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## 7 THINGS YOU NEED TO KNOW WHEN COUNTING DOWN TO RETIREMENT



## Successful Retirement = Preparation

- Understanding Your Target Retirement Income
- Asset Allocation
- Withdrawal Risk
- Inflation
- Rising Medical and Long-Term Care Costs
- Running out of money


## Target Retirement Income

| Gross Income - Sue | $\$ 107,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gross Income - Bill | $\$ 0$ |
|  | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Gross Income | $\$ 107,000$ |

## Less

TSP/401(k) \$24,500
IRA $\$ 6,500$
Social Security $\$ 6,634$
IRA $\$ 6,500$
Mortgage $\$ 0$
College $\$ 0$
Credit Cards $\$ 0$
Debts \$0
Tax Equivalent \$0
Non/Qualfied IRA \$0
Roth \$0

Total Payments $\$ 44,134$

Target Retirement Income
\$62,866

## PRESENT



## SUE RETIRE



## Understanding the 4\% withdrawal Rule

The Trinity University study (one of the most widely-cited papers in retirement planning) aims to cut through all of that complexity and boil down drawdown strategies down to a single number. If an investor withdraws a fixed percentage of their assets annually for retirement expenses, what is the likelihood that they will outlive their savings?

The $4 \%$ withdrawal rate and a $50 / 50$ or $75 / 25$ portfolio gives excellent portfolio success rates:

| Withdrawal Rate | $100 / 0$ | $75 / 25$ | $50 / 50$ | $25 / 75$ | $0 / 100$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| $4 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $5 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $6 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

You need to have significant stock exposure during retirement Most investors assume that in retirement, you need to become extra conservative in your investments to avoid big market losses. It turns out that the opposite is true. According to the results of this study, a 50/50 portfolio would be the minimum stock allocation to maintain a high portfolio success rate using a $4 \%$ withdrawal rate. A 75/25 portfolio could potentially have a higher portfolio success rate and end of retirement portfolio value. A $100 \%$ bond portfolio fails more than half the time using the $4 \%$ withdrawal rule.

## L FUNDS ALLOCATION COMPARISON

L Income


January 2024

- G Fund 68.91\%
$\square$ F Fund $5.59 \%$
C Fund 13.31\%
$\square$ S Fund 3.26\%
$\square$ IFund 8.93\%

L 2025


L 2030


January 2024

- G Fund 33.95\%
$\square$ F Fund $5.92 \%$
- C Fund $31.17 \%$
$\square$ SFund 7.92\%
$\square$ IFund 21.04\%

L 2035


January 2024
G Fund 27.25\%
FFund $6.80 \%$
$\square$ C Fund $34.11 \%$
S Fund $8.76 \%$
I Fund $23.08 \%$

L 2040


L 2045


January 2024

- G Fund 15.69\%

FFund $7.56 \%$
C Fund $39.47 \%$
S Fund $10.42 \%$
I Fund $26.86 \%$

L 2050


L 2055


L 2060


L 2065


## LOSS OF PURCHASING POWER: WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU START SPENDING?

Example: At the beginning of 1993, retirees Bill, Jack and Mary each have \$10,000 in the TSP. They each invest in one fund: Bill in G, Jack in F and Mary in C. They annually withdraw enough to buy 2000 first class stamps (after paying taxes of $30 \%$ ).


Note: This is for illustration purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future performance. All investments involve the risk of loss. The data assumes reinvestment of all income.

## Sequence of Returns Risk

| Year | Boy Balance | Return | Withdrawal | Eor Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $-14.67 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 785,281$ |
| 1974 | $\$ 785,281$ | $-26.31 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 519,934$ |
| 1975 | $\$ 519,934$ | $37.14 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 603,719$ |
| 1976 | $\$ 603,719$ | $23.81 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 648,772$ |
| 1977 | $\$ 648,772$ | $-7.19 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 528,144$ |
| 1978 | $\$ 528,144$ | $6.52 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 477,670$ |
| 1979 | $\$ 477,670$ | $18.45 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 471,380$ |
| 1980 | $\$ 471,380$ | $32.45 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 518,764$ |
| 1981 | $\$ 518,764$ | $-4.88 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 417,625$ |
| 1982 | $\$ 417,625$ | $21.50 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 410,564$ |


| Year | sor Balance | Return | Wanhdrawal | Eor Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | $\$ 410,564$ | $22.46 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 405,161$ |
| 1984 | $\$ 405,161$ | $6.22 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 345,691$ |
| 1985 | $\$ 345,691$ | $31.64 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 350,134$ |
| 1986 | $\$ 350,134$ | $18.62 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 320,774$ |
| 1987 | $\$ 320,774$ | $5.18 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 253,548$ |
| 1988 | $\$ 253,548$ | $16.61 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 202,710$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 202,710$ | $31.69 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 161,975$ |
| 1990 | $\$ 161,975$ | $-3.10 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 79,713$ |
| 1991 | $\$ 79,713$ | $30.47 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$$ |
| 19 Yrs. Average ROR | $12.98 \%$ | $\$ 1,514,547$ | DEPLETED |  |



Figure 2: Forward running return sequence of $\$ 1,000,000$ - S\&P 500 portfolio with annual withdrawals of $\$ 79,713$ Other starting years, rate of return sequences, market indexes, and life horizons will produce different results.

## Sequence of Returns Risk

| Year | sor Balance | Retum | whthdrawal | tov Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $30.47 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,200,698$ |
| 1990 | $\$ 1,200,698$ | $-3.10 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,086,235$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 1,086,235$ | $31.69 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,325,489$ |
| 1988 | $\$ 1,325,489$ | $16.61 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,452,699$ |
| 1987 | $\$ 1,452,699$ | $5.18 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,444,107$ |
| 1986 | $\$ 1,444,107$ | $18.62 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,618,444$ |
| 1985 | $\$ 1,618,444$ | $31.84 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,028,663$ |
| 1984 | $\$ 2,028,663$ | $6.22 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,070,174$ |
| 1983 | $\$ 2,070,174$ | $22.46 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,437,519$ |
| 1982 | $\$ 2,437,519$ | $21.50 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,864,734$ |


| Year | sor Balance | Return | Wathdrawal | toy Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | $\$ 2,864,734$ | $-4.88 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,649,112$ |
| 1980 | $\$ 2,649,112$ | $32.45 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 3,403,169$ |
| 1979 | $\$ 3,403,169$ | $18.45 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 3,939,634$ |
| 1978 | $\$ 3,939,634$ | $6.52 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 4,108,392$ |
| 1977 | $\$ 4,108,392$ | $-7.19 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 3,739,017$ |
| 1976 | $\$ 3,739,017$ | $23.81 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 4,530,585$ |
| 1975 | $\$ 4,530,585$ | $37.14 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 6,103,925$ |
| 1974 | $\$ 6,103,925$ | $-26.31 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 4,439,925$ |
| 1973 | $\$ 4,439,925$ | $-14.67 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 3,719,986$ |
| 19 Yrs. Average ROR | $12.98 \%$ | $\$ 1,514,547$ | $\$ 3,719,986$ |  |

Figure 3: Backward running return sequence of $\$ 1,000,000$ - S\&P 500 portfolio with annual withdrawals of $\$ 79,713$ Other starting years, rate of return sequences, market indexes, and life horizons will produce different results.

## Sequence Defense

| Year | Sor Belance | Return | Withrawal | Eov salance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | \$1,000,000 | -14.67\% | \$79,713 | S 785,281 |
| 1974 | \$ 785,281 | -26.31\% | \$ | \$ 578,673 |
| 1975 | \$ 578,673 | 37.14\% | \$ | \$ 793,593 |
| 1976 | \$ 793,593 | 23.81\% | \$79,713 | \$ 883,855 |
| 1977 | \$ 883,855 | -7.19\% | \$79,713 | S 746,324 |
| 1978 | \$ 746,324 | 6.52\% | \$ 0 | S 794,984 |
| 1979 | \$ 794,984 | 18.45\% | \$79,713 | S 847,239 |
| 1980 | \$ 847,239 | 32.45\% | \$79,713 | \$1,016,588 |
| 1981 | \$1,016,588 | -4.88\% | \$79,713 | S 891,155 |
| 1982 | \$ 891,155 | 21.50\% | \$ 0 | \$1,082,754 |


| Year | sor Balance | Retum | Withdawal | Eov salance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | $\$ 1,082,754$ | $22.46 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,228,324$ |
| 1984 | $\$ 1,228,324$ | $6.22 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,220,054$ |
| 1985 | $\$ 1,220,054$ | $31.64 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,501,145$ |
| 1986 | $\$ 1,501,145$ | $18.62 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,686,103$ |
| 1987 | $\$ 1,686,103$ | $5.18 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,689,601$ |
| 1988 | $\$ 1,689,601$ | $16.61 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 1,877,290$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 1,877,290$ | $31.69 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,367,229$ |
| 1990 | $\$ 2,367,229$ | $-3.10 \%$ | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 2,126,603$ |
| 1991 | $\$ 2,126,603$ | $30.47 \%$ | $\$$ | 0 |
| 19 Yrs. | $\$ v e r a g e$ | ROR | $12,892,002$ |  |
|  | $\$ 1,115,982$ | $\$ 2,892,002$ |  |  |



Figure 6: Same sequence with withdrawals from portfolio in green. Sequence Defense employed following down year. Other starting years, rate of return sequences, market indexes, and life horizons will produce different results.


## Avoid Selling Low

TSP --- $\$ 500,000 \times 4 \%=\$ 20,000$


## Forced to sell LOW!!

## TSP Fund - 2

| Year | G Fund | F Fund | C Fund | S Fund | I Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $2.98 \%$ | $-12.83 \%$ | $-18.13 \%$ | $-26.26 \%$ | $-13.94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $2.91 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $-4.41 \%$ | $-9.26 \%$ | $-13.43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $3.75 \%$ | $5.45 \%$ | $-36.99 \%$ | $-38.32 \%$ | $-42.43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $5.00 \%$ | $10.27 \%$ | $-22.05 \%$ | $-18.14 \%$ | $-15.98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $5.39 \%$ | $8.61 \%$ | $-11.94 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $6.42 \%$ | $11.67 \%$ | $-9.14 \%$ |  |  |



## See what marginal tax bracket you fall into: Your Line 15: \$183,000 ~ So, what

 marginal rate are you in now?How many of you think you will be in a lower tax bracket when you retire?

Tax brackets 2023

| Tax Rate | Single | Married filing jointly | Married filing separately | Head of household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10\% | \$0 to \$11,000. | \$0 to \$22,000. | \$0 to \$11,000. | \$0 to \$15,700. |
| 12\% | $\$ 11,001$ to \$44,725. | $\$ 22,001$ to $\$ 89,450$. | $\$ 11,001$ to \$44,725. | $\$ 15,701$ to \$59,850. |
| 22\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 44,726 \text { to } \\ & \$ 95,375 . \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 89,451$ to \$190,750. | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 44,726 \text { to } \\ & \$ 95,375 . \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 59,851$ to \$95,350. |
| 24\% | \$95,376 to \$182,100. | \$190,751 to $\$ 364,200$. | \$95,376 to \$182,100. | $\$ 95,351$ to \$182,100. |
| 32\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 182,101 \text { to } \\ & \$ 231,250 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 364,201 \text { to } \\ & \$ 462,500 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 182,101 \text { to } \\ & \$ 231,250 . \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 182,101$ to \$231,250. |
| 35\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 231,251 \text { to } \\ & \$ 578,125 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 462,501 \text { to } \\ & \$ 693,750 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 231,251 \text { to } \\ & \$ 346,875 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 231,251 \text { to } \\ & \$ 578,100 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 37\% | $\$ 578,126$ or more. | $\$ 693,751$ or more. | $\$ 346,876$ or more. | $\$ 578,101$ or more. |

## WHERE do you think taxes will be in your future? Your opinion matters

Historical Marginal Tax Rate for


## Which RMD to use?

NO RMD's for ROTH TSP/401(k)

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 72 (or 70 1/2) | Born 1950 or earlier | - |
| Age 73 | Born 1951-1959 | 2023 |
| Age 75 | Born 1960 or later | 2033 |

## Effect of RMDs



## NOTE:

The age for withdrawing from retirement accounts was increased in 2020 to 72 from 70.5. (Note that the SECURE 2.0 Act will raise the age for RMDs to 73 for those who turn 72 in 2023.) Therefore, your first RMD must be taken by April 1 of the year after which you turn 72 ( 73 in 2023). After that your RMDs must be taken by December 31 of each year.

| RMD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSP | IRA | IRA |
| \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{x 4 \%} \\ & \$ 4,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\times 4 \%} \\ & \$ 4,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\times 4 \%} \\ & \$ 4,000 \end{aligned}$ |


| RMD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSP | IRA | IRA |
| \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{x}+4 \% \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \$ 12,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| RMD |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TSP | IRA | IRA |  |
| $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ |  |
| $\frac{x 4 \%}{v}$ | $\frac{x 4 \%}{\downarrow}$ |  |  |
| $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 12,000$ |  |  |

## LONGEVITY

Since 1900 the global average life expectancy has more than doubled In every country the life expectancy of women is higher than the life expectancy of men


## Rising Medical and Long-Term Care Costs

## National average costs of long-term care:

- $\$ 225$ a day or $\$ 6,844$ per month for a semi-private room in a nursing home
- \$253 a day or $\$ 7,698$ per month for a private room in a nursing home
- \$119 a day or $\$ 3,628$ per month for care in an assisted living facility (for a one-bedroom unit)
- \$20.50 an hour for a health aide
- \$20 an hour for homemaker services
- \$68 per day for services in an adult day health care center

The cost of long-term care depends on the type and duration of care you need, the provider you use, and where you live.

## How Will You Pay for Long-Term Care?

- Pay out-of-pocket - Self Insure
- Rely on government programs such as Medicare or Medicaid
- Buy long-term care insurance
- Life Insurance with LTC Rider


## Pros and Cons to Long Term Care Insurance

- Premiums are not fixed
- Underfunded policies
- If you never use it you lose it
- Healthcare inflation rate 5.3\%


## Select LTC rate change fillings with renewal business effective dates in H1

| State | Filer | Calculated premium change (\$M) | Written premium (\$M) | Approved rate change (\%)* | Number of policyholders affected | Renewal business effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VA | Genworth Life Insurance Co. ${ }^{1}$ | 9.7 | 26.9 | 36.1 | 10,228 | 04/21/22 |
| OH | Genworth Life Insurance Co. | 9.7 | 14.8 | 65.4 | 6,713 | 03/31/22 |
| PA | John Hancock Life Insurance Co. USA | 7.5 | 12.7 | 59.1 | 4,760 | 05/09/22 |
| TN | Genworth Life Insurance Co. | 5.5 | 9.2 | 59.5 | 4,431 | 4/27/22 |
| KY | Genworth Life Insurance Co. | 5.1 | 12.7 | 39.9 | 4,617 | 03/31/22 |
| IA | Ability Insurance Co. ${ }^{1,2}$ | 5.0 | 10.6 | 47.4 | 2,612 | 02/18/22 |
| NE | Mutual of Omaha Insurance Co. ${ }^{1}$ | 4.9 | 4.6 | 107.1 | 2,383 | 01/01/22 |
| AL | Genworth Life Insurance Co. | 4.7 | 31.0 | 15.0 | 8,321 | 03/14/22 |
| TX | Allianz Life Insurance Co. Of North America | 4.6 | 7.5 | 62.2 | 2,821 | 01/29/22 |
| NE | Ability Insurance Co. ${ }^{2}$ | 4.6 | 8.1 | 56.7 | 2,024 | 01/13/22 |

Date compiled Aug 4, 2022
LTC = long-term care
Information collected on a best-effort basis. Rate filling information is sourced from the System for Electronic Rate and Form Filling documents.
Reflects individual long-term care rate filings for Type of Insurance LTCo2I, LTCo31, LTCo41 and LTCo51 with renewal business effective dates between Jan. 1 and June 30, 2022. Excludes pending, disapproved and withdrawn fillings; fillings where rate change was zero; fillings where data on the premium impacted was unavailable; fillings where the disposition table was unavailable, notably in the state of Florida.
Written premium, calculated premium change, approved rate change and number of policyholders affected are based on disposition section of rate fillings. Approved rate change reflects the calculated premium change divided by written premium.
Top 10 fillings shown are based on calculated premium change.
*Approved rate change shown may be the ultimate increase as some states cap the amount an insurer can raise rates in a given year.
${ }^{1}$ Adjustments made to values shown due discrepancies between disposition table and correspondence from the state insurance regulators within the filling.
${ }^{2}$ Include LTC premium changes for policies of medico Insurance Co. that have been novated over to Ability Insurance Co.
Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence

## PERFECT STORM

## Dealing with Finances After the Death of a Spouse

## SPOUSE A

Pension \$45,000
Social Security \$35,000
TSP Withdrawal \$25,000
(\$625,000 x 4\%)
TOTAL INCOME \$100,000
Scenario 1 Scenario 2

SPOUSE A DIES
Pension $\$ 22,500$
Social Security TBD*
(*lesser of the two spouses ss)
TSP Withdrawal \$25,000 (\$625,000 x 4\%)
TOTAL INCOME \$47,500 (plus SS)

SPOUSE A DIES PLUS LONG TERM CARE EVENT

Pension $\$ 22,500$
Social Security TBD*
(*lesser of the two spouses ss)
TSP Withdrawal \$0
 intended. Financial products can vary, therefore, always examine the detail of the product structure, provisions and features. Any discussions regarding interest rates, rates of return, and tax rates are purely hypothetical and $n$
intended to represent assurances or guarantees. The presenters of this information are not related to, endorsed by, nor connected with and not approved by any Government Agency or organization. Before investing or using finy strategy, individuals should consult with their tax, legal, or financial advisor. All information contained in this presentation has been derived from sources deemed to be reliable but cannot be guaranteed.

