



World Championship attendees.



Previous World Champions at the 2026 match.





















Burt's Lifetime Achievement Award



Iron Man 2026 The winner of this also has his or her name engraved on the perpetual award.





Discovery Optics donated a scope to the winners' table and sent a rep to the shoot.





ELR Prize table winners

Make plans for

WORLD 2027



July 1, 2, 3, 4

Includes Extreme Long Range

600 Yard

1,000 Yard

Whittington Center,
Raton, New Mexico

FCSA Spring Regional Match

May 29-31, Raton

Another Spring Regional Match at the beautiful NRA Whittington Center near Raton, NM.

May 29-31, 2026

The match started off on May 29 at 3:00pm with the 600 Yard shooters meeting and target setup. The match went well with competitors shooting Practical, Semi-auto and Open classes. Roy Thompson called the line and kept everything running safely and efficiently.

May 30, 2026

Day 1 of the 1,000 yard competition.

The morning started at 7:00am for the shooters meeting and after the safety briefing and raising of the colors the pit crew headed down range. We were shooting by 8:00am. There was "normal" wind for Whittington, about 3-15 mph mostly out of the south, and not too much switching around. By the third relay, the wind began to pick up but still predominantly from the south. Day 1 wrapped up at about 2:00pm.

May 31, 2026

Day 2 of the 1,000 yard competition.

The morning started at 7:00am, and we quickly got underway after the safety meeting and raising of the colors. Again, during the first and second relays the wind was pretty normal for Whittington. Wind speeds did increase for relays 3 and 4. We wrapped up the match and handed out the awards by about 3:00pm.

This was our first match without George Tallent. He was greatly missed. I always enjoyed talking to him. I was really glad Temple continued their tradition of coming to the spring match.

I want to thank everyone for all of their help with this match. Of special note...

- Kelly Haymaker called the line for us both days and kept us running without a hitch.
- Temple Tallent and Greg Doose raised and lowered the colors with dignity and respect.
- Marc Christensen, the co-Match director, worked hard to keep me straight and the match rolling forward. He brings expertise and guidance making organizing and running this match possible.
- Mike and Patrick McCarty and Rob Lykins jumped in and helped put up the wind flags and take down and fold them.
- Glenn Wescott, who pulled for both Pat Billotte and Marc Christensen, also ran the pits. As usual, he did a great job.
- Roy Thompson, for his mentorship and help keeping this match a success. Roy also spotted for several shooters while running the 600 yard match.
- Ronnie Blades, for being available to advise with the score keeping spreadsheet.
- Last but not least, my wife, Sherry Meagher, ran the office. She took registrations and release forms and kept them organized and easily retrievable if needed. She input all of the data, and when she had questions she would reach out to Ronnie Blades who was extremely helpful. I don't believe we would have had as successful a match without her. Maybe no match at all.

Thanks to everyone who attended and everyone who pitched in and helped with the match. It really is all of the shooters and members that make it happen. I apologize if I forgot to mention anyone, but please know I/we appreciate all of you!

We hope to see you all at World and in the fall!

Wayne

600YD PRACTICAL SCORE PLACING					600YD SEMI-AUTO SCORE PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place	
Wayne Meagher	133	2	1		Wayne Meagher	124	1		
Mark Miller	122	0	2		Temple Tallent	119	2		
Carlton Carmichael	120	1	3		Mark Miller	118	1		
Temple Tallent	106	0	4		Carlton Carmichael	104	0		

600YD 2-GUN SCORE PLACING					600YD OPEN-GUN SCORE PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place	
Wayne Meagher	257	3	1		Wayne R Meagher	133	1		
Mark Miller	240	1	2		Roxanne Myers	116	0		
Temple Tallent	225	2	3		Robert Dillard	110	1		
Carlton Carmichael	224	1	4		Glenn Wescott	96	1		

LIGHT SCORE PLACING					LIGHT GROUP PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Group			
Carlton Carmichael	249	3	1		Carlton Carmichael	25.938			
Rob Lykins	141	0	2		Rob Lykins	53.521			
Michael McCarty	115	0	3		Michael McCarty	72.000			

HEAVY SCORE PLACING					HEAVY GROUP PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Group			
Roy Thompson	296	11	1		Roy Thompson	9.021			
Michael Whitesides	291	11	2		Marc Christensen	10.229			
Marc Christensen	286	7	3		Michael Whitesides	10.854			
Jeff Billotte	275	9	4		Jeff Billotte	12.917			
Archa Haymaker	269	6	5		Roxanne Myers	13.469			
Roxanne Myers	267	5	6		Archa Haymaker	15.667			
Greg Doose	266	2	7		Greg Doose	18.833			
Mark Miller	258	6	8		Ken Johnson	19.917			
Ken Johnson	254	3	9		Wayne Meagher	20.333			
Wayne Meagher	254	2	10		Mark Miller	23.438			
Carlton Carmichael	191	1	11		Carlton Carmichael	47.958			
Al Rosenberg	119	0	12		Al Rosenberg	57.146			

UNLIMITED SCORE PLACING					UNLIMITED GROUP PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Group			
Roy Thompson	289	7	1		Michael Whitesides	9.698			
Michael Whitesides	272	9	2		Pat Billotte	10.688			
Archa Haymaker	264	4	3		Roy Thompson	10.938			
Pat Billotte	264	3	4		Jeff Billotte	13.854			
Greg Doose	262	3	5		Roxanne Myers	14.135			
Jeff Billotte	257	7	6		Greg Doose	16.396			
Roxanne Myers	240	4	7		Archa Haymaker	18.083			

HUNTER SCORE PLACING					HUNTER GROUP PLACING				
Competitor Name	Score	X's	Place		Competitor Name	Group			
Marc Christensen	273	7	1		Pat Billotte	12.396			
Pat Billotte	270	10	2		Marc Christensen	14.146			
Temple Tallent	270	0	3		Wayne Meagher	17.979			
Wayne Meagher	249	3	4		Temple Tallent	20.250			
Mark Miller	215	2	5		Mark Miller	40.167			
Patrick McCarty	157	0	6		Patrick McCarty	53.906			

2-GUN AGGREGATE SCORE PLACING					
Place	Competitor Name	Classes	Aggregate	X's	Total Score
1	Roy Thompson	HVY & UNLIM	11.229	18	585
2	Michael Whitesides	HVY & UNLIM	13.359	20	563
3	Marc Christensen	HVY & HUNTER	15.604	14	559
4	Pat Billotte	UNLIM & HUNTER	17.042	13	534
5	Jeff Billotte	HVY & UNLIM	19.052	16	532
6	Roxanne Myers	HVY & UNLIM	21.552	9	507
7	Archa Haymaker	HVY & UNLIM	22.458	10	533
8	Greg Doose	HVY & UNLIM	23.615	5	528
9	Wayne Meagher	HVY & HUNTER	27.240	5	503
10	Mark Miller	HVY & HUNTER	42.385	8	473
11	Carlton Carmichael	LIGHT & HVY	50.281	4	440

JUNIOR SCORE PLACING					
Place	Competitor Name	Aggregate	Score	X's	Group
1	Cooper Ballard	21.521	265	4	15.688
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					

YELLERS					
Place	Competitor Name	Class	Group	Score	X's

YELLERS					
Place	Competitor Name	Class	Group	Score	X's
1	Pat Billotte	HUNTER	7.000	50	3
2	Pat Billotte	HUNTER	7.375	50	3
3	Jeff Billotte	UNLIM	7.563	47	1
4	Michael Whitesides	UNLIM	7.813	50	2
5	Michael Whitesides	HEAVY	8.000	50	3
6	Archa Haymaker	UNLIM	8.000	48	1
7	Roy Thompson	UNLIM	8.000	48	0
8	Jeff Billotte	HEAVY	8.375	49	3
9	Wayne Meagher	HUNTER	8.500	47	0
10	Al Rosenberg	HEAVY	8.625	24	0
11	Jeff Billotte	HEAVY	8.750	49	2
12	Roy Thompson	HEAVY	9.000	50	3
13	Marc Christensen	HEAVY	9.000	49	1
14	Michael Whitesides	UNLIM	9.000	48	2
15	Michael Whitesides	UNLIM	9.000	44	1
16	Marc Christensen	HUNTER	9.188	50	2
17	Pat Billotte	UNLIM	9.250	49	2
18	Michael Whitesides	HEAVY	9.250	48	1
19	Marc Christensen	HEAVY	9.375	45	0
20	Pat Billotte	UNLIM	9.500	40	0
21	Michael Whitesides	UNLIM	9.500	36	0
22	Roxanne Myers	UNLIM	9.563	32	0
23	Roxanne Myers	UNLIM	9.750	45	1
24	Roxanne Myers	HEAVY	10.125	45	2
25	Roy Thompson	UNLIM	10.250	50	2
26	Roy Thompson	UNLIM	10.250	49	2
27	Roxanne Myers	HEAVY	10.250	48	2
28	Michael Whitesides	UNLIM	10.375	49	2

SCREAMERS					
Place	Competitor Name	Class	Group	Score	X's
1	Roy Thompson	HEAVY	4.250	50	1
2	Roy Thompson	UNLIM	4.500	50	2
3	Cooper Ballard	JUNIOR	5.250	43	0
4	Jeff Billotte	HEAVY	5.625	50	4
5	Jeff Billotte	UNLIM	5.813	50	3
6	Pat Billotte	UNLIM	6.250	49	1
7	Roy Thompson	HEAVY	6.500	50	3
8	Marc Christensen	HEAVY	6.750	50	3
9					
10					
11					

4-GUN AGGREGATE			
Place	Competitor Name	Agg.	X's
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

The Reno Gamble:

Wind, Grit, and the Next Generation

by Robert Pohl

The high desert of Nevada in April is a beautiful but temperamental host. Those of us who make the annual pilgrimage to the Reno spring match have learned to pack for every possible season, and this year was a textbook example of why. We arrived on the heels of a mild, 70-degree week in California, only to be met Friday morning by a biting hard freeze. With the mercury struggling to climb out of the 50s, the coffee was hot, the air was crisp, and fortunately, the skies remained a stubborn, dry blue. By the time we reached Sunday, the weather had come full circle, returning to the low 70s and reminding us why we love this range despite its mood swings.

Friday served as our traditional foundation, a day for finding zeros and shaking out the cobwebs on the electronic targets. It wasn't just about the competitors, though. Part of the magic of the FCSA is the curiosity we draw from the outside; several friends and local visitors stopped by the firing line to see what all the noise was about. There is a specific kind of joy—what we affectionately call the “50-caliber grin”—that lights up a person's face when they feel the concussive power of these rifles for the first time. As the shadows lengthened on Friday afternoon, the spirit of the club was on full display. Everyone on-site pitched in to set the targets for the coming match. It's a simple philosophy we've held for years: when everyone does a little, no one has to do a lot.

Saturday morning brought an unexpected test of our patience and professionalism. Due to a scheduling overlap at the facility, a VFW charity fundraiser was occupying the Action Pistol range. Because of the current physical layout at Reno—a situation we hope to see rectified soon with the planned safety berms and new access road—the Long-Distance range and the Action range cannot safely operate at the same time. After some quick scrambling and much discussion among the leadership, we made the call to postpone our start in honor of the VFW event. We used the downtime to talk shop, refine our gear, and go back to town for lunch, eventually taking the line at 2:17 PM.

The delay put us right into the teeth of the heavy afternoon winds, but in this sport, that is simply the name of the game. The mirage was dancing and the gusts were unpredictable, yet the talent on the line was undeniable. Bruce Craik set the bar early, fighting through the chop to punch out a 7 1/16-inch group in the very first relay. While the rest of us wrestled with the flags, Mark Morales found a pocket of consistency in the fourth relay, turning in the day's only

“screamer” with a 6 5/16-inch group.

But the real story unfolding on Saturday wasn't about the veterans; it was about a young man named Jacob Artz. At just 13 years old, Jacob has spent plenty of time in the pits pulling targets, but this was his first time on the bench for a sanctioned match. Coached by his grandfather, Greg, and shooting alongside his grandmother, Vivia, Jacob showed a level of poise that defied his age. To keep the family operation running smoothly, they even brought along Jacob's friend, Junior, to handle pit duties. Junior took to the work like a veteran, and by the end of his first lesson, he was pulling targets with the best of them. When the dust settled on Saturday and the scores were posted, a collective double-take occurred: Jacob was sitting in first place for Unlimited Score with a 144-3x. I found myself down in seventh with a 126-2x, and when I told Jacob he had out-shot me, he gave me a look of pure disbelief. The scoreboard, however, was quite clear.

Sunday morning offered a complete reversal of the previous day's chaos. The winds had calmed, the sun was warm, and the conditions were ripe for some truly spectacular shooting. Brett Berger provided the technical highlight of the weekend during the second relay. He was experimenting with a new projectile he'd received from a friend, and he only had six of them in his possession. He used one for a sighter and the remaining five for the record. The result was a stunning 3 3/4-inch group—the smallest of the match. I'd wager he's already on the phone trying to track down a bulk order of those bullets. Mark Morales screamed through the second relay shooting back-to-back-to-back screamers. The “screamer” fever didn't stop there; as the air stayed still in the third relay, both Vivia Artz and Ken Eng punched in incredible targets, refusing to be left behind as the competition reached its peak.

The final standings reflected just how tight the margins were this year. In Heavy Gun Score, Vivia Artz took the top spot with a 280-9x, edging out Mark Morales by a razor-thin margin of only 3x. It was a perfect reminder that every single “X” counts. Mark, however, was a force to be reckoned with across the board, taking second place in Heavy Gun Group (12.688” Agg), Unlimited Group (7.073” Agg), and Unlimited Score (293-10x). His performance earned him the title of Match Winner with a 12.130” adjusted aggregate. Not to be overlooked, Ken Eng and Bob Pohl traded blows in the Hunter Class, with Ken taking the Group win and Bob taking the Score.

As we began the process of packing up and swapping

stories one last time, the conversation inevitably drifted back to Jacob Artz. Seeing a 13-year-old rookie finish third in Two-Gun overall (20.146" adjusted agg) is a wake-up call for the rest of the field and a bright signal for the future of the FCSA. Seeing multiple generations of the Artz family competing on the same line was the highlight of the weekend. It serves as a reminder that while we come for the

rifles and the records, we stay for the community. If Jacob is this good in his first match, we all better start practicing, because he's only going to get faster and tighter from here.

It was a weekend of high desert gambles, scheduling audibles, and world-class marksmanship. We'll see you all at the next one—just remember to bring a jacket, and maybe a few extra "X"s.

The August Reno match was canceled because of wildfires. The range was between 2 fires and in an area under a mandatory evacuation order.

JOIN THE FCSA

Full member 1 Year (+\$55.00)

Full member 2 Years (+\$100.00)

Active military personel, (+\$20.00)

Lifetime member (USA) (+\$500.00)

Associate member, 1 year (+\$20.00)

Associate member, 2 year (+\$40.00)

Foriegn (outside US), 1 year (+\$65.00)

Foriegn (outside US), 2 year (+\$120.00)

Lifetime member (foriegn) (+\$500.00)

CONTACT US

Fifty Caliber Shooters Association (FCSA)

P.O. Box 936 White House, TX 75791


435-527-9245

Hours

Open today 09:00 am – 04:00 am ∨

Central time Monday – Friday
Except holidays and weekends.

Drop us a line!



IT'S COMING! 10/9-11/2026

White Oak Creek Shooting Range Mt. Pleasant , Texas

1	6	1	0	0	0	3	6
Days		Hours		Minutes		Seconds	

IT'S COMING!

Whittington Center, NM
The Country's Premier Shooting
Facility | NRA Whittington Center
(nrawc.org).

1	7	0	1	0	0	3	6
Days		Hours		Minutes		Seconds	

Reno, NV- August 16-17, 2025

Match Report

by Cindy Hsu

I was first introduced to the sport by Brett Berger in July 2022 when I was assisting as his target puller at the World Championship Match in Raton, New Mexico. This year, Brett invited me to Reno to compete in a match. I spent a few evenings learning the preparation for these matches, from annealing the used brass casings to measuring the ideal amount of powder per round. Brett has spent years collecting and analyzing data from his matches, and I am grateful to have learned these aspects through his experiences.

Usually, it seems that competitors arrive the day before or a few days before to have practice time on the course and to socialize with other competitors. Due to work commitments, I did not arrive in Reno until late at night. The morning of the competition, I woke up nervous, as I believed I was going to be the least experienced competitor of the weekend, and I would be borrowing Brett's equipment- I had never shot a round through his custom rifle, nor had I ever been a part of a competitive shooting match as a competitor. I arrived too early to find the gate still closed and had to sit with my nerves.

Brett had graciously set up and tested everything the day before and assisted me in setting up in the morning, and having guidance from him alleviated my fears. Other competitors, all very experienced, came up and introduced themselves, which further alleviated my nervousness at this match. I did not grow up hunting and had only gone to the rifle range for the first time in 2021. My perception was that I would be an odd duck in this competition, but I felt accepted for my interest in the sport and my novice skill level.

The relays for both days were a blur in my mind- more so on Saturday than Sunday. Pulling targets for other competitors allowed me to process the knowledge that I felt like I was being inundated with. I knew going into this event that there was going to be a lot of learning and uncertainty, from learning how to operate all the knobs on the rifle setup Brett had, to reading the wind and predicting how it may affect the trajectory of the projectile. I am forever grateful to everyone around me for giving me encouragement, support, patience, and pointers while I tried to figure things out. I am also grateful for those who pulled my targets because I absolutely know

my shots were all over the board during sighter rounds.

At the conclusion of Saturday's relays, we convened at Bruce's house for a meal and a showcase of Bruce's collection of firearms. The atmosphere was lighthearted and jovial, something that I noticed was also at the match. We were all competitors, but at the heart of everything, we were all people with a shared interest, getting together to exchange knowledge, celebrate wins, and enjoy each other's company and friendships. This was a group of people passionate about this sport and hoping to encourage others to follow suit.

I felt welcomed into this group as a newcomer for the weekend. I am grateful for all the people I met and all the interactions I had. Thank you to everyone who came up to me, had a conversation with me, and made me feel welcome. I would like to extend my greatest appreciation to Brett for mentoring me during this event and teaching me about this sport. Over the weekend, I was able to have a "Screamer," a big achievement given my goal for the weekend was to land most of my shots on the target, and I owe it to the support I received throughout this event.

I do not know what the next few years have in store for me, but I am looking forward to getting more into the sport in the future. This weekend has been a remarkable and positive experience, and I would encourage anyone who has even the slightest interest or curiosity in the sport to come and spectate. Better yet, perhaps, they can start their journey as a puller and be taken under the wing of another passionate and experienced competitor.

Thank you for having me this weekend!

Two-Gun Results

Rank	Competitor	Combo	Classes
1	Chris Ken	14.693	H & U
2	Dale Jeong	15.380	H & U
3	Dave Nicholson	17.724	H & U
4	Bruce Craik	20.255	H & U
5	Bob Pohl	23.464	U & P
6	Jeremiah Perkins	40.010	H & P
7	Jim Hegarty	43.542	H & U
8			

Rank	Competitor	Class	Group	Score	Combo
1	Chris Ken	Heavy	12.031	287 - 6x	14.198
2	Dale Jeong	Unlimited	11.240	277 - 8x	15.073
3	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	12.906	285 - 7x	15.406
4	Ken Eng	Hunter	14.313	268 - 2x	19.646
5	Bruce Craik	Heavy	15.177	273 - 5x	19.677
6	Bob Pohl	Hunter	16.083	273 - 3x	20.583
7	Cindy Hsu	Unlimited	17.000	271 - 3x	21.833
8	Brett Berger	Heavy	19.281	258 - 3x	26.281
9	Jeremiah Perkins	Heavy	19.896	241 - 3x	29.729
10	Jim Hegarty	Unlimited	25.385	229 - 2x	37.219
11					

Match Results -- Relay 1

password = first

Saturday, August 16, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bruce Craik	Heavy	13.813	47 - 0x	8.625	49 - 3x	20.750	46 - 0x	14.396	142 - 3x
12	(--)									
14	(--)									
16	Dale Jeong	Heavy	12.000	48 - 0x	16.563	43 - 0x	12.063	45 - 1x	13.542	136 - 1x
18	Brett Berger	Heavy	23.375	38 - 0x	28.250	43 - 0x	19.125	46 - 1x	23.583	127 - 1x
20	Chris Ken	Heavy	9.313	49 - 2x	10.000	49 - 1x	7.563	50 - 1x	8.958	148 - 4x

Match Results -- Relay 2

Saturday, August 16, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bruce Craik	Unlimited	16.750	40 - 1x	12.000	49 - 2x	13.688	47 - 2x	14.146	136 - 5x
12	(--)									
14	(--)									
16	Dale Jeong	Unlimited	11.563	36 - 0x	14.000	46 - 1x	12.188	49 - 2x	12.583	131 - 3x
18	Cindy Hsu	Unlimited	19.750	46 - 1x	18.125	44 - 0x	17.250	47 - 0x	18.375	137 - 1x
20	Chris Ken	Unlimited	6.375	49 - 1x	8.688	47 - 3x	21.000	39 - 0x	12.021	135 - 4x

Match Results -- Relay 3

Saturday, August 16, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bob Pohl	Unlimited	21.000	40 - 0x	29.750	39 - 0x	18.313	45 - 1x	23.021	124 - 1x
12	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	8.125	47 - 1x	25.500	44 - 0x	16.438	48 - 0x	16.688	139 - 1x
14	Ken Eng	Hunter	12.188	46 - 1x	24.938	42 - 0x	17.063	44 - 0x	18.063	132 - 1x
16	Jim Hegarty	Heavy	18.375	41 - 0x	30.813	40 - 1x	33.875	36 - 0x	27.688	117 - 1x
18	(-)									
20	Jeremiah Perkins	Heavy	29.000	39 - 0x	17.125	43 - 2x	15.500	44 - 1x	20.542	126 - 3x

Match Results -- Relay 4

Saturday, August 16, 2025

[illegible]



Match Results -- Heavy Class Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Chris Ken	8.958
2	Dale Jeong	13.542
3	Bruce Craik	14.396
4	Dave Nicholson	16.688
5	Jeremiah Perkins	20.542
6	Brett Berger	23.583
7	Jim Hegarty	27.688
8		

Saturday, August 16, 2025
Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Chris Ken	148 - 4x
2	Bruce Craik	142 - 3x
3	Dave Nicholson	139 - 1x
4	Dale Jeong	136 - 1x
5	Brett Berger	127 - 1x
6	Jeremiah Perkins	126 - 3x
7	Jim Hegarty	117 - 1x
8		

Match Results -- Hunter Class Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Bob Pohl	14.042
2	Ken Eng	18.063
3	Jeremiah Perkins	41.708
4		

Match Results -- Unlimited Class Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Chris Ken	12.021
2	Dale Jeong	12.583
3	Bruce Craik	14.146
4	Cindy Hsu	18.375
5	Dave Nicholson	18.417
6	Bob Pohl	23.021
7	Jim Hegarty	27.292
8		

Saturday, August 16, 2025
Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Cindy Hsu	137 - 1x
2	Bruce Craik	136 - 5x
3	Chris Ken	135 - 4x
4	Dale Jeong	131 - 3x
5	Dave Nicholson	129 - 1x
6	Bob Pohl	124 - 1x
7	Jim Hegarty	117 - 1x
8		

Saturday, August 16, 2025
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Bob Pohl	137 - 2x
2	Ken Eng	132 - 1x
3	Jeremiah Perkins	101 - 1x
4		

Match Results -- Heavy Class Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Dave Nicholson	9.125
2	Dale Jeong	11.500
3	Brett Berger	14.979
4	Chris Ken	15.104
5	Bruce Craik	15.958
6	Jeremiah Perkins	19.250
7	Jim Hegarty	38.375
8		

Sunday, August 17, 2025
Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Dave Nicholson	146 - 6x
2	Dale Jeong	145 - 8x
3	Chris Ken	139 - 2x
4	Brett Berger	131 - 2x
5	Bruce Craik	131 - 2x
6	Jeremiah Perkins	115 - 0x
7	Jim Hegarty	82 - 0x
8		

Hunter Class

Match Results -- Relay 1

password = second

Sunday, August 17, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bob Pohl	Unlimited	14.875	44 - 2x	12.875	48 - 1x	21.250	44 - 0x	16.333	136 - 3x
12	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	7.750	47 - 0x	12.813	49 - 2x	6.813	50 - 4x	9.125	146 - 6x
14	Ken Eng	Hunter	8.250	44 - 0x	9.875	44 - 0x	13.563	48 - 1x	10.563	136 - 1x
16	Jim Hegarty	Heavy	25.750	25 - 0x	25.125	32 - 0x	64.250	25 - 0x	38.375	82 - 0x
18	(--)									
20	Jeremiah Perkins	Heavy	15.000	42 - 0x	17.438	38 - 0x	25.313	35 - 0x	19.250	115 - 0x
22	(--)									

Match Results -- Relay 2

Sunday, August 17, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bob Pohl	Hunter	11.875	46 - 1x	24.813	45 - 0x	17.688	45 - 0x	18.125	136 - 1x
12	Dave Nicholson	Unlimited	13.500	48 - 1x	10.688	44 - 0x	10.813	49 - 3x	11.667	141 - 4x
14	(--)									
16	Jim Hegarty	Unlimited	19.188	31 - 0x	40.625	34 - 0x	10.625	47 - 1x	23.479	112 - 1x
18	(--)									
20	Jeremiah Perkins	Hunter	45.000	32 - 0x	26.250	36 - 0x	17.375	43 - 0x	29.542	111 - 0x
22	(--)									

Match Results -- Relay 3

Sunday, August 17, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bruce Craik	Heavy	10.188	48 - 1x	21.438	40 - 0x	16.250	43 - 1x	15.958	131 - 2x
12	(--)									
14	(--)									
16	Dale Jeong	Heavy	11.750	47 - 2x	14.750	48 - 3x	8.000	50 - 3x	11.500	145 - 8x
18	Brett Berger	Heavy	13.063	44 - 1x	21.250	38 - 0x	10.625	49 - 1x	14.979	131 - 2x
20	Chris Ken	Heavy	16.250	44 - 0x	18.000	47 - 1x	11.063	48 - 1x	15.104	139 - 2x
22	(--)									

Match Results -- Relay 4

Sunday, August 17, 2025

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
10	Bruce Craik	Unlimited	16.000	47 - 0x	18.875	42 - 0x	19.688	47 - 2x	18.188	136 - 2x
12	(--)									
14	(--)									
16	Dale Jeong	Unlimited	9.313	49 - 2x	12.375	49 - 2x	8.000	48 - 1x	9.896	146 - 5x
18	Cindy Hsu	Unlimited	6.938	48 - 1x	18.188	42 - 0x	21.750	44 - 1x	15.625	134 - 2x
20	Chris Ken	Unlimited	11.625	49 - 0x	9.250	49 - 1x	12.188	45 - 0x	11.021	143 - 1x
22	(--)									

August 16-17, 2025

Match Results - Heavy Class

Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Chris Ken	12.031
2	Dale Jeong	12.521
3	Dave Nicholson	12.906
4	Bruce Craik	15.177
5	Brett Berger	19.281
6	Jeremiah Perkins	19.896
7	Jim Hegarty	33.031
8		

Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Chris Ken	287 - 6x
2	Dave Nicholson	285 - 7x
3	Dale Jeong	281 - 9x
4	Bruce Craik	273 - 5x
5	Brett Berger	258 - 3x
6	Jeremiah Perkins	241 - 3x
7	Jim Hegarty	199 - 1x
8		

Match Results -- Unlimited Class
Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Dale Jeong	9.896
2	Chris Ken	11.021
3	Dave Nicholson	11.667
4	Cindy Hsu	15.625
5	Bob Pohl	16.333
6	Bruce Craik	18.188
7	Jim Hegarty	23.479
8		

Sunday, August 17, 2025
Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Dale Jeong	146 - 5x
2	Chris Ken	143 - 1x
3	Dave Nicholson	141 - 4x
4	Bob Pohl	136 - 3x
5	Bruce Craik	136 - 2x
6	Cindy Hsu	134 - 2x
7	Jim Hegarty	112 - 1x
8		

Match Results -- Hunter Class
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Ken Eng	10.563
2	Bob Pohl	18.125
3	Jeremiah Perkins	29.542
4		

Sunday, August 17, 2025
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Ken Eng	136 - 1x
2	Bob Pohl	136 - 1x
3	Jeremiah Perkins	111 - 0x
4		

Match Results - Unlimited Class
Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Dale Jeong	11.240
2	Chris Ken	11.521
3	Dave Nicholson	15.042
4	Bruce Craik	16.167
5	Cindy Hsu	17.000
6	Bob Pohl	19.677
7	Jim Hegarty	25.385
8		

Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Chris Ken	278 - 5x
2	Dale Jeong	277 - 8x
3	Bruce Craik	272 - 7x
4	Cindy Hsu	271 - 3x
5	Dave Nicholson	270 - 5x
6	Bob Pohl	260 - 4x
7	Jim Hegarty	229 - 2x
8		

Match Results - Hunter Class
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Ken Eng	14.313
2	Bob Pohl	16.083
3	Jeremiah Perkins	35.625
4		

August 16-17, 2025
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Bob Pohl	273 - 3x
2	Ken Eng	268 - 2x
3	Jeremiah Perkins	212 - 1x
4		

Unlimited Class

2 Chris Ken 143 - 1x

Hunter Class

May 29–31, 2026

Coalinga FCSA Match

by Bob Pohl

As we prepared for this year's match, the main topic of discussion was the upcoming rule change to allow electronic targets. While I had operated a single electronic target before,

I had never managed multiple targets, let alone run an entire match using them. Most of our shooters were familiar with my ShotMarker setup, but they had always relied on me to run the tablet and guide them through it. We wondered what it would take to run a full match on electronic targets.

After polling our regular shooters, we decided to run ShotMarker systems alongside our traditional pit-pulled targets. Mounting them this way put the ShotMarkers at a disadvantage—they wouldn't be as static or rigid as required for maximum accuracy—but it gave us a great opportunity to see how they performed in the field. The real question was how to pull it off.

Although I couldn't afford to buy several full ShotMarker setups, I was able to order enough sensor brackets to build the necessary target frames. Four of our shooters brought their own ShotMarker systems, and I borrowed two more from non-competing friends. That gave us just enough equipment to run the match.

On Friday, we gathered to build the target frames. My initial plan was simple: notch the cardboard on the targets and use it as a guide to mount the brackets square. The sensors would sit inside the 72"×72" area, but since we weren't using them for official scoring, I figured it wouldn't matter. Simple is always good, but reality quickly got in the way. We found that the wooden target frames weren't all square, nor were they uniformly 72"×72".

Time for Plan B. Fortunately, I have a great crew supporting me. Bruce suggested building a jig out of a sheet of plywood so we could assemble uniform 71"×71" frames, giving us a precise 68.5" center-to-center distance for the sensors. Once all the frames were put together, we realized I had made a minor blunder: we had swapped the left and right sensors. After reversing them, we fired a few shots on each target to calibrate the system, and we were good to go.

For context, user calibration on the ShotMarker only controls how the target face overlay aligns with



Rose works the pits.

Photo Bob Pohl

the real-world paper target. It does not affect the system's underlying accuracy in measuring group size—that relies entirely on frame construction. The frame must be a true flat quadrilateral with four 90-degree right angles. Once the exact height and width are entered into the system (in millimeters), any frame flex, out-of-square corners, or dimensional errors will introduce inaccuracies.

Next, we had to work through the match management software. ShotMarker includes a match program in its beta software, but it isn't particularly tailored to our shooting format. We shoot for both score and group size, whereas the system only tracks score. Additionally, while we shoot six targets across two days, the software labels each individual target as a separate "match".

It also wouldn't allow me to squad a single shooter in two different classes. To bypass this, I entered those shooters twice, using their middle initial to denote the

class. Furthermore, the updated software removed the option to display group size on the main interface; it still records group size, but you now have to manually highlight the group to view it.

I prefer having it displayed right on screen—I can add up the five shots in my head quickly enough. Now that I've used the system in a live environment, I plan to offer feedback to ShotMarker to help them refine it.

Saturday morning arrived and we prepared to get underway. Dale Jeong, who normally shoots and calls the line, was sidelined with a torn rotator cuff. Fortunately, he recovered enough to join us and call the line for the match. Rose was back in the pits helping pull targets. My son, Michael, came along to handle the IT and run the match software, allowing me to head to the pits for pit duty and oversee the ShotMarker setup.

After the first target, we realized Michael, who had never used the software, wasn't quite as comfortable with the software as we'd anticipated. Although he successfully saved all the group data, we decided to swap places: he took over my pit duties while I moved to the firing line to run the software.

This was the first of several delays as we navigated the learning curve of electronic targets. To be fair, most delays were self-inflicted because we were pulling targets alongside running the ShotMarkers. A few sensor cables were inadvertently pulled loose, causing targets to lose connection to the line. However, with crew working in the pits, these issues were resolved quickly with minimal downtime.

Saturday also brought a visit from a prospective new shooter named Scott, who stopped by to learn about .50-caliber shooting. After inspecting several setups and getting to fire a few rounds after the match had wrapped up for the day, he quickly realized there were far more options available than he had anticipated. He's currently weighing his choices, but we hope to see him on the line with his own rifle soon.

As for the shooting on Saturday, Ken Eng started strong on the first target with a 7.188" 49-2x, closely followed by Brett Berger's 9.688" 49-1x. Mark Morales shot the first screamer of the match—a impressive 6.75" 50-3x—while Greg Sonneburg followed with a 6.313" 48-1x.

On Sunday, Greg opened with a 6.063" 49-1x. Bruce Clarke stepped up his game, drilling two screamers: a 6.938" 38-0x and a 5.25" 37-0x, the smallest group of the match. Bruce Craik stayed right in the hunt, turning in a tight 5.75" 40-0x.

Finishing in 3rd place in Light Class Group, shooting a 16.563" agg, was Brett Berger. In 2nd place, shooting a 10.427" agg, was Greg Sonneburg, and in 1st place, shooting a 9.448" agg, was Bruce Craik.

Finishing in 3rd place in Light Class Score, shooting a 263-3x, was Brett Berger. In 2nd place, shooting a 272-5x, was Greg Sonneburg, and in 1st place, shooting a 279-5x, was Bruce Craik.

Finishing in 3rd place in Heavy Class Group, shooting an 11.177" agg, was Dave Nicholson. In 2nd place, shooting a 10.083" agg, was Bruce Craik, and in



The pasted group showing the 2 near the edge that the sensors did not pick up pic by Bob Pohl.



L to R Brett Berger, Chric Ken, Dave Nicholson, Mark Morales, Bruce Craik, Ken Eng, Bob Pohl, Bruce Clarke, Greg Sonneburg, Michael Pohl, Rose Carlson, Dale Jeong.

1st place, shooting a 9.469" agg, was Mark Morales.

Finishing in 3rd place in Heavy Class Score, shooting a 272-4x, was Bruce Craik. In 2nd place, shooting a 276-8x, was Mark Morales, and in 1st place, shooting a 280-6x, was Chris Ken.

Finishing in 3rd place in Unlimited Class Group, shooting a 13.333" agg, was Bob Pohl. In 2nd place, shooting a 12.448" agg, was Chris Ken, and in 1st place (corrected rank logic from draft), shooting a 10.667" agg, was Mark Morales.

Finishing in 3rd place in Unlimited Class Score, shooting a 266-6x, was Dave Nicholson. In 2nd place, shooting a 281-6x, was Mark Morales, and in 1st place, shooting a 286-8x, was Chris Ken.

Finishing in 3rd place in Hunter Class Group, shooting a 17.281" agg, was Bob Pohl. In 2nd place, shooting a 13.667" agg, was Brett Berger, and in 1st place, shooting a 10.094" agg, was Ken Eng.

Finishing in 3rd place in Hunter Class Score, shooting a 264-3x, was Ken Eng. In 2nd place, shooting a 268-4x, was Brett Berger, and in 1st place, shooting a 270-10x, was Bob Pohl.

Finishing in 3rd place in 2-Gun, with an adjusted agg of 15.26", was Chris Ken. In 2nd place, with an adjusted agg of 13.849", was Bruce Craik. Our match winner, in 1st place with an adjusted agg of 13.651" — just 0.198" smaller than 2nd — was Mark Morales.

Following awards, we surveyed the competitors for their thoughts on using electronic targets.

For context, only one competitor (not me) had

previously shot a match using ShotMarkers in another discipline. I likely had the second-most experience with them. Two other shooters own systems — three including Dale, who called the line. One owner had just acquired his and hadn't used it prior to the match, while the remaining five shooters had only ever used my system during practice sessions.

Because most competitors were learning the system on the fly, many found navigating the interface distracting during a live match. Shooters were far more accustomed to relying on visual feedback from physical spotter disks to make hold or scope adjustments rather than reading a screen. Others noted that the on-screen shot indicator made it difficult to pinpoint exact shot placement relative to the scoring rings.

One helpful trick I've discovered is starting with the target fully zoomed out. Once a shot registers, manually zoom in with your fingers to inspect the group. While the shot indicator dot remains the same size, scaling up the target face provides a much clearer view of where the impact sits relative to the line.

Using a dedicated stand or protective case to prop up your phone or tablet — rather than letting it lay flat on the bench — makes viewing and zooming much easier. Battery management is also critical, as active screens on full brightness drain power rapidly. Regularly toggling sleep mode when not actively viewing impacts will preserve battery life, though keeping an external power bank handy is the best solution.

Connectivity presented another minor hurdle. Be-

yond simply connecting to the ShotMarker Wi-Fi network, users must type the system's IP address directly into the browser's address bar rather than a search bar. Because the ShotMarker network lacks an internet connection, mobile devices often attempt to fall back on cellular data, failing to load the interface. The software resides entirely within the ShotMarker hardware itself, so no downloads are required—any device with a web browser and Wi-Fi capability (iOS, Android, FireOS, Windows, Chromebook, etc) will work. You just need something that can access the local network.

We also encountered an issue where a shooter placed a group along the extreme edge of the paper. He had four impacts on the paper and one just off. Because our sensor brackets were mounted directly to the frame face, the sensors were unable to detect two of the peripheral shots that were less than an inch from being off-target. This wasn't a flaw in the ShotMarker hardware, but rather a limitation of our custom frame design. While the shots were on paper, our sensor field of view was approx 3 1/2 inch smaller than the overall frame size, resulting in the sensors not picking them up. Again, this was an execution issue on our end, not a hardware issue, and could be corrected with a slight redesign on our end.

Additionally, while we calibrated the target overlay, getting it perfectly true is difficult. As a result, software scores occasionally varied slightly when impacts


landed near scoring lines. To be fair, human scoring isn't immune to errors either: while auditing scores after the match, I noticed a four-point discrepancy on one target. Both the physical target sheet and pit log recorded shots of 9, X, 7, 7, and 9, calculated as a 48-1x. However, the actual math totals 42-1x—which matched the ShotMarker's precise reading. Fortunately, the correction didn't alter the class outcome, but it served as a good reminder that physical targets and manual arithmetic aren't 100% foolproof either.

While this report focused heavily on electronic target implementation, that was by far the biggest development of this year's event. As an editorial note, the rule proposal authorizing electronic targets officially passed at the general membership meeting during the World Match in Raton. Many of you will soon compete on electronic setups elsewhere. Here at Coalinga, because we have working pits, we retain the option to stick with traditional pulled targets. The opinion among most of our local shooters for now is to remain on pulled targets; enthusiasm for electronic targets seems to correlate directly with one's familiarity with them.


That said, I hope sharing our setup experience and lessons learned will help smooth out the learning curve for matches transitioning to electronic systems on ranges without pit facilities.




Bruce Craik working on a jig to mount the sensors pic by Bob Pohl.




Screen shot showing the only two shots pick up by the sensors.





Screen shot zoomed out, it shows the whole target but hard to see exactly where they hit..



ShotMarker





Shooters relaxing on the line pic by Brett Berger.

Match Results -- Relay 1

password = first

Saturday, May 30, 2026

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
23	(--)									
21	Ken Eng	Hunter	7.188	49 - 2x	9.250	47 - 0x	12.125	42 - 0x	9.521	138 - 2x
19	Brett Berger	Hunter	9.688	49 - 1x	9.750	41 - 0x	14.563	47 - 1x	11.333	137 - 2x
17	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	11.000	38 - 0x	9.438	47 - 0x	16.250	45 - 1x	12.229	130 - 1x
15	(--)									
13	Mark Morales	Heavy	12.250	48 - 2x	9.250	49 - 3x	6.750	50 - 3x	9.417	147 - 8x
11	(--)									

Match Results -- Relay 2

Saturday, May 30, 2026

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
23	(--)									
21	(--)									
19	Brett Berger	Light	22.125	43 - 0x	10.500	46 - 1x	22.125	40 - 1x	18.250	129 - 2x
17	Dave Nicholson	Unlimited	14.000	45 - 1x	8.375	44 - 0x	9.750	49 - 2x	10.708	138 - 3x
15	(--)									
13	Mark Morales	Unlimited	8.313	49 - 1x	7.375	42 - 0x	11.500	46 - 1x	9.063	137 - 2x
11	(--)									

Match Results - Light Class

Light Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Bruce Craik	9.448
2	Greg Sonneburg	10.427
3	Brett Berger	16.563
4	Bruce Clarke	19.521
5		

May 30-31, 2026

Light Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Bruce Craik	279 - 5x
2	Greg Sonneburg	272 - 5x
3	Brett Berger	263 - 3x
4	Bruce Clarke	257 - 2x
5		

Match Results - Heavy Class

Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Mark Morales	9.469
2	Bruce Craik	10.083
3	Dave Nicholson	11.177
4	Chris Ken	12.406
5	Bruce Clarke	16.073
6	Greg Sonneburg	18.469
7		

May 30-31, 2026

Heavy Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Chris Ken	280 - 6x
2	Mark Morales	276 - 8x
3	Bruce Craik	272 - 4x
4	Dave Nicholson	262 - 4x
5	Greg Sonneburg	248 - 6x
6	Bruce Clarke	248 - 3x
7		

Individual Target Rankings

May 30-31, 2026

Rank	Competitor	Class	Group	Score	Day	MOA
1	Bruce Clarke	Heavy	5.25	37 - 0x	Sun	0.501
2	Bruce Craik	Heavy	5.75	40 - 0x	Sun	0.549
3	Greg Sonneburg	Light	6.063	49 - 1x	Sun	0.579
4	Greg Sonneburg	Light	6.313	48 - 1x	Sat	0.603
5	Mark Morales	Heavy	6.75	50 - 3x	Sat	0.645
6	Bruce Clarke	Heavy	6.938	38 - 0x	Sun	0.663
7	Bruce Craik	Light	7	49 - 1x	Sun	0.668
8	Mark Morales	Unlimited	7	48 - 1x	Sun	0.668
9	Mark Morales	Heavy	7.063	45 - 0x	Sun	0.674
10	Ken Eng	Hunter	7.188	49 - 2x	Sat	0.686
11	Mark Morales	Unlimited	7.375	42 - 0x	Sat	0.704
12	Bruce Craik	Heavy	7.5	42 - 0x	Sat	0.716
13	Ken Eng	Hunter	7.5	41 - 0x	Sun	0.716
14	Bob Pohl	Unlimited	8.125	45 - 1x	Sun	0.776
15	Mark Morales	Unlimited	8.313	49 - 1x	Sat	0.794
16	Dave Nicholson	Unlimited	8.375	44 - 0x	Sat	0.800
17	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	8.5	36 - 0x	Sun	0.812
18	Bruce Craik	Light	8.625	50 - 1x	Sun	0.824
19	Chris Ken	Heavy	8.938	48 - 2x	Sat	0.854
20	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	9.188	49 - 2x	Sun	0.877
21	Mark Morales	Heavy	9.25	49 - 3x	Sat	0.883
22	Ken Eng	Hunter	9.25	47 - 0x	Sat	0.883
23	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	9.438	47 - 0x	Sat	0.901
24	Bruce Craik	Light	9.5	42 - 0x	Sat	0.907
25	Bruce Craik	Light	9.563	49 - 1x	Sat	0.913
26	Brett Berger	Hunter	9.688	49 - 1x	Sat	0.925
27	Chris Ken	Heavy	9.75	50 - 1x	Sun	0.931
28	Dave Nicholson	Unlimited	9.75	49 - 2x	Sat	0.931
29	Brett Berger	Hunter	9.75	41 - 0x	Sat	0.931
30	Bob Pohl	Unlimited	9.813	49 - 1x	Sun	0.937
31	Chris Ken	Unlimited	10.125	49 - 2x	Sat	0.967
32	Bruce Craik	Light	10.313	48 - 2x	Sun	0.985
33	Bruce Craik	Heavy	10.375	45 - 0x	Sat	0.991
34	Mark Morales	Heavy	10.375	36 - 0x	Sun	0.991
35	Greg Sonneburg	Light	10.5	50 - 2x	Sun	1.003
36	Brett Berger	Light	10.5	46 - 1x	Sat	1.003
37	Bruce Craik	Heavy	10.688	50 - 2x	Sun	1.021
38	Greg Sonneburg	Light	10.75	47 - 1x	Sun	1.027
39	Chris Ken	Unlimited	10.875	49 - 2x	Sat	1.038
40	Ken Eng	Hunter	11	49 - 1x	Sun	1.050
41	Dave Nicholson	Heavy	11	38 - 0x	Sat	1.050
42	Brett Berger	Light	11.063	43 - 0x	Sun	1.056
43	Greg Sonneburg	Heavy	11.125	49 - 1x	Sun	1.062
44	Mark Morales	Heavy	11.125	48 - 0x	Sun	1.062
45	Greg Sonneburg	Heavy	11.438	45 - 1x	Sat	1.092
46	Bob Pohl	Hunter	11.5	48 - 2x	Sat	1.098
47	Greg Sonneburg	Heavy	11.5	46 - 2x	Sat	1.098
48	Mark Morales	Unlimited	11.5	46 - 1x	Sat	1.098
49	Chris Ken	Unlimited	11.625	47 - 2x	Sun	1.110
50	Greg Sonneburg	Light	11.625	41 - 0x	Sat	1.110
51	Bruce Craik	Light	11.688	41 - 0x	Sat	1.116
52	Chris Ken	Heavy	11.875	46 - 2x	Sun	1.134

Match Results -- Relay 1

password = second

Sunday, May 31, 2026

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
23	(--)									
21	Bruce Clarke	Light	24.875	43 - 1x	12.750	43 - 0x	17.625	41 - 0x	18.417	127 - 1x
19	Chris Ken	Heavy	11.875	46 - 2x	9.750	50 - 1x	16.000	46 - 0x	12.542	142 - 3x
17	Greg Sonneburg	Light	10.750	47 - 1x	6.063	49 - 1x	10.500	50 - 2x	9.104	146 - 4x
15	Bruce Craik	Light	8.625	50 - 1x	7.000	49 - 1x	10.313	48 - 2x	8.646	147 - 4x
13	Bob Pohl	Unlimited	8.125	45 - 1x	9.813	49 - 1x	15.875	44 - 0x	11.271	138 - 2x

Match Results -- Relay 2

Sunday, May 31, 2026

Bnch	Competitor	Class	First Target		Second Target		Third Target		Aggregate	
			Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score	Group	Score
23	(--)									
21	Bruce Clarke	Heavy	6.938	38 - 0x	12.250	43 - 0x	5.250	37 - 0x	8.146	118 - 0x
19	Chris Ken	Unlimited	11.625	47 - 2x	13.438	49 - 0x	14.500	46 - 2x	13.188	142 - 4x
17	Greg Sonneburg	Heavy	18.375	45 - 0x	12.438	46 - 2x	11.125	49 - 1x	13.979	140 - 3x
15	Bruce Craik	Heavy	10.688	50 - 2x	14.063	46 - 0x	5.750	40 - 0x	10.167	136 - 2x
13	Bob Pohl	Hunter	14.000	45 - 1x	12.750	48 - 3x	22.375	45 - 2x	16.375	138 - 6x

Two-Gun Results

Rank	Competitor	Combo	Classes
1	Mark Morales	13.651	H & U
2	Bruce Craik	13.849	L & H
3	Chris Ken	15.260	H & U
4	Dave Nicholson	18.719	H & U
5	Brett Berger	20.865	L & P
6	Greg Sonneburg	21.115	L & H
7	Bob Pohl	21.307	U & P
8	Bruce Clarke	25.714	L & H
9			

May 30-31, 2026

L Light
H Heavy
U Unlimited
P Hunter

Match Results - Unlimited Class
Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Mark Morales	10.667
2	Chris Ken	12.448
3	Bob Pohl	13.333
4	Dave Nicholson	14.260
5		

May 30-31, 2026

Unlimited Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Chris Ken	286 - 8x
2	Mark Morales	281 - 6x
3	Dave Nicholson	266 - 6x
4	Bob Pohl	258 - 4x
5		

Match Results - Hunter Class
Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Group
1	Ken Eng	10.094
2	Brett Berger	13.667
3	Bob Pohl	17.281
4		

May 30-31, 2026

Hunter Class

Rank	Competitor	Score
1	Bob Pohl	270 - 10x
2	Brett Berger	268 - 4x
3	Ken Eng	264 - 3x
4		



Photos in this series
by Bob Pohl









Photos in this series
Brett Berger

















More scenes from World







This series is the King of ELR







DEFY LIMITS

MODEL 82A1®



FIREARMS INDUSTRY LEGEND • AVAILABLE IN .50 BMG AND .416 BARRETT • ANTI-MATERIEL RIFLE



barrett.net



For the list of features
and specifications,
scan the QR code.