Topic/Prompt: Should more Gun Control Laws Be En	nacted?
Hook: Why not make it harder for individuals to buy	y guns?
Background Information: Gun control laws is a high been an increase in the amount of gun violence cas	
Position Statement: In this ongoing debate, it is apparent that the proposition the opponents' argument because they prove that a children; they increase the number of mass shootin accidents, suicides and homicides.	guns are the leading cause of deaths in
Main Idea I: Firstly, the proponents' argument is stronger than the opponents' argument because they prove guns are the leading cause of deaths in children.	Main Idea 2: Secondly, the proponents have a stronger argument for gun control laws than the opponents because they prove how high capacity magazines have increased the number of mass shootings in the United States.
Supportive Sentence for Main Idea I: (Textual Evidence) For instance, the proponents state that "A study in the New England Journal of Medicine found that firearms were the second leading cause of death for children, responsible for 15% of child deaths" (2)	Supportive Sentence for Main Idea 2: (Textual Evidence) For example, the proponents claim that "When high-capacity magazines were used in mass shootings, the death rate rose 63% and the injury rate rose 156%." (3)
Supportive Sentence for M.I. #1 (Explanation of Textual Evidence) This suggests that having stricter gun laws could reduce the amount of child fatalities that are occurring at an alarming rate, due to gun violence in the United States.	Supportive Sentence for M.I. #2 (Explanation of Textual Evidence) This proves that assault weapons such as high capacity machine guns contribute to <u>the</u> high death tolls resulting in from serious, violent crimes.

Counterargument (The other side's opinion with evidence)

On the contrary, opponents believe that people are less likely to die by guns in comparison to other common causes of death. They state that "According to the CDC's Leading Causes of Death Reports," between 1999 and 2013, Americans were 21.5 times more likely to die of heart disease (9,691,733 deaths)" (8) and other health ailments as oppose to dying from firearms (whether by accident, homicide, or suicide).

Rebuttal (Evidence to disprove the other side)

However, proponents have a stronger argument because they claim that "There were 572,537 total gun deaths between 1999 and 2016: 336,579 suicides (58.8% of total gun deaths); (2) and "In 2007, the United States had 12,632 gun homicides (4.19 deaths per 100,000 people) and 17,352 gun suicides (5.76 deaths per 100,000 people)." (13) This proves that weapon possessions increase gun violence; therefore, implementing stricter gun laws will reduce gun related deaths.

Conclusion:

In summary, the proponents' argument that gun control should be regulated is better supported than the opponents' argument. The proponents prove that firearm related injuries are the leading cause of death in children, along with the rise in mass shootings. In addition, having access to guns contributes to accidents, suicides, and homicides. Since guns cause so much violence, measures to regulate them are necessary. Commented [1]: Very good conclusion!