### >>>>> Matthew – Lesson 01 <<<<<

- 1) The beginning of Matthew's gospel is quite different from the other 3 gospels.
  - a) Mark calls Him the Son of God and ties Him to a primary prophesy.
    - i) No genealogy given.
  - b) Luke, after his introduction to Theophilus, begins with the birth story of John the Baptist.
    - i) He doesn't get to any real discussion of Jesus until chapter 2.
    - ii) He doesn't present Jesus' genealogy until after His baptism and the beginning of His ministry in chapter 3.
  - c) John does not talk about Jesus' genealogy at all but begins and emphasizes His being the Son of God throughout his gospel.
- 2) Matthew on the other hand opens with an absolutely bold and unapologetic statement:

# <u>Matthew 1:1 (NASB 2020)</u>

## The Genealogy of Jesus the Messiah

<sup>1</sup> The record of the genealogy of Jesus the Messiah, the son of David, the son of Abraham:

- 3) He claims Jesus as the long-awaited Messiah and immediately traces His linage back to Abraham the father of the Jewish nation and David the progenitor of God's promised Messiah.
  - a) This is a rock-solid foundation on which Matthew will build his case with prophesies and actions of Jesus throughout his gospel.
- 4) So, we might ask why is this so important? To answer that we must remember first the audience:
  - a) Again, the consensus is that Matthew's gospel was written primarily to Jewish Christians.
  - b) Remember also that this is backed up but several of the church father's indication that Matthew penned this gospel in Hebrew (Aramaic).
  - c) Most important was the ways in which genealogy was tied to Jewish life in general.
    - i) Covenant Identity Proved descent from Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob which was essential for religious belonging
    - ii) Land Inheritance Determined rights to land and property within tribal territories.
    - iii) **Priestly Legitimacy** Ensured only legitimate descendants of Levi/Aaron could serve as priests.
    - iv) Social Status & Marriage Affected eligibility for marriage and social standing.
    - v) **Preservation of Identity** Maintained communal continuity through exile and diaspora.
    - vi) **Honor and Family Legacy** Conferred status by descent from notable ancestors.
    - vii) Scriptural and Liturgical Embedded in religious texts and rituals.
      - (1) The first 5 chapters of 1 Chronicles is almost all genealogies Adam to Abraham and on to the individual tribes and the priestly lines.
  - d) Of course, the most important reason which is being considered here is the **Messianic Expectation** –Validated claims to Messiahship by tracing descent from David and Judah.

### Matthew – Teachings, Messiahship, and Ministry of Jesus Christ – NOTES

- i) As Matthew and the other gospels plainly show, the Old Testament prophesied that the Messiah would come from the line of David and the tribe of Judah.
- ii) However, only Matthew and Luke actually list a genealogy for Jesus.
- 5) Before we look at Matthew's genealogy, we need to talk for a moment about one "historical point".
  - a) I have always been told that the Roman conquest of Jerusalem and the burning of the temple in 70CE destroyed the genealogical records of the Jewish people.
  - b) Turns out, this like many other "historical points of the period" is really not totally clear from dispute.
  - c) There are two trains of thought here.
    - i) The first view is that indeed the destruction of the temple in 70CE did destroy the genealogical records of the Jewish nation for the most part.
    - ii) The second opinion is that this was not a total destruction and that these records continued to exist in different places and forms up until the middle ages.
  - d) There are many details about both of these scenarios, but we will not get into them here.
  - e) The point I think we need to see is that regardless of when the loss actually occurred, the ability to trace the linage of the Messiah back to David was lost.
    - i) Even in the Jewish faith today, they admit readily that they no longer have the ability to trace that linage.
      - (1) The implication is of course that though they await the Messiah, if he were to arrive, they would not be able to verify him by linage.
- 6) Now, to the genealogy itself:
  - a) As we will see, the list is broken into 3 distinct groups Matthew himself acknowledges this fact in verse 17.

# Matthew 1:17 (NASB 2020)

<sup>17</sup>So all the generations from Abraham to David are fourteen generations; from David to the deportation to Babylon, fourteen generations; and from the deportation to Babylon to the Messiah, fourteen generations.

- 7) The reasons for the threefold division are
  - a) Theological and Historical Markers Each section marks a key era in Israel's history:
  - b) **Literary and Mnemonic Device** The threefold division, each with fourteen generations, creates a symmetrical and memorable structure.
    - i) This likely made the genealogy easier to memorize and recite, which was important in an oral culture<sup>278.</sup>
  - c) Symbolic Significance of the Number Fourteen Fourteen is twice seven, a number often associated with completeness or perfection in biblical literature.
  - d) **Missing Names** If you were to look closely at this list, you would see some missing names.
    - i) This is allowed in the Jewish way of recording genealogies.

### Matthew – Teachings, Messiahship, and Ministry of Jesus Christ – NOTES

- ii) The word son (inferred by fathered in this translation) can mean a descendant who is not an immediate progeny.
- iii) The practice is justified if the writer is trying to make a particular point as Matthew is here which we discuss in a moment.
- iv) One other tie to the **significance of the number 14** here is this:
  - (1) One commentator makes note that in ancient times, the Hebrew language had a numeric value associated with each of its letters.
  - (2) It is not coincidental that one of the numeric values of the number 14 may be expressed in the three Hebrew letters (D/dalet=4, V/vav=6, D/dalet=4), the Hebrew letters for David.
  - (3) By intentionally skipping over particular names that could have been included in the list, the Matthew is emphasizing the Davidic connection to Jesus as King Messiah, the Son of David. <sup>4,4</sup>
  - (4) That's kind of a stretch but thought it was interesting, nonetheless.
- 8) So, here we go...

## Matthew 1:2-16 (NASB 2020)

<sup>2</sup> Abraham fathered Isaac, Isaac fathered Jacob, and Jacob fathered Judah and his brothers. <sup>3</sup> Judah fathered Perez and Zerah by Tamar, Perez fathered Hezron, and Hezron fathered Ram. <sup>4</sup> Ram fathered Amminadab, Amminadab fathered Nahshon, and Nahshon fathered Salmon. <sup>5</sup> Salmon fathered Boaz by Rahab, Boaz fathered Obed by Ruth, and Obed fathered Jesse. <sup>6</sup> Jesse fathered David the king.

David fathered Solomon by her *who had been the wife* of Uriah. <sup>7</sup> Solomon fathered Rehoboam, Rehoboam fathered Abijah, and Abijah fathered Asa. <sup>8</sup> Asa fathered Jehoshaphat, Jehoshaphat fathered Joram, and Joram fathered Uzziah. <sup>9</sup> Uzziah fathered Jotham, Jotham fathered Ahaz, and Ahaz fathered Hezekiah. <sup>10</sup> Hezekiah fathered Manasseh, Manasseh fathered Amon, and Amon fathered Josiah. <sup>11</sup> Josiah fathered Jeconiah and his brothers, at the time of the deportation to Babylon.

<sup>12</sup> After the deportation to Babylon: Jeconiah fathered Shealtiel, and Shealtiel fathered Zerubbabel. <sup>13</sup> Zerubbabel fathered Abihud, Abihud fathered Eliakim, and Eliakim fathered Azor. <sup>14</sup> Azor fathered Zadok, Zadok fathered Achim, and Achim fathered Eliud. <sup>15</sup> Eliud fathered Eleazar, Eleazar fathered Matthan, and Matthan fathered Jacob. <sup>16</sup> Jacob fathered Joseph the husband of Mary, by whom Jesus was born, who is called the Messiah.

- 9) Ok, let's take a quick look at a couple of interesting facts regarding Matthew's genealogy.
- 10) First, there is the inclusion of Jeconiah, also known as Coniah or Jehoiachin in verse 11.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It seems that the men whom Luke cites in his listing are actually related to Yeshua's mother and not his apparent father, Yosef. Therefore, the odd Greek construction would be a tip-off to the observant reader. An extra-biblical source that confirms these details is found in the Talmud, where the father of the New Testament Miryam is said to be a man by the name of Eli, just as Luke attests (cf. Tractate Hagigah 2:4).

Barney Kasdan, *Matthew Presents Yeshua, King Messiah: A Messianic Commentary* (Clarksville, MD: Messianic Jewish Publishers, 2011), 12.

a) Though he was indeed from the family line of David, Coniah was inflicted with a curse because of his rebelliousness against the Lord in his day.

## Jeremiah 22:24–30 (NASB 2020)

<sup>24</sup> "As I live," declares the LORD, "even if Coniah the son of Jehoiakim king of Judah were a signet *ring* on My right hand, yet I would pull you off; <sup>25</sup> and I will hand you over to those who are seeking your life, yes, to those of whom you are frightened, that is, to Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon and the Chaldeans. <sup>26</sup> I will hurl you and your mother who gave birth to you into another country where you were not born, and there you will die. <sup>27</sup> But as for the land to which they long to return, they will not return to it.

<sup>28</sup> "Is this man Coniah a despised, shattered jar?

Or is he an undesirable vessel?

Why have he and his descendants been hurled out

And cast into a land that they had not known?

<sup>29</sup> "O land, land, land,

Hear the word of the LORD!

<sup>30</sup> This is what the LORD says:

'Write this man down as childless,

A man who will not prosper in his days;

For no man among his descendants will prosper

Sitting on the throne of David

Or ruling again in Judah."

- b) Not only was a judgment placed on this evil king, but the prophet Jeremiah was also told in verse 30 to extend the curse to all Coniah's descendants.
- c) So, here this becomes a problem ...
  - i) Matthew is recounting the genealogy of Joseph (the patriarchal side).
  - ii) Joseph, though in the messianic line of David, is also in the direct line of the cursed king of Judah, Coniah!
  - iii) If Jesus were the biological son of Joseph, ironically, he would be disqualified to inherit the messianic throne of King David.
- d) However, we must take note of two things:
  - i) First Matthew says "Joseph the husband of Mary, by whom Jesus was born, who is called the Messiah"
    - (1) This eliminates the problem from one perspective however, there is still the issue of the required Davidic line.
  - ii) We take care of that problem by looking at Luke's genealogy,
    - (1) When we do, we see clearly that both Mary and Joseph were in the Davidic line thereby eliminating the problem completely.<sup>5</sup>
- 11) The other interesting detail of Matthew's genealogy considering it is patriarchal is the fact that his list includes four women.
  - a) All these women are non-Jews, and each had her own share of spiritual problems.

## Matthew – Teachings, Messiahship, and Ministry of Jesus Christ – NOTES

- i) Tamar, Rahab, and Bathsheba were all involved in sexual immorality.
- ii) Ruth, although she joined the Jewish people, was a part of the cursed Moabites, who were not allowed to fully participate in the riches of Israel because of their historical opposition to God's people (cf. Deuteronomy 23:3).
- iii) Matthew's point seems to be that the Messiah would come into this fallen world to redeem all categories of humanity: Jew, Gentile, man, woman, slave, and free. 5, 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Barney Kasdan, Matthew Presents Yeshua, King Messiah: A Messianic Commentary (Clarksville, MD: Messianic Jewish Publishers, 2011), 12–13.