

A CANADIAN RESEARCH SURVEY WITH PASTORS AND BOARD MEMBERS

**Five Smooth Stones** 

CONSULTING LTD.

**Governance Consulting for Churches and Christian Ministries** 

# There is Still More Work to be Done

Canadian Protestant church board members and pastors need a strong theological foundation for the practice of evaluating what "success" means for the local church. While recently there has been some movement away from using the traditional metrics of attendance and giving, the results from this research survey reveal that there is still more work to be done.

## This survey, conducted in the fall of 2018, provides several insights

- Slightly more than half of survey participants who said they evaluate ministry have a loosely defined evaluation process
- Thirty-nine percent of respondents said that they were unsure how to go about doing a ministry evaluation
- Slightly more than half of these churches either do not have specific ministry evaluation criteria or indicated that they need to make more progress in this area
- Churches that do establish criteria are typically using activityoriented measures (e.g., how many in attendance, how many people led to Christ, what percentage of attendees are engaged in ministry, offerings) as opposed to outcome-oriented criteria
- Nearly half of survey respondents gave no response or were not sure how they incorporated theological principles, biblical passages, or spiritual practices into their ministry evaluation process



## **Download a Ministry Evaluation Devotional Resource**

Looking for a resource to assist your board with ministry evaluation? Download a free devotional resource for church board members and pastors based on the messages to the seven churches in Revelation at fivesmoothstones.ca

# About the Survey Participants



512
Total
participants

338 pastors

174 board members



## **PASTORS**

## Male 85% Female 14% No response 1%



## **BOARD MEMBERS**

Male	59%
Female	40%
No response	1%


## **AGE GROUPS**


	Pastors	Board Members
18 – 29	1%	2%
30 – 39	16%	6%
40 – 49	21%	10%
50 – 59	36%	30%
60 – 69	20%	30%
70 and older	5%	21%
No response	1%	1%

## **CHURCH SIZE**



The majority of participants were from small and medium-sized churches with less than 250 people attending the weekly worship gathering.





Geographic participation in the survey was reasonably consistent with the geographic distribution of Canadian Protestant churches, with the exception of Quebec, which was under-represented because the survey was only available in English.

\* Percentages in brackets reflect the percentage of Protestant churches in that province.



Rural

13%

Small population centre (<30,000)

31%

Not specified

### Medium

population centre (between 30,000 and 100,000)

16%

Large urban centre (>100,000)

## **DENOMINATIONAL AFFILIATION**

A variety of denominational affiliations were represented in the survey; however, Pentecostal, Baptist, Presbyterian and Lutheran traditions were the largest groups.

Pentecostal-20%

Baptist-17%

Presbyterian-15%

Lutheran-15%

Free Methodist—7%

Evangelical Covenant—5%

Be in Christ—4%

Mennonite-4%

Other-11%

None-2%

## Research Findings



## CLEAR MISSION STATEMENT AS AN ANCHORING POINT FOR MINISTRY EVALUATION


Small churches are significantly less likely than larger churches to have a mission or purpose statement that provides a clear reference point for ministry evaluation.

Church Size	<75	75–150	151-249	250+
Have a clear mission statement	64%	77%	87%	94%
Have a mission statement, but it is not clear	19%	15%	9%	3%
Do not have a mission statement	16%	7%	3%	1%



## FREQUENCY OF MINISTRY EVALUATION


Less than 1/3 of participants said they evaluate ministry at least annually, with 22% revealing that they rarely or never evaluate ministry. A significant segment, 36 percent, declared that they conduct an organizational evaluation informally, on an ad hoc basis. Small churches were significantly more likely to say that they rarely or never evaluated ministry.





## METHODS USED IN THE EVALUATION PROCESS

Boards and pastors rely heavily on discussion as the most common method of engaging in the evaluative process. Relatively few gather additional research, develop evaluation indicators, or include discernment and prayer as part of their evaluation process.\*



## WHAT CRITERIA ARE USED TO EVALUATE MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT?

A significant percentage of churches do not have specific evaluation criteria or indicated that they need to make more progress in this area.

Churches who do establish evaluation criteria typically use activity-oriented, quantitative measures (e.g., how many in attendance, how many people led to Christ, what percentage of attendees are engaged in ministry, offerings).\*

Large churches with 250 people or more were more likely to emphasize ministry engagement, small group ministry, leading people to Christ, baptisms, as well as maturing faith and discipleship.

47% Have evaluation criteria 28% No criteria used

25%

Criteria vague; need to do more in this area


31%	Attendance or	12%	Goal achievement	
	numerical growth	10%	Baptisms	
25%	Discipleship, maturing faith	10%	Internal satisfaction	
23%	Leading people to Christ	9%	Worship experience	
22%	Financial (offerings, financial health)	9%	Leadership development	
20%	Volunteer engagement	7%	Small group participation	
in ministry	5%	Missions		
18%	External or community	5%	Membership	
120%	focus/service 13% Internal church relationships	5%	Analysis of effectiveness,	
13%0	(love, fellowship)		fruitfulness	

<sup>\*</sup> Survey participants could offer multiple responses; therefore, this list does not add up to 100%.



## INCORPORATING BIBLICAL PASSAGES AND SPIRITUAL PRACTICES

Nearly half of survey respondents gave no response or were not sure how they incorporated biblical passages and spiritual practices into their evaluation process.



<sup>\*</sup> Survey participants could offer multiple responses; therefore, this list does not add up to 100%.



### WHAT MAKES EVALUATION DIFFICULT?

The vast majority of churches face some type of barrier, obstacle, or challenge—only 4 percent of participants indicated that they faced no barriers at all. The most significant barrier identified was that leaders were not sure how to conduct a ministry evaluation.\*

39% 30% 28% Not sure how to do it Not enough time No interest

29%
Lack of clarity around No barri mission/purpose

<sup>\*</sup> Survey participants could offer multiple responses; therefore, this list does not add up to 100%.



### POSITIVE OUTCOMES OF EVALUATION

Seventy-five percent of participants provided one or more positive benefits related to ministry evaluation.\*

Other-11%



Clarity and focus—19%
Greater emphasis on mission, purpose—19%
Catalyst for change—19%
Results or progress made—14%
Broader engagement and communication—11%
Excitement, celebration—11%



## **Download a Ministry Evaluation Devotional Resource**

Looking for a resource to assist your board with ministry evaluation? Download a free devotional resource for church board members and pastors based on the messages to the seven churches in Revelation at fivesmoothstones.ca



## ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

I would love to talk with you about how I can help your church or ministry board. Contact me at fivesmoothstones@rogers.com for a complimentary conversation.

<sup>\*</sup> Survey participants could offer multiple responses; therefore, this list does not add up to 100%.