Challenges Facing California's CRV Infrastructure

Bringing Back Recycling Centers

Multi-Year

- One Recycler Concept: Support all CRV recyclers, not just those at supermarkets by decoupling Handling Fees from supermarket sites and making them available to all recyclers in a zone
- Restructure Zones and Payments: Resize Convenience Zones based upon population density (e.g. less densely populated areas will have larger zones, while more densely populated areas will have smaller zones) and provide a flat monthly subsidy to each Convenience Zone that gets evenly divided by the number of recycling centers in a Convenience Zone

- or -

Hold a reverse auction for Convenience Zones when they are unserved for a time period (e.g. six months, one year, etc.) where recyclers can place competitive bids to operate a recycling center in the unserved Convenience Zone and authorize CalRecycle to select the winning bidder based upon defined criteria (e.g. cost, experience, resources, etc.)

 Phasing Out of Handling Fees: Handling Fees should be reduced or eliminated in areas once certain factors exist, such as a specified population density exists, minimum number of recycling centers is achieved, or minimum CRV material volume is achieved on per capita basis

Maintaining Existing CRV Infrastructure

Immediate

- Minimum Content: Require a minimum content standard for beverage manufacturers in order to stimulate and accelerate domestic demand for recycled beverage containers
- Supplemental Administrative Payment:
 - Provide a temporary supplemental Administrative Payment to *processors* at 3% of refund value so that the total equals 4.75% of refund value
 - Provide a temporary supplemental Administrative Payment to *recyclers* at 1.25% of refund value so that the total equals 2% of refund value
- Supplemental Processing Payment: Provide temporary supplemental Processing Payments to recyclers to better offset the costs of receiving, handling, and transacting CRV materials
- Provide Processing Payment to Processors: Processors currently don't, but should, receive a Processing Payment for CRV transactions
- Prevent Unfair Competition: Recyclers who receive Handling Fees should be prohibited from paying more than CRV refund value for materials (i.e. cannot pay scrap value in addition to CRV refund value)
- Transparency: All Handling Fees paid to recyclers each month should be made public via CalRecycle's website
- Support Recycling Centers: No funds should be paid to cities and counties that fail to demonstrate active support for public redemption centers
- Reinvestment: Require CalRecycle to use the \$100/day fine paid by supermarkets that choose not to redeem in-store to be reinvested in the same community to support local recycling centers