

Even though NV Republican Legislators take an oath to support and defend the Constitution; to bear true faith and allegiance to it—their party platform adopted in 2024 declares **THEY CATEGORICALLY OPPOSE EVER USING ONE PARTICULAR PROVISION OF THE US CONSTITUTION**—the primary check and balance the states have against an out-of-control federal government:

**The right of the States in Article V to apply for a convention to propose amendments that will prevent federal tyranny**

**[Read their platform here \(lines 39-40\)](#)**

Many in the NV GOP justify their opposition to this part of our Constitution by asserting the 2017 NV legislature, led by Democrats, voted to rescind all the state's past Article V applications by the passage of SJR10 **at the insistence of one of 2024's NV 3<sup>rd</sup> party US Senate candidates— Janine Hansen—** citing her reasoning as the basis for theirs.

**This is the same Janine Hansen who, running as a 3<sup>rd</sup> party candidate, siphoned off over 20,000 votes in the NV US Senate race, helping to ensure Democrat Jacky Rosen's victory over Republican Sam Brown**

**What is Janine Hansen's documented, personal account of supporting SJR10 in 2017? Read her own words...**

- She had a "[handpicked Democrat](#)" introduce SJR10—she knew to whom opposition of a provision of the Constitution would have primary appeal.
- She [boasted](#), "I was asked by the very liberal Democrat sponsor [Segeberblom]...to sit at the table with him to give testimony" and "about 10 liberal organization lobbyists testified with Me-Too's."

**We know why a "[very liberal Democrat sponsor](#)" and a multitude of [liberal organization lobbyists](#) in Nevada would support a resolution opposing a provision of the US Constitution, but why would Janine Hansen?**

*see the next page to find out*

- Hansen [based her position on a letter](#) SCOTUS **Chief Justice Warren Burger** (of Roe v. Wade infamy) sent to [Eagle Forum's founder](#) (search for "Burger" when you click on the link). In that letter, he manipulatively refers to being against a "Constitutional Convention"—a term not found anywhere in the Constitution, but regularly employed by Article V convention opponents meant to alarm citizens of fictitious concerns our Constitution doesn't authorize.
- US Senate race spoiler Hansen correctly supports our 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment, but blindly trusts Burger regarding Article V **despite his documented vigorous and vehement opposition to our precious 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment**, as this video shows:



- If Burger can't be trusted regarding one of the clear and simple Amendments in our foundational Bill of Rights, ***why should his political theorizing be trusted regarding the critically important Article V of our Constitution?***

## What ***other*** Nevadans have been misled by Republican election spoiler Janine Hansen and establishment SCOTUS Justice Warren Burger?

- Republican [NV Senator Ira Hansen](#) has been so misled on this issue since his days as a NV Assemblyman in 2017, he openly announced his personal preference for honoring his party's deviation from Article V *over the clearly expressed desires of his constituents.* Note that his aunt, **Janine Hansen**, can be seen sitting in the committee room audience looking on in approval.

- Seen sitting next to Janine Hansen in the above video during the Assembly committee meeting on SJR10 in 2017 is NV resident Shawn Meehan, who today serves as the NV GOP establishment's self-styled "Article V expert" as well as other party posts as needed. A John Birch Society (JBS) devotee of sorts, Meehan frequently references JBS employee Robert Brown, "[a novice who promotes himself as an expert](#)" (page 2). Meehan may or may not be aware that JBS has flip-flopped on its position regarding an Article V convention since the days of its founder Robert Welch and his successor Larry McDonald, [betraying their organization's original clear intentional support for the Article V convention provision](#) in its early history.

**The NV GOP needs to seriously reevaluate whether their oath of office to support and defend the Constitution is a higher priority than uncritical acceptance of Janine Hansen's opinion on Article V, when she doesn't even seem to respect their efforts to spend millions of dollars to win vitally important elections.**

**Constituents in general and donors in particular want to know why the NV GOP is comfortable standing with election saboteur Janine Hansen, but not with Article V of the Constitution.**

Get the facts about the US Constitution's  
Article V convention provision at

[COSfacts.com](http://COSfacts.com)