempts to end the baby's life should be considered a criminal act.

Secondly, pro-lifers argue Unborn babies are human beings with a right to life because life begins at conception. For instance, they argue that a human being is born with a unique genetic identity that remains constant throughout his or her life, thanks to fertilization (ProCon Con 2). This evidence suggests that it enables us to believe that since our chromosomes gather, we become humans and are given our DNA, which will define us for the rest of our lives, particularly during fertilization, when life has become present.

However, Pro-choicers contend that unwanted babies should not be born. They argue that having a child is a big decision that requires thought, preparation, and planning. They also claim that 45% percent of mothers are unprepared to face the challenges of having a baby due to economic circumstances and immaturity (ProCon Pro 10). On the other hand, the pro-lifers demand that If a woman becomes pregnant, she must accept the responsibilities of having a child because every action has consequences.

To conclude, pro-lifers believe that despite everyone having individual rights, abortion is a form of murder and should not be legal under any circumstances. They argue it is inhumane and violates the principles of the right to live, especially for innocent babies. Pro-life advocates claim that life begins at conception and that this life must be respected because an unborn baby has the same right to life as a person. Furthermore, pro-lifers demand that if a woman gets pregnant, she must be responsible for her account. There is no excuse if a mother can't afford baby expenses. Adoption must be considered, but there should not exist any justification for ending the life of an unborn baby.