Pamela Powell,

I am Not a Ms. That's slave-speak Legalese from the <u>foreign</u> British Accreditation Registry (BAR) cult, who wouldn't know law if they found it in their sock drawer.

Your reply and copies of Oaths are unacceptable. Like I said, I'm not interested in deceptive opposition or incompetent justification for truth.

In 1959 the City "adopted" a new charter.

In 1965 section 912 of the 1959 "adopted" charter was amended to read: "Procedures. The City shall have the power to and *may* act pursuant to any procedure established by any law of the State, unless a different procedure is required by this Charter." Thus, by the 1965 change of the word "shall" to the word "may," in section 912, the former limitations imposed by the charter itself upon the City's power with respect to matters of municipal concern were removed. The statement to the contrary in the majority opinion demonstrates a basic misunderstanding of the charter provisions. (See *California Supreme Court, Bellus v City of Eureka*, September 13, 1968).

What you're trying to put forth, Pam Powell, as something with validity, is that CC members swear or affirm an Oath of Office, w/out a Bond to cover their lie-ability, administered by someone who also took an Oath lacking any lawful meaning, adding sentences to the California State Constitution Oath, that they're swearing or affirming to protect. Then there's the illegible, thus void, signatures.

Here's the wording for a valid Oath of Office within the California State Constitution:

"	do solemnly swear (or affirm, as the case may be) that I will
sup	port the Constitution of the United States, and the Constitution of the State of
Cali	fornia, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of the office of
	according to the best of my ability."

ANY added sentences/wording make the document void before the ink on the signature line dries.

A person to fill a term of office is not permitted to assume the duties of the office until he files a bond and oath of office, which must be done before the commencement of the term, or the office shall be deemed vacant.

People v. Quimby, 152 Colo. 231, 381 P.2d 275 (1963).

I, a woman on the land, a California State National, have Secretary of State certified copies of the 1849 Constitution for the State of California, and the 1997 Los Angeles Superior Court litigation that lists all of the alleged amendments to the 1879 quasiconstitution of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA -that was never put to the people of California for a vote. Thus the 1879 STATE OF CALIFORNIA constitution is void for

lack of lawful jurisdiction . . just as your so-called "adopted" 1959 charter and whatever "amendments" that followed.

The Freeman's Charter for the City of Eureka – January 8, 1895

- **Sec. 44.** The Mayor shall be the chief of the executive office of the city. He shall be at least twenty-six years of age, and have been a citizen of the State and a resident and a qualified elector of the city for the five years preceding the day of his election.
- **Sec. 53.** The Assessor, Treasurer, Tax Collector, and City Engineer (or Superintendent of Streets) shall have been a citizen of the State, and a resident and qualified elector of the city, at least five years next before election.
- **Sec. 63.** Every officer of the city, the amount of whose Bond is named in this section, and every other officer required to do so by the Council, shall before entering upon the duties of his office, and within ten days after notice of his election or appointment, or before the expiration of fifteen days from the commencement of his term of office when no such notice has been given, file his official Bond and Oath of office.

No wonder the CC ran to hide from the people at the public meeting December 21, 2021, and are once again hiding behind a *virtual* meeting.

The last two years have been a socially engineered perception crisis. Anybody who believes that forced vax-a-nation is about your health, at this point, is either naïve, or complicit, or both. And unfortunately, the City of Eureka City Council members have chosen not to discern the truth from the lie. You persons choose to embrace a lie and ignore the truth. You ignored the harm that you were potentially going to cause to the people, by your actions. There is still a "local emergency" letter in place (from a Portland transplant Ian Hoffman, who has been evicted and resigned his Oath less, Bond less position as *Public Health* "Official", declaring that there are conditions regarding a "pandemic." You are holding up the false narrative, the lie, and are continuing to ignore the truth. Where is the leadership in this city council? You set your consciousness up on a shelf, knowing that your actions were going to cause harm to people. And look where we are now...possible or soon-to-be... Nazi "Order Followers" at California's State line borders. There's plenty of complicitness to go around . . . the people who stood around and said nothing. What's your position on this now, City of Eureka Council members? You have ignored your duty to protect our constitutional rights. You have refused to do so.

A Supreme Court decision already decided that the Constitution cannot be suspended no matter how inconvenient it may be perceived by those in power. In Ex parte Milligan (1866), the Court wrote:

The Constitution of the United States is a law for rulers and people, equally in war and in peace, and covers with the shield of its protection all classes of men, at all times and under all circumstances. No doctrine involving more pernicious consequences was ever invented by the wit of man -than that any of its provisions can be suspended during any great exigencies of government.

You people masquerading as public servants, are complicit in causing the lawlessness that is destroying my country. Are you going to stay silent and support the evil?

"Five percent of the people think; ten percent of the people think they think and the other eighty five percent would rather die than think." - Thomas Edison

Autographed on the land Humboldt County, California State, this ____day in January, Two thousand Twenty-two.

I am woman, an eye-witness

with first-hand knowledge.

