The Constitution of India lays the foundation for ethical governance and public administration through various provisions that emphasize the principles of justice, equality, liberty, and fraternity. These provisions guide the conduct of government officials and public servants, ensuring accountability, transparency, and integrity in public service.

Constitutional Provisions Related to Ethics and Governance:

1. Preamble:

1

The Preamble of the Indian Constitution reflects the core values of the Constitution and serves as a guiding light for ethical governance. It enshrines ideals such as justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity, which form the ethical basis for governance in India.

2. Fundamental Rights (Part III):

Fundamental Rights are essential for ensuring ethical governance. They guarantee citizens' rights and protect them from arbitrary actions by the state. Some relevant articles include:

- Article 14 (Right to Equality): Ensures equality before the law and equal protection of laws, emphasizing non-discrimination and fairness in governance.
- Article 19 (Freedom of Speech and Expression): Supports transparency and accountability in governance by allowing citizens to express their views freely.
- Article 21 (Right to Life and Personal Liberty): Establishes the right to live with dignity, which is a key component of ethical governance.

3. Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV):

The Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSP) provide guidelines for the state to establish a just and equitable society. Though non-justiciable, these principles influence governance and public policies. Key provisions include:

- Article 38 (Promotion of Welfare of the People): Directs the state to secure a social order in which justice, social, economic, and political, shall inform all institutions of national life.
- Article 39 (Certain Principles of Policy): Advocates for equal distribution of wealth, opportunities, and resources, ensuring social and economic justice.
- Article 41 (Right to Work, Education, and Public Assistance): Encourages the state to provide assistance to the needy, fostering an ethical approach to governance and welfare.

4. Fundamental Duties (Part IVA, Article 51A):

The Fundamental Duties prescribe ethical obligations for citizens, which indirectly contribute to ethical governance. These duties include respecting the Constitution,

promoting harmony, and safeguarding public property, thereby encouraging responsible citizenship.

5. Separation of Powers (Part V, Chapter I):

The Constitution establishes a clear separation of powers between the Legislature, Executive, and Judiciary, ensuring a system of checks and balances. This framework prevents the concentration of power and promotes ethical governance through accountability and oversight.

6. Constitutional Bodies:

Certain constitutional bodies are established to uphold ethical governance:

- **Election Commission (Article 324):** Ensures free and fair elections, which is a cornerstone of ethical democratic governance.
- **Comptroller and Auditor General (Article 148):** Audits government expenditure and ensures financial accountability, promoting ethical use of public funds.
- Union Public Service Commission (Article 315): Conducts exams for appointments to public services, ensuring meritocracy and ethical recruitment practices.

7. Rule of Law (Article 13):

Article 13 emphasizes the supremacy of the Constitution and ensures that all laws must conform to constitutional provisions. It establishes the rule of law, which is fundamental to ethical governance, ensuring that no one is above the law and that laws are applied fairly and justly.

The Indian Constitution provides a robust legal and ethical framework for governance. Through its various provisions, it promotes accountability, transparency, and justice, ensuring that governance is conducted in an ethical manner that upholds the rights and dignity of citizens. Ethical governance is not just a legal obligation but also a moral duty derived from the values enshrined in the Constitution.

The Constitution of India plays a pivotal role in promoting ethical governance by establishing a framework that ensures justice, accountability, transparency, and integrity in public administration. Ethical governance refers to the practice of conducting government activities in a manner that aligns with moral principles, legal obligations, and the public interest. The Constitution, as the supreme law of the land, embeds these ethical principles within its provisions, guiding both the government and public officials in their conduct.

Key Roles of the Constitution in Promoting Ethical Governance:

1. Foundational Values in the Preamble:

The Preamble of the Constitution articulates the foundational values of justice (social, economic, and political), liberty, equality, and fraternity. These values set the ethical tone for governance in India. They serve as guiding principles for all laws and policies, ensuring that governance is rooted in the promotion of the common good and the protection of individual rights and dignity.

2. Rule of Law:

The Constitution enshrines the rule of law, meaning that no one, including government officials, is above the law. This principle ensures that public officials act within the bounds of the law and are held accountable for their actions. It prevents arbitrary use of power and promotes fairness and justice in governance.

- **Article 13:** Invalidates laws that contravene fundamental rights, ensuring that governance aligns with constitutional principles.
- Article 32 and Article 226: Provide for judicial review, allowing citizens to challenge unconstitutional actions by the state.

3. Separation of Powers and Checks and Balances:

The Constitution establishes a clear separation of powers between the Executive, Legislature, and Judiciary. This ensures that no single institution has unchecked power, which is crucial for preventing corruption and promoting ethical governance.

- Legislature: Makes laws and ensures that governance aligns with the Constitution.
- **Executive:** Implements laws, but is held accountable by the Legislature and Judiciary.
- **Judiciary:** Acts as the guardian of the Constitution, ensuring that all actions of the government are lawful and just.

4. Fundamental Rights (Part III):

Fundamental Rights guarantee citizens certain freedoms and protections against state actions. These rights ensure that the government respects the dignity and rights of individuals, which is central to ethical governance.

- Article 14 (Equality before Law): Ensures non-discrimination and equal treatment of all citizens, promoting fairness in governance.
- Article 19 (Freedom of Expression): Supports transparency and accountability by allowing citizens to voice their opinions and hold the government accountable.
- Article 21 (Right to Life and Personal Liberty): Embodies the principle of dignity and ethical treatment of all individuals.

5. Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV):

The Directive Principles provide a moral and ethical direction for the state in formulating policies and laws. While not legally enforceable, they serve as a framework for promoting social and economic justice, and ethical governance.

- Article 38: Directs the state to promote welfare and reduce inequalities.
- **Article 39:** Emphasizes equal distribution of wealth and resources, promoting economic justice.
- **Article 47:** Directs the state to improve public health and nutrition, reflecting the ethical responsibility of the state toward its citizens.

6. Fundamental Duties (Part IVA, Article 51A):

The Constitution outlines the duties of citizens, which indirectly contribute to ethical governance. These duties promote responsible citizenship and encourage individuals to act in the public interest, thereby supporting the ethical functioning of the state.

- Duty to uphold the Constitution and respect its ideals.
- Duty to promote harmony and the spirit of common brotherhood.
- Duty to safeguard public property and abjure violence.

7. Independent Constitutional Bodies:

Several independent constitutional bodies ensure ethical governance by acting as checks on the government's power and ensuring accountability:

- **Election Commission of India:** Ensures free and fair elections, which is essential for ethical democratic governance.
- **Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG):** Audits government accounts and ensures financial integrity.
- **Union Public Service Commission (UPSC):** Ensures merit-based recruitment, reducing nepotism and corruption in public service.

8. Anti-Corruption Provisions:

The Constitution empowers the state to enact laws to prevent corruption and promote integrity in public life. Several laws and institutions, such as the Prevention of Corruption Act and Lokpal, are rooted in constitutional principles to ensure that governance is conducted ethically and without abuse of power.

9. Social Justice and Inclusive Development:

The Constitution promotes ethical governance by emphasizing the need for social justice and inclusive development. Through provisions like reservation policies (Article 15(4) and 16(4)) and welfare measures under the Directive Principles, the Constitution ensures that the marginalized sections of society receive fair treatment and equal opportunities.

The Indian Constitution plays a vital role in promoting ethical governance by embedding the values of justice, equality, and accountability within its framework. It provides the legal and moral foundation for governance that respects the rights and dignity of individuals, ensures fairness, and prevents the abuse of power. By guiding public officials and institutions in their conduct, the Constitution fosters an environment where governance is transparent, responsible, and aligned with the public good.

Laws governing ethical conduct are crucial for ensuring transparency, accountability, and integrity in public administration. Two significant laws in India that promote ethical governance are the **Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988** and the **Right to Information Act, 2005**. These laws serve as legal frameworks to combat corruption and promote transparency in public institutions.

1. Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (PCA):

The **Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988** is the principal anti-corruption legislation in India. It seeks to curb corruption in government offices and public sector organizations by making corruption-related offenses punishable.

Key Provisions:

- **Definition of Public Servant:** The Act defines "public servant" broadly to include government officials, employees of government-aided institutions, public sector undertakings, judges, and elected representatives.
- Offenses and Punishments:
 - **Bribery (Section 7):** Accepting or agreeing to accept any undue advantage by a public servant as a reward or motive for performing or refraining from performing their duties is an offense.
 - **Bribe-Giving (Section 8):** Offering or giving a bribe to a public servant is also an offense.
 - **Criminal Misconduct (Section 13):** Misuse of office for personal gain, misappropriation of property, or acquiring assets disproportionate to known sources of income by a public servant is categorized as criminal misconduct.
 - **Punishments:** The Act prescribes stringent penalties for corruption-related offenses, ranging from imprisonment to fines.
- Investigation and Prosecution:
 - **Investigating Agencies:** The Act empowers agencies like the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) and Anti-Corruption Bureaus (ACBs) to investigate offenses under the Act.

- Sanction for Prosecution: For prosecuting a public servant under the Act, prior sanction from the government or the competent authority is required, ensuring due process.
- **Amendments (2018):** The Act was amended in 2018 to strengthen the anticorruption framework:
 - Enhanced punishment for bribery offenses.
 - Provisions for speedy trials of corruption cases.
 - Introduction of the concept of "willful misconduct" by commercial organizations in bribery cases.

Significance:

The Prevention of Corruption Act serves as a deterrent against corrupt practices and plays a vital role in maintaining the ethical conduct of public servants. By criminalizing bribery and abuse of power, the Act promotes integrity and accountability in public service.

2. Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI):

The **Right to Information Act, 2005** is a landmark legislation that promotes transparency and accountability in government functioning. It empowers citizens to seek information from public authorities, thereby making governance more open and participatory.

Key Provisions:

6

- **Right to Information (Section 3):** The Act provides citizens with the right to access information held by or under the control of public authorities. This includes information about government policies, decisions, and functioning.
- Obligation of Public Authorities (Section 4):
 - Proactive Disclosure: Public authorities are required to maintain records and proactively disclose information such as organizational structure, functioning, decision-making processes, and expenditure.
 - **Publication of Information:** Certain information must be published and made accessible to the public, reducing the need for citizens to file individual RTI requests.
- Process for Obtaining Information:
 - **Filing an RTI Application:** Citizens can file an RTI application with the concerned Public Information Officer (PIO) of the public authority.
 - **Time-bound Response:** The PIO is required to provide the requested information within 30 days of receiving the application. In matters involving the life or liberty of a person, the response time is reduced to 48 hours.
- **Exemptions (Section 8):** The Act includes specific exemptions from disclosure, such as information affecting national security, personal information, trade secrets, and information protected by legal privilege. However, if the public interest outweighs the harm, the information may still be disclosed.

• Appeal and Penalty Provisions:

- **First and Second Appeal:** If a citizen is not satisfied with the information provided or if the information is denied, they can appeal to higher authorities, including the Central or State Information Commission.
- **Penalties:** The Act imposes penalties on PIOs for delays or refusal to provide information without reasonable cause, further ensuring accountability.

Significance:

The Right to Information Act empowers citizens to participate actively in governance by making government actions transparent. It acts as a check on corruption, as public scrutiny often discourages unethical practices. The RTI Act has led to greater accountability, enabling citizens to question government decisions and policies, thereby fostering a culture of openness and honesty in public institutions.

Both the **Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988** and the **Right to Information Act, 2005** are instrumental in promoting ethical governance in India. The PCA curbs corruption by penalizing bribery and abuse of office, while the RTI Act enhances transparency by giving citizens the right to access information about government activities. Together, these laws create a legal framework that promotes integrity, accountability, and transparency in governance, thereby fostering ethical conduct in public service.

Whistleblower Protection and Anti-Corruption Laws:

Whistleblowers play a crucial role in exposing corruption and unethical practices within organizations, both in the public and private sectors. To safeguard these individuals from retaliation and ensure their protection, several laws have been enacted. One of the key laws in India that provides protection to whistleblowers is the **Whistleblower Protection Act**, **2014**.

Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014:

The **Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014** is a significant piece of legislation aimed at safeguarding individuals who report corruption, misuse of power, or criminal offenses by public servants. It is part of the broader framework of anti-corruption laws in India.

Key Provisions:

1. Protection of Whistleblowers:

 The Act provides protection to individuals, commonly known as "whistleblowers," who expose acts of corruption, abuse of power, or other forms of misconduct by public servants, including government officials and employees of public sector organizations.

• Whistleblowers are protected from retaliation, such as harassment, victimization, or harm, as a consequence of making a complaint.

2. Scope of Complaints:

- Complaints under the Act can be related to acts of corruption, abuse of power, or criminal offenses committed by public servants.
- Whistleblowers can also report willful misuse of power or discretion by a public servant, leading to demonstrable loss to the public exchequer or the public interest.

3. Mechanism for Filing Complaints:

- Whistleblowers can submit complaints to a designated competent authority. This authority could be a central or state vigilance commission or other designated bodies responsible for receiving and investigating complaints.
- The identity of the whistleblower is kept confidential to prevent retaliation or harm.

4. Investigation of Complaints:

- The Act empowers the competent authority to investigate complaints and recommend appropriate action against the concerned public servant if the allegations are found to be true.
- If a complaint is found to be false or frivolous, the whistleblower may face penalties, ensuring that the provision is not misused.

5. Exemptions:

 Certain matters related to national security, sovereignty, and public order are exempt from disclosure under this Act. Information related to intelligence and security agencies is also exempted to safeguard the nation's security interests.

6. Penalties for Retaliation:

 The Act provides for penalties against individuals or entities that harass or victimize whistleblowers. These penalties include disciplinary action and, in severe cases, criminal prosecution.

7. Limitations and Criticisms:

- One significant limitation of the Act is that it does not protect whistleblowers from retaliation by private organizations, as it mainly applies to public servants and public sector employees.
- Additionally, the Act does not provide complete protection to whistleblowers, as certain amendments and delays in implementation have weakened its effectiveness in some areas. Critics argue that the Act needs stronger provisions to ensure comprehensive protection.

Significance:

8

The Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014, plays a critical role in promoting transparency and accountability by encouraging individuals to come forward and report unethical practices without fear of retaliation. It strengthens the anti-corruption framework by acting as a deterrent to corrupt practices within the government and public sector.

By protecting whistleblowers, the Act helps in creating an environment where public servants and employees are more likely to report wrongdoing, thereby contributing to a more ethical and transparent system of governance.

The **Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014** is an essential component of India's anticorruption laws. It encourages individuals to report corruption and unethical practices by providing legal protection against retaliation. Although the Act has certain limitations, it marks an important step toward fostering a culture of transparency and accountability in public service. Strengthening and effectively implementing this law will further enhance its role in combating corruption and promoting ethical governance.

Mechanisms for reporting and addressing corruption are essential in promoting ethical governance, accountability, and transparency. In India, a variety of institutional frameworks, legal provisions, and systems exist to facilitate the reporting of corruption and ensure that such complaints are properly addressed. These mechanisms are designed to empower individuals to report corrupt practices and hold public officials accountable.

Key Mechanisms for Reporting and Addressing Corruption:

1. Central Vigilance Commission (CVC):

The **Central Vigilance Commission (CVC)** is an apex body created to oversee and advise on vigilance matters and corruption in government offices. Established in 1964, it operates under the Central Vigilance Commission Act, 2003.

• Role and Functions:

- The CVC supervises vigilance activities across various government organizations.
- It receives complaints regarding corruption from individuals, government departments, and other stakeholders.
- The CVC has the authority to direct the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) to investigate cases of corruption.
- Complaint Process:
 - Individuals can file complaints directly with the CVC through its online portal or in writing. Complaints related to public sector employees and government officials are addressed under this mechanism.
 - The CVC forwards the complaint to the concerned authority or initiates its own investigation.

2. Lokpal and Lokayuktas:

9

The **Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013** provides for the establishment of the Lokpal at the central level and Lokayuktas at the state level to investigate complaints of corruption

against public servants, including the Prime Minister, ministers, and Members of Parliament.

- Role and Functions:
 - Lokpal is an independent body that has jurisdiction over public servants and can inquire into allegations of corruption.
 - Lokayuktas function at the state level and handle corruption complaints against state public servants.
- Complaint Process:
 - Individuals can file complaints with the Lokpal or Lokayuktas regarding corruption by public officials. These bodies have the power to investigate, prosecute, and recommend disciplinary actions.

3. Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI):

The **Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)** is the premier investigative agency in India tasked with investigating serious crimes, including corruption. The CBI operates under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances, and Pensions.

- Role and Functions:
 - The CBI investigates high-profile cases of corruption, particularly those involving central government employees, public sector undertakings, and cross-border crimes.
 - It also investigates cases referred to it by the CVC and other authorities.
- Complaint Process:
 - Citizens can file complaints directly with the CBI. Complaints can be submitted online or in person at CBI offices.
 - The CBI conducts investigations based on credible information and takes appropriate legal action if corruption is established.

4. Vigilance Departments in Public Sector Units (PSUs):

Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) and government departments have their own vigilance departments tasked with monitoring corruption and unethical practices within their organizations.

• Role and Functions:

- Vigilance officers within PSUs and government departments ensure adherence to ethical practices and investigate complaints of corruption.
- They also coordinate with the CVC for cases requiring higher-level intervention.
- Complaint Process:

10

- Employees and the general public can report instances of corruption to the vigilance departments of specific organizations. These complaints are usually
- Mr. DEVRAJ PATEL www.theaimers.org THE AIMERS

handled internally, but severe cases may be referred to external agencies like the CVC or CBI.

5. Whistleblower Mechanisms:

The **Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014** provides legal protection to whistleblowers who expose corruption, abuse of power, or misuse of resources in government offices.

- Role and Functions:
 - The Act protects whistleblowers from retaliation and ensures that their identities are kept confidential.
 - Whistleblowers can report corruption to the competent authorities, such as the CVC or state vigilance commissions.
- Complaint Process:
 - Individuals can file whistleblower complaints through the designated authorities, ensuring that corruption-related issues are addressed without fear of retribution.

6. Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005:

The **Right to Information (RTI) Act** is a powerful tool for citizens to access information from public authorities, ensuring transparency and reducing corruption.

• Role and Functions:

- The RTI Act mandates that public authorities provide information to citizens, promoting openness in governance and reducing opportunities for corruption.
- Citizens can use RTI applications to seek information that could expose corrupt practices.
- Complaint Process:
 - Citizens file RTI applications with the Public Information Officers (PIOs) of government departments. If information is denied or not provided, applicants can appeal to higher authorities, including Information Commissions.

7. State Anti-Corruption Bureaus (ACBs):

State-level **Anti-Corruption Bureaus (ACBs)** handle cases of corruption involving state government employees. These agencies operate independently and focus on investigating corruption at the state level.

• Role and Functions:

11

 ACBs investigate complaints of corruption against state public servants and other state officials.

• They work in coordination with the state vigilance commissions and state Lokayuktas.

• Complaint Process:

• Citizens can report corruption to the state ACBs, which then conduct investigations and take appropriate legal action.

8. Judiciary:

The Indian judiciary plays a critical role in addressing corruption through its oversight of investigations, trials, and legal interpretations.

- Role and Functions:
 - Courts have the power to adjudicate corruption cases, ensuring that public servants who engage in corrupt practices are held accountable.
 - The judiciary also provides recourse to individuals seeking justice in cases of corruption through public interest litigation (PIL) and other legal mechanisms.
- Complaint Process:
 - Individuals can approach the courts to challenge instances of corruption and seek judicial intervention to ensure fair investigations and trials.

The mechanisms for reporting and addressing corruption in India are comprehensive, involving multiple institutions at both the central and state levels. From the Central Vigilance Commission to Lokpal and Lokayuktas, CBI, and whistleblower protections, these systems provide a robust framework for individuals to report corruption and ensure that it is properly addressed. Strengthening these mechanisms and ensuring their effective implementation is vital for promoting ethical governance and curbing corruption in India.

The Indian judiciary has played a pivotal role in promoting ethical governance by delivering landmark judgments that emphasize the importance of ethics, transparency, and accountability in public life. These judicial pronouncements not only guide the conduct of public officials but also shape policies and laws to ensure good governance. Below are some significant landmark judgments that have contributed to the promotion of ethical governance in India.

1. Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1997):

This case, also known as the **Jain Hawala Case**, was a landmark in ensuring the independence of investigative agencies, particularly the **Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)**, and in promoting transparency and accountability in government institutions.

Key Highlights:

12

- **Independence of Investigative Agencies:** The Supreme Court emphasized that investigative agencies like the CBI should be free from political influence and interference to ensure unbiased investigations into corruption cases.
- **Creation of the Central Vigilance Commission (CVC):** The judgment led to the creation of the CVC as an independent body to oversee corruption cases in public offices.
- **Transparent Appointment Process:** The Court laid down guidelines for the appointment of the CBI Director and other key officials to ensure that these positions are filled by competent and impartial individuals.

This judgment underscored the need for strong and independent institutions to promote ethical governance by preventing corruption.

2. S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994):

This case is a milestone in the interpretation of the **Constitutional provisions regarding the imposition of President's Rule under Article 356** and the role of ethics in governance.

Key Highlights:

- **Principle of Secularism:** The Supreme Court upheld the principle of secularism as a basic feature of the Indian Constitution, thereby emphasizing that public officials must act in a non-partisan and ethical manner while governing.
- **Judicial Review:** The Court reinforced that the imposition of President's Rule is subject to judicial review, preventing arbitrary actions by the Executive, thus promoting ethical conduct in governance.

This judgment promoted the idea that governance must be conducted within constitutional boundaries, with adherence to ethical principles such as secularism and accountability.

3. Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India (2014):

This landmark judgment dealt with the **right to privacy and the constitutional validity of Section 6A of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946**, which required prior sanction for the investigation of senior public officials.

Key Highlights:

13

• **Equality Before the Law:** The Supreme Court struck down Section 6A, holding that there should be no distinction between senior and junior public officials in corruption cases. This promoted equality before the law and strengthened the fight against corruption.

• **Public Accountability:** The judgment emphasized that public servants must be held accountable, regardless of their rank, thereby upholding the principles of transparency and ethical governance.

This ruling reinforced the concept that no individual, regardless of their position, is above the law, thus fostering ethical governance.

4. P. V. Narasimha Rao v. State (1998):

In this case, the Supreme Court examined the issue of parliamentary privileges in relation to criminal conduct, particularly bribery during a parliamentary vote.

Key Highlights:

- **Parliamentary Privileges:** The Court held that while Members of Parliament (MPs) enjoy certain privileges, these do not extend to corrupt practices such as bribery. MPs are not immune from prosecution for corrupt acts committed in their official capacity.
- **Ethics in Public Office:** The judgment underscored that elected representatives must uphold high ethical standards and that privileges cannot be used as a shield to protect unethical conduct.

This ruling emphasized the need for ethical behavior among lawmakers and the importance of upholding integrity in public office.

5. Union of India v. Association for Democratic Reforms (2002):

This case played a significant role in promoting electoral reforms and ensuring transparency in the electoral process.

Key Highlights:

14

- **Right to Information for Voters:** The Supreme Court ruled that voters have the right to know about the criminal records, assets, liabilities, and educational qualifications of candidates contesting elections. This judgment led to the mandatory disclosure of such information by candidates.
- **Informed Electorate:** By ensuring that voters have access to information about candidates, the Court promoted an informed electorate, thereby enhancing transparency and ethical standards in elections.

This judgment contributed to more ethical elections by ensuring that voters are better informed, thus encouraging the election of candidates with integrity.

6. Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997):

Although this case primarily dealt with sexual harassment at the workplace, it has had farreaching implications for ethical governance and the creation of a safe working environment in public and private institutions.

Key Highlights:

- **Workplace Ethics:** The Supreme Court laid down guidelines to prevent sexual harassment in the workplace, emphasizing the responsibility of institutions to create a safe and ethical work environment.
- **Binding Guidelines:** The Court's guidelines were binding on all institutions until proper legislation was enacted, leading to the enactment of the **Sexual Harassment** of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.

This judgment reinforced the need for ethical conduct and integrity in workplace governance.

7. Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978):

This case is a landmark in the protection of fundamental rights, especially the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution.

Key Highlights:

15

- **Due Process of Law:** The Supreme Court expanded the interpretation of Article 21, stating that any law affecting life or personal liberty must be just, fair, and reasonable. This ensured that government actions must meet ethical standards of fairness.
- **Transparency and Accountability:** The judgment reinforced the need for transparency and accountability in government decision-making, promoting ethical governance.

This case set a precedent for ensuring that government actions adhere to ethical principles and respect fundamental rights.

These landmark judicial pronouncements have been instrumental in promoting ethical governance in India by ensuring transparency, accountability, and integrity in public administration. The judiciary's active role in interpreting constitutional provisions and upholding the rule of law has contributed to the development of a governance system that prioritizes ethics and good conduct. These judgments continue to serve as guiding principles for public officials and institutions, fostering an environment of ethical governance in India.

The judiciary plays a critical role in upholding ethical standards in governance and society at large. Through its power of judicial review, interpretation of laws, and safeguarding of

fundamental rights, the judiciary ensures that the actions of the government, public institutions, and individuals align with constitutional and ethical principles. By acting as an independent and impartial arbiter, the judiciary contributes to promoting transparency, accountability, and fairness in public life.

Key Roles of the Judiciary in Upholding Ethical Standards:

1. Ensuring Compliance with the Constitution:

The judiciary's primary function is to interpret and protect the Constitution. It ensures that all actions by the executive, legislative, and administrative branches of government adhere to the constitutional principles of justice, equality, and rule of law. This judicial oversight prevents the arbitrary use of power and promotes ethical governance.

• **Example:** In the **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973)** case, the Supreme Court established the "Basic Structure Doctrine," ensuring that certain fundamental aspects of the Constitution, such as democracy, rule of law, and judicial independence, cannot be altered. This doctrine upholds the ethical foundation of governance by ensuring that constitutional principles remain intact.

2. Protecting Fundamental Rights:

The judiciary safeguards fundamental rights enshrined in the Constitution, ensuring that individuals' rights to life, liberty, and equality are respected by the state. By doing so, the judiciary promotes ethical standards in governance by protecting individuals from arbitrary and unjust actions.

• **Example:** In the **Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)** case, the Supreme Court expanded the interpretation of Article 21 (Right to Life and Personal Liberty) to include the concept of "due process of law," ensuring that government actions must be just, fair, and reasonable, thereby promoting ethical governance.

3. Promoting Transparency and Accountability:

The judiciary plays a pivotal role in promoting transparency and accountability in public administration by reviewing and challenging the decisions and actions of government officials and public institutions. Through judicial review, the judiciary ensures that actions taken by public officials are consistent with legal and ethical standards.

• **Example:** In the **Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1997)** case, the Supreme Court emphasized the independence of investigative agencies like the CBI and established guidelines for ensuring transparency and accountability in investigations related to corruption.

4. Curbing Corruption:

The judiciary plays a proactive role in curbing corruption by interpreting anti-corruption laws, punishing corrupt officials, and promoting clean governance. Landmark judgments have strengthened the legal framework against corruption and emphasized the importance of ethics in public service.

• **Example:** In the **Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India (2014)** case, the Supreme Court struck down Section 6A of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, which required prior sanction for the investigation of senior public officials. This judgment promoted equality before the law and ensured that all public servants, regardless of their position, are held accountable for corruption.

5. Promoting Ethical Standards in Public Office:

The judiciary ensures that public officials, including lawmakers and bureaucrats, adhere to ethical standards and act in the public interest. Through its judgments, the judiciary has set ethical benchmarks for conduct in public office and has taken action against those who misuse their power.

• **Example:** In the **P. V. Narasimha Rao v. State (1998)** case, the Supreme Court held that parliamentary privileges do not extend to corrupt practices such as bribery, reinforcing the need for ethical conduct in public office.

6. Ensuring Electoral Integrity:

17

The judiciary has played a significant role in promoting ethical standards in the electoral process by ensuring that elections are free, fair, and transparent. Through judicial interventions, the judiciary has enforced the disclosure of candidates' criminal records, assets, and liabilities, enabling voters to make informed choices.

• **Example:** In the **Union of India v. Association for Democratic Reforms (2002)** case, the Supreme Court ruled that voters have the right to know about the criminal antecedents, assets, liabilities, and educational qualifications of candidates contesting elections. This judgment enhanced transparency and ethical conduct in the electoral process.

7. Establishing Guidelines for Ethical Governance:

The judiciary has established guidelines and frameworks for ensuring ethical governance in various sectors, including public administration, corporate governance, and workplace ethics. These guidelines are binding on institutions and serve as benchmarks for ethical conduct.

• **Example:** In the **Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997)** case, the Supreme Court laid down guidelines to prevent sexual harassment at the workplace, emphasizing the responsibility of institutions to create a safe and ethical work environment. These guidelines were instrumental in promoting workplace ethics until specific legislation was enacted.

8. Balancing Executive and Legislative Actions:

The judiciary acts as a check on the executive and legislative branches of government by ensuring that their actions are consistent with ethical principles and the rule of law. Through judicial review, the judiciary can invalidate laws or executive actions that violate constitutional principles or ethical standards.

• **Example:** In the **S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994)** case, the Supreme Court upheld the principle of secularism as a basic feature of the Constitution and emphasized that public officials must govern ethically, without bias or favoritism. The Court also reinforced the concept of judicial review over the imposition of President's Rule.

The judiciary plays a crucial role in upholding ethical standards by ensuring compliance with constitutional principles, protecting fundamental rights, promoting transparency and accountability, curbing corruption, and setting ethical benchmarks for public conduct. Through its landmark judgments and interpretation of laws, the judiciary fosters a culture of ethical governance, ensuring that the actions of the government and public officials align with the values of justice, fairness, and integrity. In doing so, the judiciary serves as a guardian of ethical standards and a pillar of good governance in India.