



CHARTWELL VIEWS

2019 PLANS PONSOR DC Survey Summary

Annually, PLANS PONSOR magazine conducts a survey of the US defined contribution plan marketplace. In 2019, surveys were sent out to plans of all sizes covering a variety of industries, as well as nonprofit organizations (3,472 responses were received). Plans are defined as follows:

Plan	Micro	Small	Mid	Large	Mega
Assets	< \$5 million	\$5-50 million	\$50-200 million	\$200 mil- \$1 bil	> \$1 billion
% of Respondants	30%	39%	14%	10%	7%

A summary of the survey findings for all respondents as prepared by Chartwell Consulting follows. These summary results on pages 4-7 also compare the changes from 2018 to 2019. Notable highlights from this year's survey include:

401(k) Plans Dominate Other DC Plan Types – 401(k) plans are the most commonly offered plan type (88%), followed by Profit Sharing/ESOP plans (21%). Amongst all survey respondents, 11% offer 403(b) plans (ERISA and non-ERISA) and 10% offer 457 plans.

Show Me Participation and Deferrals - The average overall *participation rate amongst eligible employees* was 78.9%, virtually matching 2018 (79.2%). The *average deferral rate* among active participants rose slightly, from 6.8% to 7.1%. The survey indicates the number of plans offering *auto-deferral increases (auto-escalation)* increased slightly (21.5% to 22.3%) in the past year. Many plans have successfully implemented

auto escalation for a number of years; surprising the deferral rate has not increased more as a result.

Match Versus Profit Sharing Contribution – The number of employers offering a match decreased to 75% (from 77%) for all survey respondents. When asked the *approximate size of the match*, the most favored response was 35% offered a match between 51% and 99% of 6%. Respondents also indicated the majority of participants were taking advantage of the maximum match offered; 26% answered more than 90%. 62% of companies match catch-up contributions. Additionally, 48% provide a *profit sharing plan contribution* (same as 2018).

ROTH Rages On – Overall survey results indicate offering a ROTH option in plans continues to increase from 72% in 2018 to 77% in 2019. Additionally, 92% match ROTH contributions.

Auto Features Continue to Cruise - 48% of respondents have *auto enrollment*, up from 2018 (46%). *Auto deferral increases* increased slightly from 21.5% to 22.3%. Re-enrollment to *existing employees not enrolled in the plan* is 19%. 75% of respondents indicated they have not attempted to re-enroll any employees.

Target Date Funds Remain Top Auto Default - Target date funds remain the clear winner as the *default investment for auto-enrollment* at 75% for active, passive and custom managed TDFs. Risk-based/life cycle funds garnered 4% usage and traditional balanced funds another 7%. Participants continue to show a preference for these “do it for me” options which are professionally managed and allocated.

More is Still the Merrier with Option Offerings- The average number of options remains stubbornly high, despite discussions of streamlining investment menus. The average number of options offered fell from 25 to 23. A target date or risk-based *series* of funds is counted as *one* option. The average *number of options held by participants* remained at 6. The increased use of target date funds *should* keep the number of options held by participants down, as they offer diversification within one option.

The survey asked *how many respondents offered NO index fund options*. 10% indicated they did not offer index funds (versus 11% last year). Actively managed funds still dominate, but index fund offerings are available in most plans. Offering a real estate option remained constant, 27%. Other frequently offered categories include: *balanced funds* (66%), *money market* (60%), and *stable value* (57%).

The number of plans offering a *ESG/SRI option* rose from 8% to 11%. This category is gaining traction as (E)nvironmental and (S)ocial issues are increasingly more important to firms and participants.

Leave it to the Professionals - Plans continue to expand their offerings of *professionally managed options*. Managed accounts are offered by 31% of all plans. Together with increased usage of TDF's, it indicates participants are increasingly seeking “professionally managed” accounts or options *instead* of managing their own defined contribution accounts.

Offering Advice - Financial/investment advice is offered in many formats. The most used approach appears to be *on-site meetings with an outside advisor* (45%), followed by *proprietary services through the recordkeeper* (41%). Those plans that do *NOT* offer any type of financial or investment advice comes in at 19%.

Fiduciary Focus - 70% of sponsors/trustees indicate they use the services of a consultant or financial adviser. Most plan sponsors favor working with consultants or financial advisers that accept fiduciary responsibility; being either *discretionary* (3(38)) or *non-discretionary* (3(21)) fiduciaries. 40% of respondents work with 3(21) non-discretionary consultants.

Leakage: Loans and Hardship Withdrawals - The survey showed participants with *outstanding loans or those making hardship* remained consistent with 2018. 14% of participants have outstanding loans and 1% have taken a hardship withdrawal. 57% of plans allow only one loan at a time (34% allow two at one time). 63% of respondents allow loans against ROTH balances. Only 33% of respondents allow separated employees to continue to make loan payments after termination.

Cost Considerations – Fees Reviewed Annually

With required fee disclosures for both plan sponsors (408(b)2) and participants (furthering 404(c) annual fee disclosures), the survey included a number of fee/cost related questions. When asked the *frequency of administrative cost/fee reviews*, respondents indicated 73% conducted them annually. 56% of responding sponsors utilize an “ERISA budget” or “plan reimbursement” account to track and use revenue sharing credits (up from 49% in 2018). 42% of respondents indicated they conduct external fee benchmarking studies.

Who is picking up the tab for the plan costs? 52% of the time, the *employee* pays the recordkeeping and administration fees (direct fixed cost billing), 15% of respondents share the cost, and 33% of the employers pay for plan expenses. The average *asset-weighted expense ratio for all options* is less than 75bps for 84% of the responding plans. *Fee equalization* is receiving increased attention. 42% of respondents indicate they have a fee equalization policy in place (up from 32%), 22% do not have one and have no plans to change their fee structure, and 18% indicate they may develop or change to a fee equalization structure in the next few years.

Retirement Income Options Not Yet Widely Offered-

Retirement income readiness and solutions were introduced in 2013’s survey. Sponsors were asked the *if the plan allowed for systematic withdrawals at retirement*. 44% indicated “yes”. When asked if *other income-oriented products are offered in the plan* (in-plan annuity with guaranteed monthly income, in-plan annuity NOT offering guarantees, out of plan annuity purchase bidding), 48% indicated no income-oriented product/service was currently

offered. When asked if *the firm would prefer terminated employees with material balances remain in the Plan*, 32% responded “yes”, up from 21% in 2018.

Respondents indicated education or communications for distribution rollover options at termination (32% of plans) and rolling over past plan balances into the current plan (36%) were offered.

Financial Wellness: Gaining Strength –

When asked if the “firm has responsibility to improve employee “financial wellness”, 66% of respondents answered “yes”. These programs included topics beyond retirement planning to more holistically support employees with a broad range of financial topics including: saving and budgeting, managing credit/debt, home buying, tax/estate planning, retiree health care, long-term care. The detailed responses to which wellness topics are offered appear on the last page of the survey summary. The most prevalent topics covered are: saving & budgeting (88%) investing strategies (42%), rollover options (68%), and retiree healthcare costs/savings (29%). There was also a decrease in the number of respondents that offered *no general financial education* (42% down to 38%).

Ready, Set, Retire? When asked *if most employees will achieve retirement goals by age 65*, 38% of respondents concurred (up from 2018’s 21%).

Natalka Bukalo

**President
Chartwell Consulting**

**Defined Contribution Plans
Market Statistics**

	Overall Results <u>2018</u>	Overall Results <u>2019</u>
TYPES OF DC PLANS OFFERED		
401(k)	87.5%	87.9%
403(b) - ERISA and Non-ERISA	10.7%	10.6%
457	10.6%	10.0%
Money Purchase	2.1%	1.9%
Profit Sharing/ESOP	21.9%	20.6%
Non-qualified Deferred Compensation	7.7%	9.7%
DC PLAN ASSETS OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS		
<\$5MM	33.0%	30.1%
\$5MM-\$49MM	37.1%	38.8%
\$50MM-\$200MM	13.8%	14.3%
\$200MM-\$1B	9.3%	9.9%
>\$1B	6.9%	6.9%
PLAN DESIGN ELEMENTS		
Auto-enrollment	46.3%	48.2%
Auto-deferral increases	21.5%	22.3%
Auto Escalation Cap (1% increase up to 10%)	na	58.2%
Written Investment Policy Stmt.	68.5%	70.2%
Employer Match	76.5%	75.0%
Roth Contribution Option	71.8%	76.9%
Professional Managed Account	33.2%	31.1%
Profit Sharing Contribution	48.4%	48.4%
IMPLEMENTATION OF AUTO-ENROLLMENT/RE-ENROLLMENT		
To employees not in plan	18.2%	19.0%
Existing employees not in QDIA	3.2%	4.8%
Existing employees, contributing below auto deferral rate	10.7%	11.0%
None - have not re-enroll any employees	78.0%	75.4%
DEFAULT INVESTMENT FOR AUTO-ENROLLMENT		
Stable Value Fund/GIC	1.9%	1.5%
Target-Date Funds (all types)	63.4%	75.0%
Risk-based Fund/Life Cycle	3.5%	3.5%
Balanced	7.3%	7.3%
Managed account	6.8%	7.9%
Money Market	3.5%	2.6%
Other	2.8%	2.2%

	Overall Results 2018	Overall Results 2019
PARTICIPATION RATE AMONG ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES		
Average	79.2%	78.9%
Median	87.0%	87.0%
Average Deferral Rate	6.8%	7.1%
ELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE		
Immediately upon hire	36.8%	36.9%
Within 3 months	27.8%	30.5%
After 4 to 11 months	13.1%	12.3%
1 Year or More	22.3%	18.6%
NUMBER OF INVESTMENT OPTIONS OFFERED		
Average	24.9	22.9
Median	22.0	21.0
No Index funds (%)	10.9%	9.6%
NUMBER OF INVESTMENT OPTIONS HELD BY PARTICIPANTS		
Average	5.5	6.3
Median	4.0	4.0
TYPES OF INVESTMENT OPTIONS OFFERED		
Employer Stock	5.8%	5.7%
Self-Directed Brokerage / Mutual Fund Window	20.3/5.4%	23.2 % / 4.6%
Real Estate	27.0%	26.9%
Alternative Investments	4.9%	4.8%
Target Date Funds	81.3%	80.7%
Risk-based / life cycle	40.4%	40.5%
Balanced	68.6%	65.7%
Stable Value	59.0%	57.2%
ESG/SRI	8.4%	10.7%
Money Market	60.3%	59.9%
INVESTMENT VEHICLES USED IN DC PLANS		
Mutual Funds	93.9%	93.1%
Separate Account	19.1%	20.0%
Professionally Managed Account	33.2%	31.1%
Collective Trust	16.8%	18.5%
ETFs (outside brokerage window)	2.3%	9.9%
PLAN USES A FINANCIAL ADVISER / CONSULTANT SERVICES		
Yes	67.9%	70.1%
No	25.4%	22.2%
IS ADVISER A FIDUCIARY		
No	12.5%	10.0%
3 (38) - Discretionary	16.7%	19.2%
3(21) - Non-Discretionary	36.6%	40.4%
Unsure-Don't Know	34.1%	28.7%
WHAT IS THE ADVISER/CONSULTANT FEE ARRANGEMENT		
% of Plan Assets	47.5%	55.3%
Per Participant	6.7%	8.0%
Project-based	3.8%	4.5%
Performance:Investments	1.4%	1.8%
Performance:Participation	0.7%	0.9%
Other Arrangement	19.2%	6.6%

	Overall Results <u>2018</u>	Overall Results <u>2019</u>
APPROXIMATE MAXIMUM MATCH		
>100% of 6% of salary	7.5%	4.9%
100% match of 6%	14.8%	14.5%
51%-99% of 6%	31.2%	34.5%
50% match of 6%	22.1%	22.4%
<50% of 6%	15.1%	17.5%
Other	9.1%	6.2%
PARTICIPANTS TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE MAXIMUM MATCH		
All or nearly all participants	25.7%	25.6%
Vast Majority (75% or more)	27.2%	26.7%
About half	22.9%	21.1%
Less than half	11.9%	12.2%
Match Catch-up Contributions = Yes	56.6%	62.2%
Match ROTH Contributions = Yes	85.2%	92.2%
PARTICIPANTS WITH OUTSTANDING LOANS		
Average	13.5%	14.2%
Median	10.8%	10.9%
Number of Loans Allowed at One Time = One	57.7%	56.8%
Number of Loans Allowed at One Time = Two	na	33.8%
Loans Taken Against Roth Balances = Yes	52.6%	62.7%
Separated Employees Can Continue to Make Payments	28.4%	32.5%
PARTICIPANTS MAKING HARDSHIP WITHDRAWALS		
Average	1.2%	1.4%
Median	0.5%	0.0%
IS FINANCIAL/INVESTMENT ADVICE OFFERED		
Yes; onsite mtgs with outside advisor	47.2%	45.0%
Yes; 3rd party (Financial Engines, etc.) not recordkeeper	25.1%	24.8%
Yes; proprietary services through recordkeeper	38.2%	41.0%
Yes; another source	1.1%	3.1%
No; not offered	20.0%	18.8%
HIGHER/CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS OFFERED		
Tuition Reimbursement	42.7%	47.8%
Student Loan Repayment/Restructuring	6.4%	3.2%
None	49.7%	49.3%

	Overall Results 2018	Overall Results 2019
FREQUENCY OF INVESTMENT OPTION REVIEW		
Quarterly	40.1%	40.8%
Semi-Annual	14.4%	16.3%
Annual	34.0%	30.0%
FREQUENCY OF ADMIN COST/FEE REVIEW		
Annually	74.1%	73.5%
Every 1-2 Years	9.4%	10.1%
Every 2-3 Years	6.5%	6.1%
Every 3-5 Years	3.3%	2.1%
Every 5+ Years	1.1%	0.8%
Unsure / Don't Know	5.6%	7.4%
External Fee Benchmarking = Yes	46.0%	42.1%
PLAN FEES & EXPENSES		
Average Asset-Weighted Expense Ratio of All Options $\leq 0.75\%$	78.9	84.1
Mutual Funds Pay 12-b1 or Sub-TA fees to Recordkeeper = Yes	31.8%	29.6%
Plan or have Policy for Fee Equalization = Yes	31.7%	42.2%
Use an ERISA Reimbursement Account = Yes	49.1%	56.4%
WHO PAYS ADMIN/RECORDKEEPING COSTS		
Participants - fixed cost billed direct	50.9%	52.0%
Shared - participants through investments and employer payment	15.2%	14.6%
Organization - employer pays fees	33.9%	33.4%
WHICH INCOME-ORIENTED PRODUCTS ARE OFFERED IN PLAN		
Systematic Withdrawals at Retirement = Yes	44.5	44.3
In-Plan Annuities/income products w/ guaranteed mo. income	9.9%	9.2%
In-Plan Professional Services to Convert Balance to Monthly Income	27.1%	24.4%
In-Plan Annuities NOT offering guarantees	10.1%	10.0%
Out of Plan Annuity Purchase Bidding	4.8%	5.2%
None - do not offer income-oriented products/services	46.2%	47.7%
OFFER GENERAL EDUCATION ON FINANCIAL WELLNESS TOPICS		
Rolling over Past Balances into Current Plan	29.4%	36.3%
Rollover Options for Separated Employees	26.6%	31.5%
Saving & Budgeting	73.1%	88.2%
Investing Strategies	36.0%	42.1%
Home Buying	9.6%	14.0%
College Saving	17.0%	22.8%
Social Security Options	21.4%	25.6%
Tax/Estate Planning	18.7%	22.6%
Retiree Healthcare costs/savings	76.3%	29.1%
No General Financial Education Offered	42.3%	37.6%
INDICATE LEVEL OF AGREEMENT (% AGREE OR SLIGHTLY AGREE)		
Firm prefers terminated employees with material balances to remain in Plan	20.7%	32.1%
Most employees will achieve retirement goals by age 65	21.3%	37.5%
Firm has responsibility to improve employee "financial wellness"	59.5%	66.3%