

ANALYTICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Practical training the use of evacuation chairs

| Department Name: | nining Team | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Risk Assessment For: | Practical training the use of evacuation chairs | | | | | |
| User Department: | raining Team | | | | | |
| Created By: | Jamie Green | | | | | |
| Approved By: Jamie Green | | | | | | |

| Version | Date of Issue | Authors | Reason for Issue |
|---------|---------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| 2.1 | 05/04/2025 | J Green | New document format – new issue. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Term | Definition | Term | Definition |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|--|
| Accident | An unplanned and uncontrolled event which gives rise to harm. | Likelihood (L) | The number of occasions on which a given event is expected to occur over a given time. |
| Consequence or impact | The outcome of an accident. | Mitigation | Reduction in risk due to the introduction of risk controls. |
| Controls | Measures that may be introduced with the intention of reducing risk. | | The rate given to the control measures associated with the hazard and risk; either High, Medium or Low |
| Harm | Any kind of safety loss, including fatalities and injuries | | The result based on the existing control measures or prior to implementing further control measures, in order to reclassify the rating to achieve the desired result. Being either: 'H' High Risk 'M' Medium Risk 'L' Low Risk |
| Hazard | Anything with the potential to cause harm (or loss). | | A combination of the likelihood of the harm occurring and the severity of the consequences. |
| Incident/ Near miss | An unplanned and uncontrolled event that does not give rise to harm, but which under different circumstances could have resulted in an accident. | | A measure of the significance of consequences |

Ranking risks is necessary in order to identify their relative importance. The degree of risk associated with a particular hazard depends on the likelihood of it causing an accident and the probable severity of the consequence of such an accident.

This ranking system involves classifying likelihood (in terms of frequency) and severity each on a five-point scale and then multiplying them both together to give the risk ranking as follows:

RISK = SEVERITY x LIKELIHOOD

The ranking values can then be grouped into four broad classes of risk:

| | RISK RATING KEY | LOW 0-ACCEPTABLE | MEDIUM 1 - ALARP (as low as reasonably practicable) | HIGH 2-GENERALLY UNACCEPTABLE | EXTREME 3-INTOLERABLE |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | OK TO PROCEED | TAKE MITIGATION EFFORTS | SEEK SUPPORT | PLACE EVENT ON HOLD |
| | | | SEVI | ERITY | |
| | | ACCEPTABLE LITTLE TO NO EFFECT ON EVENT | TOLERABLE EFFECTS ARE FELT, BUT NOT CRITICAL TO OUTCOME | UNDESIRABLE SERIOUS IMPACT TO THE COURSE OF ACTION AND OUTCOME | INTOLERABLE COULD RESULT IN DISASTER |
| MARCO | IMPROBABLE RISK IS UNLIKELY TO OCCUR | LOW -1- | MEDIUM -4- | MEDIUM -6- | HIGH - 10 - |
| LIKELIHOOD | POSSIBLE RISK WILL LIKELY OCCUR | LOW -2- | MEDIUM - 5 - | HIGH - 8 - | EXTREME -11- |
| -51 | PROBABLE RISK WILL OCCUR | MEDIUM -3- | HIGH -7- | HIGH -9- | EXTREME -12- |

| | | | Ra | ıl Ris ting ontro | | Risk Scores >6 Are Intolerable For This Undertaking | , | Risk I Addi Con | tiona | al | Additional Controls Should Be Considered For Risk Scores >4 For This Undertaking | | Fina Ra | l Ris ting | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|------------|---|--|-----------------------|----------|------------|--|------------|------------|---------------|-----|
| Hazard: | Person(s) at Risk: | Severity | Likelihood | Risk Score | Tolerable? | Control Measures: | Control Measures: Control Measures: Control Measures: Additional Control Measures: Control Measur | | Severity | Likelihood | Risk Score | Tolerable? | | | |
| Slips trips & falls. Moving around training area and/or during evacuation. | Instructors Students | High | Probable | 9 | No | Adequate lighting around training area. Minimise students near or on staircases and ensuring group instructions & briefings take place away from areas of potential fall from height. Briefing of emergency actions during 'housekeeping' introductions. | Medium | Possible | 4 | Yes | Pedestrian barriers and signage to prevent use of staircase by bystanders whilst training takes place. | Medium | Improbable | 4 | Yes |
| Manual Handling. Muscular & skeletal injuries. | Instructors Students | Medium | Probable | 7 | No | Instructors and students to have undertaken manual handling training. Students to first operate chair unladen. Minimum 2 persons to operate chair laden with occupant. Appropriate and tested equipment for the task. For example, evacuation chairs shall be CE marked. | Medium | Possible | σı | Yes | Instructors to consider abilities of students and tasks being asked to be carried out. Appropriately matching occupant in chair with those carrying out the evacuation task. | Medium | Possible | 4 | Yes |

| Assessor Comments: | All instructors representing Servatus Solutions Ltd, and any other contributors to training delivery reserve the right to halt, delay or refuse to carry out any element of training on the grounds of legitimate health and safety concerns. All participants in training (instructors, students, onlookers) are actively encouraged to highlight any issues or concerns immediately so that they may be addressed at the time. Or the session(s) can be halted until adequate steps have been taken to eliminate the hazards and/or reduce the risks. Servatus Solutions Ltd reserve the right to refuse issue of any training records until all parts of the syllabus in question has been delivered. |
|--------------------|--|
| Sign Off Date: | 04 th April 2025 |
| Signed: | - Jamepur - |
| Print: | J Green GIFireE |